| Zeitschrift: | IABSE reports = Rapports AIPC = IVBH Berichte |
|--------------|--|
| Band: | 67 (1993) |
| | |
| Artikel: | Fatigue reliability analysis of early steel railway bridges in India |
| Autor: | Ravi, G. / Ranganathan, R. |
| DOI: | https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51360 |
| | 1111ps.//doi.org/10.5109/seais-51500 |

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. <u>Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise</u>.

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. <u>See Legal notice.</u>

Download PDF: 06.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Fatigue Reliability Analysis of Early Steel Railway Bridges in India Sécurité à la fatigue d'anciens ponts-rails métalliques en Inde Ermüdungssicherheit früher Eisenbahn-Stahlbrücken in Indien

G. RAVI Research scholar Indian Inst. of Techology Bombay, India



G. Ravi, born in 1960, received his bachelor's degree in Civil engineering from the University of Mysore in 1982 and his M.E. degree from Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore. Presently, he is on deputation from National Institute of Engineering, Mysore, to pursue research towards doctoral degree at IIT, Bombay.

R. RANGANATHAN Professor of Civil Eng. Indian Inst. of Techology Bombay, India



R. Ranganathan, born in 1939, received B.E. and M.Sc. (Struct. Eng.) degrees from Madras University and Ph.D. from Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India. His main field of research is reliability analysis and design of structures in which he has written a text book and published a number of papers.

SUMMARY

The paper deals with the fatigue reliability analysis of early steel railway girder bridges in India. Available published data on stress spectrum obtained under actual traffic load and on fatigue tests conducted on samples of the bridge members are used in the study. Limit state equation is formulated using S-N curve approach and Miner's rule. Using level II method, reliability index of girders, chords etc. are calculated. It is found that there is a linear relationship between this reliability index and the logarithm of number of cycles. The developed relationship gives insight in deciding about inspection creteria.

RÉSUMÉ

Cet article évalue la sécurité à la fatigue d'anciens ponts à poutres métalliques des chemins de fer indiens. Cette évaluation repose sur les données publiées à partir de spectres de contraintes, enregistrés sous les charges mobiles actuelles, et d'essais à la fatigue effectués sur des échantillons d'éléments porteurs des ponts. La capacité portante limite est déterminée à partir du diagramme de résistance à la fatigue et de la règle de Miner. A l'aide d'une méthode de vérification du 2e degré, l'auteur calcule l'indice de fiabilité des poutres, des membrures etc. Ce faisant , il démontre l'existence d'une relation linéaire entre cet indice et le logarithme des cycles de charge alternée. Cet indice de fiabilité fournit un aperçu des moyens de sélectionner les critères d'inspection.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Der Beitrag behandelt die Abschätzung der Ermüdungssicherheit früher Stahlbalkenbrücken der indischen Eisenbahnen. Dabei stützt man sich auf publizierte Daten von Spannungsspektren, die unter Verkehr aufgenommen wurden, und auf Ermüdungstests an Proben aus Brückentraggliedern. Die Grentztragfähigkeit wird mittels des S-N-Kurvenansatzes und der Minerregel bestimmt. Mit einem Nachweis der Stufe II wird der Zuverlässigkeitsindex von Trägern, Verbänden usw. berechnet. Dabei findet man ein lineares Verhältnis zwischen diesem Index und dem Logarithmus der Lastwechselzahl, das eine Entscheidungsgrundlage für die Inspektionskriterien liefert.



1.0 INTRODUCTION

In Indian Railways, a large number of rivetted bridges which were built during the early part of this century are still in service and hence are in use for more than ninety years. With the increase in the train axle loading and their frequency of occurrence, these bridges are now subjected to relatively severe conditions and some of them have shown signs of distress. Even though most of these bridges have crossed their design life, their replacement with the new ones is not economically viable. With a rational method of evaluation, inspection and subsequent repair if needed, at regular intervals, their service life can be further extended at an acceptable risk level. A research study has been undertaken to develop a general method of reliability based design and evaluation of railway bridges. Attempts are being made to arrive at a rationally developed inspection strategy which would enable the designer to check about the possibility of service life extension of bridges.

The two key parameters required for any fatigue reliability analysis are : a) the load spectrum or the stress spectrum and b) fatigue test results to establish the S-N curve. Here S stands for stress range and N for number of cycles.

For getting the load spectrum, field measurements have to be done under actual traffic conditions for various members of different bridges. For conducting the fatigue tests, samples have to be taken from early steel girder bridges. An extensive study in this regard has been made by Research, Designs and Standards Organisation(RDSO)., Lucknow, India, the results being published as a report [1]. Necessary data for this study has been obtained from this report.

