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# On a Special Class of Hamiltonian Graphs 

Gary Chartrand ${ }^{1}$ ) and Hudson V. Kronk

One of the most basic questions asked about a graph (finite, undirected, without loops or multiple edges) is whether its structure is such that it can be traversed or traced in a certain manner. Undoubtedly, the two most important classes of graphs dealing with traversability are the eulerian graphs and the hamiltonian graphs. A graph $G$ is eulerian if it has a closed path (called an eulerian path) containing every edge of $G$ exactly once and every vertex of $G$ at least once, while $G$ is hamiltonian if it has a closed path containing every vertex of $G$ exactly once, i.e.. if it has a hamiltonian cycle.

A graph $G$ is said to be randomly eulerian from a vertex $v$ if the following procedure always results in an eulerian path. Begin at the given vertex $v$ and traverse any incident edge. On arriving at a vertex, choose any incident edge which has not yet been traversed. When no new edges are available the procedure terminates. These graphs have also been referred to as arbitrarily traversable from $v$ and arbitrarily traceable from $v$ and have been investigated by Bäbbler [1], Harary [3], and Ore [4].

This suggests the following concept. We define a graph $G$ to be randomly hamiltonian from the vertex $v$ if the following procedure always results in a hamiltonian cycle. Begin at the vertex $v$ and proceed to any adjacent vertex. On arriving at a vertex, select any adjacent vertex not previously encountered. When no new vertices remain, then an edge exists between the final vertex chosen and $v$, and the procedure terminates. Thus in a graph $G$ which is randomly hamiltonian from a vertex $v$, any path beginning at $v$ can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle. Graphs which are randomly hamiltonian from every vertex were characterized in [2] and are called simply randomly hamiltonian graphs.

It is the object of this article to present a characterization of graphs which are randomly hamiltonian from a vertex, and thereby provide a classification of all such graphs.

It is convenient to introduce notation for several types of graphs which are encountered throughout the course of this article. The complete graph with $p$ vertices is denoted by $K_{p}$, while $C_{p}$ represents the cycle with $p \geqslant 3$ vertices. The complete bipartite graph $K(m, n)$ is the graph with $p=m+n$ vertices whose vertex set $V$ can be partitioned as $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ such that $\left|V_{1}\right|=m,\left|V_{2}\right|=n$, and vertices $u$ and $v$ are adjacent if and only if $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}, i \neq j$. It was shown in [2] that a graph $G$ with $p \geqslant 3$ vertices is randomly hamiltonian if and only if it is one of the graphs $K_{p}, C_{p}$, and $K(p / 2, p / 2)$.

[^0]We express a graph $G$ as $H+v$ provided $v$ is a vertex of $G$ adjacent to all other vertices of $G$, where then $H$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by the removal of $v$ and all edges incident with $v$. For example, the graph $C_{n}+v$ is often referred to as the wheel $W_{n}$. The graphs $K(3,3)+v$ and $W_{5}=C_{5}+v$ are illustrated in Figure 1. In each case, the graph is randomly hamiltonian from $v$.


$W_{5}=C_{5}+v$

Figure 1
Two graphs which are randomly hamiltonian from the vertex $v$

Of course, if a graph $G$ is randomly hamiltonian from a vertex, then $G$ is hamiltonian and therefore has a hamiltonian cycle. Thus whenever we have a graph $G$ with $p$ vertices which is randomly hamiltonian from a vertex we assume the existance of a hamiltonian cycle $C$ whose vertices are labeled cyclically $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{p}$. Each edge of $G$ then either belongs to $C$, and is called a cycle edge of $G$, or joins two nonconsecutive vertices of $C$ and is called a diagonal.

If $G$ is a graph which is randomly hamiltonian from some vertex (and which contains a hamiltonian cycle $C$ labeled as earlier indicated), then any cycle of $G$ containing exactly one diagonal of $G$ is called an outer cycle of $G$. An outer $n$-cycle has length $n$, and an outer 3 -cycle is also referred to as an outer triangle.

