

**Zeitschrift:** IABSE publications = Mémoires AIPC = IVBH Abhandlungen  
**Band:** 29 (1969)  
  
**Artikel:** Effective column length in unsymmetrical frames  
**Autor:** Chu, Kuang-Han / Chow, Hsueh-Lien  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-22906>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 18.05.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# Effective Column Length in Unsymmetrical Frames

*Longueur de flambement des cadres asymétriques*

*Knicklänge in unsymmetrischem Rahmen*

KUANG-HAN CHU

Ph. D., Professor of Civil Engineering,  
Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago,  
Illinois

HSUEH-LIEN CHOW

Structural Engineer, Westenhoff and  
Novick, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

## Introduction

In the design of building frames, the slenderness ratio of any column is determined by its effective column length rather than its actual unbraced length. The ratio  $K$  of the effective column length to the actual unbraced length is of great concern to designers working with unbraced frames. This is because  $K$  is always less than 1.0 in braced frames but it is usually greater than 1.0 for unbraced frames subject to lateral sway. The value of  $K$  for unbraced frames is usually determined by the alignment chart given in the AISC Manual of Steel Construction [1]. This chart is based on an equation (given in the Guide to Design Criteria for Metal Compression Members [2]) which is the buckling equation for columns in a symmetrical rectangular frame subjected to symmetrical vertical loads at the tops of the columns [3]. As shown in Fig. 1, the frame is assumed to be braced in the direction perpendicular to its plane with moments of inertia of the columns (which resist bending in its plane)  $I'_c = I_c$  and subjected to loads  $P' = P$ . Note that the moments of inertia of the beams are not equal ( $I'_b \neq I_b$ ) and the column bases become fixed if  $I'_b = \infty$  hinged if  $I'_b = 0$ .

Since the AISC alignment chart is based on symmetrical frames symmetrically loaded, the question arises as to what will be the value of  $K$  if  $P' \neq P$  and  $I'_c \neq I_c$ . In this paper, the basic buckling equation will be derived and a chart which gives a coefficient for modifying the  $K$  values given by the AISC chart will be presented. Since coefficients given by the chart are average values, some errors can be expected in the modified  $K$  values obtained. How-

ever, the maximum error of the modified  $K$  will be at most about 20 per cent and for most practical cases less than 10 per cent.




Fig. 1. Symmetrical frame symmetrically loaded.




Fig. 2. Notations for the slope deflection equation.

### Buckling Equation

The slope deflection method will be used in the derivation of the buckling equation. The slope deflection equation for a member  $ij$  subject to an axial load  $P$  (Fig. 2) as given in standard textbooks [4, 5] may be written in the following form [6, 7].

$$M_{ij} = \frac{2EI}{L} (2a\theta_i + b\theta_j - cR), \quad (1)$$

in which  $M_{ij}$  = moment at end  $i$  ( $M_{ji}$  at end  $j$ ), positive clockwise,

$E$  = modulus of elasticity,

$I$  = moment of inertia about an axis perpendicular to the plane of the frame,

$L$  = length of the member,

$\theta_i, \theta_j$  = slope at ends  $i$  and  $j$  respectively, positive clockwise,

$R$  = slope of the chord, positive clockwise,

=  $\Delta/L$  = end deflection/length of member,

$$a = \frac{\phi}{4} \left( \frac{\phi}{1 - \phi \cot \phi} + \cot \phi \right), \quad (2a)$$

$$b = \frac{\phi}{2} \left( \frac{\phi}{1 - \phi \cot \phi} - \cot \phi \right), \quad (2b)$$

$$c = 2a + b = \frac{\phi^2}{1 - \phi \cot \phi} \quad (2c)$$

$$\text{and } \phi = \frac{L}{2} \sqrt{\frac{P}{EI}}. \quad (3)$$

For small values of  $\phi$

$$a = 1 - \frac{(2\phi)^2}{30} - \frac{11(2\phi)^4}{25000} \dots, \quad (4a)$$

$$b = 1 + \frac{(2\phi)^2}{60} - \frac{13(2\phi)^4}{25000} \dots, \quad (4b)$$

$$\text{for } \phi = 0 \quad a = b = 1. \quad (4c)$$

Letting  $K$  be the ratio of the effective column length to the actual unbraced length, then  $P$  at buckling becomes the critical load  $P_{cr}$  given by

$$P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 E I}{(K L)^2} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \phi = \frac{L}{2} \sqrt{\frac{P_{cr}}{E I}} = \frac{\pi}{2 K}. \quad (6)$$