In this paper, the fatigue reliability analysis of Early Steel Girder Bridges has been presented. Using the results from RDSO report [1], S-N curve characteristics and equivalent stress range have been computed. A limit state equation based on Miner's rule has been formulated. Using the Advanced First Order Second Moment (AFOSM) method, the reliability index, β , has been found out for various cases.

2.0 ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF FATIGUE TESTS AND STRESS SPECTRUM

The results of fatigue tests and stress spectrum have been taken from Reference [1].Fatigue tests have been performed on specimens obtained from early steel girder bridges namely a)Mahanadi bridge b)Netravathi bridge, and c)Koakhai bridge. The specimens contain five rivet holes and some specimens with rivets also have been tested. Using the test results, a linear regression analysis [2] has been carried out to get the S-N curve parameters. The results are presented in Table 1.

For most of the structural details, the value of slope of S-N curve, m is taken as 3. However the results from Table 1 indicate that the range of m is 0.6954 to 4.6495. This could be due the variation in chemical composition and other factors like age of the structure, method of rivet hole preparation, rivet clamping force ,variation in loads and their frequency etc.. In Table 2, the computed values of mean and coefficient of variation (COV) of m and K are given.

Under the actual traffic conditions, stress records have been obtained over a period of time and then using rain flow [3] method of cycle counting stress histograms are created [1].For the stress histograms available in Reference

46

| Sl.No. | Details of the bridge | S - N curve parameters | | | |
|--------|--|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Inverse | Intercept K (in MPa units) | | |
| | | slope m | | | |
| | A.Mahanadi bridge | | | | |
| 1 | Bottom chords (BC) | 4.649 | 2.473 E + 15 | | |
| 2 | Stringers (ST) | 1.298 | 1.518 E + 08 | | |
| 3 | Cross girders (CG) | 4.522 | 1.303 E + 15 | | |
| 4 | Top chords (TC) + BC | 1.567 | 7.518 E + 08 | | |
| 5 | End rakers (ER) + Di.agonals (DG) | 2.651 | 6.233 E + 10 | | |
| 6 | ER + DG + TC | 2.628 | 5.389 E + 10 | | |
| 7 | ST + CG | 2.370 | 3.071 E + 10 | | |
| 8 | All members | 1.804 | 1.879 E + 09 | | |
| | B.Netravathi bridge | | | | |
| 9 | BC + DG + ER | 1.033 | 6.780 E + 06 | | |
| 10 | All members | 0.695 | 1.815 E + 06 | | |
| | C.Inter bridge combinations | | | | |
| 11 | Cross girders | 1.128 | 8.251 E + 06 | | |
| 12 | Bottom chords | 1.308 | 2.467 E + 08 | | |
| 13 | End rakers | 3.248 | 1.052 E + 12 | | |

Table 1 Linear regression results

| Sl.No. | Description | Mean | Coefficient of variation (COV | |
|--------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Intercept K | 2.906 E + 14 | 2.575 | |
| 2 | Slope m | 2.223 | 0.578 | |

Table 2 Statistics of S - N curve parameters

[1], equivalent stress range ${\rm S}^{}_{\rm re}$ has been computed as follows [4]

$$s_{re} = \left[\sum f_{i} s_{i}^{m}\right]^{1/m}$$
(1)

where f_i is the relative frequency of the stress range S_i , m is the average value of slope .The results obtained for various cases are listed in Table 3 .

| Sl.No. | Description of bridge | s (MPa) re (MPa) | No. of cycles / day |
|--------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Mahanadi | | |
| 1 | Stringer | 17.881 | 1170 |
| 2 | Cross girder | 20.161 | 1160 |
| 3 | Bottom chord | 49.109 | 116 |
| 4 | Diagonal | 25.068 | 424 |
| | Baitarani | | |
| 5 | Stringer | 28.144 | 1217 |
| 6 | Cross girder | 26.135 | 365 |
| | Krishna | | |
| 7 | Stringer | 65.988 | 1966 |
| 8 | Cross girder | 74.273 | 1328 |
| 9 | Bottom chord | 104.799 | 188 |
| 10 | Diagonal | 52.831 | 36 |
| | | | |

| Table 3 | Equivalent | stress | range | for | all | members |
|---------|------------|--------|-------|-----|-----|---------|
| | | | | | | |

3.0 LIMIT STATE FORMULATION AND RELIABILITY ANALYSIS

To carry out the reliability analysis, the limit state equation has to be formulated. This equation is developed based on Miner's model for cumulative damage [5].

