Zeitschrift: Swiss review: the magazine for the Swiss abroad

Herausgeber: Organisation of the Swiss Abroad

Band: 37 (2010)

Heft: 2

Artikel: Relations between Switzerland and the EU: policy on Europe in a cul-

de-sac

Autor: Ribi, Rolf

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-906730

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

Download PDF: 15.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Policy on Europe in a cul-de-sac

Switzerland's bilateral approach to Europe has proven successful so far. Yet this tack on Europe is becoming increasingly difficult to maintain. Our dependence on the European Union is growing, while our sovereignty is in decline. Critics of the Federal Council's policy on Europe are now calling for an open public debate on accession to the European Union.

The following events unfolded last November at the Federal Palace in Berne, Guido Westerwelle, the new German Foreign Minister, was asked by the media what he thought of the reignited debate on Swiss ac cession to the European Union. Before the official state visitor could reply, his Swiss counterpart, Micheline Calmy-Rey, said that no such debate was taking place in Switzerland, even though Federal Council lor Moritz Leuenberger had recently de clared: "I'll tell you what I think. We must join the European Union. Accession will happen, maybe not tomorrow, but soon." And just last spring, former Federal Coun-cillor Pascal Couchepin said: "Perhaps the time has come to hold an open public de-bate in Switzerland about the benefits and

drawbacks of EU membership."

A proposal put forward by Free Democrat Christa Markwalder, National Councillor for Berne, last autumn created new momentum in the debate on Europe. The president of the "Neue Europäische Bewegung Schweiz" (New European Movement in Switzerland) called on the Federal Coun cil to present to Parliament "without delay the advantages and disadvantages of the policy options with regard to Europe as well as specific measures for future policy on Euas specine measures for future policy on Eu-rope." No fewer than 10 members of the National Council – i.e. more than half of the People's Chamber – signed the parliamen-tary proposal. The Federal Council ac-knowledged receipt of the proposal and once again endorsed the bilateral approach to the European Union.

Bilateral approach to Europe

Ever since the Swiss people narrowly rejected membership of the European Economic Area (EEA) – and the cantons resoundly dismissed it – on 6 December 1992, Switzerland has pursued a bilateral ap-

proach to Europe (see "Swiss Review" No. 1/2007). In its 2006 Europe Report, the Federal Council concluded that the continuation of bilateral cooperation was cur rently the instrument best suited to pro tecting Swiss interests. In the Foreign Policy Report of 2009, the government confirmed this position, "which enjoys the broad sup port of the population". To this day, the bi-lateral approach has been lauded and reaffirmed again and again like a litany by representatives of the government, Parlia-ment, centre-right parties and the business

What impact have the bilateral agree ments with the European Union actually had? Can we continue down the bilateral road with Europe and at what cost? According to the Foreign Policy Report, Switzerland is trying to establish "excellent relations" with the Union. As a result of clever Swiss diplomacy, a vast array of bilateral agreements has emerged over the years around 20 of which are "very significant"

The first and second bilateral agreements (approved by the Swiss people in 2000 and 2005) constitute the cornerstone. This approach has provided the Swiss business world with privileged access to Europe's vast single market, which contains almost 500 million people. The Federal Council re-port states: "It is unarguably in the interests of Switzerland to continue the development of its relations with the EU by concluding additional agreements in other areas of mu

The bilateral tack has clearly delivered economic success. Switzerland earns one in three francs from trade with EU countries, and the European Economic Area accounts for 62% of our exports and even 81% of our imports. The free movement of persons has proven a key growth driver – the professional expertise of tens of thousands of mansional expertise of tens of thousands of man-agers, engineers, doctors and skilled work-ers ensures annual increases in productivity. "Switzerland is today better integrated into the EU area economically than almost any other European country", wrote the Neue Zürcher Zeitung. We have "conditions similar to those of the single market safe guarded in international law by a cluster of bilateral agreements".

The European Union, of course, also has

strong interests in the relationship. Switzer-land is its second most important economic partner – notably ahead of China, Japan and India – and surpluses are achieved. Switzer-land, with its leading financial centre, is a major investor and provides employment for tens of thousands of EU citizens on both

sides of the border. As a transit country with a modern transport infrastructure, Switzerland plays a vital role in the trans-portation of goods in Europe. And yet the scales are not balanced. Switzerland (with seven million inhabitants) clearly has a much greater interest in access to Europe than the Union (with almost half a billion people) has in access to Switzerland.

