Zeitschrift:	Asiatische Studien : Zeitschrift der Schweizerischen Asiengesellschaft = Études asiatiques : revue de la Société Suisse-Asie
Herausgeber:	Schweizerische Asiengesellschaft
Band:	75 (2021)
Heft:	2
Artikel:	Alchemical stanzaic poetry (muwashsha) by Ibn Afra' Ra's (fl. twelfth century)
Autor:	Forster, Regula
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-956729

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. <u>Mehr erfahren</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. <u>En savoir plus</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. <u>Find out more</u>

Download PDF: 07.07.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Regula Forster* Alchemical stanzaic poetry (muwashshaḥ) by Ibn Arfa' Ra's (fl. twelfth century)

https://doi.org/10.1515/asia-2020-0009

Received March 5, 2020; accepted November 2, 2020; published online August 30, 2021

Abstract: The twelfth-century alchemist Ibn Arfa' Ra's is best known for his collection ($d\bar{i}wa\bar{n}$) of alchemical poems entitled *Shudhūr al-dhahab* ("The Splinters of Gold"). However, he is also credited with other works, including stanzaic poetry (*muwashshaḥāt*) on alchemy. This paper presents the current state of the scholarship concerning life and works of Ibn Arfa' Ra's, and then focuses on three strophic poems attributed to this alchemist. It includes first editions and English translations of all three poems, and argues that at least two of the poems are likely to have been authored by Ibn Arfa' Ra's, while one is a literary imitation (*mu'āraḍa*) and therefore probably not a work of Ibn Arfa' Ra's himself. Furthermore, the article discusses the literary features of the poems as well as their historical contexts and their – somewhat limited – reception. This also allows us to think of Ibn Arfa' Ra's in a less regional Andalusī-Maghribī context, and consider if he perhaps moved east at some point of his life, which would explain the reception history of his poems, both those included in the *dīwān* and the *muwashshaḥāt*.

Keywords: alchemy; Ibn Arfa'Ra's; *mu'āraḍa* (literary imitation); *muwashshaḥ* (Arabic stanzaic poetry); reception history

Although research activities in the so-called occult sciences have increased considerably over the last few years, alchemy is still a field where many questions remain open.¹ The role that literary forms play in alchemy has been recognised already by Ullmann,² yet very little work has been done on the literary aspects of Arabic alchemy.³ Therefore, this paper focuses on the literary aspects of three strophic poems on alchemy that have been neglected by scholarship so far and

¹ Important recent research on the "occult" sciences include the volumes by Melvin-Koushki/ Gardiner 2017; El-Bizri/Orthmann 2018; Günther/Pielow 2018; Saif 2019.

² Ullmann 1972: 143.

³ On the dialogue as form in alchemy, see Forster 2017: passim.

^{*}Corresponding author: Regula Forster, Abt. Orient- und Islamwissenschaft, Asien-Orient-Institut, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Wilhelmstr. 113, 72074 Tübingen, Germany, E-mail: regula.forster@uni-tuebingen.de. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4391-7217

which are attributed to the famous alchemist Ibn Arfa' Ra's, better known as the author of an alchemical *dīwān*, entitled *Shudhūr al-dhahab*. In the first section, I present the scholar and alchemist Ibn Arfa' Ra's and his work. In the second section, I discuss at some length three strophic poems that have been attributed to him: I include a first edition and English translation of all three. Finally, the literary and historical contexts will be considered in detail in order to try to locate both the poems and their author historically and geographically.

1 Ibn Arfa' Ra's: life

Ibn Arfa' Ra's⁴ is the author of a collection of alchemical poems, a *dīwān* entitled *Shudhūr al-dhahab* ("The Splinters of Gold"). Juliane Müller and I have, by studying bio-bibliographical and manuscript sources, suggested that the alchemist probably should not be identified with his near-namesake, a specialist in qur'ānic readings and Mālikī *fiqh*, 'Alī b. Mūsā b. al-Naqirāt, as happened in the bio-bibliographical works starting as early as Ibn al-Abbār.⁵ If we no longer hold up this identification, we must admit that we know only very few things about the alchemist for sure: 'Alī b. Mūsā al-Anṣārī, known as Ibn Arfa' Ra's, probably lived in the twelfth century. He came from an Andalusī family which settled in Morocco. Differently from Ibn al-Naqirāt, he probably was not a religious scholar, although he must have had a profound knowledge of the Qur'ān, *ḥadīth* and the *qiṣaṣ al-anbiyā*', as his works are replete with references to these religious texts. However, in several passages he seems to insist on the fact that alchemy might be more important than religion.

2 Ibn Arfa' Ra's: works

In the sources, Ibn Arfa⁶ Ra⁵ is praised for his style and his education. The historian al-Kutubī (d. 764/1363) writes: "If he does not teach you the art of gold, he still teaches you the art of style (*in lam yu*⁶*allimka ṣan*⁶*ata l-dhahab*, ⁶*allamaka ṣan*⁶*ata l-adab*)."⁶ He also calls him "the poet of the sages and the sage of the poets

⁴ The meaning as well as the grammar of his name poses problems. It could mean "the son of the one who held his head very high" (Ullmann 1972: 231, n. 5), "lofty's son" (Todd 2016: 119) or might have become a family name (Forster/Müller 2020b: 401–402). On the grammatical problem of the name, see Ullmann 1972: 231, n. 5.

⁵ Forster/Müller 2020b.

⁶ al-Kutubī 1951–1953: vol. 2, 181.

 $(sh\bar{a}$ 'ir al-ḥukamā' wa-ḥakīm al-shu'arā')".⁷ Al-Kutubī's contemporary, the biographer al-Ṣafadī (d. 764/1363), goes so far as to compare favourably the poems by Ibn Arfa' Ra's with those by the preeminent 'Abbāsid poet al-Mutanabbī.⁸

This section will treat all his works with the exception of his strophic poetry on alchemy, which is the object of the next section.

2.1 Shudhūr al-dhahab

His magnum opus is the alchemical $d\bar{w}an$ called *Shudhur al-dhahab*. This is a collection of 43 poems and more than 1,400 verses. The poems are, as is usual for a $d\bar{w}an$, ordered according to their rhyming letters, and every letter of the alphabet is represented by at least one poem. The shortest poem is four verses long, the longest 66.⁹ *Shudhur al-dhahab* is not, therefore, a long didactic poem as could perhaps be expected, but a collection of several shorter poems (all standard forms of classical Arabic poetry are present, i.e. *qit'a, ghazal, qaṣīda, and urjuza*). Given that they are sometimes alchemical renderings of *ghazal* poems, it seems also questionable whether this is didactic poetry strictly speaking.

In our Zurich-based project, we have identified 94 complete manuscripts of the $d\bar{v}w\bar{a}n$, dating to the time between the fourteenth¹⁰ and the twentieth¹¹ centuries. This means that the poems were still copied in a time we are used to thinking of as "modern". While many younger manuscripts stem from the west of the Islamicate world,¹² none of the older manuscripts is in Maghribī script. This could mean that the text was – at least in an earlier period – primarily read in the Islamic east.

⁷ al-Kutubī 1951–1953: vol. 2, 181. Al-Maqqarī calls Ibn Arfa' Ra's's *dīwān* a "guide to eloquence (*dalīl 'alā l-balāgha*) for the Andalusīs" (al-Maqqarī 1855–1861: vol. 2, 410).

⁸ al-Ṣafadī 1983: 264. In fact, one manuscript of Ibn Arfa' Ra's's *dīwān* also contains that by al-Mutanabbī (MS Uppsala, Universitetsbiblioteket, 133, dated 1062/1652, cf. Tornberg 1849: 76–77).
9 I will cite the text of the edition currently under preparation by Svetlana Dolgusheva (Berlin), but will indicate variations in the edition by Ghazzālī (Ibn Arfa' Ra's, ed. Ghazzālī 2018).

¹⁰ Most manuscripts are not dated; of those dated the oldest is Tinduf, Zāwiyat Sīdī Bel'amash, 31 (29 Jumādā I 704/28 Dec. 1304). Other fourteenth century manuscripts are Oslo, National Library, Ms. fol. 4313 (dated 731/1331); Manchester, John Rylands, 809 (Mingana no 338) (c 750/1350); Rome, Vatican Library, Sbath 144 (14th century).

¹¹ These include (dated manuscripts only): Karachi, University Library, 19994 (dated 1340/1921); Princeton, Princeton University Library, Garrett, MS. 1090Y (1327/1909); Qom, al-Ma'had al-'ālī lil-'ulūm wa-l-thaqāfa al-islāmiyya, no 281/6 (dated 1345/1926–1927). The manuscripts of *Shudhūr* are discussed at length in the contribution "The alchemist's work" by Christopher Braun and Regula Forster, in the present volume.

¹² Maghribī manuscripts include Cairo, Dār al-kutub, Majāmi^c Taymūr 288 (1799) (partly dated to the nineteenth century); Chinguetti, Maktabat Ahl Ḥabat, 546; Istanbul, Süleymaniye, Laleli, 2772; Rabat, National Library, 103d (catalogue no 477) (dated 1267/1851); 2468 (1460d); 2469 (1495d); Rabat, al-

Shudhūr al-dhahab is highly praised in the bio-bibliographical literature,¹³ and has been the object of commentary at least 12 times. The oldest commentary is by Ibn Arfa' Ra's himself.¹⁴ Afterwards, it was commented by famous alchemists such as al-Sīmāwī (mid-thirteenth century)¹⁵ and al-Jildakī (fourteenth century) who wrote four commentaries on *Shudhūr al-dhahab*,¹⁶ but also by otherwise unknown or anonymous authors. Furthermore, there are at least two *takhmīs* versions, i.e. amplifications adding three hemistichs after every verse.¹⁷ *Shudhūr* also served as a model for a Turkish collection of alchemical poems.¹⁸

2.2 *Hall mushkilāt 'Shudhūr al-dhahab'* ("The Unravelling of the Difficulties of 'The Splinters of Gold'")

Shudhūr al-dhahab's poems are quite difficult to understand, both on a thematic and on a linguistic level. Therefore, Ibn Arfa' Ra's seems to have written a self-commentary, entitled <u>Hall mushkilāt</u> '*Shudhūr al-dhahab*'.¹⁹ However, the attribution to Ibn Arfa' Ra's has been doubted by Todd, who argues that the work gathers the alchemical knowledge of several later generations.²⁰ Müller, who has prepared a critical edition of the work, shows that, although the text certainly contains later interpolations, it is very probably an authentic text, especially as it is cited as such by al-Sīmāwī (fl. thirteenth century).²¹

20 Todd 2016: 123.

Khizāna al-Ḥasaniyya, 1035/Majmū'; 1109 (dated 1303/1885); 1116; 1520; 2252 (dated 1232/1817); 5326; 7384; Tunis, National Library, 4558 (dated 1303/1885–1886); 4644 (dated 1246/1830–1831).