The cumulative damage, D, is given by

$$D = \sum n_i / N_i$$
 (2)

where n_i is the number of cycles of stress range S_i and N_i is the number of cycles to failure at constant stress range S_i . The value of N_i is to be chosen from S-N curve. Failure state is reached when D is equal to one. If summation is written for each cycle,

$$D = \sum 1/N(S_{i})$$
 (3)

summation being carried out for each cycle. From the S-N curve, the following relation is written for constant stress range.

 $K = N \ S^m \tag{4}$ However, for variable amplitude stress range, knowing the stress histogram, equivalent stress range can be calculated from Eq.1 . Hence Eq.3 is written as

Introducing the equivalent stress range, $S_{\rm re}$, the above equation reduces to $D = (N/K) \ \left(S_{\rm re}\right)^m \ (6)$

Hence the limit state equation is

$$g = D - (N/K) (S_{re})^{m}$$
 (7)

and the failure surface equation is

$$D-(N/K) (S_{re})^{m} = 0$$
 (8)

The modified form of limit state equation is obtained by taking logarithm on either side of Eq.6. Thus

$$\log(D K) - m \log S_{re} - \log N = 0$$
 (9)

is the equation for the failure surface and the modified limit state equation is

$$g = \log(D K) - m \log S_{re} - \log N$$
 (10)

The random variables are D, K and m. The statistics of K and m have already been fixed and given in Table 3 . Mean value and COV of D, obtained from Reference[6], are 1.044 and 0.3 respectively. Having Eq.10 as the limit state equation, reliability index, β , is computed for various cases using a program based on AFOSM method. N represents the desired life in cycles. All the variables are assumed to be lognormally distributed. The computed values of β are presented in Tables 4 and 5 for different bridges.

4.0 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this study, fatigue reliability analysis of early steel girder bridges with S-N curve approach has been presented. Using the fatigue test results and stress measurements obtained from Reference [1], β values for various cases have been presented in Tables 4 and 5 .Table 1 presents the S-N curve characteristics.

From Tables 4 and 5, it can be seen that the average value of eta is around 2 which corresponds to a probability of failure of 2.3 per cent. This average value of β is the same as proposed by Moses et al [7] for fatique evaluation of highway bridges. Bottom chords being the main members of a truss bridge have a higher stress range than other members and hence there is a reduction in beta value for these members. However from Table 3, it is observed that the number of cycles per day for bottom chords is very low when compared to other members. It is also seen that there exists a linear relationship between β and logarithm of number of cycles, N.For various cases, this relationship has been established and the results of this analysis are presented in Table 6 . A typical plot of β versus log N values for stringer of Mahanadi bridge is shown in Fig.1 .Considering all the members and bridges together , an average ß versus log N curve is obtained as shown in Fig.2. .

| | | | | | ***** | |
|---------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|--|
| Sl. No. | No. of cycles | Reliability index ß | | | | |
| | N×10 ⁶ | Stringer | Cross girder | Bottom chord | Diagonal | |
| | Mahanadi bridge | | | | | |
| 1 | 12.81 | 2.076 | 2.001 | 1.517 | 1.870 | |
| 2 | 2.00 | 2.270 | 2.194 | 1.711 | 2.064 | |
| 3 | 1.75 | 2.283 | 2.208 | 1.724 | 2.072 | |
| 4 | 1.50 | 2.299 | 2.223 | 1.740 | 2.092 | |
| 5 | 1.25 | 2.316 | 2.240 | 1.757 | 2.110 | |
| 6 | 1.00 | 2.338 | 2.262 | 1.779 | 2.132 | |
| 7 | 0.75 | 2.365 | 2.289 | 1.806 | 2.160 | |
| 8 | 0.50 | 2.403 | 2.327 | 1.845 | 2.197 | |
| 9 | 0.25 | 2.466 | 2.390 | 1.907 | 2.260 | |
| 10 | 0.10 | 2.546 | 2.470 | 1.987 | 2.340 | |
| | Krishna bridge | | | | | |
| 1 | 12.81 | 1.381 | 1.329 | 1.186 | 1.483 | |
| 2 | 2.00 | 1.575 | 1.523 | 1.380 | 1.677 | |
| 3 | 1.75 | 1.588 | 1.536 | 1.393 | 1.690 | |
| 4 | 1.50 | 1.603 | 1.552 | 1.408 | 1.705 | |
| 5 | 1.25 | 1.621 | 1.569 | 1.426 | 1.723 | |
| 6 | 1.00 | 1.643 | 1.591 | 1.448 | 1.744 | |
| 7 | 0.75 | 1.670 | 1.618 | 1.475 | 1.771 | |
| 8 | 0.50 | 1.708 | 1.656 | 1.513 | 1.809 | |
| 9 | 0.25 | 1.771 | 1.719 | 1.576 | 1.872 | |
| 10 | 0.10 | 1.851 | 1.799 | 1.656 | 1.952 | |
| | | | | | | |