Referring to Fig. 3, let

$$P' = \lambda P \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1), \quad I'_c = \alpha I_c \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1), \quad (7a, b)$$

$$G_A = \frac{I_c/L_c}{I_b/L_b}, \quad G'_A = \frac{I'_c/L_c}{I_b/L_b} = \alpha G_A, \quad (8a, b)$$

$$G_B = \frac{I_c/L_c}{I'_b/L_b}, \quad G'_B = \frac{I'_c/L_c}{I'_b/L_b} = \alpha G_B, \quad (8c, d)$$

in which  $I_c$  and  $I'_c$  are moments of inertia for the columns,  $I_b$  and  $I'_b$  are the same for the beams,  $L_c$  and  $L_b$  are the lengths of the columns and beams respectively as shown in Fig. 3. With the coefficients  $a_1, b_1 \dots$  etc. for the




Fig. 3. Unsymmetrical frame unsymmetrically loaded.

members as indicated in Fig. 3, the slope deflection equations for members  $AA'$  and  $AB$  are

$$M_{AA'} = \frac{2 E I_b}{L_b} (2 a_1 \theta_A + b_1 \theta_{A'}), \quad (9a)$$

$$M_{AB} = \frac{2 E I_c}{L_c} (2 a_2 \theta_A + b_2 \theta_B - c_2 R). \quad (9b)$$

For equilibrium at joint  $A$ ,  $\sum M_A = M_{AA'} + M_{AB} = 0$ , yields

$$2(a_1 + G_A a_2) \theta_A + G_A b_2 \theta_B + b_1 \theta_{A'} - G_A c_2 R = 0. \quad (10a)$$



Similarly with  $\sum M_B = 0$ ,  $\sum M_{A'} = 0$  and  $\sum M_{B'} = 0$ , one obtains

$$G_B b_2 \theta_A + 2(a'_1 + G_B a_2) \theta_B + b'_1 \theta'_B - G_B c_2 R = 0, \quad (10b)$$

$$b_1 \theta_A + 2(a_1 + \alpha G_A a'_2) \theta'_A + \alpha G_A b'_2 \theta'_B - \alpha G_A c'_2 R = 0, \quad (10c)$$

$$b'_1 \theta_B + \alpha G_B b'_2 \theta'_A + 2(a'_1 + \alpha G_B a'_2) \theta'_B - \alpha G_B c'_2 R = 0. \quad (10d)$$

The shear equilibrium equation

$$M_{AB} + M_{BA} + M_{A'B'} + M_{B'A'} + P L_c R + P' L_c R = 0 \quad (11a)$$

$$\text{gives } c_2 \theta_A + c_2 \theta_B + \alpha c'_2 \theta'_A + \alpha c'_2 \theta'_B - 2[c_2 + \alpha c'_2 - (1 + \lambda) \phi^2] R = 0, \quad (11b)$$

$$\text{in which } \phi^2 = \frac{L_c^2 P}{4 E I_c}. \quad (12)$$

$$\text{Let } 2[c_2 + \alpha c'_2 - (1 + \lambda) \phi^2] = d. \quad (13)$$

$$\text{From Eq. (11)} \quad R = \frac{c_2}{d} (\theta_A + \theta_B) + \frac{\alpha c'_2}{d} (\theta'_A + \theta'_B). \quad (14)$$

Since there is no axial force in the beams  $AA'$  and  $BB'$ ,

$$a_1 = b_1 = a'_1 = b'_1 = 1. \quad (15)$$

Substituting Eqs. (14) and (15) into Eqs. (10a-d), yields

$$(2 + G_A W_1) \theta_A + G_A W_2 \theta_B + (1 - G_A W_5) \theta'_A - G_A W_5 \theta'_B = 0, \quad (16a)$$

$$G_B W_2 \theta_A + (2 + G_B W_1) \theta_B - G_B W_5 \theta'_A + (1 - G_B W_5) \theta'_B = 0, \quad (16b)$$

$$(1 - G_A W_5) \theta_A - G_A W_5 \theta_B + (2 + G_A W_3) \theta'_A + G_A W_4 \theta'_B = 0, \quad (16c)$$

$$-G_B W_5 \theta_A + (1 - G_B W_5) \theta_B + G_B W_4 \theta'_A + (2 + G_B W_3) \theta'_B = 0, \quad (16d)$$

$$\text{in which } W_1 = 2a_2 - \frac{c_2^2}{d}, \quad W_2 = b_2 - \frac{c_2^2}{d}, \quad (17a, b)$$

$$W_3 = 2\alpha a'_2 - \frac{(\alpha c'_2)^2}{d}, \quad W_4 = \alpha b'_2 - \frac{(\alpha c'_2)^2}{d}, \quad W_5 = \frac{\alpha c_2 c'_2}{d}. \quad (17c-e)$$

The buckling equation is obtained by setting the determinant of the coefficients of the unknown  $\theta$ 's in Eqs. (16) equal to zero, or

