Zeitschrift: Swiss review : the magazine for the Swiss abroad

Herausgeber: Organisation of the Swiss Abroad

Band: 44 (2017)

Heft: 2

Vorwort: Mass immigration - a muddled situation

Autor: Lehtinen, Marko

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

Download PDF: 28.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Contents Editorial 3

5 Mailbag

6 Focus Immigration, a complex issue

10 Politics
Referendum results from 12 February
The bill on the 2050 energy strategy

14 Society Recognition for Yeniche and Sinti people

16 Economy
The new Swissness Act and its ramifications
News from around the world

- 17 Literature series

 Daniel de Roulet in New York
- 18 Culture
 A profile of the slam poet Hazel Brugger
- 20 Science Swiss drones set the standard
- 22 Sport
 Armon Orlik, the new rising star of
 Swiss wrestling
- 24 OSA news
- 26 news.admin.ch
- 28 Images
 The Grisons artist Andreas Walser
- 30 Books
 Elisa Shua Dusapin: "Winter in Sokcho"
- 30 Sounds
 Albin Brun & Patricia Draeger: "Glisch d'Atun"
- 31 Echo
- 31 This and that

Mass immigration – a muddled situation



The issue of immigration should have been resolved some time ago. A resolution on the implementation of the mass immigration initiative was passed by Parliament last December, well over two years after the initiative was approved by the Swiss people. Yet, opposition stirred on the benches of the National Council. Immediately after the final vote the Swiss People's Party (SVP) parliamentary group accused Parliament

of violating the constitution – and not unjustly as little remained of the original content of its initiative. The will expressed by the Swiss people to control immigration through quotas and maximum numbers had been sidestepped. These requirements were replaced by a so-called "priority for Swiss, light version".

The implementation of the initiative was a mission impossible from the outset. How was the will of the people to be implemented without jeopardising the free movement of persons with the EU? It was a case of to-the-letter or at least analogous implementation of the initiative versus prioritising national interests. Consultations were held with the EU. It then became clear that the European Union would not accept the planned quotas. In the meantime, the idea of a safeguard clause had been put forward based on annual ceilings for immigrants in the event of a certain threshold being exceeded. In the end, Parliament agreed on the "priority for Swiss, light version", which is essentially just a form of priority for Swiss people who are unemployed.

"Mass immigration" will continue to be an issue in Switzerland despite the adoption of the resolution in December. The SVP has already announced a popular initiative on the free movement of persons with the EU. The "out of the cul-de-sac" initiative, which provides for the complete deletion of the article on mass immigration from the constitution, is also being put to the vote. And, as if the situation were not already complicated enough, an individual member of the Swiss Social Democratic Party (SP) recently called a referendum against the act on the mass immigration initiative, paradoxically to firmly establish it through a popular vote. He is a supporter of the act.

The situation is very muddled. What are the major obstacles? Where do the contradictions lie? What are the priorities? We try to shed light on these points in this issue's focus article, to look back at the last three years of political trench warfare and to take stock of the situation to allow us to gain a reasonable understanding of the complex debate that Switzerland still faces on immigration.

MARKO LEHTINEN, EDITOR-IN-CHIEF