¹³ For example by al-Kutubī 1951–1953: vol. 2, 181–184; al-Ṣafadī 1983: 260–264; al-Maqqarī 1855–1861: vol. 2, 410.

¹⁴ See below, Section 2. 2.

¹⁵ *Sharḥ al-Shudhūr* is extant in at least 18 manuscripts, but not mentioned by Ullmann 1972: 235–237.

¹⁶ These are *Maţālī*^c *al-budūr* fī sharḥ ṣadr dīwān al-Shudhūr, also known as *Qalā'id al-nuḥūr*, written in Cairo before 737/1336, on the beginning of *Shudhūr*; *Kitāb al-Badr al-munīr fī ma'rifat asrār al-iksīr*, again written in Cairo before 737/1336, on the ninth verse of the poem on *lām* and *alif*; *al-Durr al-manthūr fī Sharḥ ṣadr dīwān al-Shudhūr*, written in Cairo between 742/1341 and 743/1342; and *Kitāb Ghāyat al-surūr fī sharḥ dīwān al-Shudhūr*, finished in 744/1343, in four volumes. On al-Jildakī see Forster/Müller 2020a.

¹⁷ One by Muḥammad b. Mūsā al-Qudsī (fl. fourteenth century, cf. Schippers 2009: 92) and one by Jalāl al-Naqqāsh (written in 810/1408, and taken for a commentary by Wehr 1940: 23–24). – On *takhmīs* as literary form see Kennedy 1998.

¹⁸ *Dīwān-i ḥikmet*, written before c 1000/c 1600, cf. Artun 2013: esp. 36.

¹⁹ Ullmann 1972: 232 mentions two commentaries by Ibn Arfa' Ra's, yet these are only different recensions of *Hall mushkilāt* (see Ibn Arfa' Ra's (forthcoming), *Hall*, ed. Müller: ch. 1.5).

²¹ Ibn Arfa' Ra's (forthcoming), *Hall*, ed. Müller: ch. 1.2.

In his self-commentary, Ibn Arfa' Ra's comments on all the poems of *Shudhūr al-dhahab*, yet only on a very selective set of verses.²² Most verses are left out, sometimes with the comment that their meaning is understood anyway.²³ *Hall mushkilāt* is not as prominent as *Shudhūr*, yet we have identified no less than 30 manuscripts, which is still a considerable amount.²⁴

2.3 Other prose works

Besides *Hall* Ibn Arfa[°] Ra's seems to have written other prose works on alchemy. Whether these are authentic and whether some of them might be the same work under different titles, are open questions.²⁵ What is clear is that *al-Wasm al-wasīm* ("The pretty mark"), a work attributed to Ibn Arfa[°] Ra's by al-Baghdādī,²⁶ is not completely lost, but neither is it a work by Ibn Arfa[°] Ra's: rather, as we can judge from the fragment extant at Staatsbibliothek in Berlin,²⁷ this is some sort of abbreviation of *Hall mushkilāt* by a later author.

Finally, two works on magic that have been attributed to Ibn Arfa' Ra's should be considered spurious: *al-Jihāt fī 'ilm al-tawajjuhāt* ("The directions on the science of facing") is a commentary on a magical poem by one Thābit b. Sulaymān that emphasises the importance of magic above all other sciences, including alchemy, and seems inconsistent with *Shudhūr al-dhahab*.²⁸ *Al-Ţibb al-ruḥānī bi-l-Qur'ān al-raḥmānī* ("The spiritual medicine by the Merciful's Qur'ān"), a magical work, is clearly influenced by (Pseudo-)al-Būnī and therefore certainly not a work by Ibn Arfa' Ra's.²⁹

²² I have used the text prepared by Juliane Müller (Ibn Arfa' Ra's (forthcoming), *Hall*, ed. Müller), but cite it according to the "Leithandschrift" (MS London, British Library, Or 11592), as Müller indicates the folios in the margins of her edition.

²³ E.g. at the end of his commentary on the poem on $h\bar{a}$ ', Ibn Arfa' Ra's simply says: "and the rest of the speech is understood (*wa-bāqī l-kalām mafhūm*)" (MS London, British Library, Or 11592, fol. 6r).

²⁴ All of those dated or datable stem from the sixteenth to nineteenth centuries, see Ibn Arfa' Ra's (forthcoming), *Hall*, ed. Müller: ch. 1.3.

²⁵ We know of the following prose works on alchemy: (1) *Fī Tarkīb al-iksīr al-ḥayawānī al-insānī* ("On the composition of the animal and human elixir"), MS Tehran, Malik, 3119, fol. 91–94; (2) *Mas'ala fī şifat al-ta'fīn* ("The problem of the peculiarity of putrification"), MS Cairo, Dār al-kutub, Kīmiyā' wa-ṭabī'a 7760; (3) *Risāla fī l-kīmiyā'* ("Epistle on alchemy"), MS Cairo, Dār al-kutub, 763, fol. 37v–41r; (4) *Tartīb al-iksīr* ("The preparation of the elixir"), formerly Baghdad, Khizānat Qāsim Muḥammad al-Rajab (current location unknown); see http://kohepocu.cchs.csic.es/flipbooks/12/ #p=26 [27 April 2021] and 'Awwād 1965: 182 (no 146/2).

²⁶ al-Baghdādī 1945: vol. 2, 705; al-Baghdādī 1951: vol. 1, 694.

²⁷ MS Berlin, Staatsbibliothek Preußischer Kulturbesitz, Orientabteilung, Lbg. 96, fol. 106v.

²⁸ On the work see Braun/Forster 2020.

²⁹ See Coulon 2017: 259–260.

3 Ibn Arfa' Ra's's stanzaic poetry on alchemy: transmission and interpretation

Besides his *dīwān*, Ibn Arfa' Ra's is credited with at least one stanzaic poem on alchemy, a so-called *muwashshaḥ*. Strophic poetry is typical for the west of the Islamicate world,³⁰ and Ibn Arfa' Ra's is sometimes considered to be a relative of a well-known Andalusī *muwashshaḥ* poet: the alchemist might have been a great-great-grandchild or great-great-grandnephew of Muḥammad ibn Arfa' Ra's(ah) (or: Ibn Rāfi' Ra's). This poet lived in the eleventh century and was a court poet to Ma'mūn b. Dhī l-Nūn (r. 435–467/1043–1075), ruler of Toledo, and was famous for his *muwashshaḥāt*.³¹ Therefore, strophic poetry could have been a kind of family tradition which the alchemist would have been continuing by writing an alchemical *muwashshaḥ*.

To choose this poetic form for alchemy is quite interesting, as *muwashshaḥāt* are usually not written on scientific topics, but are concerned with either love and wine or panegyrics.³² They were also used in a Ṣūfī context, as for example Ibn al-ʿArabī (d. 638/1240) and al-Shushtarī (d. 668/1269) do extensively in their *dīwān*s.³³

Ibn Arfa' Ra's seems to have used the *muwashshah* form at least once, perhaps even more often, but none of these poems has ever become a part of his $d\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$, as *muwashshah* poems were not usually included in $d\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ collections.³⁴

3.1 Muwashshah san'awī ("Stanzaic poem on 'the Art")

In several manuscripts, the "author of 'The Splinters' (*ṣāḥib al-Shudhūr*)" is credited with the composition of a *muwashshaḥ* on alchemy, sometimes entitled *Muwashshaḥ sanʿawī*, a title I adopt here. We know 23 manuscripts that contain this strophic poem. Most of these manuscripts, namely 16, are composite manuscripts (*majmūʿa*) that also contain *Shudhūr al-dhahab*. Among them are the oldest manuscripts to

³⁰ Schoeler 1992: 812.

³¹ His poems are cited by Ibn Bishrī al-Agharnāṭī 1992: 362–364 (no 241) and 401–403 (no 268); Lisān al-Dīn Ibn al-Khaṭīb 1967: 73–85; Ibn Khaldūn 1425/2004: vol. 2, 425–426; one of them with English translation: Monroe 1974: 224–227; cf. Hartmann 1897: 26–27; Marín 1992: 238–239; Aït Salah Semlali 2015: 156.

³² Schoeler 1992: 810; 'Īsā 2007: 332–389.

³³ Schoeler 1992: 810–812; 'Īsā 2007: 389–405.

³⁴ Alvarez 1998: 563; Rosen 2000: 170–171. There are exceptions to this rule besides Ibn al-'Arabī; for example, Ṣafī al-Dīn al-Ḥillī (d. c 750/1349) and 'Abd al-Ghanī al-Nābulusī (d. 1143/1731) both included their *muwashshaḥāt* in their *dīwān*s (Schoeler 1992: 812).

contain the *Muwashshah* san'awī. These are (in chronological order as far as possible):³⁵

- 1. Istanbul, Topkapı, A 1718, fol. 52v–53r (dated 853/1449–1450) [ض]
- 2. Istanbul, Topkapı, A 2572, fol. 69v–71v (dated 880/1475) [4]
- 3. Cairo, Dār al-kutub, 177, pp. 166–167 (dated 997/1588) [5]
- 4. Madrid, Escorial, 530 (= Casiri 527), fol. 295v-296r (dated 991/1583) [ف]
- 5. Gotha, Forschungsbibliothek, Ms. orient. A 1289, fol. 60r–61r ("rather old"³⁶) [_]
- Baghdad, Dār al-Kutub wa-l-wathā'iq al-waṭaniyya, Dār al-Makhhṭūṭāt al-'Iraqiyya, 8554, fol. 79r (dated 1083/1672) [ⁱ]
- Qom, Kitābkhāna-yi 'Umūmī-yi Āyat Allāh Gulpāyigānī, 39/58–7678/1, fol. 134–135 (dated 1101/1690) [ن]
- Tavşanlı, Zeytinoğlu İlçe Halk Kütüphanesi, 631, fol. 59v–60r (dated 1175/ 1761) [...]
- 9. Cairo, Dār al-kutub, Ṭabīʿiyyāt Taymūr 71, pp. 94–96 (dated 1204/1789) [ث]
- 10. Cairo, Dār al-kutub, 129, no foliation or pagination (dated 1206/1792) [ج]
- 11. Mashhad, Kitābkhāna-yi Āstān-i Quds-i Raḍawī, 10955 ḍ, fol. 172r (dated 1256/ 1840) [ق]
- 12. Cairo, Dār al-kutub, 70, p. 83 (dated 1276/1859) [ت]
- 13. Isfahan, Ganjīna, 1521 (?), no foliation or pagination (dated 1303/1885) [ش]
- Hyderabad, Oriental Manuscripts Library and Research Center, Kīmiyā' 56, pp. 140–142 (other parts of the manuscript are dated to 1313/1895 and 1315/1897)
 س]
- 15. Sine loco [original location of the manuscript unknown] = Dubai, Juma al-Majid Center, 305319, pp. 139–141 (the next text contained in this manuscript is dated to 1330/1912) [•]
- Leiden, Universiteitsbibliotheek, Or. 2840 (= Lb. 480), fol. 45r-45v (modern looking manuscript) [ε]

The remaining seven manuscripts do not contain *Shudhūr*, but other works on alchemy (again in roughly chronological order):

1. Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Arabe 2623, fol. 17v (dated 1087/1676), a manuscript that also contains a commentary on *Shudhūr al-dhahab* [<code>^</code>]

³⁵ The manuscript Mosul, Awqāf Library, 6157 (no 9/14 Ṣā'igh) contains a combination of *Shudhūr* with a *muwashshaḥ* attributed to Ibn Arfa' Ra's as well (cf. Aḥmad 1975–1978: vol. 7, 158). As I have had access to parts of this manuscript only (i.e. its beginning), we cannot be sure that this is the same poem, although it seems very likely.

