

Antibiotic activity of some endophytic fungi from *Ulex europaeus* und *Ulex gallii*

Autor(en): **Fisher, P.J. / Anson, Avril E. / Petrini, O.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Botanica Helvetica**

Band (Jahr): **96 (1986)**

Heft 1

PDF erstellt am: **21.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-67190>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Antibiotic activity of some endophytic fungi from *Ulex europaeus* and *Ulex gallii*

P. J. Fisher¹, Avril E. Anson¹, and O. Petrini²

¹ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Exeter, Prince of Wales Road, Exeter, EX4 4PS, Devon, UK.

² Mikrobiologisches Institut, ETH-Zentrum, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland.

Manuscript accepted January 9, 1986

Abstract

Fisher, P. J., Anson, A. E. and Petrini, O. 1986. Antibiotic activity of some endophytic fungi from *Ulex europaeus* and *Ulex gallii*. Bot. Helv. 96: 37–41.

Antibiotic activity was detected in 4 out of 25 isolates of endophytic fungi selected from a collection of 330 obtained from *Ulex europaeus* and *U. gallii* which were grown in shake culture in the laboratory.

Introduction

According to Petrini & Carroll (1981) fungal endophytes live within the tissues of higher plants and cause symptomless fungal infections in healthy leaves and twigs. Their significance for the host is still unclear. Such endophytes have now been reported from a diverse assemblage of plants mostly with evergreen leaves (Carroll et al. 1977, Carroll & Carroll 1978, Petrini et al. 1979, Petrini & Carroll 1981, Petrini & Dreyfuss 1981, Petrini et al. 1982, Fisher et al. 1984a).

Fisher et al. (1984b) detected antibiotic activity in 10 out of 24 isolates of endophytic fungi obtained from 5 species of the Ericaceae grown in shake culture in the laboratory. Five of these isolates showed both antifungal and antibacterial activity.

During an ecological study of endophytic fungi from *Ulex europaeus* L. and *U. gallii* Planch., 330 fungal isolates were obtained and assigned to 15 different genera (Fisher et al. 1986). This paper describes an investigation of the ability of these isolates to produce antibiotics in laboratory culture.

Material and Methods

The fungi were isolated from healthy spines and stems collected during August 1984 from bushes of *U. europaeus* and *U. gallii* growing on Aylesbeare Common, near Ottery St. Mary, Devon (Grid Ref. SY054898). Isolations were made from 10 bushes of each species. Three spines and 3 × 2 cm pieces of stem were removed from a median position of each bush. On each bush, one of the spines and one of the pieces of stem was taken from new growth (growth between March and August 1984), one from one-year-old growth and one from two-year-old growth, growth age being determined by the position of the appropriate internodes.

Tab. 1. Fungal endophytes tested and provenance

Fungus	Total number of isolations from twenty bushes (ten bushes per host)	Host	Isolation from spine (SP) or stem (ST), new (N), 1st (1) or 2nd year (2) growth
<i>Ulex europaeus</i>			
<i>Ulex gallii</i>			
Ascomycotina:			
<i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	26	ST1, ST2	ST1, ST2
<i>Gelasinospora reticulispora</i> (Greis) C. & M. Moreau	4	—	ST2
<i>Pleospora herbarum</i> Rabh.	8	SP1, SP2, ST2	SP2
<i>Sporormiella australis</i> (Speg.) Ahmed & Cain	18	ST1, ST2	STN, ST1, ST2
<i>S. intermedia</i> (Auersw.) Ahmed & Cain	3	SP1, SP2	—
<i>S. minima</i> No. 4238 (Auersw.) Ahmed & Cain	25	SP2, ST1, ST2	SPN, SP1, SP2, STN, ST1, ST2
<i>S. minima</i> No. 4239	20	SP1, SP2, ST1	SPN, SP2, ST1, ST2
Deuteromycotina:			
a) Coelomycetes			
<i>Coniothyrium fuckelii</i> Sacc.	5	ST1	ST1
<i>C. olivaceum</i> Bonord.	56	SPN, SP1, SP2, STN, ST1, ST2	SPN, SP1, SP2, STN, ST1, ST2
<i>Coniothyrium</i> spp.	24	SPN, SP1, SP2	SPN, SP1, SP2
<i>Phomopsis</i> cf. <i>ligulata</i> Gr.	10	ST1, ST2	ST1, ST2
<i>Phomopsis</i> sp.	32	SP1, SP2, ST1, ST2	SP1, SP2, ST1, ST2
b) Hyphomycetes			
<i>Alternaria</i> spp.	6	SPN, SP1	SPN, SP1
<i>Cladosporium tenuissimum</i> Cooke	5	SP1, SP2	SP1
<i>Fusarium lateritium</i> Nees	10	SP1, ST2	SPN, SP1, ST1
<i>Geniculosporium</i> sp.	18	SPN, SP1, ST1, ST2	SP1, SP2, ST1, ST2
<i>Hypoxyylon deustum</i> (Hoffm.: Fr.) Grév. (Ana)*	9	SP1, SP2	SPN, SP1, SP2, STN
<i>H. fragiforme</i> (Pers.: Fr.) Kickx (Ana)*	18	ST1, ST2	ST1, ST2
<i>H. unium</i> (Fr.) Nitschke (Ana)*	13	STN, ST1, ST2	STN, ST1, ST2
<i>Phialophora hoffmanni</i> – Group	9	SPN, SP1, SP2	—
<i>Ramularia</i> cf. <i>deusta</i> (Fuck.) Baker et al.	7	ST2	ST2
<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.	4	ST1	STN