Table 4 Value of reliability index for members of Mahanadi and Krishna bridges


| S.No | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $\times 10^{10}$ | 12.81 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.10 |
| パ for _* ST | 1.805 | 1.998 | 2.012 | 2.027 | 2.044 | 2.066 | 2.093 | 2.131 | 2.194 | 2.274 |
| /³ for★ CG | 1.846 | 2.040 | 2.053 | 2.068 | 2.086 | 2.108 | 2.135 | 2.173 | 2.236 | 2.316 |


* Note: ST- Stringer; CG- Cross girder

Table 5 β values for members of Baitarani bridge

| Sl.No | Description | Equation to the line |
|-------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Mahanadi bridge | |
| 1 | Stringer | $\beta = -0.2219 \log N + 3.6655$ |
| 2 | Cross girder | $\beta = -0.2219 \log N + 3.5895$ |
| 3 | Bottom chord | $\beta = -0.2219 \log N + 3.1065$ |
| 4 | Diagonal | $f^3 = -0.2219 \log N + 3.4594$ |
| | Baitarani bridge | |
| 5 | Stringer | $\beta = -0.2220 \log N + 3.3939$ |
| 6 | Cross girder | $3 = -0.2219 \log N + 3.4354$ |
| | Krishna bridge | |
| 7 | Stringer | $f^3 = -0.2220 \log N + 2.9706$ |
| 8 | Cross girder | $\beta = -0.2220 \log N + 2.9188$ |
| 9 | Bottom chord | $\beta = -0.2220 \log N + 2.7757$ |
| 10 | Diagonal | $\beta = -0.2219 \log N + 3.0719$ |
| 11 | All the cases | $\beta = -0.2219 \log N + 3.2387$ |

Table 6 Relationship between β and log N for various cases





 $\frac{\text{Fig. 2}}{\log N} \text{ Relationship between } \beta \text{ and} \\ \log N \text{ considering all cases}$



From Fig 2, it can be seen that for a β value of 2, log N is equal to 5.5. This gives the number of cycles as $\simeq 0.3 \times 10^{\circ}$. For the stringer of Mahanadi bridge undergoing 1170 cycles per day, this would mean that a detailed inspection has to be done once in every 250 days. Similarly for the bottom chord of Krishna bridge, the optimum inspection interval would be four years. Hence if the inspection is accompanied with subsequent repair if needed, the service life of the member of the bridge could be further extended at a acceptable risk level. Thus these results provide an insight to the fatigue behaviour of early steel girder bridges and also help in deciding about the optimum interval for inspection and evaluation. These results also aid in fixing a target reliability index for the design of steel bridges.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thank Director General, RDSO, India, and other officials of the organisation for the material support rendered during the preparation of this work.

REFERENCES

- Investigations on the Assessment of Residual Fatigue Life of Early Steel/Wrought Iron Girder bridges. Civil Engg. report No. C -245, RDSO, Ministry of Railways, Lucknow, India, July 1987.
- 2. LELAND BLANK, Statistical Procedures for Engg., Management and Science McGraw Hill Book Company, 1980.
- 3. SCHILLING, C.G., Stress Cycles for Fatigue Design of Steel Bridges. Journal of Struct. Engg., ASCE, Vol 110, No.6, June 1984.
- 4. WHITE G.J., AYYUB, B.M., Reliability Based Fatigue Design of Ship Structures. Naval Engineer's Journal, May 1987.
- 5. BANNANTINE, J.A., COMER, J.J., HANDROCK, J.L., Fundamental of Metal Fatigue Analysis. Prentice - Hall publication 1990.
- 6. WIRSCHING, P.W., Fatigue Reliability Analysis of Offshore Structures. Journal of Struct. Engg., ASCE, Vol.110, No.10, Oct. 1984.
- 7. MOSES, F., SCHILLING, C.G., RAJU, K.S., Fatigue Evaluation Procedures for Steel Bridges . NCHRP Rept. No. 299, Nov .1987.

52