³⁶ Pertsch 1859–1893: vol. 3ii, 469 (discussing the script).

- Gotha, Forschungsbibliothek, Ms. orient. A 1257, fol. 86v–87r (second half of the seventeenth century), part of an alchemical collection, containing poems by Ibn Umayl and Ibn Abī l-Isba^c, but also Ps-Zosimus's *Risāla fi Tafrīq al-adyān* [-]
- Tunis, National Library, 3252, fol. 165r–165v (eighteenth century), this volume also contains al-Jildakī's *Ghāyat al-surūr* and Jābir b. Hayyān's *Kitāb al-Rū*h [s]
- 4. Birmingham, Cadbury Research Library, 1369 (949), fol. 262r (dated 1300/1882– 1883), the volume also contains al-Jildakī's *Ghāyat al-surūr* [ب]
- Gotha, Forschungsbibliothek, Ms. orient. A 1298, fol. 18v (fragment, "rather new"³⁷) [j]
- Gotha, Forschungsbibliothek, Ms. orient. A 1288, fol. 20v ("new"³⁸), a collection of alchemical works in prose and poetry, e.g. Ibn Umayl [ن]
- 7. Istanbul, Nuruosmaniye, 3634, fol. 87v–88v (no indication of date), a volume that contains a selection of alchemical poems (e.g. Ibn Umayl) and prose (Biyūn al-Barhamī, Ibn Waḥshiyya, Zosimus, Hermes) [مر]

Some of these multi-text-manuscripts seem to have been compiled with the goal of having a good collection of alchemical poetry, especially Gotha, Forschungsbibliothek, Ms. orient. A 1257 and Istanbul, Nuruosmaniye, 3634. In three cases, the *muwashshaḥ* is combined with commentaries to *Shudhūr al-dhahab* (Birmingham, Cadbury Research Library, 1369 (949); Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, 2623; Tunis, National Library, 3252) which might reveal a special interest in works by the author Ibn Arfaʿ Raʾs.

These 23 manuscripts date from the fourteenth to nineteenth centuries. This is quite a solid transmission, yet this poem has, so far, never been the object of any study nor even been mentioned beyond the descriptions in library catalogues.

The *muwashsha*^h has never really become a part of *Shudhūr al-dhahab*, probably because strophic poetry was always considered to be something quite apart from those poems that would be collected in a "decent" $d\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$.³⁹ The most notable exception is the scribe of the manuscript Cairo, Dār al-kutub, Ṭabīʿiyyāt Taymūr 71, dated 1204/1789, who put the explicit of the $d\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ (*tamma dīwān*; "here ends the $d\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$ ") only after the *muwashsha*^h, therewith signalling that the *muwashsha*^h actually belongs to the $d\bar{n}w\bar{a}n$.⁴⁰ An even more interesting case is another scribe of the eighteenth century who has tried to make the poem look like it were part of the

³⁷ Pertsch 1859–1893: vol. 3ii, 476 (again discussing the script).

³⁸ Pertsch 1859–1893: vol. 3ii, 467 (again discussing the script).

³⁹ See above n. 34.

⁴⁰ The scribe of Baghdad, Dār al-Kutub, Dār al-Makhhṭūṭat al-ʿIraqiyya, 8554, fol. 79r signals the end of the *dīwān* both after the last poem of *Shudhūr* and again after *Muwashshaḥ ṣanʿawī*.

dīwān:⁴¹ he has written it in two strict columns, therewith suppressing the strophic structure of the poem. Like in the Cairo manuscript, the closing formula *tamma kitāb Shudhūr al-dhahab* ("here ends the book 'The Splinters of Gold'") is added only after the *muwashshaḥ*. Yet, the heading of the *muwashshaḥ* highlights the fact that this is indeed an addition, because it does not simply give the rhyming letter of the poem as all the other headings do (which would be difficult anyway, as there is not one single rhyming letter in the *muwashshaḥ*). Rather, the heading reads: *wa-li-ṣāḥib al-Shudhūr ayḍan*, "also by the author of 'The Splinters'". This seems to make clear that the poem really is not a part of *Shudhūr*, even for this scribe, although he considered it an important part of Ibn Arfa^c Ra's's work.

The attribution to Ibn Arfa[°] Ra's, although generally accepted for this poem, has been doubted by at least one reader: there is a remark in a Gotha manuscript by a reader indicating that the style of the poem was not consistent with that of Ibn Arfa[°] Ra's, neither as a poet nor a prose writer, and that al-Jildakī had not mentioned the poem.⁴² The stylistic difference, if there is any, could be explained easily be the fact that different rules apply to *muwashshaḥāt* than to a classical *qaṣīda* or *ghazal*.⁴³ The reader of the Gotha manuscript is also wrong when saying that al-Jildakī was not aware of Ibn Arfa[°] Ra's as a *muwashshaḥ* poet: in fact, al-Jildakī, although probably unaware of this particular *muwashshaḥ*, knew of another one by Ibn Arfa[°] Ra's (see below Section 3.3).

In the following, I will present a critical edition and translation of *Muwashshaḥ sanʿawī* as well as a close reading of its text, before discussing the other *muwash-shaḥāt* ascribed to Ibn Arfaʿ Raʾs and the historical context and factors which might have led to the relatively limited success of his strophic poetry on alchemy.

After having compared all the manuscripts of the text to which I had access, I argue that the manuscript Istanbul, Topkapı, A 2572 (dated 880/1475) (\pm) that Svetlana Dolgusheva (Berlin) has chosen as "Leithandschrift" for her critical edition of *Shudhūr al-dhahab* also offers the best text of this poem. Therefore, the edited text below offers a "Leithandschriftenedition", based on the Istanbul text, yet with all variants of the extant manuscripts in the apparatus. However, I have normalised the orthography according to modern usage, i.e. mainly inserted *hamza* and normalised the writing of *alif mamdūda*, without signalling it in the apparatus. I have not usually indicated different consonant pointings of letters (especially $y\bar{a}$ ' and $t\bar{a}$ ').

⁴¹ Tavşanlı, Zeytinoğlu İlçe Halk Kütüphanesi, 631, fol. 59v–60r.

⁴² Gotha, Forschungsbibliothek, Ms. orient. A 1289, fol. 60r.

⁴³ Alvarez 1998: 564.

3.1.1 Text and translation

خُذْ إِلَيْكَ العِلْمَ يَا رَجُلُ فَسَيَأْتي بَعْدَهُ العَمَلُ	maţla'	Learn the science, O man, And the work will follow.
لَيْسَ في البَيْضِ الَّذِي ذَكَرُوا لا وَلا في سِرِّ هِمْ شَعَرُ أَعْجَبُ الأُمْنِيَاءِ مَا سَنَرُوا إِنْ يَكُنْ في حَقِّنَا خَطَلُ فَهُمُ وَاللهِ مَا جَهَلُوا	1.	Neither in the egg, that they mention, Nor in their secret, is understanding. The most wonderful thing is what they hide. If there is idle talk about us, They are, by God, not ignorant.
مُدَّع صِبْعًا مِن الذَّهَبِ أَشْبَهُ الأَشْنِيَاءِ بِالكَذِبِ في الهَوَى صِبْغِي وَفي السُّحُبِ فَلَكْ يَجْرِي بِهِ زُحَلُ فِيهِ أَخْفَتْ عِلْمَهَا الأُوَلُ	2.	If someone claims a golden dye, This is most similar to a lie. In the air is my dye and in the clouds A sphere on which Saturn roams. There, the ancients have hidden their knowledge.
شَاهِدٌ مِنْ قَوْلِ ذِي النُّوْنِ مَاحِبُ الكِبْرِيتِ في هُوْنِ خَسِرَ الدُّنْيَا مَعَ الدِّينِ وَكَذَا الزَرْنِيخُ يَا رَجُلُ لَيْسَ في المِيزَانِ يَعْتَدِلُ	3.	There is evidence in the word of Dhū l-Nūn: The lord of sulphur is in disgrace, He has lost the world together with religion. In the same way, O man, arsenic Is not balanced in its weights.
كُلُّهَا بِالنَّارِ تَحْتَرِقُ وَلِذَا تَدْبِيرُ هَا حُمْقُ قَدْ نَصَحْنًا مَنْ لَهُ رَمَقُ قُلْ لِمَنْ يَحْفَى وِيَنْتَعِلُ لَيْسَ فِيهَا كُلِّهَا عَمَلُ	4.	All of them are burnt by fire. Therefore, their preparation is nonsense. We have counselled the one who is still alive. Tell the barefoot and the shod: "In none of them all is a work."
أَيُّهَا المَسْعُوفُ بالعَذِرَهُ عَدِّ عَنْهَا إِنَّهَا قَذِرَهُ إِنَّمَا الأَسْرَارُ في الشَّجَرَهُ الَّتِي أَغْصَانُهَا مُتُّلُ وَلَنَا في حَلِّهَا حِيَلُ	5.	O infatuated lover of a virgin! Leave her, for she is dirty. The secrets are only in the tree, Whose branches are similes, And we have tricks to unravel them.
حَجَرٌ في جَوفِهِ حَجَرُ مِنْ نَبَاتِ القَوْمِ يُعْتَصَرُ قَدْ نَصَحْنَا مَنْ لَهُ نَظَرُ كَمْ أُنَادِيْهِ وَيَشْتَغِلُ حَسْبُهُ لَوْ كَانَ يَمْتَثِلُ	6.	A stone with a stone inside it Is squeezed from the plants of the people ⁴⁴ . We have counselled the one who has insight. How often do I call him while he is busy. It would suffice for him to follow the example!

⁴⁴ I.e. the alchemists cf. Ibn Arfa' Ra's (forthcoming), Hall, ed. Müller: glossary, s. v. qawm.