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 + G_A W_1 & G_A W_2 & 1 - G_A W_5 & -G_A W_5 \\ G_B W_2 & 2 + G_B W_1 & -G_B W_5 & 1 - G_B W_5 \\ 1 - G_A W_5 & -G_A W_5 & 2 + G_A W_3 & G_A W_4 \\ -G_B W_5 & 1 - G_B W_5 & G_B W_4 & 2 + G_B W_3 \end{vmatrix} = 0. \quad (18)$$

Expanding and rearranging Eq. (18), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & 3V_1 + (2U_1 V_1 - U_3 V_2 - U_5 V_3 - U_7 V_4 - U_9 V_5) G_A \\ & + (U_2 V_1 - U_4 V_2 - U_6 V_3 - U_8 V_4 - U_{10} V_5 + V_6) G_A^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_1 &= W_1 + W_3 + W_5, & U_2 &= W_1 W_3 - W_5^2, \\
 U_3 &= W_2 + 2 W_5, & U_4 &= W_1 W_5 - W_2 W_5, \\
 U_5 &= W_4 + 2 W_5, & U_6 &= W_3 W_5 - W_4 W_5, \\
 U_7 &= 2 W_4 + W_5, & U_8 &= W_1 W_4 - W_5^2, \\
 U_9 &= 2 W_2 + W_5, & U_{10} &= W_2 W_3 - W_5^2, \\
 U_{11} &= W_2 W_4 - W_5^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{20 a-k}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_1 &= 3 + 2 G_B U_1 + G_B^2 U_2, & V_2 &= G_B U_5 + G_B^2 U_6, \\
 V_3 &= G_B U_3 + G_B^2 U_4, & V_4 &= G_B U_7 + G_B^2 U_8, \\
 V_5 &= G_B U_9 + G_B^2 U_{10}, & V_6 &= G_B^2 U_{11}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{21 a-f}$$

In Eqs. (20), the  $W$ 's are obtained from Eqs. (17 a-e) with  $a_2, b_2$ , etc. obtained from Eqs. (2 a-c) and (4 a, b), using

$$\phi = \frac{L_c}{2} \sqrt{\frac{P}{EI}} = \frac{\pi}{2K} \tag{22 a}$$

for  $a_2, b_2$  and  $c_2$ , and

$$\phi = \phi' = \frac{L_c}{2} \sqrt{\frac{P'}{EI'_c}} = \frac{\pi}{2K} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}} \tag{22 b}$$

for  $a'_2, b'_2$  and  $c'_2$ .

### Special Cases

The following special cases may be obtained from the general buckling Eq. (18) or (19)

a) If  $G_A = 0$ , ( $I_b = \infty$ ), then in Eq. (19),  $V_1 = 0$  or

$$3 + 2 G_B U_1 + G_B^2 U_2 = 0. \tag{23}$$

b) If  $G_A = \infty$ , ( $I_b = 0$ ), then in Eq. (19), the coefficient of  $G_A^2 = 0$ , hence

$$U_2 V_1 - U_4 V_2 - U_6 V_3 - U_8 V_4 - U_{10} V_5 + V_6 = 0 \tag{24 a}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 &G_B^2 (U_2^2 - 2 U_4 U_6 - U_8^2 - U_{10}^2 - U_{11}^2) \\
 &+ G_B (2 U_1 U_2 - U_4 U_5 - U_3 U_6 - U_7 U_8 - U_9 U_{10}) + 3 U_2 = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{24 b}$$

c) If  $P = P'$  and  $I_c = I'_c$  then  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $W_1 = W_3$  and  $W_2 = W_4$ . Consider the case  $\theta_A = \theta'_A$  and  $\theta_B = \theta'_B$ .

$$\text{Let} \quad W_1 = W'_1, \quad W_2 = W'_2, \quad W_5 = W'_5 \tag{25 a-c}$$

for this particular case. Eq. (18) becomes

$$\begin{vmatrix}
 3 + G_A (W'_1 - W'_5) & G_A (W'_2 - W'_5) \\
 G_B (W'_2 - W'_5) & 3 + G_B (W'_1 - W'_5)
 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \tag{26 a}$$

$$\text{or} \quad 9 + 3 (G_A + G_B) (W'_1 - W'_5) + G_A G_B [(W'_1 - W'_5)^2 - (W'_2 - W'_5)^2] = 0. \tag{26 b}$$

