

Zeitschrift: Acta Tropica
Herausgeber: Schweizerisches Tropeninstitut (Basel)
Band: 37 (1980)
Heft: 3

Artikel: Problems and new developments in the treatment of acute and chronic brucellosis in man
Autor: Havas, L.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-312663>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 30.07.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Pharma Division, Department of Clinical Research and Development,
F. Hoffmann-La Roche & Co. Ltd., Basel, Switzerland

Problems and new developments in the treatment of acute and chronic brucellosis in man

L. HAVAS

The aim of this communication is to illustrate the main difficulties in the treatment of human brucellosis and to point out some new developments in this field.

In spite of improvements in the control of animal brucellosis in some developed countries, human brucellosis is still one of the most important and frequent zoonoses. Information from the WHO indicates that there occur half a million cases per year. The disease can be imported in non-endemic areas by immigrant workers and tourists.

The active human brucellosis (isolation of *Brucella* sp., high titers of antibody, clinical symptoms, local infection or complication) must be treated with antibacterial chemotherapeutic agents. Without such a specific therapy the mortality rate reaches 1–6%.

Unfortunately many antibiotics are practically ineffective in brucellosis. Based on recommendation of the WHO, a combination of tetracyclines with streptomycin and occasionally with sulfonamides – for 3–6 weeks – was used as the basic therapeutic scheme for human brucellosis. Though this treatment was frequently successful in the acute form, relapse rates of 15–70% with occasional transition to the chronic form were observed. Patients compliance with such a long term ad hoc combination was in many cases unsatisfactory, side effects were not uncommon. Furthermore tetracyclines are contra-indicated in childhood.

In the chronic form, which is a much less defined entity, and where immunological components play an important role, the relevance of specific therapy is not yet clearly established.

In view of these therapeutic problems, alternative treatment forms were sought.

Correspondence: L. Havas, M.D., Pharma Division, Department of Clinical Research and Development, F. Hoffmann-La Roche & Co. Ltd., Grenzacherstrasse 124, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland

In place of the older tetracyclines newer ones (doxycycline, minocycline) have been tried which can be administered in relatively low doses and must be given only once or twice per day. Unfortunately, with longer administration of doxycycline, an increased number of photodermatoses have been observed (Rey et al., 1977). The first results with minocycline were encouraging, but they must be confirmed in more cases (Grasso, 1978). The possibility of vestibular dysfunction must also be borne in mind with this drug.

Recently, in a limited number of cases, streptomycin has been replaced by rifampicin alone or in combination with tetracycline for the treatment of acute brucellosis. The dosage was relatively high (900 mg daily) and the therapeutic results encouraging (Frottier, 1979). Though, the danger of quick development of bacterial resistance is well known with the administration of rifampicin alone.

In the last ten years, the fixed combination of trimethoprim plus sulfamethoxazole in a ratio of 1 : 5, co-trimoxazole¹ has been tested experimentally and clinically in human brucellosis. On the basis of a literature survey, the most important results of these trials are presented here.

In an in-vitro sensitivity test, Robertson et al. (1973) investigated the synergistic effect of sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim. For 25 *Br. abortus* strains, the MIC of sulfamethoxazole was 2 µg/ml and that of trimethoprim 32 µg/ml. When the two agents were used in combination in the same ratio as their MIC values, a 16-fold potentiation between them was found, all strains being inhibited by a combination of 0.125 µg/ml of sulfamethoxazole and 2 µg/ml of trimethoprim.

Roux et al. (1974) investigated the sensitivity of 24 *Brucella* sp. strains to the combination of sulfamethoxazole + trimethoprim. 18 of the 24 tested strains were sensitive to the combination.

These results of in-vitro sensitivity tests proved a mutual synergism of the two components and a high efficacy of the combination.

The therapeutic results of 500 patients, who received the preparation for the treatment of brucellosis, could be analysed from 30 papers. In all patients the diagnosis was made clinically and serologically, and in many cases it was supported bacteriologically (positive culture). In most of the cases the daily dosage was 4–6 tablets or ampoules, respectively, and the duration of therapy 3–6 weeks. The therapeutic result was considered as successful, if the patient was cured by the first treatment course without relapse (very good result) or by a second course with the combination after a relapse (good result). The therapeutic result was considered as moderate, if the patient showed a relapse after a successful treatment with the combination and needed a treatment with another antibacterial agent. The therapeutic result was bad, if the treatment with the combination failed totally.

¹ e.g. Bactrim, Septrin. 1 tablet or ampoule for i.v. infusion = 80 mg trimethoprim + 400 mg sulfamethoxazole

365 patients (described in 22 papers) received co-trimoxazole in open non-comparative trials for the treatment of acute brucellosis (Table 1). Defervescence was obtained in an average of 4–5 days. The success rate (very good and good results) amounted to 88.8%.