* (Ana) = Anamorph

Tab. 2. Antibiotic activity of endophytic fungi isolated from *Ulex europaeus* and *U. gallii*

Fungus	Exeter Herbarium Number	Test organisms		
		<i>Candida albicans</i> 6406/8	<i>Trichophyton mentagrophytes</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
<i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	4233	+	-	+
<i>G. reticulispora</i>	4234	-	-	-
<i>P. herbarum</i>	4235	-	-	-
<i>Sporormiella australis</i>	4236	-	-	-
<i>S. intermedia</i>	4237	-	-	-
<i>S. minima</i>	4238	-	-	-
<i>S. minima</i>	4239	-	-	-
<i>Coniothyrium fuckelii</i>	4240	-	-	-
<i>C. olivaceum</i>	4241	-	-	-
<i>Coniothyrium</i> sp.	4242	+	+	+
<i>Choniothyrium</i> sp. 1	4243	-	-	-
<i>Choniothyrium</i> sp. 2	4244	-	-	+
<i>Phomopsis</i> cf. <i>ligulata</i>	4245	-	-	-
<i>Phomopsis</i> sp.	4246	-	-	-
<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	4247	-	-	-
<i>Alternaria</i> sp. 1	4248	+	+	+
<i>Cladosporium tenuissimum</i>	4249	-	-	-
<i>Fusarium lateritium</i>	4251	-	-	-
<i>Geniculosporium</i> sp.	4252	-	+	-
<i>Hypoxylon deustum</i>	4253	-	-	-
<i>H. fragiforme</i>	4254	-	-	-
<i>H. unitum</i>	4255	-	-	-
<i>Phialophora hoffmanni</i>	4256	-	-	-
<i>Ramularia</i> cf. <i>deusta</i>	4257	-	-	-
<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.	4258	-	-	-

+ = antibiotic activity

- = no antibiotic activity detected

Screening micro-organisms: *Candida albicans* (Robin) Berkh. 6406/8 (trained to high-level resistance to amphotericin B with an M.I.C. of approximately 100 µg/ml, cross-resistant to most polyenes); *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* (Robin) Blanchard NCPF 296; *Staphylococcus aureus* NCTC 6571. None of the fungal isolates tested showed activity against the other four screening micro-organisms namely *Candida albicans* 1726 (wild type yeast), *C. albicans* 2402 (mycelial mutant), both gifts from Glaxo Group Limited, *Aspergillus niger* UE 27 or *Escherichia coli* NCTC 10418.

All plant material was taken to the laboratory in polyethylene bags and examined within a day. Surface sterilisation of the plant material was by the immersion sequence of 96% ethanol, 30% Chlorox (ICI agricultural grade sodium hypochlorite containing 11% available chlorine), 96% ethanol, 1 min: 3 min: 0.5 min. The spines and stem fragments were then individually placed into separate 60 mm Petri dishes containing 2% malt extract agar (Oxoid malt extract L39, 20 g/L; agar, 20 g/L) (MEA) supplemented with 250 mg/l oxytetracycline hydrochloride (Terramycin, Pfizer). Plates were incubated at room temperature for 2–10 days depending on fungal growth rates. Transfer of fungi to 2% MEA plates without antibiotic was carried out with mycelial frag-

ments. After 8–12 weeks of incubation 328 of the 330 isolates were identified by their anamorph or teleomorph fruiting structures. Twentyfive isolates were selected to represent all the species and tested for antibiotic production as described by Fisher et al. 1984 b.