Note that 
$$W_1' - W_5' = 2 \left( a_2 - \frac{c_2^2}{d} \right) = \frac{\phi}{2} (\cot \phi - \tan \phi) = \phi \cot 2\phi, \quad (27a)$$

$$W_2' - W_5' = b_2 - 2 \frac{c_2^2}{d} = -\frac{\phi}{2} (\cot \phi + \tan \phi), \quad (27b)$$

in which 
$$d = 4(c_2 - \phi^2) = \frac{4\phi^3 \cot \phi}{1 - \phi \cot \phi}. \quad (27c)$$

Eq. (26b) becomes

$$9 + 3\phi \cot 2\phi (G_A + G_B) - G_A G_B \phi^2 = 0. \quad (28)$$

With  $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2K}$ , Eq. (28) is identical to the equation given in Guide to Design Criteria for Metal Compression Members [2].

d) If  $I_c' = 0$  ( $\alpha = 0$ ) and  $P' \neq 0$  ( $\lambda \neq 0$ ), then  $\phi' = \infty$  and  $W_3$ ,  $W_4$  and  $W_5$  become indefinite. There will be no solution for Eq. (18). The structure will buckle since there is a load on a column of zero flexural rigidity.

However, if  $I_c' = 0$  and  $P' = 0$ , then  $\alpha = 0$  and  $W_3 = W_4 = W_5 = 0$ .

Let 
$$W_1 = W_1'', \quad W_2 = W_2'' \quad (29a, b)$$

for this particular case. Eq. (18) becomes

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 + G_A W_1'' & G_A W_2'' & 1 & 0 \\ G_B W_2'' & 2 + G_B W_1'' & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (30a)$$

or 
$$9 + 6(G_A + G_B) W_1'' + 4 G_A G_B [(W_1'')^2 - (W_2'')^2] = 0 \quad (30b)$$

with 
$$W_1'' = 2a_2 - \frac{c_2^2}{d} = W_1' - W_5', \quad (31a)$$

$$W_2'' = b_2 - \frac{c_2^2}{d} = W_2' - W_5' \quad (31b)$$

and 
$$d = 2(c_2 - \phi^2) = \frac{2\phi^3 \cot \phi}{1 - \phi \cot \phi}. \quad (31c)$$

The case of  $I_c' = 0$  and  $P' = 0$  is equivalent to the case with the member  $A'B'$  omitted and the ends  $A'$  of  $AA'$  and  $B'$  of  $BB'$  supported on rollers (see Fig. 4a). It can be seen that Eq. (30b) is the same as Eq. (26b) for the symmetrical frame symmetrically loaded if  $2G_A$  and  $2G_B$  are used instead of  $G_A$  and  $G_B$ . The same result is obtained if  $2L_b$  is used instead of  $L_b$ . This is because in the present case the points of inflection of the beams are at the ends  $A'$  and  $B'$ , whereas in the symmetrical case, the inflection points are located at the midspan of the beams (see Fig. 4b).




Fig. 4. Comparison of the deflection pattern of the case  $P' = 0$  and  $I'_c = 0$  with the symmetrical case.

e) For the case of  $P = P'$  and  $I = I'_c$  ( $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $W_1 = W_3$  and  $W_2 = W_5$ ) without the restriction of  $\theta_A = \theta_A$  and  $\theta_B = \theta_B$ , it can be shown that Eq. (18) may be reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{1 - (G_A + G_B)(W_1 + W_5) + G_A G_B [(W_1 + W_5)^2 - (W_2 - W_5)^2]\} \cdot \\ & \cdot \{9 + 3(G_A + G_B)(W_1 - W_5) + G_A G_B [(W_1 - W_5)^2 - (W_2 - W_5)^2]\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Setting the terms in the second pair of braces equal to zero results an equation which is identical to Eq. (26b) for antisymmetrical buckling. Setting the terms in the first pair of braces equal to zero would result an equation for symmetrical buckling. Since  $K$  values for symmetrical buckling is always less than 1 while that of the antisymmetrical buckling always greater than 1 (equal to 1 if  $I_b = I'_b = \infty$ ). The buckling load will be governed by the antisymmetrical case instead of symmetrical case.

### Solution of the Buckling Equation and Presentation of Results

Eq. (19) may be solved very simply in the following manner. If the values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda$ , and  $G_B$  are given, values of  $G_A$  may be determined for any assumed values of  $K$ . Since the equation for  $G_A$  is quadratic, negative and complex solutions must be discarded. Also some false or physically meaningless roots must be rejected.

It is noted that Eq. (18) is symmetrical with respect to  $G_A$  and  $G_B$ . Hence  $G_A$  and  $G_B$  are interchangeable. Thus Eq. (23) may be regarded as an equation for  $G_A$  when  $G_B = 0$  and Eq. (24) as an equation for  $G_A$  when  $G_B = \infty$ .