High road or cul-de-sac?

Many people see the bilateral relationship with the European Union as the high road. But this viewpoint has been brought into question recently by leading politicians and professors of international law. Kaspar Vil-liger, former Federal Councillor and Fi-nance Minister and an opponent of accession to the EU, warns of the "major risks involved in this approach". He states that access to the European single market is absolutely vital to Switzerland, which puts it in a very vulnerable position. Each new bilateral agreement actually makes Switzer land more dependent on the European Union and reduces the political distance

from Brussels.

Anyone who wants to trade with the European Union must adopt the respective Community law (acquis communautaire). Bilateral treaties are invariably static in nature. However, the content of the agree ments develops and requires constant amendment. The European Union has re-cently even called for the automatic adoption of developments in EU law. "This un-derlines the fact that the cost of to agreement", wrote the Neue Zürcher

Zeitung.
Yet Switzerland is constantly amending its own laws even without direct pressure from Brussels. Thomas Cottier, professor of European law from Berne, believes around fifty percent of Swiss law is now in-fluenced by EU law. Sometimes European law is adopted directly, and sometimes it has an indirect impact on our legislation. The Federal Council refuses to recognise adapted legal provisions as being such. According to Thomas Cottier, "if our population knew how much EU law we have already adopted, this would unquestionably have an impact on the debate on EU acces-sion". When Switzerland brings its own laws into line with European law, this is referred to as "autonomous adaptation". Peter von Matt, a former professor of literature in Zurich, sees this officialese as a

grotesque phrase".

Kaspar Villiger believes the magnificent construction of bilateral relations is "in grave danger of collapse". This is because if Switzerland fails to implement any one of the agreements required by the European Union, the future of the entire series of bi-lateral relations is left hanging in the bal-ance. This is what happened with the continuation of the agreement on the freedom of movement of persons last year. The continuation of this agreement was linked to the rest of the first set of bilateral agreements, jeopardising the future of the entire bilateral approach.

The comments of Foreign Minister Micheline Calmy-Rey in 2006 on the gov ernment's Europe Report are still valid: 'The bilateral approach remains the best so-lution provided that economic developments are not detrimental to us, the EU is willing to support us in the bilateral route and Switzerland receives sufficient scope for participation in decision-making." However, limitations are set out in the latest For-eign Policy Report: "The bilateral approach must not lead to de facto membership without voting rights", which is followed by this statement: "If political or economic factors were in future to create the need for integration of a higher order, then consideration would have to be given to the best ways of achieving it – one of which would be accession to the European Union.

Criticism of policy on Europe

One of the most severe critics of the policy on Europe is Franz von Däniken, former State Secretary at the Federal Department of Foreign Affairs. He argues that "one of the great illusions in Swiss politics is the be-lief that the bilateral approach in relations with the European Union enhances the sovereignty of Switzerland. In actual fact, the opposite is true". He argues that (material) sovereignty is undergoing "constant erosion". EU law shapes the content of our bilateral agreements with Brussels "to the greatest possible extent". Switzerland has to adopt Community law "as a general rule". to acopt Community law "as a general rule". It is no longer even free to choose the sub-ject area. Franz von Däniken says: "There are issues that are imposed, even forced, upon us." Federal Berne is under the "illu-cion their in the state." sion that it enjoys freedom in negotiations and agreements".

The criticism voiced by Franz Blankart –

former State Secretary for Foreign Eco-nomic Affairs and chief negotiator on the agreement on the European Economic Area (EEA) - concerning the Federal Council's policy on Europe is no less damning. He states: "Not only does Switzerland extensively adopt relevant EU law through supposed "autonomous adaptation", the Euro pean Union is now also demanding that future EU law is adopted into our law unseen." He believes the numerous cases of au tonomous adaptation are "extremely alarm ing in respect of sovereignty". "When will we reach the level of autonomous adapta-tion where, in terms of economic and com-



Swiss banking confidentiality is under threat

mercial law. Switzerland becomes an EU colony with local self-government?", says Blankart sarcastically.

Blankart sarcastically.