زيْبَقٌ بِالنَّارِ أَعْصِرُهُ وَبِهَا أَيْضًا أَحْمِرُهُ وَبِهَا حَقًّا أُصَبِّرُهُ حَجَرًا إِكْسِيرُهُ عَلَلُ لِلَّذِي في جَوفِهِ عِلَلُ	7.	Mercury that I squeeze with fire, With which I also make it red And truly turn it into A stone whose elixir is a second draught For the one who is sick inside.
وَالَّذِي بِالمَاءِ أَهْلِكُهُ حَجَرٌ بِالدُّهْنِ أَسْبُكُهُ وَبِهِ يَحْيَا وَأَمْلِكُهُ وَبِهِ وَاللهِ يَنْغَسِلُ إِنْ عَرَاهُ الصَّبْرُ وَالمَهَلُ	8.	And the one I destroy with water. A stone that I melt with oil, By which it lives, while I possess it. And by this, by God, it is washed, If patience and leisure grip it.
دُهْنُهُ بِالمَاءِ يَخْتَلِطُ لَيْسَ فِيمَا قُلْتُهُ غَلَطُ كُلُ شَيءٍ دُونَهُ سَقَطُ يَا وَلِيًّا حُسْنُهُ جَلَلُ وَبِهِ قَدْ يُضْرَبُ المَثَلُ	9.	Its oil is mixed with water. There is no mistake in what I have said. Everything else is null and void. O friend, its beauty is important, There is an example in it.
حَجَرٌ بِالطُّورِ مَرْكَزُهُ جَوْهَرٌ مَا عِشْتُ أَرْمُزُهُ وَبِكَهْفِ القَلْبِ أَكْنِزُهُ لَيْسَ بِالنِيرَانِ يَشْتَعِلُ عَنْهُ كُلُّ الخَلْقِ قَدْ غَفَلُوا	10.	A stone whose centre is in the mountain [Sinai], A substance that I will talk about in symbols all my life, And that I will treasure in the inner of the heart. It does not ignite from the fires, And all mankind ignores it.

3.1.2 Critical apparatus

```
3 ، 2 صاحب: صاحق ب ح، صاحن ث ج ر ع، طالب ذ | هون: الهون ب ث ج ح ر ع م
                                                                                3 ، 4-5 سقط من ش إلا كلمة "الزرنيخ"
                                                                      3 ، 4 : ليس ان الزرنيخ باول ق | الزرنيخ: زرنيخ ه
                                                                     3 ، 5 سقط من ق | الميز ان، النير ان د | يعتدل: تعتدل أ
                                                              4 ، 1 كلها: لهاض، كلما ش ق | بالنار: في النار ب ج ح د ع
                                               4 ، 2 ولذا: وكذا أث ج د ذش ص ض ف ق م ن ه و ى | تدبير ها: تدبير هم س
                4 ، 4 قل لمن: ط (في الهامش)، قال من ط (في أصل النص) ب ث ج ح د ذ ر ص ض ع ف م ن ه | ينتعل: ينتقل ه
5 ، 1 المشعوف: ط (في الهامش)، المتعوب ط (في أصل النص) أ (في الهامش) ض ف م، المشغوف أ (في أصل النص) ب ت ح ذ ر ص ع ه
                                                                     ى، المشغول ث، المعرور د، المعشوق ق ، المشقوق و
                                                                                2 ، 5 عد: قد عد ج، حد د | إنها: فإنها تُ
                                                        5 ، 3 الاسرار: الاعمال دم | الشجره: شجره ه (وهذه نهاية نسخة ت)
5 ، 4 التي: الذي ن | أغصانها: في غصنها ض م | مثل: ط (في الهامش) ر (في الهامش)، ميل ط (في أصل النص) أ ب ث ج حد ذر (في أصل
                                                                                 النص) س ش ص ض ع ف ق م ه و ى
5 ، 5 في: من أ (في أصل النص، وبين السطرين "في") س ش ق و إ حلها: حلنا ن إ حلها حيل: ط (في أصل النص) و في الهامش: حلها من
                                                                                     حيل ، جليها حلل س | حيل: حول ه
                                                                                      6 ، 2: يرد 6 ، 2 بعد 6 ، 3 في ي
بعد 6 ، 5 في ث ج ع ي : حجر في جوفه يفق (يقق ث ج ع) / وأخيه (وأخوه ج) أبيض شرق (سرق ج) / طبق من فوقه طبق / (حيو ج) مثل
                                             (سل ع) قشر البيض في المثل / قد يسمى عندهم زحل (في يمنى عنه هم زحل: ى)
                                                                                                   7 ، 1-3 سقط من ذ
                                                         7 ، 1 زيبق: كلمة غير واضحة في ش | زيبق بالنار: برفق النار ق |
7 ، 2 وبها: وبه ع | وبها أيضا: كلمتان غير واضحتان في ش | أيضا: الضياق، حقام | أحمره: أحمره أحمره ث، أخمره س، أصيره م
                                                                           7 ، 3 حقا: أيضا د، أبيض م | أصيره: أحمره م
                                       7 ، 4 حجرا: حجر ج ذع م (وفي ط في الهامش بالنسبة إلى حجرا: كذا في جميع النسخ)
                            7 ، 5 في أ في الهامش (و هناك كلمة "حجر " محذوفة قبل كلمة "علل")، سقط من و | للذي: لا الذي س
                                                                                                 8 ، 1-5 سقط من ث
                                                                      8 ، 1 سقط من ي | والذي: هو الذي رض، الذي س
                                                                                           8 ، 2 حجر: حجرا ض ف ،
                                                                                       بعد 8 ، 2: جو هر بالماء أهلكه ي
                                                                                        8 ، 3 به: بها ي | يحيا: أحى س
8 ، 4 والله ينغسل: ينغسل والله ق إ والله: في ش في الهامش إ ينغسل: ينغسل ر (في الهامش)، ينغعل ر (في أصل النص) ص (في الهامش)،
                                                                                           يتصل ص (في أصل النص)
                                                                      8 ، 5 إن عراه: اعراه د | عراه: عواه ح ع، عراكم ذ
                                                                   9 ، 1 دهنه: دهنة ض | يختلط: تختلط ض ق، مختلطه د
                                                                                       9 ، 2 فيما: فيها أ (في المهامش) و
                                                                            9 ، 3 سقط: فعل ق، كلمة غير واضحة في ش
9 ، 4 شيئا حسنه جلل أ (في الهامش) | يا وليا: ط (في الهامش)، يا ولي أب ج ح ذ ر ش ص ض ع ه و، يا وليي ق، فابغ شيئا ط (في أصل
                             النص) دس ف ل، حال لي من ث، حالي من ى | حسنه: حسبه ض، جنسه س | جلل: حلل ر ف ه و
                                                                                              1، 10 حجر: حجرا دق
                                                                              10 ، 2 عشت: شئت د س | أرمزه. استره د
                                                                                           10 ، 3 أكنزه: اركزه ث ى
                                                                                10 ، 4 بالنيران: بالنارع، في النيران س
                                                                        10 ، 5 الخلق: الناس س | غفلوا: غفل ث ج د ن ه
```

3.1.3 Literary analysis

Formally, the poem's rhyme scheme is AA (*maţla*') BBB (*aghṣān*) AA (*simţ*) CCC AA DDD, etc. This means that this is a *muwashshaḥ tāmm*, a complete *muwashshaḥ*, having a *maţla*', an anacrusis, in the same rhyme as the refrain, the so-called *simţ*.⁴⁵ The last *simţ*, called *kharja*, is in classical Arabic, rather than in a dialect or a romance language as is the norm for *muwashshaḥāt*.⁴⁶ The *muwashshaḥ* is in the metre *madīd* (*fā* '*ilātun fā* '*ilaītun*). This might be somewhat unexpected, yet it is not unusual for a *muwashshaḥ* to use a classical Arabic metre, although scholarship focuses much more on those strophic poems which do not and might therefore offer a link to romance language poetry.⁴⁷ However, even when classical metres are used, changes in the metre are more common than the persistent use of a single metre that is observable here. That the poem is long – it consists of 10 stanzas, while traditional *muwashshaḥāt* usually are limited to five⁴⁸ – is another indication that this poem is, although a representative of the genre *muwashshaḥ*, certainly not a typical one.

The poem is, except for its form, quite similar to the poems in *Shudhūr al-dhahab*: It offers an elegant play with central literary topoi of alchemy, such as knowing, hiding, and the ignorant masses. The author alludes to the planets (v. 2, 4), he uses some of his most beloved motives, such as the tree (v. 5, 3) and the mountain (v. 10, 1), and he even gives some technical points, although well hidden, such as the treatment of mercury (v. 7, 1).

Already central in the *maţla*' is the interplay of knowledge ('*ilm*) and the (alchemical) work ('*amal*), easily presented as paronomasia (*tajnīs*): whether or not knowledge should be spread remains problematic. Although in the first nine stanzas, the lyrical voice seems to distance himself from the hiding and secret keeping of his predecessors (v. 1, 1), the false alchemists who speak in code (v. 1, 1) – stressing that their knowledge (or rather ignorance, see v. 1, 2) does not lead to the work, while he himself counsels the adept (vv. 4, 3; 6, 3) – he admits, in the last stanza, that he too uses a code language and hides (the knowledge of) a substance in his own heart (vv. 10, 2–3). The truth of the lyrical voice contrasts with the lies of the others (vv. 1, 4; 2, 2), but is supported by the authority of the famous Egyptian Ṣūfī Dhū l-Nūn (d. 246/861) whom alchemists held in high esteem and considered the

⁴⁵ On rhyme schemes and terminology see Schoeler 1992: 809-810; Alvarez 1998: 564.

⁴⁶ Schoeler 1992: 810.

⁴⁷ On the scholarly debate about *muwashshaḥ* prosody see the summary in Schoeler 1992: 810–811.

⁴⁸ Schoeler 1992: 809; Alvarez 1998: 564; Schippers 2011.

author of several treatises on the divine art (v. 3, 1).⁴⁹ Dhū l-Nūn is adduced to stress that neither sulphur nor arsenic can be used as basic components of the elixir (vv. 3, 2–5): the speaker here sets himself apart from the more commonly applied mercury-sulphur-theory, prominent already in the Corpus Jābirianum.⁵⁰ In fact, this might be an indication of the authorship of the poem, as Ibn Arfa' Ra's utters his doubts on Jābir in his commentary on *Shudhūr al-dhahab*.⁵¹

As the lyrical voice swears by God (v. 8, 4) and stresses that the stone has its centre at "the mountain" (v. 10, 1), most probably Sinai,⁵² we may assume that alchemy and religion are not seen as separate entities, but rather as narratives that complement each other. Likewise, the simile, present in varied grammatical forms, i.e. as singular *mathal* (v. 9, 5), plural *muthul* (v. 5, 4) and in the verb *imtathala* (v. 6, 5), evoques a qur'ānic language, as the simile is a common element of the qur'ānic revelation.⁵³

The text becomes surprisingly technical when discussing the processes necessary for the Work: instead of sulphur (v. 3, 2), the *muwashshaḥ* focuses on pure mercury (v. 7, 1), which is transformed by the application of fire (v. 7, 1), water (v. 8, 2), and oil (v. 8, 3) to the "real" philosophers' stone, the only matter that matters (v. 9, 3).

