

# Results of the federal referendum of March 10, 1996 : regional policy and jobs come first

Autor(en): **Tschanz, Pierre-André**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Swiss review : the magazine for the Swiss abroad**

Band (Jahr): **23 (1996)**

Heft 2

PDF erstellt am: **22.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-907744>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Federal referendums of June 9, 1996

# Under the banner of reform

**Two subjects will be put to the vote on June 9: a new draft constitutional article on agriculture and a new law which is part of the ongoing reform of the government and the federal administration.**

In March 1995 Swiss voters rejected three proposals on agriculture, one of which defined a new role and administrative framework for this sector. The government and parliament

*Pierre-André Tschanz*

interpreted this triple No as a desire to hasten the liberalisation of agriculture and make it more ecological.

## More ecological, more competitive

In consequence, the government has now worked out an agricultural reform programme entitled "Agriculture 2002", and parliament has responded to a popular initiative by drafting a new

### Federal referendums

**June 9, 1996**

- Federal Assembly counter-proposal to the popular initiative "Farmers and Consumers - For an Agriculture in line with Nature"

- Federal law on the organisation of the government and the administration

**September 22, 1996**  
Subjects not yet decided

**December 1, 1996**  
Subjects not yet decided

constitutional article on agriculture. This is the result of a compromise between all those concerned and has enabled the group of ecologists, consumers and small-scale farmers to withdraw their initiative, "Farmers and Consumers - For an Agriculture in line with Nature".

The proposed constitutional article is more wide-ranging than the one rejected by voters last year. It would give the federal government the power to supplement the mutual aid which must in any case be undertaken by the agricultural sector with measures to encourage farmers cultivating the soil. To this end Berne would top up farmers' incomes by direct payments provided a number of ecological requirements were satisfied. Incentives are also planned to promote agricultural techniques which pay increased respect to the environment and animal life, a mandatory quality declaration for foodstuffs and preventive measures against abusive use of chemical and natural fertilisers.

As was the case last year, the new draft constitutional article would not change the general direction of agriculture in practical terms. On the contrary, its intention is to provide a framework for the new agricultural policy which has gradually been developed since the beginning of the decade and which aims to adjust Swiss agriculture to the requirements of both the market and ecology.

### Government reform

Last October both houses of parliament approved with big majorities an initial series of measures to reform the government and the administration. But one of the changes contained in the new law - to increase the number of secretaries of state - is opposed by some right-wing groups, who have collected 72,000



More ecology in agriculture - one of the main aims of the revised constitutional article. (Photo: Keystone)

signatures against it and thus forced a referendum.

The main aims of the new law are to improve the conditions in which the government works and to increase its efficiency by freeing officials from

some of their administrative duties to allow them to concentrate on the real tasks of government. There are two important changes. The first - which gives the Federal Council sole responsibility for organising the administration (creat-

ing, abolishing, regrouping and transferring posts) - has raised no objections. However, the second - giving the Federal Council power to appoint additional secretaries of state - led to a referendum campaign by some right-

Results of the federal referendums of March 10, 1996

## Regional policy and jobs come first

Less than 30% of voters turned out for the federal referendums of March 10. This very low participation was mainly due to the relatively minor importance of the decisions to be taken. Of the five subjects put to the vote only the centralisation of the purchase and maintenance of personal equipment for the military was refused, by a majority of both the people and the cantons. The new draft constitutional article on languages was approved by more than three-quarters of those voting. In general terms the results demonstrate voter support for regional policy and jobs.

- The constitutional article on languages: The change in Article 116 of the federal constitution is limited to measures aimed at supporting Romansh and Italian and encouraging exchange between the linguistic communities.

Although it took several years for parliament to make up its mind on this matter, the new text was approved comfortably by 76.1% of those voting and by all cantons. It should be said, however, that the final version did not contain anything to threaten linguistic

balance in Switzerland.

- Vellerat: The ratification of the transfer of this municipality of 70 inhabitants from Canton Berne to Canton Jura was approved by more than nine out of ten voters and all cantons.
- Personal equipment for the military: A majority of voters agreed with opponents of this measure which would have saved Sfr. 15 million but would have threatened the jobs of 2,000 tailors and saddlers. 56.1% voted against the centralisation of the purchase and main-

tenance of personal equipment for military personnel, with only the cantons of Basle, Geneva and Zurich in favour.

- Hard liquor: 80.8% of voters and all cantons approved the abolition of the federal obligation to buy back distilling equipment and to take responsibility for hard liquor distilled in Switzerland. This measure, also aimed at balancing the federal budget, will save about Sfr. 3.5 million a year.
- Railway station car park facilities: The third austerity measure, to abolish federal subsidies for station car parks, will produce annual savings of about Sfr. 20 million. Regional and transport policy were the main reasons for the relatively high proportion of those who said No (46% of voters and 9 cantons).

**PAT**

### Referendum result

**Revision of the language article in the federal constitution**  
YES 1,245,363 (76.1%)  
All cantons  
NO 329,057 (23.9%)

**Transfer of the Bernese municipality of Vellerat to Canton Jura**  
YES 1,245,363 (91.7%)  
All cantons  
NO 113,881 (8.3%)

**Abolition of cantonal responsibility for the acquisition of the personal equipment of military personnel**  
YES 602,441 (43.9%)  
Cantons: BL, BS, GE, ZH  
NO 772,415 (56.1%)  
Cantons: AG, AI, AR, BE, FR, GL, GR, JU, LU, NE, NW, OW, SG, SH, SO, SZ, TG, TI, UR, VD, VS, ZG

**Abolition of the federal obligation to buy distilling equipment and to take responsibility for hard liquor**  
YES 1,086,041 (80.8%)  
All cantons  
NO 258,550 (19.2%)

**Abolition of federal subsidies for railway station car park facilities**  
YES 737,243 (54.0%)  
Cantons: AG, AI, AR, BE, BL, BS, GE, GL, GR, LU, NW, OW, SG, SO, TI, ZG, ZH  
NO 630,382 (46.0%)  
Cantons: FR, JU, NE, SH, SZ, TG, UR, VD, VS

**Voter participation: 29.9%**