Thomas Cottier, professor of European law in Berne, believes Switzerland has already become a "passive member of the EU" because around half of federal law is influenced by European legislation. His studies show that Switzerland "adopts European regulations as a general rule". Sovereignty is formally maintained but substantively there is a growing area in which EU law is adopted without democratic debate. Tho mas Cottier says: "This represents a loss of sovereignty and also democracy in a coun-try that is so proud of its democratic partic-ipation." Dieter Freiburghaus, a former professor in Lausanne and author of a com-prehensive book on sixty years of Swiss pol-icy on Europe, highlights a "gradual loss of sovereignty". He believes the set of agree-ments with the European Union have be-come "so substantial and have encroached so far" that their rescission would have "ir calculable economic consequences" and is no longer an option for Switzerland. He states: "This effectively constitutes a re striction on sovereignty." Freiburghaus asks himself "how long Switzerland is prepared to accept a semi-colonial relationship with the EU for the sake of material wealth.

What will happen if the "pain threshold" of the bilateral relationship with the European Union is reached? There are only three options: going it alone, joining the Eu-ropean Economic Area or EU accession. According to Micheline Calmy-Rey, "the one thing we cannot afford to do is to isolate ourselves". Such a step would result in such a significant loss of prosperity, in view of the international focus of the Swiss busi-ness world, that it would be virtually unacceptable to the people.

EEA as an alternative?

Switzerland could join the European Eco-nomic Area (EEA), which Norway, Iceland and Liechtenstein still belong to, at any time. The EEA agreement aims to ensure extensive participation of third countries in the European single market. The four freedoms of movement of goods (excluding agricultural products), persons, capital and services form a common basis, supple mented by common rules in individual a eas. The EEA covers important areas which are not covered by the bilateral agreements

(such as competition law and the movement

f services and capital).
On 6 December 1992, the Swiss people narrowly rejected joining the EEA with 50.3% voting against the proposal. Shortly before Referendum Sunday, the Federal Council declared accession to the EU a goal and submitted an accession application to Brussels, which proved to be an historic mis take. This had a distortive effect on the result of the referendum, a European policy odyssey began, the economy entered a ten-year period of stagnation and the upsurge of

the Swiss People's Party (SVP) started.

Some experts believe the European Economic Area is still a relevant issue. According to the prominent commercial lawver Pe r Nobel, Switzerland has "moved into ar offside position in terms of policy on Europe". This expert on European law be-lieves the bilateral route is a cul-de-sac which can no longer be extended. He says: "In the current situation, a new EEA agree-ment would be the realistic solution. It would institutionalise our relations with the EU and afford us a degree of input." Rudolf Strahm, former National Councillor and price inspector, also sees the benefits of EEA membership: complete integration into the European single market, consulta-tion on the development of EU law, possible exemption provisions and more influence in negotiations with Brussels. He asks: Who in Switzerland has the political power and courage to re-launch the debate on an

agreement with the successful EEA?"
Franz Blankart, former senior diplomat and EEA chief negotiator, says: "The accession to the EU of Iceland, and possibly Nor

way, would give Switzerland a unique op portunity to join the EEA and benefit from the institutional superstructure and from co-determination." This would restore "peace and dignity to our dealings with our most important business partner". A certain sense of reluctance would have to be overcome in light of the negative outcome of the 1992 referendum. He adds: "Otherwise, politicians will have to argue the case ssion to the European Union.'

EU accession becomes an issue

The Federal Council confirmed EU membership as a "strategic objective" in the 1993 Foreign Policy Report. In 2000, the government made reference to an accession objective, but it was no longer "strategic". By 2005, integration into the European Union had been downgraded to a mere "long-term option". According to the Neue Zürcher Zeitung, "Switzerland's gradual distancing of itself from EU membership reveals the tension in its relations with the EU".

Calls from prominent figures for access sion to the European Union are getting louder. One such figure is former senior diplomat Franz von Däniken, who says "The bilateral approach makes us more de pendent on the EU and not more independent. If we joined the EU, we would gain in sovereignty thanks to greater room for ma noeuvre and the right of participation." An-other advocate is Thomas Cottier, a profes-sor in Berne. He argues: "We have to find the strength to come to terms with the na tion's future in Europe. Political efforts must be made to overcome the taboo surrounding the issue of accession." The Neuc

Zürcher Zeitung has also added its voice to the calls: "A healthy democracy like Swit-zerland would do well to objectively examine the accession issue from time to time and all the more intensively, the nearer Switzerland moves towards the gates of Brussels."