While a traditional *muwashsha*h – like a *qaşīda* – is tripartite,⁵⁴ no such structure can be identified in this poem. Only a very few of the typical features of *muwashsha*hāt identified by Schippers (the use of imperatives and addresses to the public, descriptions, enumerations, repetitions of keywords, direct speech)⁵⁵ can be discerned here. The most striking feature is the address with which the poem begins in the *maţla*^c ("O man", *maţla*^c 1), and which is repeated in the third stanza (v. 3, 4). This address is varied at the beginning of stanza 5, where the addressed is called an "infatuated lover" (v. 5, 1), perhaps a reference to the fact that *muwashsha*hāt traditionally are love poems. Finally, in the penultimate stanza, the "you" becomes a "friend" (*wal*ī, v. 9, 3): having distanced himself from the "virgin", i.e. the "spirit", which cannot serve as the basis for the alchemical work, the address becomes more intimate. It is as though the reader is appealed to under the assumption that now, being friends with the author, he must truly believe in the author's way of doing

⁴⁹ See Ullmann 1972: 196–197.

⁵⁰ On the theory see for example Ullmann 1972: 260–261; Artun 2013: 75–79.

⁵¹ Cf. Forster/Müller 2020b: 401–402.

⁵² The mountain is very prominent in *Shudhūr* see poems on $kh\bar{a}$ ', v. 1; on $t\bar{a}$ ', v. 2; on $f\bar{a}$ ', v. 38 [Ghazzālī v. 37]; on $q\bar{a}f$, v. 34 [Ghazzālī v. 31]; on $k\bar{a}f$, v. 41 [Ghazzālī v. 40] (Ibn Arfa' Ra's, ed. Ghazzālī 2018: 134, 188, 218, 229, 245).

⁵³ Zahniser 2004.

⁵⁴ Rosen 2000: 170.

⁵⁵ Schippers 2011.

alchemy. Also typical for the genre is the frequent repetition of keywords, not only the aforementioned simile (*mathal*) and the knowledge (*'ilm*), but also most prominently the "stone" (*ḥajar*) (vv. 6, 1; 7, 4; 10, 1).

This poem – just like those of *Shudhūr al-dhahab* – might be easily learned by heart, yet it is not a piece of didactic poetry. Although even classical Arabic didactic poems in *rajaz* often present their contents in a very condensed form and are therefore difficult to understand, they usually offer the most central elements of the science they present in an orderly form. In comparison, Ibn Arfa' Ra's's poems offer important information on alchemy in a far less structured form, making its contents difficult to grasp. His poetry serves as an aide-memoire yet is only partly didactic as it only teaches the one who already knows: this is not a piece written for students, but for colleagues.

3.2 A second alchemical *muwashshaḥ* attributed to Ibn Arfa' Ra's

In one of the Istanbul manuscripts of *Shudhūr*, another alchemical *muwashshaḥ* follows after *Muwashshaḥ* ṣan'awī, without attribution or any indication of an author.⁵⁶ The same combination of *Shudhūr* and *Muwashshaḥ* ṣan'awī with this second *muwashshaḥ* poem is found in the somewhat younger Madrid manuscript,⁵⁷ while the Paris manuscript contains two *muwashshaḥ* poems, but not *Shudhūr*;⁵⁸ a manuscript from the Azhar Library offers only the beginning of this second *muwashshaḥ* after *Shudhūr*, but does not contain *Muwashshaḥ* ṣan'awī.⁵⁹ In the manuscripts from Madrid, Paris and Cairo, this second poem is explicitly attributed to Ibn Arfa' Ra's.⁶⁰

In the following, I give the complete text of the poem based on the Istanbul manuscript [ض] as it is the oldest of the four. All non-orthographic variants of Madrid [ف], Paris [م] and Cairo [ح] are indicated in the apparatus.

⁵⁶ MS Istanbul, Topkapı, A 1718, fol. 53r–53v (dated 853/1449–1450).

⁵⁷ MS Madrid, Escorial, 530 (= Casiri 527), fol. 296r-296v (dated 991/1583).

⁵⁸ MS Paris, BNF, 2623, fol. 17v (dated 1087/1676).

⁵⁹ MS Cairo, al-Maktaba al-Azhariyya, 5926, fol. 65r. The manuscript looks modern, but bears no date or indication thereof.

⁶⁰ MS Madrid, Escorial, 530, fol. 296r: *wa-lahū ayḍan* ("and also by him"); MS Paris, BNF, 2623, fol. 17v: *wa-li-ṣāḥib al-Shudhūr ayḍan* ("and also by the author of 'The Splinters"); MS Cairo, al-Maktaba al-Azhariyya, 5926, fol. 65r: *wa-lahū takhmīs* ("and a poem in pentastichs by him").

3.2.1 Text and translation

أَيُّهَا الْمَشْغُوفُ بِالطَّلَبِ كَمْ تَرَى في الدَهْرِ ذَا نَصَبِ كُلَّمَا تَرْجُوهُ في الدَّهَبِ وَلَعَمْرِ اللهِ في السَّحَرِ صَنْعَةٌ مَا أَنْ لَهَا مَتَلٌ	1.	O infatuated lover of the search! How often do you see someone unfortunate in affliction, Although you wish him in gold. By the everlasting existence of God! In the dawn Is an art to which nothing is alike.
قَسَمٌ بِالوَاحِدِ الصَّمَدِ لَنْ تَنَالُ السِّرَّ مِنْ أَحَدِ عَيْرَ أَنْ تُعْطِى يَدًا بِيَدِ فَٱسْنَمِعْ وَصْفًا مِنَ الحَجَرِ إِنْ تَكُنْ لِلقَولِ تَمْتَثِلُ	2.	An oath by the One, the Eternal! You will not obtain the secret from one Unless you submit your hand to his. So listen closely to a description of the stone If you subject yourself to the speech.
حَجَرٌ فِي لَونِهِ يَقَقُ وَأَخُوهُ أَحْمَرُ شَرَقُ وَعَلَى هٰذَا وَذَا طَبَقُ مِثْلَ قِشْرِ البَيْضِ فِي الخَبَرِ قَدْ تَسَمَّى بَيْنَهُمْ رَجُلُ	3.	A stone, very white in its colour, And its brother, red and bright, On both of them is a cover, Like an eggshell, it is said. Between themselves, they call it a man.
كَلَّسَ الأَجْسَادَ يَجْمُدُهَا فَإِذَا مَا أَبْيَضَ أَسُوَدَهَا وَبَدَا لِلعَينِ فَرْقَدُهَا أَيْ وَرَبِّ البَيْتِ ذِي السَّتَرِ قُلُثُ مَا قَدُ قَالَهُ الأُوَلُ	4.	He calcified the bodies and made them solid, Thus, whenever he whitens the black one white And whenever their guiding star appears to the eye, That is, by the Lord of the veiled house, That I have said what the ancients said.
وَفَنَّى قَدْ خَالَفَ الْشُّهَدَا لَمْ يَرَلْ يَطْلُبُهُ مُجْتَهِدَا قَالَ فِي التَّوْشِيحِ حِينَ بَدَا عَدِّ عِنْ بَيْضٍ وَعَنْ شَعَرٍ لَيْسَ فِي هٰذًا وَذَا عَمَلُ	5.	A young man, who has just contradicted the witnesses And still continues hard in his search for it, Said in <i>muwashshaḥ</i> , as it appeared: Leave eggs and hair, In neither of them is the work.

3.2.2 Critical apparatus

3.2.3 Literary analysis

Again, the metre is *madīd* throughout the poem. However, as it only consists of five stanzas, it conforms more strongly to the *muwashshaḥ* genre, especially if we were to accept the *mațla* ' given in the manuscript from Madrid as authentic. This, however, is somewhat problematic given that its rhyme is not consistent with the refrain of the poem. Stanza 3 has been taken as part of *Muwashshaḥ ṣan* '*awī* by several scribes who inserted it into that poem after stanza 6.⁶¹ Indeed, the similarities of the two poems are striking, so that this second poem should be considered an imitation (*muʿāraḍa*), the writing of which was a typical element of "*muwashshaḥ* culture".⁶² As a *muʿāraḍa*, it should not be attributed to Ibn Arfaʿ Raʾs as it is unlikely that he wrote a *muʿāraḍa* to his own poem. The "he" in v. 5, 3 would then be Ibn Arfaʿ Raʾs, the reference being to *Muwashshaḥ ṣanʿawī*'s first stanza (vv. 1, 1–2), where Ibn Arfaʿ Raʾs indeed mentions the "egg", and somehow also the "hair" (*shaʿar* meaning both, "understanding", as translated in *Muwashshaḥ ṣanʿawī*, and "hair" as translated here).

The mu ʿaraḍa character is already obvious in v. 1, 1, which is an answer to Muwashshah, san ʿawī's v. 5, 1. However, the mu ʿāraḍa addresses a lover – of the alchemical search – instead of the "virgin" (i.e. the "spirit") of Muwashshah san ʿawī. The motif of the search is taken up again in v. 5, 2 as a continuing process, while in Muwashshah, san ʿawī, the stress is on the accomplishment of the work. In between, the poem clearly focuses on the preparation and description of the stone, not unlike Muwashshah, san ʿawī in stanzas 6–10. While Muwashshah, san ʿawī stresses the themes of hiding and secrecy, these are only alluded to here (v. 2, 2). The trustworthiness of the first-person speaker here is emphasised by the double oath in vv. 1, 4 and 4, 4, contrasting with the single oath of Muwashshah, san ʿawī (v. 1, 5). Similarly, the "man" of Muwashshah, san ʿawī (vv. 1, 1 and 3, 4),

⁶¹ See above, 3.1.2: the stanza is found in the following three eighteenth century and modern looking manuscripts: Cairo, Dār al-kutub, Ṭabīʿiyyāt Taymūr 71, p. 95; Cairo, Dār al-kutub, 129, no pagination; Leiden, Universiteitsbibliotheek, Or. 2840, fol. 45v (in the margin); Tunis, National Library, 3252, fol. 165r.