The results of 8 patients, published in the paper of Antoniello et al. (1975), are particularly worth mentioning: these patients received the combination, as a second therapy after unsuccessful treatment with tetracycline + streptomycin. All patients could be immediately cured with the combination.

Twenty-five patients with symptomatic subacute or chronic brucellosis (described in 5 papers) were treated with the combination (Table 2). Defervescence was obtained in an average of 3.6 days (in 20 patients) and the success rate of the 25 treated patients was 88%.

In 4 studies, 110 further patients received co-trimoxazole in comparative trials versus tetracycline alone or tetracycline + streptomycin, respectively, for the treatment of acute brucellosis.

In Brescia (Italy) Sueri and Colonello (Sueri, 1972; Sueri, 1973; Sueri et al., 1974; Colonello, 1973) tested the efficacy of co-trimoxazole versus tetracycline in two studies after two different dosage schemes. In the first study 30 patients received co-trimoxazole 4 tablets per day and 32 patients tetracycline 2 g per day for 15–25 days. The relapse rate was 37% in the low-dose co-trimoxazole group and 19% in the tetracycline group, respectively. In a second study co-trimoxazole was given in a daily dosage of 4 tablets + 4 ampoules and tetracycline in a dosage of 3–4 g per day for 15 days. The relapse rate was 5% in the high-dose co-trimoxazole group and 11% in the tetracycline group, respectively. These studies demonstrated, that the response to co-trimoxazole was clearly dose-related.

Porto et al. (1974), Coimbra (Portugal) tested in a prospective, comparative trial the efficacy of co-trimoxazole (25 patients) versus tetracycline + streptomycin (27 patients). The treatment lasted in both groups 60 days. There was no significant difference between the two trial groups considering the relapse rate (28% versus 22%) and average time until defervescence (2.40 ± 1.07 days versus 2.96 ± 1.07 days).

Antoniello et al. (1975), Naples (Italy) compared the efficacy of co-trimoxazole versus tetracycline + streptomycin. 35 patients received co-trimoxazole and 10 patients were given the combination of tetracycline + streptomycin, in both groups for 21 days. In this trial the results obtained with co-trimoxazole were superior to those obtained with the combination of tetracycline + streptomycin, when the following parameters are considered: average days until defervescence (4 versus 9 days) and normalization of hepatomegaly (12 versus 16 days), splenomegaly (7 versus 13 days), blood sedimentation (5 versus 9 days) and haemoculture (3 versus 6 days).

In conclusion, co-trimoxazole proved to be at least equal to the control drugs in these comparative trials.