Various methods of presenting the results were tried. It was found that for a given pair of  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  values, the  $\beta$  values defined by the following equation remain approximately constant for various values of  $G_A$  and  $G_B$ .

$$\beta = \frac{K}{K_0}, \quad (33)$$

in which  $K$  is the ratio of effective length to actual unbraced length for a given pair of  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  values corresponding to a pair of specifies  $G_A$  and  $G_B$  values

(referring to the stronger column) and  $K_0$  is the value of  $K$  for  $\lambda = \alpha = 1$  (symmetrical case) with the same values of  $G_A$  and  $G_B$ .

The ratio  $\beta$  is determined in the following manner. For given values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $G_B$ , using Eq. (19),  $G_A$  is obtained for some assumed value of  $K$ . Using the same  $G_A$  and  $G_B$ ,  $K_0$  is determined from Eq. (28) by Newton's method for solving transcendental equations. Then  $\beta$  is determined from Eq. (33). All computations were carried out on an IBM 360 computer.

For a given pair of  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  values, an average value of  $\beta$  is determined for various values of  $G_A$  and  $G_B$ . A set of curves of the average  $\beta$  versus  $\alpha$  for various values of  $\lambda$  is plotted in Fig. 5. This figure is to be used in conjunction with Fig. 6 which is reproduced from the AISC Manual [1]. With known values




Fig. 5. Curves of average  $\beta$  versus  $\alpha$  for various values of  $\lambda$ .




Fig. 6. Chart for the determination of  $K_0$ . (After AISC Manual of Steel Construction.)

of  $\lambda$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $G_A$  and  $G_B$ , the value of  $K$  will be given by  $\beta K_0$  with  $\beta$  determined from Fig. 5 for a given  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  and  $K_0$  from Fig. 6 for given values of  $G_A$  and  $G_B$ .

Since average values of  $\beta$  are used in the plotting, some errors can be expected. It was found that the error increases as  $\alpha$  or  $\lambda$  decreases (i.e., as the frame or loading becomes more unsymmetrical). For very small value of  $\alpha$ , the error may reach 20 per cent. However, the maximum error is 11.3% for  $\alpha = 0.3$ , 8.9% for  $\alpha = 0.5$ , 4.5% for  $\alpha = 0.75$  and 1.1% for  $\alpha = 1.0$ . For most practical cases, the error will be less than 10 per cent since  $\alpha$  is seldom less than 0.5.

It should be noted that the estimated errors are maximum values. For the

ordinary ranges of  $G_A$  and  $G_B$ ,  $\beta$  will be near the average and the error will be much smaller. In case precise  $K$  values are needed, Eq. (19) may be solved by the method of linear interpolation (false position) using as first approximate values of  $(1 \pm e)K$  in which  $e$  is a small fraction representing an estimated error and  $K$  is determined from Figs. 5 and 6.

### Numerical Examples

Various problems may be solved by using the charts presented herein intelligently. For readers not familiar with the use of the AISC Chart, examples will be shown for both the case of  $\beta = 1$  (for  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\alpha = 1$ ) and the case of  $\beta \neq 1$ .

*Example 1.* A ten story frame is shown in Fig. 7 (a). The moments of inertia of the columns and beams are as shown in the figure. It may be noted that the ratio of  $G_A$  and  $G_B$  at all joints are equal.

$$\begin{aligned} (G_A) \text{ at } A &= \frac{(I_c/2)/L_c}{(I_b/2)/L_b} = \frac{I_c/L_c}{I_b/L_b}, \\ (G_B) \text{ at } B &= \frac{[(I_c/2) + (I_c/2)]/L_c}{I_b/L_b} = \frac{I_c/L_c}{I_b/L_b}, \\ (G_A) \text{ at } C &= \frac{I_c/L_c}{[(I_b/2) + (I_b/2)]/L_b} = \frac{I_c/L_c}{I_b/L_b}, \\ (G_B) \text{ at } D &= \frac{(I_c + I_c)/L_c}{(I_b + I_b)/L_b} = \frac{I_c/L_c}{I_b/L_b}. \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 7. (a) Frame solved in example 1. (b) A unit of the frame shown in (a). (c) Frame solved in Bleich's book.

The problem may be treated as a superposition of the basic frame as shown in Fig. 7(b). Noting that  $\beta = 1$  and  $K = K_0$ , from Fig. 6, connecting a straight line between the given values on the  $G_A$  and  $G_B$  scale and finding the intercepts on the  $K_0$  scale, one can easily determine the values as shown in Table 1.

Table 1.  $K$  values for the ten story frame shown in Fig. 7

$G_A = G_B =$ $K = K_0$	1	2	3	4
	1.31	1.59	1.83	2.05

The values in Table 1 check very well with the curve given in BLEICH's book [4] for the solution of the frame as shown in Fig. 7(c). The frames shown in Fig. 7(a) and Fig. 7(c) differ only in the degree of fixity of the bases of the bottom story columns. However for tall buildings the effect of base fixity of the columns in the bottom story will be negligible.