⁶² Rosen 2000: 172.

referenced or perhaps cited here in v. 3, 5, has become "a young man", *fatā*, by the last stanza. This perhaps combines the alchemical idea of the "young man" as an active principle with that of the original author Ibn Arfa^c Ra's, who is clearly meant by the "he" of v. 3, 3. This fits the genre, as according to its rules,⁶³ the *kharja* should be introduced by a verb of speaking or singing in the preceding verse (here v. 5, 3),⁶⁴ while the *kharja* itself should consist of a quotation of an older song (or poem), here *Muwashshaḥ ṣanʿawī*.

3.3 The muwashshah cited by al-Jildakī

Despite the remark in the Gotha manuscript alleging that al-Jildakī did not mention Ibn Arfa' Ra's as an author of strophic poetry,⁶⁵ al-Jildakī indeed cites some verses from a *mukhammas* poem he attributes to $s\bar{a}hib$ *al-Shudhūr* ("the author of 'The Splinters'") in his *al-Mişbāh* $f\bar{i}$ '*ilm al-miftāḥ* ("The lamp on the science of the key").⁶⁶ However, these verses fit neither into the famous long *Muwashshaḥ san'awī* nor in the second, probably not authentic *muwashshaḥ* just discussed. It is therefore a third *muwashshaḥ* poem attributed to Ibn Arfa' Ra's.

Al-Jildakī also makes clear that these verses are not part of *Shudhūr*, as he introduces them as *mukhammas lahū fī ghayri dīwānihī* ("a stanzaic poem in pentastichs by him that is not part of his $d\bar{w}an$ ").⁶⁷

As the text cited starts with an address, I would argue that this is indeed the first verse of the poem, as addresses are typical for the beginning of *muwashshaḥāt*.⁶⁸ If this were the case, it must have been a *muwashshaḥ tāmm* for reasons of rhyme and stanzaic form. In what follows, I present all the verses al-Jildakī cites according to the Leiden manuscript, while the stanza numbers are purely fictional since al-Jildakī could have cited verses from any part of the poem.

⁶³ Schoeler 1992: 810.

⁶⁴ See Hartmann 1897: 100–101.

⁶⁵ See above Section 3.1.

⁶⁶ I cite *al-Miṣbāḥ* from MS Leiden, Universiteitsbibliotheek, Or. 1274, fol. 33v–35r. This manuscript, as so many others, only contains the first part of *al-Miṣbāḥ*. I am grateful to Juliane Müller (Tübingen) for pointing me to this passage.

⁶⁷ MS Leiden, Universiteitsbibliotheek, Or. 1274, fol. 33v.

⁶⁸ Rosen 2000: 170.

3.3.1 Text and translation

يَا طَالِبًا عِلْمَ الأَخْيَارِ بِالِاخْتِيَارِ اِسْمَعْ هُدِيتَ فَفِي الْأُمْلَاحِ سِرُّ الْفَلاحِ	maţlaʿ	O searcher of the science of the best of folk! Listen, you were guided, for in the salts is the secret of success.
إسْمَعْ مَقَالَ ذِي الأَرَاءِ لا تَزْدَرِيهِ وَزَوِّجِ الفِيلَ بِالعَنْقَاءِ عَلَى البَدِيهِ وَصَبَرٍ النَارَ وَسْطَ الماءِ وأَعْمُرْهُ فِيهِ زَوِّجْ نُحَاسَكَ بِالأَبارِ وَالزَوْقَدَارِ يَبْدُو سَنَاهُ فِي الاقْدَاحِ كالصَّبْحِ لاحِ	1.	Listen to the speech of someone rational whom you should not despise! Marry quickly the elephant to the bird 'anqā' And put the fire in the middle of the water and cover it in it. Marry your copper with lead and with what contains mercury. ³ Its sparkle appears in the glasses like the morning breaking.
المِلْحُ سِرُّ الأَسْرَارِ عِنْدَ الحَكِيمِ هُوَ المُقَتِدُ لِلفَّرَارِ وَسْطَ الجَحِيمِ مُتَبَتًا رُوحًا قَدْ جَارَ عَلَى الألِيمِ يَسْطُو بِهِمْ سَطْوَةِ جَبَّارٍ فِي وَسُطِ نَارٍ يَفْحُلُ فِعَالَ ذِي الأَرْمَاحِ بِلَا سِلَاح	2.	Salt is the greatest secret of the sage, It binds that which flees in the middle of the fire And solidifies a spirit that oppresses the sad. It attacks them like a giant in the middle of fire, Like a spear-bearer does, but without weapons.

3.3.2 Critical apparatus

1 ، 2 وزوج: وازوج (في لأصل) 1 ، 4 زوج: ازوج (في لأصل)

3.3.3 Literary analysis

The poem does not fit the classical Arabic prosodical system, which is not unexpected for a *muwashsha*. It seems to be closest to some sort of *basī*.⁷⁰ The rhyme scheme is AB CCC AB DDD AB.

The poem contains elements already present in *Muwashshaḥ ṣanʿawī*, especially in the discussion of speech as a possibility for the instruction of alchemy: While *Muwashshaḥ ṣanʿawī* warns of lies and false assertions (vv. 1, 1 and 2, 1–2), here the

⁶⁹ Zawq is a synonym of *zi'baq*, "mercury", cf. Dozy 1927 [1881]: vol. 1, 614. To which the Persian suffix *-dār* ("containing") seems to have been added. In his *Miṣbāḥ* (MS Leiden, Universiteitsbibliotheek, Or. 1274, fol. 35r), al-Jildakī explains *zawqadār* as "pure white lead" (*alisfidāj al-țāhir*).

⁷⁰ See on the prosody of *muwashshahāt* above n. 45.

addressed person, the adept of the "best science" (matla 1), is urged to listen to the lyrical voice and act upon his instructions. While Muwashshah san'awī begins with an enumeration of things that will not lead to success (stanzas 1-4), here the student seems already a step advanced, having been guided to the salts as source of success (matla' 2 and again v. 2, 1). Unlike in Muwashshah san'awī, the processes described are not centred on the "stone", which is not even mentioned. The fusion process described as "marrying" in v. 1, 2 is interesting as it refers to substances using "Decknamen" only partially known from other works. Although the elephant appears both in Shudhūr al-dhahab⁷¹ and in Hall mushkilāt,⁷² it is not used as a "Deckname" there, but in a comparison to indicate something particularly heavy (in comparison with a *ghūl* in *Hall* and in comparison with a *dāniq*, a sixth of a *dirham*, in Shudhūr). 'Angā', on the other hand, the mystical bird, is rather often connected with alchemy⁷³ and may, according to Siggel, be used as a "Deckname" for mercury, copper, or sulphur.⁷⁴ Given this width of possible identifications, the exact sense of the verse remains unclear, but the text, like Muwashshah san'awi, is clearly intended to be read as a technical instruction and not merely as mystical rendering of psychological processes. That "salt" (milh) is called the "greatest secret of the sage" (v. 2, 1) is guite striking, as the common opinion is that only Paracelsus introduced salt into his alchemical system as a central principle.⁷⁵ Ibn Arfa' Ra's, in this poem, is quite clearly not to be seen as part of "the tradition of the nearly incomprehensible allegorical-mystical poetry", as Ullmann states, ⁷⁶ but has, again, a very clear idea of alchemical procedures.

4 Historical context

From the above we can deduce that Ibn Arfa[°] Ra's probably authored at least one alchemical *muwashshaḥ*, *Muwashshaḥ ṣanʿawī*, and perhaps others as well. The *Muwashshaḥ ṣanʿawī* was at any rate "popular" enough to have become the base text for a *muʿāraḍa* by a later author. Al-Jildakī only cites parts of a poem, which, however, could well be by Ibn Arfa[°] Ra's. Yet while Ibn Arfa[°] Ra's is famous for his

⁷¹ Poem on *hā*', v. 38 (Ibn Arfa' Ra's, ed. Ghazzālī 2018: 280).

⁷² MS London, British Library, Or 11592, fol. 51v (cf. Ibn Arfa' Ra's (forthcoming), *Hall*, ed. Müller). **73** Al-Jildakī complains that there are people who think that alchemy is just as imaginary as Kalīla, Dimna or '*anqā*', cf. al-Jildakī, *al-Durr al-manthūr*, MS Istanbul, Topkapı, A 2111, fol. 71r. For more passages connecting '*anqā*' and alchemy see Ullmann 1979: 89. – I wish to thank Guy Ron Gilboa (Berlin/Jerusalem) for generously sharing his '*anqā*' material with me.

⁷⁴ Siggel 1951: 46.

⁷⁵ Priesner 1998: 320–321.

⁷⁶ Ullmann 1972: 231 ("die Tradition der fast unverständlichen, allegorisch-mystischen Poesie").

alchemical $d\bar{w}an$, his alchemical *muwashshaḥ*($\bar{a}t$) are almost completely forgotten, although their content and literary style is quite similar to that of his *Shudhūr*.

I argue here that this relative negligence is due less to Ibn Arfa[°] Ra[°]s being an Almohad-Moroccan author and more to his emigration to the east, namely Egypt. As Juliane Müller and I argue elsewhere, his works offer several hints that he indeed left the Islamicate west at some point of his life. Already in *Shudhūr*, Ibn Arfa[°] Ra[°]s tells of long-distance travels:⁷⁷

Even before you I have suffered because of it [i.e. the alchemical knowledge] And have crossed the length and breadth of the Earth.

This might well be a literary topos for the search for knowledge, to which Ibn Arfa' Ra's might also be referring, when he mentions at the end of his selfcommentary that he has travelled "east and west" (*al-mashāriq wa-l-maghārib*).⁷⁸ However, in one of the manuscripts of this self-commentary, there is a remark that the author dictated the text to his pupil while in Alexandria.⁷⁹ And in the unique manuscript of the treatise $F\bar{i} tark\bar{i}b al-iks\bar{i}r$, Ibn Arfa' Ra's says that he tried out a certain procedure while in Alexandria: $fa'altu h\bar{a}dh\bar{a}$ wa-anā $f\bar{i}$ *l-Iskandariyya* ("I did this while I was in Alexandria").⁸⁰ Finally, a relatively early, yet anonymous commentary of *Shudhūr* mentions that Ibn Arfa' Ra's's pupil Abū Ja'far was from Alexandria.⁸¹

In leaving Morocco for Egypt, Ibn Arfa' Ra's would still fit the larger picture of Almohad intellectual history, being one of many Andalusī and Moroccan intellectuals to have left the Almohad realm for the east – as for example Fierro has shown – among them many with occultist or Ṣūfī leanings who were suspected of sympathising with uprisings against the Almohads.⁸² Ibn Arfa' Ra's would, given his intellectual character, as detectable in *Shudhūr* and in *Hall mushkilāt al-Shudhūr*, fit with this group of scholars. If he did (indeed) leave the Islamicate west, this would explain several interesting phenomena.

