

Zeitschrift: IABSE congress report = Rapport du congrès AIPC = IVBH
Kongressbericht

Band: 12 (1984)

Rubrik: Poster session 3: computer-aided structural engineering

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 15.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>



POSTER SESSION 3

Computer-Aided Structural Engineering

Génie des structures assisté par ordinateur

Computergestützter konstruktiver Ingenieurbau

Coordinator: R.S. Stilwell, Canada



Elastic-Plastic Analysis of Three Dimensional Buildings, with Substructure Method

S. AIZAWA, T. NAGASHIMA, Y. HIGASHIBATA,
J. IMAMIYA, Y. HAYAMIZU, I. YAMAGUCHI

Takenaka Komuten Co. Ltd.
Tokyo, Japan

The authors developed the pseudo-three-dimensional elastic-plastic analysis program using substructure-method, as practical analysis method to obtain the horizontal ultimate strength of a building and the stiffness for dynamic analysis.

Frames interconnected by floor diaphragms which are rigid in their own plane are considered as substructures in the basic formulation. And the three-dimensional effect of a building was adopted as the following taking the practicability into account.







- 1) The geometrical horizontal position of each plane frame in the floor is considered, the plane frame-matrix is converted into the global coordinate system, the stiffness matrix of the whole building is drawn up and the horizontal rotation-stiffness considering the eccentricity of the rigidity against the center of gravity is drawn up.
- 2) The plane frame-matrix is condensed leaving the vertical degree of freedom of the joint designated as external degree of freedom, when drawing up the total stiffness matrix, the constrained effect of straight crossed frame is considered by making coincide as common vertical degree of freedom of mutual frames.

The elastic-plastic judgments are carried out at the member level, and the elastic-plastic elements of each member are as follows. The bending and shearing element has rigid-plastic rotational springs in both ends, and the central part consists of beam models of bending = elasticity and shearing = elastic plasticity. The rotational spring part is condensed when the element matrix is piled up to the plane frame-matrix. Each restoring force model of bending and shearing adopts in principle the tri-linear type, the bending buckling can be considered, the M-N correlation is considered in the column model, and axial elements adopts the bi-linear model considering buckling. As hysterical characteristics of each element, normal, degrading and slip models are provided. In order to confirm the adaptability of this program, the analysis of static force experimental model of (1) RC plane frame (3 layers, 3 spans, $H \times L = 1.8 \times 3$ m), (2) RC three-dimensional frame (3 layers, 3×2 spans, $H \times L \times W = 1.32 \times 2.25 \times 1.5$ m) including the anti-seismic wall. The experimental result and the analytic result of every models corresponded well, and especially in the model of (2) the effect of the pseudo-three-dimensional analysis was well expressed.


NONLINEAR ANALYSIS OF THREE DIMENSIONAL BUILDINGS

The authors developed the pseudo-three-dimensional elastic-plastic analysis program using substructure-method, as practical analysis method to obtain the horizontal ultimate strength of a building and the stiffness for dynamic analysis.
Analytical flow is:
1 → 2 → 3 → 4 → 5 → 6

THE OUTLINE OF ANALYSIS PROGRAM



COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS




	BEAM	COLUMN	WALL
REINF	TOP 3-D13 BOT 3-D13	6-D13	H & V 5 ϕ -150
b × D	120 × 150	200 × 150	t 50

$F_c = 275 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ $\sigma_s = 4050 \text{ kg/cm}^2$

• 3-STORY REINFORCED CONCRETE 3-D FRAME-WALL STRUCTURE WITH ECCENTRICITY

	BEAM(X)	BEAM(Y)	COLUMN	WALL	SLAB
REINF	TOP 2-D6 BOT 2-D6	TOP 2-D6 BOT 2-D6	4-D6	H & V 2.2 ϕ -35 t 90	RF TOP 4 ϕ -55 BOT 3.2 ϕ -55
b × D	55 × 90	55 × 80	80 × 80	t 25	2.2 ϕ -55

$F_c = 302 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ $\sigma_s = 2676 \text{ kg/cm}^2$



• 1 J. ONOSE et al. TOHOKU TECHNICAL INST. REPORTS OF THE ANNUAL MEETING OF A. I. 1987

COMPOUND STRIP ANALYSIS OF SLAB-GIRDER BRIDGES

COMPOUND STRIP — THE MECHANICS

The strain energy of the strip, including plate and attached beams and columns, is expressed in terms $w(x,y)$ and minimized with respect to the displacements associated with the strip. This minimization gives a strip stiffness matrix for the compound strip which includes axial and flexural stiffnesses of the columns, flexural and torsional stiffnesses of the beams, and the stiffness of the plate. The strip stiffness matrices are combined in the conventional manner to form the global stiffness matrix. The half bandwidth of the stiffness matrix is small, which reduces the computation effort required to determine state variables which establish displacements and actions.

STRIP DISPLACEMENT FUNCTION


$$w(x,y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m X_m = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m [C] \{\Delta_m\}$$

$$Y_m = \sin\left(\frac{m\pi y}{x}\right)$$


C = a row vector containing third order Hermitian polynomials in x .

m = a column vector containing four displacements per mode m .


Deflection Between Nodes 2 & 218




Girder Moment Between Nodes 2 & 218



Transverse Moment Between Nodes 91 & 99



CSM IDEALIZATION



ANALYSIS SUMMARY

	FEM	CSM
Maximum Deflection, in.	0.126	0.128
Maximum Support Reaction, kip.	30.1	32.2
Maximum Girder Moment, kin.	-2430	-2000
	1500	1270