*Example 2.* The problem as shown in Fig. 8(a) was solved by JOHNSON [8].

$$\begin{aligned} (G_A)_{AD,CF} &= \frac{I/L}{2I/(\frac{2}{3}L)} = (G_A)_{BE} = \frac{2I/L}{2 \cdot 2I/(\frac{2}{3}L)} = \frac{1}{3} = 0.33, \\ (G_B)_{AD,CF} &= \frac{(I+2I)/L}{2I/(\frac{2}{3}L)} = (G_B)_{BE} = \frac{(2I+4I)/L}{2 \cdot 2I/(\frac{2}{3}L)} = \frac{3}{3} = 1, \\ (G_A)_{DG,EH,FI} &= (G_B)_{AD,CF,BE} = 1, \\ (G_B)_{DG,EH,FI} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From Fig. 6,  $K = K_0 = 1.21$  for columns  $AD$ ,  $CF$  and  $BE$  and  $K = K_0 = 1.15$  for columns  $DG$ ,  $EH$ ,  $FI$ . The given solution is  $P = 7.10 \frac{EI}{L^2} = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{(KL)^2}$  with  $K = 1.18$  which is exactly equal to the average of 1.21 and 1.15. The frame may be treated as a superposition of two frames shown in Fig. 8(b). It may be pointed out that the results are good primary because the column stiffness is proportional to the loading.




Fig. 8. Frame solved in example 2.




Fig. 9. Frame solved in example 3.

*Example 3.* The previous example shows that very good results can be obtained by using the AISC Chart for well proportioned rectangular frames.

This example will show the amount of error involved in applying to some other cases. Fig. 9 shows a frame which has been solved in reference 9. The given values of  $E I/L^2$  is  $100^T$  and the solutions are  $P_1 = 400^T$  and  $(P_1 + P_2) = 807^T$  corresponding to  $K = K_0 = \pi/\sqrt{4.0} = 1.56$  and  $\pi/\sqrt{8.07} = 1.10$  respectively. From Fig. 6, the  $K$  value for the top column is 1.24 for  $G_A = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $G_B = 1$  and that for the bottom column is 1.15 for  $G_A = 1$  and  $G_B = 0$ . Consider the fact that column stiffness is not proportional to loading, the errors involved are reasonable.

*Example 4.* The four cases shown in Fig. 10 were solved by ZWEIG [10]. A comparison of the results will be of interest. For cases I and III,  $G_A = G_B = 0$




Fig. 10. Frames solved in example 4.

and  $K_0 = 1$ . For cases II and IV,  $G_A = 0$ ,  $G_B = \infty$  and  $K_0 = 2$ . The values of  $\beta$  for various values of  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  from Fig. 5 are listed in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 2.  $\beta$  values for cases I and II

$\lambda = \bar{r}^2$	0.16	0.49	0.81	1.0
$\beta$	0.76	0.86	0.95	1.0
$\beta$ (Zweig)	0.765	0.864	0.951	1.0

Table 3.  $\beta$  values for cases III and IV

$\alpha = r^2$	0.16	0.49	0.81	1.0
$\beta$	1.27	1.08	1.02	1.0
$\beta' = r \beta$	0.508	0.756	0.918	1.0
$\beta'$ (Zweig)	0.532	0.812	0.946	1.0
Error	7%	7%	3%	0%

It should be noted that the notations  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  are respectively corresponding to  $\bar{r}^2$  and  $r^2$  given in reference [10] and the  $\beta$  values can easily be derived from the  $K$  values given therein. Also for cases III and IV, the critical load in reference [10] is related to the weaker column, thus

$$P = \frac{\alpha \pi^2 E I}{(\beta' K_0 L)^2} = \frac{r^2 \pi^2 E I}{(\beta' K_0 L)^2} = \frac{\pi^2 E I}{(\beta K_0 L)^2}. \quad \text{Hence } \beta' = r \beta.$$

It can be seen that for cases I and II, the  $\beta$  values checks very well and for cases III and IV, the errors are within the maximum values stated previously.