⁷⁷ Poem on *dād*, v. 3; text established by Svetlana Dolgusheva (Berlin); Ghazzālī reads *taghannaytu* with *ghayn* instead of *'ayn* (cf. Ibn Arfa' Ra's, ed. Ghazzālī 2018: 186).

⁷⁸ MS London, British Library, Or 11592, fol. 77v (cf. Ibn Arfa' Ra's (forthcoming), *Hall*, ed. Müller).
79 MS Bethesda, National Library of Medicine, A65, fol. [7v] (no date indicated, perhaps seventeenth century).

⁸⁰ MS Tehran, Malik, 3119, p. 93. See on these issues in detail Forster/Müller 2020b: 397–400.
81 MS London, British Library, Add 22756, fol. 45r. The year 706/1306, when this manuscript was written, can be considered a terminus ante quem for the composition of this commentary.
82 Cf. Fierro 2010: 85.

Firstly and generally speaking, texts from the Islamicate west encounter difficulties in being accepted in the Mashriq. Exceptions are usually connected with the author himself or a close pupil travelling east.⁸³ The existence of more than 90 manuscripts of *Shudhūr*, still mostly kept in libraries in the Middle East, is more easily understood if Ibn Arfa' Ra's in fact travelled east himself.

Secondly, it could be down to sheer coincidence that no old *Shudhūr* manuscript from the Islamicate west is known: this could be due to them having been read often and therefore worn out, yet, in other cases, such as *Urjūza fī l-tibb* by Ibn Arfa' Ra's's contemporary Ibn Țufayl (d. 581/1185–1186), the only extant manuscript is in Maghribī script.⁸⁴ As the Moroccan sultan Moulay al-Ḥasan I. (r. 1290–1311/1873–1894) had at least one scribe copy *Shudhūr* for him in Cairo,⁸⁵ it seems likely that he could not gain access to a copy of the text in Morocco, so we can guess that it was not very prominent – perhaps because the author had left his homeland.⁸⁶

Thirdly, there is a rich tradition of writing commentaries to *Shudhūr* – by authors from the east: the earliest commentary is by the thirteenth century alchemist Abū l-Qāsim al-Sīmāwī al-'Irāqī, a clear indication that the $d\bar{w}an$ reached the Mashriq some 50 years after the death of its author at the latest – and very likely during his lifetime.

Finally, while *Shudhūr al-dhahab* as a typical $d\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ spread widely, the *muwashshahāt* remained somewhat obscure. As neither their content nor their style explains this fact satisfactorily, I would suggest that it was due to their literary form: strophic poetry is typical for the Islamicate west; in the east, it never gained the same prominence except as a genre for poetry in the local dialects.⁸⁷ If Ibn Arfa⁶ Ra⁵s had left Morocco at some point, he would have come into a society where $d\bar{i}w\bar{a}n$ poetry was honoured, while stanzaic poetry was considered too lowly to be admitted.⁸⁸

⁸³ Fierro 2018. On the problematic anecdote concerning *al-'Iqd al-farīd*'s circulation in the east and the possibility that the work reached the Mashriq only in Ayyūbid times, see now Toral-Niehoff 2018: 86–87.

⁸⁴ See on this text and the manuscript Forster (forthcoming).

⁸⁵ MS Rabat, al-Khizāna al-Ḥasaniyya, 1116 see also the contribution "The alchemist's work" by Christopher Braun and Regula Forster in the present volume.

⁸⁶ Yet *Shudhūr* must have been known in sixteenth century Fez, as Leo Africanus mentions a group of alchemists reading this work (Leo Africanus 2014: 316; cf. Forster/Müller 2020b: esp. 398).
87 Heijkoop/Zwartjes 2004: x. On the eastern *zajal*, see Özkan 2020.

⁸⁸ On the status of muwashshah poetry see Alvarez 1998: 564; Heijkoop/Zwartjes 2004: x.

5 Conclusion

Alchemy promises its adepts richness, as already the first poem of *Shudhūr al-dhahab* indicates:⁸⁹

وَقَارَنَ بِالْبَدْرِ الْمُنِيرِ ذُكَاءَ	إِذَا ثَلَّثَ المَرِّيخَ بِالزُّهْرَةِ آمْرُؤٌ
إِلَى زُحَلٍ كَيْ يَسْتَفِيدَ ضِيَاءَ	وَوَاصَلَ سَعْدَ الْمُشْتَرِي بِعُطَارِدَ
صُخُورًا أَصَارَتْهَا المِيَاهُ هَبَاءَ	وَأَجْمَدَ أَدْهَانًا وَحَلَّ بِحِكْمَةٍ
يَرُحْ وَهُوَ أَغْنَى الْعَالَمِينَ مَسَاءَ	فَذَاكَ الَّذِي إِنْ يُضْبِحِ أَفْقَرَ مُغْتَدٍ

If one triples Mars with Venus,

And unites the sun with the shining full moon And connects the benefic Jupiter with Mercury And makes them join Saturn, so that he may gain brightness, If he makes oils solid and liquefies with wisdom Rocks that the waters have turned into dust, He will be, even if he was very poor in the morning, The richest in the worlds in the evening.

Yet to become rich cannot have been the alchemists' only goal: rather, they were searching for knowledge of God and the world.⁹⁰ But Ibn Arfa' Ra's and his audience also had other concerns, most importantly literary aesthetics. Ibn Arfa' Ra's's manner of speaking about alchemy in the form of classical $d\bar{w}an$ poetry seems to have been attractive to a sizeable audience for several centuries, in an area stretching from Morocco to India. That his *muwashshaḥāt* were less successful by far, might have been due to the same fact: their form was, as stanzaic poetry, not acceptable to many.

Acknowledgments: I am grateful to Christopher Braun, Isabel Garrood, Juliane Müller and the anonymous reviewers for their comments.

Research funding: The research leading to this study has received funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation.

⁸⁹ Text established by Svetlana Dolgusheva (Berlin); the differences to the edition by Ghazzālī for this poem are minimal except for the fact that he adds a verse between lines 3 and 4 (cf. Ibn Arfa' Ra's, ed. Ghazzālī 2018: 93).

⁹⁰ On the goals of alchemists, see Forster 2020.

References

Manuscripts

Baghdad, Dār al-Kutub, Dār al-Makhhtūtāt al-Iraqiyya, 8554. Berlin, Staatsbibliothek Preußischer Kulturbesitz, Orientabteilung, Lbg. 96. Bethesda, National Library of Medicine, A65. Birmingham, Cadbury Research Library, 1369 (949). Cairo, al-Maktaba al-Azhariyya, 5926. Cairo, Dār al-kutub, 70. Cairo, Dār al-kutub, 129. Cairo, Dār al-kutub, 177. Cairo, Dār al-kutub, 763. Cairo, Dār al-kutub, Kīmiyā' wa-ţabī'a 7760. Cairo, Dār al-kutub, Majāmi' Taymūr 288. Cairo, Dār al-kutub, Tabī'iyyāt Taymūr 71. Chinguetti, Maktabat Ahl Habat, 546. Gotha, Forschungsbibliothek, Ms. orient. A 1257. Gotha, Forschungsbibliothek, Ms. orient. A 1288. Gotha, Forschungsbibliothek, Ms. orient. A 1289. Gotha, Forschungsbibliothek, Ms. orient. A 1298. Hyderabad, Oriental Manuscripts Library and Research Center, Kīmiyā' 56. Isfahan, Ganjīna, 1521 (?). Istanbul, Nuruosmaniye, 3634. Istanbul, Süleymaniye, Laleli 2772. Istanbul, Topkapı, A 1718. Istanbul, Topkapı, A 2111. Istanbul, Topkapi, A 2572. Karachi, University Library, 19994. Leiden, Universiteitsbibliotheek, Or. 1274. Leiden, Universiteitsbibliotheek, Or. 2840. London, British Library, Add 22756. London, British Library, Or 11592. Madrid, Escorial, 530 (= Casiri 527). Manchester, John Rylands, 809 (Mingana no 338). Mashhad, Kitābkhāna-yi Āstān-i Quds-i Raḍawī, 10955 ḍ. Mosul, Awqāf Library, 6157 (no 9/14 Ṣā'igh). Oslo, National Library, Ms. fol. 4313. Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, 2623. Princeton, Princeton University Library, Garrett, MS. 1090Y. Qom, al-Ma'had al-'ālī li-l-'ulūm wa-l-thaqāfa al-islāmiyya (formerly Kitābkhāna-yi Markaz-i muțāla'āt wa-taḥqīqāt-i islāmī), no 281/6. Qom, Kitābkhāna-yi 'Umūmī-yi Āyat Allāh Gulpāyigānī, 39/58-7678/1. Rabat, al-Khizāna al-Hasaniyya (Royal Library), 1035/Majmū'. Rabat, al-Khizāna al-Ḥasaniyya (Royal Library), 1109. Rabat, al-Khizāna al-Ḥasaniyya (Royal Library), 1116.

Rabat, al-Khizāna al-Ḥasaniyya (Royal Library), 1520. Rabat, al-Khizāna al-Hasaniyya (Royal Library), 2252. Rabat, al-Khizāna al-Hasaniyya (Royal Library), 5326. Rabat, al-Khizāna al-Ḥasaniyya (Royal Library), 7384. Rabat, National Library, 103d (catalogue no 477). Rabat, National Library, 2468 (1460d). Rabat, National Library, 2469 (1495d). Rome, Vatican Library, Sbath 144. Sine loco [original location of the manuscript unknown] = Dubai, Juma al-Majid Center, 305319. Tavşanlı, Zeytinoğlu İlçe Halk Kütüphanesi, 631. Tehran, Malik, 3119. Tinduf, Zāwiyat Sīdī Bel'amash, 31. Tunis, National Library, 3252. Tunis, National Library, 4558. Tunis, National Library, 4644. Uppsala, Universitetsbiblioteket, 133.

