

Zeitschrift: Swiss review : the magazine for the Swiss abroad
Herausgeber: Organisation of the Swiss Abroad
Band: 32 (2005)
Heft: 1

Artikel: Culture : Pius Knüsel: "We promote intercultural understanding"
Autor: Knüsel, Pius / Eckert, Heinz
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-906601>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 28.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

sales and dissemination, and is developing at the spectacular pace of new markets. In all fields of art, says Jaggi, dissemination and communication play a decisive role, and cultural creativity is increasingly performing a supporting function, as a pretext or vehicle for economic interests. And she goes even further: "Will culture perhaps soon be regarded as a genuine export arm of the Swiss economy?," asks the Pro Helvetia President.

Culture has become big business and a competitive battle between numerous different providers and producers: a market that is increasingly dictated by the laws of supply and demand.

The need for financial support from public funds has also increased. For instance, the annual number of applications to the Pro Helvetia Foundation between 1972 and 2003 rose by 215 to 3500. Federal contributions increased over the same period from CHF 5.5 million to CHF 35 million per year. While the number of applications has in-

creased by a factor of 16, the funds available to Pro Helvetia have only risen by a factor of six during the same period.

Although cultural policy is the responsibility of the cantons and no national Swiss policy on culture exists, the government contributes some CHF 230 million every year towards promoting culture. The government, cantons and communes together spend an annual CHF 1.8 billion on cultural activities.

At the federal level, the Federal Office for Culture (FOC) with its 470 part-time employees, and the public-law Pro Helvetia Foundation with a staff of 119, are responsible for the promotion of culture. The FOC, to which the Swiss National Museum and the Swiss National Library belong, supports cultural umbrella organisations, such as Cinéma-thèque, by contributing funds for operations. The FOC is also active in monument conservation and film promotion, and is responsible for cultural dissemination and the promotion of reading.

Pro Helvetia, founded in 1939 for national defence of the intellect, also has a legal mandate to ensure cultural exchange with countries abroad.

On a smaller scale and with different objectives, the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC) and Presence Switzerland act as cultural communicators and promoters. SDC spends an annual CHF 12 million in poor countries on "the formation and preservation of unique and varied cultural landscapes", and Presence Switzerland uses culture to enhance Switzerland's image and promote its economy.

All the above-mentioned institutions share a common objective, which David Streiff, outgoing Director of the Federal Office for Culture, defines thus: "They aim to spread awareness of Switzerland abroad, facilitate dialogue, promote the exchange of ideas between nations, and demonstrate that Switzerland is a creative and original country."

Pius Knüsel: "We promote intercultural understanding"

Switzerland exports more than just clocks, chocolate and cheese. It also exports culture. What is the aim behind this?

We asked Pius Knüsel, Director of Pro Helvetia, a foundation that promotes the international exchange of culture.

"Swiss Review": What can and should cultural promotion achieve abroad?

Pius Knüsel: Cultural promotion abroad, or rather the dissemination of culture abroad, strengthens relations between Switzerland and other countries, forges links between artists, and promotes intercultural understanding.

According to which criteria are cultural ambassadors or cultural projects selected for "export"?

1. According to demand. The host country must demonstrate an interest. Generally speaking, event organisers assume part of the risk.

2. According to quality. Only culturally compelling projects are supported. Even if the artistic message has to be repeatedly re-interpreted, witness Paris in December 2004.

3. According to the potential of the message. We support only productions which we assume are understandable by the host country.

Where is the focus – in terms of content and geography – and why?

In terms of content, there are no focal areas since we respond to demand. Greater emphasis on individual disciplines is dictated by the way the international cultural

market functions, as well as the strengths and weaknesses of the Swiss production. Currently there is a boom in architecture, visual arts and dance. But that may change.

Geographically, the lion's share of resources goes to our neighbouring countries, Germany, France and Italy, followed by the rest of Europe and then, much further down the list, the USA, Asia, southern Africa and the rest of the world. The weighting is directly linked to the strength of the markets in question. Countries such as those in Europe, which are well equipped with cultural resources, generate a larger demand for culture from Switzerland and are also grateful recipients.