The government's 2006 Europe Report sets out the consequences of full EU mem-bership for Switzerland. They can be summarised as follows

- Direct democracy: The political rights of the people would continue to apply; the material scope of application would be restricted as powers would be transferred to the EU. Accession would be subject to a mandatory referendum. Referenda would be possible for amendments to legislation as a result of EU directives (not for directly applicable EU law). Popular initiati appiteathe EU law). Popular initiatives would still be possible in the area of EU law. If referendum decisions were to conflict with EU law, negotiations would have to be conducted with Brussels. In return, Switzerland would obtain full participa tion rights at European level in the Council of Ministers, the European Parliament and European Court of Justice. Swiss citi-zens could vote and be elected anywhere in Europe at community level, take part in EU referenda and be involved with European popular initia
- Federalism: There would be no fundamental changes. Each country determine for itself how its system of government is anised. Several EU states are organised federally.

- Neutrality: Our neutrality would remain untouched provided the EU does not become a military alliance and force us to take part in military operations. Other neutral states, such as Austria and Sweden are already full members.
- Economy, finance: National economic policy as well as financial and monetary policy would undergo restrictions. Interes rates would rise if Switzerland were to join the euro. VAT would have to be increased to at least 15%. Switzerland would contribute CHF 3.4 billion net each year to the EU hudget (around 0.7% of gross national income). CHF 700 million or more currently goes to Brussels each

If the Swiss people were asked to make a decision on accession to the EU today, they would probably reject it. Since the unsuc cessful EEA debate, Europe has been widely portrayed as the enemy in public opinion, a perception that is constantly reinforced by the Swiss People's Party ("small countries have little say, Brussels bureaucracy, for eign courts"). However, it is the stance of the business world and, above all, banks that is key. Business leaders and banking chiefs are strongly opposed to membership of the European Union. They particularly fear en-

croachment into social policy, employment law and banking confidentiality. Economiesuisse, the Swiss Business Fed-eration, never tires of extolling the virtues of the bilateral approach and calling for new agreements with the EU. It argues: "Adop tion of European financial and fiscal policy, financial and monetary policy as well as employment and social policy would have a negative impact on the competitiveness of Swiss companies. Accession to the EU is not an option for the Swiss business world." Opposition is equally strong from the banking sector. The banking lobby is always present in Berne's corridors of power whenever banking confidentiality, tax evasion by for eigners, the taxation of savings income agreement with the EU or the cantons holding taxes (criticised by Brussels) are on

Fainthearted "political class"

The economic success of the bilateral agree ments has to date prevented politicians and the Swiss people from facing up to the pros-pect of joining the European Union. However, open debate on Europe is urgently needed now that the bilateral approach is becoming increasingly difficult and in view of the clear loss of national sovereignty Only, the "political class" has so far lacked the courage to strike while the iron is hot. Worse still, according to Franzvon Däniken, "the diplomatic protection of interests in Europe is no longer a priority for the Federal Council"

And what about the Swiss people? They can go on dreaming about the myth of the independent small state epitomised by the words of folk hero William Tell: "The strong man is strongest alone. A man counts only certainly on himself." Or they could listen to Jakob Kellenberger, former State Secretary in the Federal Council and cur-rent president of the International Com-mittee of the Red Cross: "I can't under-stand why a country like Switzerland does not work resolutely towards accession to the EU. This is the only option if we are to e our interests are represented in the right place in Europe."

DOCUMENTATION
Thomas Cottier, Rachel Liechti-McKee (ed.):
Die Schweiz und Europa, Wirtschaftliche Integration
und institutionelle Abstineur. VdH Hochschulverlag AG. Berne 2009, 35e pages. CHF 58, EUR 39, 90
www.wdl.eth.ac. h)
Dieter Freiburghaus: Königsweg oder Sockgasse?
Sechzig Jahre schweizerische Europapolitik. Verlag
Newe Zürcher Zeitung, Zurich 2009, 445 pages. CHF 48,
EUR 31, www.mzz-Libro.ch
EUR 31, www.mzz-Libro.ch
(both documents available at www.bbl.admin.ch/
dienstleistungen
Documentation centre www.dokus-zug.ch



Switzerland's relationship with the EU



erland to the EU