TREATMENT OF ACUTE BRUCELLOSIS WITH CO-TRIMOXAZOLE / THERAPEUTIC RESULTS

| No. of PAPER | AUTHOR | YEAR | COUNTRY | PATHOGEN | DOSAGE PER DAY | | DURATION OF TREAT- MENT IN DAYS | BACTERIOLOGY: CULTURE FROM | | | No. of EVALUABLE CASES | THERAPEUTIC RESULT | | | | No. of DEFERVES- CENCE (AVERAGE) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|--|-------------------------------|----------------|-------|------------------------------|--------------------|------|---------------|-----|---|
| | | | | | Tabl. | AMP. | | BLOOD | BONE MARROW | STOOL | | VERY GOOD | GOOD | MODE- RATE | BAD | |
| 1 | ANTONIELLO ET AL. | 1975 | ITALY | Br. MELITEN- SIS | 3x2 | | 21 | X | X | | 8 * | 8 | | | | |
| 2 | ARIZA ET AL. | 1977 | SPAIN | Br. MELITEN- SIS | 2x3 2x2 | | 15 30 | X | | | 31 | 21 | | 9 | 1 | 1-20 (8) |
| 3 | BARBA ET AL. | 1972 | ITALY | " | 2x1-2 | | 10-40 | | | | 7 | 7 | | | | 1-3 (2,5) |
| 4 | BILLI ET AL. | 1971 | ITALY | ? | 4 | | 10 | | | | 3 | 3 | | | | 1-4 |
| 5 | CANTALAMESSA | 1972 | ITALY | ? | 2x2 | | 14-28 | X | | | 14 | 12 | 2 | | | 3-7 (5,1) |
| 6 | CASANOVA ET AL. | 1975 | FRANCE | ? | 3-6 | | 10-42 | X | | | 28 | 23 | | | 5 | 3-7 |
| 7 | DAIKOS ET AL. | 1973 | GREECE | Br. MELITEN- SIS | 4-8 | 2x2 | 10 (6 PAT.) 15-30 | X | | | 86 | 76 | 2 | | 8 | 2-8 |
| 8 | D'ALESSANDRO ET AL. | 1973 | ITALY | ? | 3x2 | | 20 | | | | 20 | 18 | | 2 | | 1-9 (4,7) |
| 9 | FARID ET AL. | 1970 | EGYPT | Br. ABORTUS | 2x1 | | 10 | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 4 |
| 10 | FIORI ET AL. | 1978 | ITALY | 9 Br. ABORTUS 3 Br. Suis | 3x2 | | 4-26 | X | X | | 47 | 45 | | 2 | | 1-10 (3,9) |
| 11 | GIUNCHI ET AL. | 1972 | ITALY | Br. MELITEN- SIS | 4-6 | | 21-28 | X | X | | 27 | 24 | | 2 | 1 | 1-11 (3,9) |
| 12 | GUEVARA | 1974 | PERU | Br. MELITEN- SIS | 2x1-2 SPOON 2xV2-1 " 2xV4-V2 " | | 30 30 30 | X | | | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| 13 | HASSAN ET AL. | 1971 | EGYPT | Br. MELITEN- SIS | 2x2-4 | | 21-42 | X | | | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | | 2-7 (4) |
| 14 | LAL ET AL. | 1970 | ENGLAND | Br. ABORTUS | 2-3x2 | | 84-140 (IN INTER- VALS) | | X | | 4 | 3 | | | 1 | 1-2 |
| 15 | MINOFRIO | 1975 | ARGENTINA | Br. MELITEN- SIS | 2x4 2x2 | | 5 25 | X | X | | 14 | 14 | | | | (6,4) |
| 16 | MUJTTA ET AL. | 1974 | ITALY | ? | ? | | 10-15 | | | | 13 | 12 | 1 | | | 2-9 (3,8) |
| 17 | O'BRIEN ET AL. | 1974 | ENGLAND | Br. ABORTUS | ? | | 10 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| 18 | O'NEARA ET AL. | 1974 | ENGLAND | Br. MELITEN- SIS | 3x2 2x2 | | 50 305 | X | | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| 19 | PASCHETTA | 1972 | ITALY | ? | 4 | | 30 | X | | X | 14 | 14 | | | | 2-12 (5,6) |
| 20 | RIGATOS ET AL. | 1975 | GREECE | Br. MELITEN- SIS | 3x1-2 | | AT LEAST 21 | | | | 30 | 24 | | 4 | 2 | 1-18 (5) |
| 21 | SIASOCO ET AL. | 1972 | PHILIP- PINES | Br. ABORTUS | 2x2 | 2x2 | 2 12 | , | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 2,5 |
| 22 | VISCO ET AL. | 1975 | ITALY | ? | 6-8 | | 8-25 | | | | 4 | 2 | | | 2 | 6-9 |
| TOTAL 22 PAPERS DESCRIBING CASES: | | | | | | | | | | | 365 | 317 | 7 | 20 | 21 | |
| SUCCESS RATE: | | | | | | | | | | | | 88,8 % | | | | |

* SECOND THERAPY AFTER UNSUCCESSFUL TREATMENT WITH TETRACYCLINE + STREPTOMYCIN

TABLE 2

TREATMENT OF SUBACUTE AND CHRONIC BRUCELLOSIS WITH CO-TRIMOXAZOLE

| No. of PAPER | AUTHOR | YEAR | COUNTRY | PATHOGEN | DOSAGE PER DAY (TABL.) | DURATION OF TREAT- MENT IN DAYS | No. of EVALUABLE CASES | THERAPEUTIC RESULT | | | No. of DAYS UN- TIL DEFER- VESCENCE (AVERAGE) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | VERY GOOD | GOOD | MODE- RATE | |
| 1 | BARBA ET AL. | 1972 | ITALY | BR. MELITEN- SIS | 2x2 THEN 3 THEN 1 | 20 10 30 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| 2 | CIACCHERI ET AL. | 1973 | ITALY | BR. MELITEN- SIS | 6 | 42 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| 3 | GUEVARA | 1974 | PERU | BR. MELITEN- SIS | 2x2 SPOONS 2x1 " 2x1/2 " | 30 30 30 | 2 | | | 2 | |
| 4 | HYMAN | 1970 | SOUTH AFRICA | BR. MELITEN- SIS + ABORTUS | 2x2 THEN 2x1 | ~120 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| 5 | KONTOYANNIS | 1975 | GREECE | BR. MELITEN- SIS | 2x3 THEN 2x2 | 2-7 ~ 60 | 20 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 2-7 (~3,6) |
| TOTAL 5 PAPERS DESCRIBING CASES: | | | | | | | 25 | 10 | 11 | 4 | |
| | | | | | | | SUCCESS RATE: | | | 88 % | |

The tolerance of co-trimoxazole was satisfactory, no severe adverse reactions were noticed. Skin reactions occurred in 4.2% and gastrointestinal side effects in 2.6% of the cases.

These results indicate that co-trimoxazole can be recommended as an effective and safe drug for the treatment of acute brucellosis. In subacute and chronic brucellosis, the findings available so far are encouraging and suggest further trials for confirmation.

References may be requested from the author.