How do you define the target public abroad?

People interested in and working in cultural areas, ultimately the intellectual and urban public associated with the host country's cultural institutions. In principle, we never initiate the event ourselves but rather rely on our partners' instigation.

Are there good and bad cultural exports? "No," says David Streiff, "the question is not whether an idea is good or bad, but whether it will be understood or not. Take, for example, a Swiss film that shows the fate of an extremely rich but depressive woman, how she suffers in her villa surrounded by luxury, and how she finally throws herself out of the window onto the lawn below. We cannot show this in countries where the majority of residents live their whole lives waiting for running water. The film would not be understood there. This is why it's very important, for the success of a cultural exchange, to work with local artists who are familiar with the local mentality."

According to Streiff, the various institutions coordinate their activities and work seamlessly alongside each other, since each of them has a clearly defined task to perform. Nevertheless, there is no shortage of harsh criticism from the media and parliament against the Federal Office for Culture

and the Pro Helvetia Foundation. In times of empty state purses, cultural subsidies repeatedly come under fire.

Last December an exhibition by Bernese artist Thomas Hirschhorn at the Centre Culturel Suisse in Paris hit the headlines: "Star artist mocks Switzerland" screamed the front page of the tabloid "Blick", and the "Neue Zürcher Zeitung" criticised the "Mistake in the Swiss Cultural Centre in Paris". Pro Helvetia paid CHF 180,000 for an exhibition which not only put Federal Councillor Blocher in the firing line and made a farce of direct democracy, but also compared Switzerland to the Iraqi torture prison of Abu Ghraib.

Has Pro Helvetia spent its money unwisely this time? Centre-right politicians were outraged and shocked, and SVP President Ueli Maurer claimed that the cultural foundation's job was to promote Switzerland, rather than the opposite. Pro Helvetia immediately defended the Hirschhorn exhibition, citing the artistic freedom of an inter-

nationally-known artist to make his own statement on the cultural platform of a democratic state. The cultural foundation, however, distanced itself from the attack on Federal Councillor Blocher but stressed that it regarded it as one of the great virtues of a democratic society to support artists which criticised that very society. Federal Councillor and Minister of Culture Pascal Couchepin also showed understanding and said that it was petty to squabble over Pro Helvetia's contribution to the exhibition. "Politicians should not watch over all the details, like political commissars," declared Couchepin, who last summer denounced a state-promoted film in which his name was blackened. The Council of States, on the other hand, showed no sympathy for Hirschhorn's work and reduced subsidies for Pro Helvetia by CHF 1 million. A perfect shambles...

Continued on page 8

Do Swiss schools also play a role?

No. Nor are Swiss Abroad a target public for Pro Helvetia. Both groups are too small to justify the expense. Usually, their interests cannot be addressed in the same way as those of interested partner institutions in host countries.

How important are the services provided to Pro Helvetia by Swiss embassies?

It varies. For large-scale programmes, the mediation and organisational services are essential. For smaller projects, embassies frequently act as relay stations.

Which area do you believe is the best example of outstanding, effective cultural export?

The 17 exhibitions of contemporary Swiss art held in association with the ARCO 2003 art show in Madrid. Or the "0406 Swiss Contemporary Arts in Japan" programme, which will bring some 25 productions representing contemporary Swiss culture to various Japanese cities as part of the Aichi 2005 World Expo.


Pro Helvetia's activities do not always find favour with Swiss politicians. The Hirschhorn exhibition in Paris cost you one million francs in subsidies. Do you draw any conclusions from this unique incident?

There is no doubt that the political message behind the cutback will have a lasting effect on the Foundation. At the operational level, we will investigate how the decision was arrived at. We will also have to communicate more carefully. What is astonishing about the affair is the fact that the French public is reacting positively to the

Hirschhorn exhibition and cannot understand why the Swiss parliament was so angered.

The interview was conducted by Heinz Eckert

Translated from German.



Pro Helvetia Director Pius Knüsel: "Communicate more carefully".