Sources

- al-Baghdādī, Ismāʿīl (1945): *Īdāḥ al-maknūn fī l-dhayl ʿalā kashf al-ẓunūn*. Edited by Şerefettin Yaltkaya and Rifat Bilge. 2 vols. Istanbul: Millî Eğitim Basımevi.
- al-Baghdādī, Ismāʿīl (1951): *Hadiyyat al-ʿārifīn*. Edited by Rifat Bilge and Mahmud Kemal İnal. 2 vols. Istanbul: Millî Eğitim Basımevi.
- Ibn Arfa' Ra's, 'Alī b. Mūsā (2018): *Shudhūr al-dhahab. Dīwān*. Edited by al-Hawwārī Ghazzālī (Lahouari Ghazzali). Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-'ilmiyya.
- Ibn Arfa' Ra's, 'Alī b. Mūsā (forthcoming): *Kitāb Ḥall mushkilāt Shudhūr al-dhahab*. Edited by Juliane Müller.
- Ibn Bishrī al-Agharnāṭī, ʿAlī (1992): *Kitāb ʿUddat al-jalīs wa-muʾānasat al-wazīr wa-l-raʾīs*. Edited by Alan Jones. Cambridge: E. J. W. Gibb Memorial.
- Ibn Khaldūn, 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Muḥammad (1425/2004): *Muqadimmat Ibn Khaldūn*. Edited by 'Abd Allāh Muḥammd al-Darwīsh. 2 vols. Damascus: Dār al-Balkhī.
- al-Kutubī, Muḥammad b. Shākir (1951–1953): *Fawāt al-wafayāt*. Edited by Muḥammad Muḥyī l-Dīn ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd. 2 vols. Cairo: Maktabat al-Nahḍa al-Miṣriyya.
- Leo Africanus (2014): Cosmographia de l'Affrica (Ms. V.E. 953 Biblioteca Nazionale Centrale di Roma 1526). Edited by Gabriele Amadori. Rome: Aracne.
- Lisān al-Dīn Ibn al-Khaţīb, Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh (1967): *Kitāb Jaysh al-tawshī*ḥ. Edited by Muḥammad Māḍūr and Hilāl Nājī. Tunis: Maţba'at al-Manār.
- al-Maqqarī, Aḥmad b. Muḥammad (1855–1861): *Analectes sur l'histoire et la littérature des Arabes d'Espagne*. Edited by R. Dozy et al. 2 vols. Leiden: Brill.
- al-Ṣafadī, Ṣalāh al-Dīn Khalīl b. Aybak (1983): *Kitāb al-Wāfī bi-l-wafayāt*, vol. 22: ʿAlī b. Muḥammad b. Rustam bis ʿUmar b. ʿAbd an-Naṣīr. Edited by Ramzi Baalbaki. Wiesbaden: Steiner.

Secondary literature

- Aḥmad, Sālim ʿAbd al-Razzāq (ed.) (1975–1978): Fihris makhṭūṭāt Maktabat al-Awqāf al-ʿāmma fī *l-Mawşil.* 8 vols. Mosul: Dār al-kutub.
- Aït Salah Semlali, Kacem (2015): *Histoire de l'alchimie et des alchimistes au Maroc*. S. l.: private publication.
- Alvarez, Lourdes (1998): "muwashshaḥ (pl. muwashshaḥāt)". In: *Encyclopedia of Arabic Literature*. Edited by Julie Scott Meisami and Paul Starkey. Vol. 2. London [etc.]: Routledge, 563–566.
- Artun, Tuna (2013): Hearts of gold and silver: The production of alchemical knowledge in the early modern Ottoman world. PhD thesis. Princeton University.
- 'Awwād, Kurkīs (1965): "Fihrist al-makhţūţāt al-'arabiyya fī Khizānat Qāsim Muḥammad al-Rajab bi-Baghdād". *Majallat Majma' al-'llmī al-'Irāqī* 12: 165–191.
- Braun, Christopher / Forster, Regula (2020): "Alchemist und Magier? Ibn Arfa' Ra's (fl. 12. Jh.) im Kontext der arabisch-islamischen Gelehrsamkeit". In: *Der Begriff der Magie in Mittelalter und Früher Neuzeit*. (Episteme in Bewegung. Beiträge zur einer transdisziplinären Wissensgeschichte; 17). Edited by Jutta Eming and Volkhard Wels. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 15–34.
- Coulon, Jean-Charles (2017): La magie en terre d'islam au Moyen Âge. Paris: Éditions du Comité des travaux historiques et scientifiques.
- Dozy, R[einhart] (1927) [1881]: *Supplément aux dictionnaires arabes*. 2 vols. 2nd edition. Leiden/ Paris: Maisonneuve.
- El-Bizri, Nader / Orthmann, Eva (eds.) (2018): *The Occult Sciences in Pre-modern Islamic Cultures*. (Beiruter Texte und Studien; 138). Beirut: Orient-Institut.
- Fierro, Maribel (2010): "The Almohads (524–668/1130–1269) and the Hafsids (627–932/1229– 1526)". In: The Western Islamic World. Eleventh to Eighteenth Centuries. Edited by Maribel Fierro. (The new Cambridge history of Islam; 2). Cambridge etc.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 66–105.
- Fierro, Maribel (2018): "The other edge: the Maghrib in the Mashriq". In: *Studying the Near and Middle East at the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, 1935–2018.* Edited by Sabine Schmidtke. Piscataway: Gorgias Press, 354–358.
- Forster, Regula (2017): *Wissensvermittlung im Gespräch. Eine Studie zu klassisch-arabischen Dialogen*. (Islamic history and civilization; 149). Leiden/Boston: Brill.
- Forster, Regula (2020): "Reaching the goal of alchemy or: What happens when you finally have created the philosophers' stone?". *Studia Humana* 9.1: 40–47.
- Forster, Regula / Müller, Juliane (2020a): "al-Jildakī". In: *Encyclopaedia of Islam. Three*. Edited by Kate Fleet et al. Fasc. 2020–1. Leiden: Brill, 92–93.
- Forster, Regula / Müller, Juliane (2020b): "The Identity, Life, and Works of the Alchemist Ibn Arfa^c Ra's (Identidad, vida y obra del alquimista Ibn Arfa^c Ra's)". *Al-Qanțara* 41.2: 373–408.
- Forster, Regula (forthcoming): "Tradition and Innovation in Medical Didactic Poetry. Ibn Ṭufayl's Urjūza fī l-Ṭibb revisited". In: Conflict and Coexistence. Proceedings of the 29th Congress of the Union Europ'eenne des Arabisants et Islamisants Münster 2018. Leuven: Peeters.
- Günther, Sebastian / Pielow Dorothee (eds.) (2018): *Die Geheimnisse der oberen und der unteren Welt. Magie im Islam zwischen Glaube und Wissenschaft*. (Islamic history and civilization; 158). Leiden/Boston: Brill.

- Hartmann, Martin (1897): *Das arabische Strophengedicht. 1. Das Muwašša*h. (Semitistische Studien; 13/14). Weimar: Emil Felber.
- Heijkoop, Henk / Zwartjes, Otto (2004): Muwaššaḥ, zajal, kharja. Bibliography of strophic poetry and music from al-Andalus and their influence in East and West. Leiden: Brill.
- 'Īsā, Fawzī (2007): *al-Shi'r al-Andalusī fī 'aṣr al-Muwaḥḥidīn*. Alexandria: Dār al-Wafā' li-dunyā alțibā'a wa-l-nashr.
- Kennedy, Philip F. (1998): "Takhmīs". In: *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*. New Edition. Edited by C.E. Bosworth et al. Vol. 10. Leiden: Brill, 123–125.
- Marín, Manuela (1992): "Familias de ulemas en Toledo". In: *Estudios onomástico-biográficos de al-Andalus (Familias Andalusíes) V*. Edited by Manuela Marín and Jesús Zanón. Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 229–271.
- Melvin-Koushki, Matthew S. / Gardiner, Noah (eds.) (2017): *Islamicate Occultism: New Perspectives*. Special double issue of *Arabica*, *Arabica* 64.3–4.
- Monroe, James T. (1974): *Hispano-Arabic poetry*. *A student anthology*. Berkeley: Univ. of California Press.
- Özkan, Hakan (2020): Geschichte des östlichen zağal. Dialektale arabische Strophendichtung aus dem Osten der arabischen Welt – von den Anfängen bis zum Ende der Mamlukenzeit.
- (Arabische Literatur und Rhetorik Elfhundert bis Achtzehnhundert 6.) Baden-Baden: Ergon. Pertsch, Wilhelm (1859–1893): *Die orientalischen Handschriften der Herzoglichen Bibliothek zu Gotha*. 7 vols. Wien: Kais. kön. Hof- und Staatsdruckerei.
- Priesner, Claus (1998): "Salz(e)". In: Alchemie. Lexikon einer hermetischen Wissenschaft. Edited by Claus Priesner and Karin Figala. München: Beck, 319–321.
- Rosen, Tova (2000): "The muwashshah". In: *The literature of Al-Andalus*. Edited by María Rosa Menocal et al. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 165–189.
- Saif, Liana (ed.) (2019): Islamic Esotericism. Special issue of Correspondences, Correspondences 7.1.
- Schippers, Arie (2009): "al-Anṣārī, Abū l-Ḥasan". In: *Encyclopaedia of Islam. Three*. Edited by Gudrun Krämer et al. Fasc. 2009–1. Leiden: Brill, 92–93.
- Schoeler, Gregor (1992): "Muwa<u>shsha</u>ḥ". In: *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*. New Edition. Edited by C.E. Bosworth et al. Vol. 7. Leiden: Brill, 809–812.
- Siggel, Alfred (1951): *Decknamen in der arabischen alchemistischen Literatur*. (Veröffentlichung, Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Institut für Orientforschung; 5). Berlin: Akademie-Verl.
- Todd, Richard (2016): "Alchemical Poetry in Almohad Morocco: The *Shudhūr al-dhahab* of Ibn Arfa[°] Ra's". *Oriens* 44.1–2: 116–144.
- Toral-Niehoff, Isabel (2018): "Writing for the Caliphate: The Unique Necklace by Ibn 'Abd Rabbih". *al-Uşūr al-Wusţā* 26: 80–95.
- Tornberg, Carl Johan (1849): Codices arabici, persici et turcici bibliothecae regiae universitatis Upsaliensis. Uppsala: Universitas Upsaliensis.
- Ullmann, Manfred (1972): *Die Natur- und Geheimwissenschaften im Islam*. (Handbuch der Orientalistik; Abt. 1, Ergänzungsbd. 6, Abschnitt 2). Leiden: Brill.
- Ullmann, Manfred (1979): "al-Kibrīt". In: *Encyclopaedia of Islam*. New Edition. Edited by C.E. Bosworth et al. Vol. 5. Leiden: Brill, 88–90.
- Wehr, Hans (1940): Verzeichnis der arabischen Handschriften in der Bibliothek der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft. Leipzig: Brockhaus.
- Zahniser, A.H. Mathias (2004): "Parable". In: *Encyclopaedia of the Qur'ān*. Edited by Jane Dammen McAuliffe. Vol. 4. Leiden: Brill, 9–11.

Online Resources

http://kohepocu.cchs.csic.es/flipbooks/12/#p=26 (27 April 2021).

Schippers, Arie (2011): "Muwaššah". In: Encyclopedia of Arabic Language and Linguistics. Online Edition. Edited by Lutz Edzard and Rudolf de Jong. Leiden. https://referenceworks. brillonline.com/entries/encyclopedia-of-arabic-language-and-linguistics/muwassah-EALL_SIM_000011?s.num=0&s.f.s2_parent=s.f.book.encyclopedia-of-arabic-languageand-linguistics&s.q=Muwa%C5%A1%C5%A1a%E1%B8%A5 (27 April 2021).