Zeitschrift: Swiss review: the magazine for the Swiss abroad

Herausgeber: Organisation of the Swiss Abroad

Band: 22 (1995)

Heft: 2

Artikel: Federal referendums of March 12, 1995 : an agricultural No, a financial

Yes

Autor: Tschanz, Pierre-André

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-906950

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

Download PDF: 28.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

An agricultural No, a financial Yes

In the federal referendums of March 12. Swiss voters rejected the three agricultural proposals which were put to them, but they approved the brake on expenditure. At 37% participation was the lowest for four years.

voted on its own. In all six cantons there was a Yes vote for the constitute was a Yes vote for the constitute was a Yes vote for the constitute was rejected by nearly two votes to one. tutional article on agriculture, while the dairy industry change was approved by on agriculture, which was aimed at four cantons and the solidarity contri- making solidarity contributions combution bill by three cantons.

The new draft constitutional article on agriculture was meant to replace the by one in two voters. present provisions, which have formed Second World War. It was a counterproject to a popular initiative - since withdrawn - by the Swiss Farmers' Union and would have inscribed in the constitution the multi-functional character of agriculture. It was rejected by 50.8% of voters and by 14 cantons.

The modification of the 1988 federal decision on the dairy industry would Sfr. 2 million. have allowed transfer of milk quotas. PAT This relaxation, which was fought by

rench-speaking Switzerland again the Association for the Protection of

The modification of the federal law pulsory in order to adapt production to market requirements, was also rejected

In contrast, there was an enthusiastic the basis of agricultural policy since the welcome for the draft constitutional article putting a brake on expenditure. This was approved by 83% of voters and all cantons. In future a majority of members of both houses of parliament and no longer a majority of those present - will be required for one-off expenditure of more than Sfr. 20 million or recurrent expenditure of more than



The "losers". Melchior Ehrler, head of the Swiss Farmers' Union: "We were unable to convince voters of what we wanted. The result will make farmers even more wary of politics". (Photos: Keystone)



The "winners". Ruedi Baumann, co-president of the Association for the Protection of Small-Scale and Medium-Scale Farmers: "The threefold No is not directed against farmers but is a rejection of the old agricultural policy".



A bio-farmer in Canton Berne. The three-fold No on March 12 should swing Swiss agricultural policy in his direction.

Der Bund

ary materials.

L'Impartial

very good lesson.

Die Oftschweis

Tages Anxeiger

The powerlessness of parliament as well

as the indecisive policy of Agriculture

Minister Delamuraz deserved what they

got. It is now up to them to take quick

action on elementary demands for an

eco-certificate in exchange for direct

payments, a comprehensive duty to

declare and incentive charges on auxili-

In truth the results are not a real dis-

avowal of the government: agricultural

jectives have not been put back into

question. This was rather a matter of

The weekend's results showed how

badly the Farmers' Union and its centre-

right lobbyists stitched up the proposals

in parliament. All attempts to move

agriculture in a more effective green

direction sunk in the political quagmire.

The power of the traditional farmers' re-

presentatives and their Union in federal

politics has been broken. It is true that

they still have their say in parliament,

and the Council of States in particular

lends an ear to them. But fortunately

voters are no longer playing along.

Press review

Many newspaper columns were devoted to the lessons of the three-fold No to the government's agricultural proposals. Most commentators are of the opinion that agricultural reform should be

Neue Zürcher Zeitung

Agriculture should develop in an ecological direction increasingly and more quickly while becoming much more market-oriented. These are the signals sent by the very close vote against the agricultural article. But the signals remain unclear. For it is not easy to distinguish from the unholy alliance behind the referendum whether voters were more impressed by the call for increased competition, entrepreneurship and EU-compatible lower prices or that for radical bio-production.

Luzerner Neuste Nachrichten

The referendum results are a defeat for the butter, cheese and milk barons. Together with their parties they did everything possible in both the Council of States and the National Council to prevent government benefits being linked in any way to ecological provisions. If only a few small steps had been made in this direction - for example, a duty to declare for agricultural products - the constitutional article at least would have been less open to

Nouvelliste

Does this mean that French-speaking cantons, which accepted the article, are less green and more miserly? Not at all. As usual they are sensitive to the "foreign policy" component of the proposed package, i. e. the Gatt perspec-

Giornale del Popolo

The Federal Council has not succeeded in convincing voters of its serious intention to subject agriculture to a face lift. This is partly due to the fact that the referendum package put to voters was weighed down by two cumbersome issues: changing the decision on the dairy industry and introducing compulsory solidarity contributions. These two proposals made voters suspicious of new bureaucratic red tape and even abuse.

The verdict is clear: now a completely new agricultural policy must come which finally takes account of the needs of consumers and taxpayers.

Referendum results

Constitutional article on agriculture (Counter-proposal to the popular initiative "For an environment-friendly and competitive agricultural industry")

YES 835,051 (49,2%) Cantons: AI, FR, GE, JU, LU, NE, OW, TG, VD, VS

NO 864,871 (50.8%) Cantons: AG, AR, BE, BL, BS, GL, GR, NW, SG, SH, SO, SZ, TI, UR,

Modification of the federal decision on the dairy industry YES 619,779 (36.6%) NO 1,077,135 (63.4%)

Modification of the federal law on agriculture

YES 568,886 (33.6%) NO 1.125,183 (66,4%)

Federal decision on curbing expenditure

YES 1,387,556 (83.4%) All cantons 277.816 (16.6%)

Voter participation: 37%

Commentary

policy reform is in progress, and its ob- The voters' verdicts in the federal ref-

The huge Yes vote to curb expendi-

The triple No to the agricultural

agricultural policy now seems to be opposed on a wide front. The Swiss have had enough of their over-protected and hyper-subsidised agriculture. They want farmers to be more respectful of nature, and they prefer small family enterprises producing healthier food at lower prices - a type of agriculture which is more in tune with the mood of consumers

The policy which the government is trying to put in place at present does go in that direction. The agricultural reform process began three years ago liberalisation.

Swiss voters did not say No three old agricultural policy and the country's agricultural mandarins. This is why, in spite of the triple No. Berne should continue its reform of Swiss

erendums of March 12 sent out an important political signal. They showed a testing the wind - but nevertheless a desire for greater agricultural reform and a return to balanced federal budgets.

ture is first and foremost an encouragement to the government to pursue with determination its structural budget reform policy. It is also a vote of appreciation to Finance Minister Otto Stich for his perseverance, combined under the dual pressure of domestic with a warning to parliament to stop dissatisfaction and international trade

policy proposals is a shot across the times to the reform process but to the bows for the agricultural lobby. The times have passed when farmers could feed at a trough replenished by the government and consumers. This was a natural follow-up to three other such agricultural policy and even go faster. warnings: the No to the reform of the The government is now promising to sugar industry in 1986, the near success strengthen the ecological dimension of of an initiative by small-scale farmers the reform process. This is just what in 1989 and the No to viticulture reform voters want! five years ago. Switzerland's traditional Pierre-André Tschanz