*Example 5.* This example shown in Fig. 11 (a) was also solved by ZWEIG [10]. It would put a severe test of the versatility of the proposed method. This frame may be treated as a superposition of the frames shown in Fig. 11 (b) and the equivalent frame is as shown in Fig. 11 (c). The conditions of the column bases, however, are dissimilar and can not be replaced by a single




Fig. 11. (a) Frame solved in example 5. (b) Superposition of frames. (c) An equivalent frame. (d) Final equivalent frame.

beam. Nevertheless since column  $C'D'$  has  $G_A = 0$ ,  $G_B = \infty$  and  $K_0 = 2$  (from Fig. 6), it may be replaced by a column of  $C''D''$  with  $G_A = G_B = 0$  and  $K_0 = 1$  if  $I_{C''D''} = \frac{I_{C'D'}}{K_{C'D'}^2} = \frac{350}{4} = 87.5 \text{ in}^4$  as shown in Fig. 11 (d). Noting that  $\lambda = 400/650 = 0.615$ ,  $\alpha = 87.5/1079 = 0.081$ , and  $G_A = G_B = 0$ , one obtains  $\beta = 1.24$  and  $K_0 = 1$  from Figs. 5 and 6 and  $K = \beta K_0 = 1.24$ . The answer given in reference [10] is  $3.14/2.46 = 1.28$ . The error is only 3% although the  $\alpha$  value is very small in this case.

### Discussion of Results and Conclusions

The following conclusions may be reached particularly with reference to Fig. 5.

1. Note that for  $\lambda = 1$ , on the average,  $\beta = 1.08$  for  $\alpha = 0.5$  and  $\beta = 1.15$  for  $\alpha = 0.3$ . This means that for equal loads, if the stiffness of the column on one side is only 50 per cent of the stiffness of the column on the other side, the  $K$  value is only slightly higher than the  $K_0$  value for the symmetrical case.

2. For equal loads ( $\lambda = 1$ ),  $K$  will be significantly higher than  $K_0$  only when  $\alpha$  is small (say  $\alpha < 0.3$ ).

3. With the exception of  $\lambda = 0$ , if the loads and stiffness are in the same proportion ( $\lambda = \alpha$ ), the average  $\beta$  will lie between 0.9 and 1.0.

4. With the exception of  $\lambda=0$ ,  $\beta$  will be greater than 1.0 only when  $\lambda > \alpha$ .
5. When there is no load on the weaker column ( $\lambda=0$ ),  $\beta$  will have values lying between 0.8 and 0.7 for  $0.3 \leq \alpha \leq 1.0$  with a smaller  $\beta$  corresponding to a larger  $\alpha$ .
6. As pointed out previously, there will be no solution for the case  $\alpha=0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . However, for  $\alpha=0$  and  $\lambda=0$  roller supports are assumed for the beams, with the weaker column omitted. The  $K$  value may be obtained from the AISC alignment chart using  $2 L_b$  instead of  $L_b$  in computing the values of  $G_A$  and  $G_B$ .
7. As pointed out previously, the maximum error in using Fig. 5 would be less than 9 per cent for  $\alpha=0.5$ . It may reach 20 per cent for small values of  $\alpha$ .
8. By intelligently using the concept of considering  $G_A$  (similarly  $G_B$ ) as the ratio of  $\sum I_c/L_c$  and  $\sum I_b/L_b$  instead of the ratio of  $I_c/L_c$  and  $I_b/L_b$ , the rectangular frame studied may be considered to represent any story of a multistory, multibay frame as shown in the numerical examples.

### Notation

$a$	see Eq. (2a)
$a_1, a'_1, a_2, a'_2$	values of $a$ for members as shown in Fig. 3
$b$	see Eq. (2b)
$b_1, b'_1, b_2, b'_2$	values of $b$ for members as shown in Fig. 3
$c$	see Eq. (2c)
$c_1, c'_1, c_2, c'_2$	values of $c$ for members as shown in Fig. 3
$d$	see Eq. (13)
$e$	a small fraction representing an estimated error
$E$	modulus of elasticity
$G_A, G'_A, G_B, G'_B$	see Eq. (8a-d)
$I$	moment of inertia about an axis perpendicular to the plane of the frame
$I_b, I'_b$	moment of inertia of top and bottom beams respectively
$I_c, I'_c$	moments of inertia of columns as shown in Fig. 3
$K$	ratio of effective column length to actual unbraced length
$K_0$	$K$ for the symmetrical case $\lambda=\alpha=1$
$L$	length of a member
$L_b, L_c$	lengths of beam and column respectively
$M_{ij}$	moment at end $i$ of the member $ij$
$P, P'$	column axial loads ( $P' \leq P$ )
$R = \Delta/L$	chord slope of a member
$U_1$ to $U_{11}$	see Eqs. (20a-k)
$V_1$ to $V_6$	see Eqs. (21a-f)
$W_1$ to $W_5$	see Eqs. (17a-e)