Results and Discussion

A total of 330 endophytic isolates were obtained, 160 from *U. europaeus* and 170 from *U. gallii*. These isolates belonged to 14 different genera (21 species). The provenance of the 25 isolates chosen is given in Tab. 1. Five of the 25 isolates tested showed antibiotic activity (Tab. 2).

It is of interest that of 7 *Coniothyrium* isolates tested (5 tested in this study, 2 tested in an earlier study of endophytes from ericaceous plants, Fisher et al. 1984 b), 4 showed a wide range of antibiotic activity. In the earlier study, one isolate showed activity against *Aspergillus niger*, the other against *Trichophyton mentagrophytes*. In our present study, one strain was active against *Candida albicans* 6406/8, *T. mentagrophytes* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, and another against *S. aureus*.

In a preliminary study we obtained 3 endophytic isolates from a single *U. europaeus* bush growing on Exeter University campus. All 3 isolates were identified as belonging to the genus *Microsphaeropsis* Höhn. and 2 of them showed antibiotic activity. Both were active against *S. aureus*, *C. albicans* 6406/8 and 1726 and one was further active against *T. mentagrophytes* and *A. niger*.

The taxonomic position of *Microsphaeropsis* Höhn. is still very unclear. It is distinguished from *Coniothyrium* in culture mainly on the basis of conidiogenesis (phialidic in *Microsphaeropsis* vs. holoblastic–anellidic in *Coniothyrium*, Sutton 1980). Very likely some of the species now belonging to *Coniothyrium* (eg. *C. olivaceum*) will be eventually transferred to *Microsphaeropsis*.

The data from our screening survey may indicate that species of *Coniothyrium* and *Microsphaeropsis* are worthy of further investigation.

Our screening results should not be taken as an absolute measure of the incidence of antibiosis produced by endophytic fungi isolated from *Ulex* spp. because the number of isolates tested is too small; however, the results of this study support the hypothesis that endophytic fungi may be able to produce antibiotics in order to successfully compete with antagonists (Fisher et al. 1984 a; 1984 b).

References

- Carroll G. C. and Carroll F. E. 1978. Studies on the incidence of coniferous needle endophytes in the Pacific Northwest. *Can. J. Bot.* 56: 3034–3043.
- Carroll F. E., Müller E. and Sutton B. C. 1977. Preliminary studies on the incidence of needle endophytes in some European conifers. *Sydowia* 29: 87–103.
- Fisher P. J., Anson A. E. and Petrini O. 1984a. Novel antibiotic activity of an endophytic *Cryptosporiopsis* sp. isolated from *Vaccinium myrtillus*. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 81: 523–527.
- Fisher P. J., Anson A. E. and Petrini O. 1984b. Antibiotic activity of some endophytic fungi from ericaceous plants. *Bot. Helv.* 94: 249–253.
- Fisher P. J., Anson A. E. and Petrini O. 1986. Fungal endophytes in *Ulex europaeus* and *Ulex gallii*. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 86 (1): 153–156
- Petrini O. and Carroll G. C. 1981. Endophytic fungi in foliage of some Cupressaceae in Oregon. *Can. J. Bot.* 59:629–639.

- Petrini O. and Dreyfuss M. 1981. Endophytische Pilze in epiphytischen Araceae, Bromeliaceae and Orchidaceae. *Sydowia* 34: 135–148.
- Petrini O., Müller E. and Luginbühl M. 1979. Pilze als Endophyten von grünen Pflanzen. *Naturwissenschaften* 66: 262.
- Petrini O., Stone J. and Carroll F. E. 1982. Endophytic fungi in evergreen shrubs in western Oregon: A preliminary study. *Can. J. Bot.* 60: 789–796.
- Sutton B. C. 1980. The Coelomycetes. Fungi imperfecti with Pycnidia, Acervuli and Stromata. C.M.I., Kew, Surrey, pp 696.