$W'_1, W'_2, W'_5$	$W'$ s for the symmetrical case $\lambda = \alpha = 1$
$W''_1, W''_2$	$W''$ s for the case $\lambda = \alpha = 0$
$\alpha =$	$I'_c/I_c$ ( $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ )
$\beta =$	$K/K_0$ using the same $G_A$ and $G_B$ for $K$ and $K_0$
$\Delta =$	deflection of one end of a member with respect to the other end
$\theta_i =$	slope of a member at end $i$ = rotation at joint $i$
$\lambda =$	$P'/P$ ( $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ )
$\phi =$	$\frac{L_c}{2} \sqrt{\frac{P}{EI_c}}$
$\phi' =$	$\frac{L_c}{2} \sqrt{\frac{P'}{EI'_c}}$

### References

1. *Commentary on the AISC Specification*, Manual of Steel Construction, Sixth Edition, American Institute of Steel Construction.
2. *Guide to Design Criteria for Metal Compression Members*, Column Research Council. 2nd Ed. 1966, John Wiley & Sons., N. Y.
3. GALAMBOS, T. V.: Influence of Partial Base Fixity on Frame Stability. Journal of the Structural Division, Am. Soc. of Civil Engrs. May, 1960, p. 85-108.
4. BLEICH, F.: Buckling Strength of Metal Structures. McGraw Hill Book Co., N. Y. 1952.
5. TIMOSHENKO, S. and GERE, J. M.: Theory of Elastic Stability. McGraw Hill Book Co., N. Y. 1961.
6. WINTER, G., HSU, P. T., KOO, B. and LOH, M. H.: Buckling of Trusses and Rigid Frames. Cornell University Eng. Exp. Sta. Bulletin No. 36, 1948.
7. CHU, K. H.: Secondary Moments, End Rotations, Inflection Points and Elastic Buckling Loads of Truss Members. Publications, International Association for Bridge and Structural Engineering V. 19, 1959, p. 17-46.
8. JOHNSON, D. E.: Lateral Stability of Frames by Energy Method. Trans. Am. Soc. of Civil Engrs. 1961 VI, p. 176.
9. BLASZKOWIAK, S. and KACZKOWSKI, Z.: Iterative Methods in Structural Analysis. Pergamon Press, London 1966.
10. ZWEIG, A.: Buckling Analysis of One-Story Frames. Journal of the Structural Division, Am. Soc. of Civil Engrs., Sept. 1968, p. 2107.

### Summary

In this paper, the basic buckling equation is derived for an unsymmetrically loaded unsymmetrical rectangular frame which may be considered as representing a portion of a multistory multibay frame. The ratio,  $K$ , of the effective column length to the actual unbraced length may be considered as equal to value of  $K_0$  obtained by the alignment chart given in the AISC Manual of Steel Construction multiplied by a coefficient  $\beta$ . A chart which gives average values of  $\beta$  is presented. For most practical cases, the maximum error of the

$K$  values obtained from this chart in conjunction with the AISC chart will be less than 10 per cent. Numerical examples are given for illustrating the use of the charts presented.

### Résumé

Dans cet article, les auteurs étendent l'équation générale du flambement au cas d'un cadre rectangulaire asymétrique et chargé asymétriquement que l'on peut considérer comme une portion d'un cadre à plusieurs étages et à plusieurs ouvertures. Le rapport  $K$  (longueur de flambement sur longueur théorique) peut être considéré comme égal à la valeur de  $K_0$ , obtenue par les diagrammes du manuel de l'AISC pour la construction métallique, multipliée par un coefficient  $\beta$ . On donne un diagramme indiquant des valeurs moyennes de  $\beta$ . Dans la plupart des cas, l'erreur maximum des valeurs  $K$  obtenues à l'aide de ce diagramme et de celui de l'AISC est en dessous de 10%. Pour montrer l'utilisation des diagrammes, on a apporté des exemples numériques.

### Zusammenfassung

In diesem Beitrag wird die Grundknickgleichung für einen unsymmetrisch belasteten, unsymmetrisch gebauten und rechteckigen Rahmen hergeleitet, der als Ausschnitt eines vielstöckigen und mit vielen Öffnungen versehenen Rahmens aufgefaßt werden kann. Das Verhältnis  $K$  der Knicklänge zur geometrischen Länge kann gleich dem Wert  $K_0$ , den man aus dem Schaubild des AISC-Handbuchs für Stahlkonstruktionen herausliest, multipliziert mit einem Beiwert  $\beta$  gesetzt werden. Ein Diagramm für durchschnittliche Werte von  $\beta$  ist abgebildet. Für die meisten praktischen Fälle liegt der maximale Fehler des Wertes  $K$ , den man aus dem Schaubild für  $\beta$  und  $K^0$  der AISC erhält, unterhalb zehn Prozent. Numerische Beispiele sind beigelegt worden, um die Anwendung der Schaubilder zu zeigen.

Leere Seite  
Blank page  
Page vide