We now present the main result of the paper.
Theorem. A graph $G$ is randomly hamiltonian from a vertex $v$ if and only if $G$ is randomly hamiltonian or $G=H+v$, where $H$ is randomly hamiltonian.

Proof. If $G$ is a randomly hamiltonian graph containing a vertex $v$ or if $G$ is expressible as $H+v$, where $H$ is randomly hamiltonian, then it is easily observed that $G$ is randomly hamiltonian from $v$.

Conversely, let $G$ be a graph with $p$ vertices which is randomly hamiltonian from the vertex $v$. Thus $G$ contains a hamiltonian cycle $V$ whose vertices we label cyclically as $v=v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p}$.

Suppose that $G$ is not randomly hamiltonian so that $G$ is none of the graphs $K_{p}, C_{p}, K(p / 2, p / 2)$. In particular, this implies that $G$ contains diagonals so that $G$ necessarily contains outer cycles. Hence the vertex $v$ belongs to one or more outer cycles.

Let $n$ be the length of the smallest outer cycle containing $v$. We first show that there exists an outer $n$-cycle containing $v$ but in which $v$ is not the endpoint of the associated diagonal. Suppose that the vertices of an outer $n$-cycle are $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$. Consider the path which commences at $v_{1}$, proceeds to $v_{n}$ along the diagonal $v_{1} v_{n}$, and encounters in succession the vertices $v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \ldots, v_{p}$. Since $G$ is randomly hamiltonian from $v=v_{1}$ and $v$ belongs to no outer $k$-cycle, $k<n$, the diagonal $v_{p} v_{n-1}$ must be present in $G$. Hence $v$ belongs to the outer $n$-cycle whose vertices are $v_{p}, v_{1}, \ldots$, $v_{n-1}$. In a similar way, one can show that if $v_{p-n+2} v_{1}$ is a diagonal of $G$, then $v_{p-n+3} v_{2}$ is a diagonal of $G$.

Thus we may assume the existence of an outer $n$-cycle whose vertices are $v_{m}$, $v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_{p}, v_{1}, \ldots v_{k-1}$, where $m=p-n+k$ and $3 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. We now show that the diagonals $v_{m-1} v_{k-2}$ and $v_{m+1} v_{k}$ are present in $G$ in addition to $v_{m} v_{k-1}$. We begin a path at $v=v_{1}$ and proceed along $C$ to $v_{p}, v_{p-1}, \ldots, v_{m}$. Following along the diagonal $v_{m} v_{k-1}$ to $v_{k-1}$ and then taking $v_{k}, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{m-1}$, we see that $v_{m-1} v_{k-2}$ is a diagonal of $G$ since $G$ is randomly hamiltonian from $v$ and $v$ belongs to no outer $t$-cycle, $t<n$. Similarly, by applying the preceding arguments to the path $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_{m}, v_{m-1}$, $v_{m-2}, \ldots, v_{k}$, we observe that $v_{m+1} v_{k}$ is a diagonal of $G$.

We now prove that $n<5$, for suppose, to the contrary, that $n \geqslant 5$. We have already seen that exists an outer $n$-cycle whose vertices are $v_{m}, v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_{p}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}$, where $m=p-n+k$ and $3 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, and, in addition, the edges $v_{m-1} v_{k-2}$ and $v_{m+1} v_{k}$ belong to $G$. Furthermore, since $n \geqslant 5, v_{1}$ is not adjacent to both $v_{m}$ and $v_{k-1}$. Let us say that $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to $v_{k-1}$, the other case being handled analogously. We now construct a path which beins at $v=v_{1}$ and takes in succession $v_{p}, v_{p-1}, \ldots, v_{m+1}$. We then proceed to $v_{k}$ via the diagonal $v_{m+1} v_{k}$ and move along $C$ in the order $v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}$, $\ldots, v_{m-1}$. On reaching $v_{m-1}$, we next take $v_{k-2}$ (which is different from $v$ ), $v_{k-1}$, and then $v_{m}$. Since $G$ is randomly hamiltonian from $v$, there exists either a vertex not yet encountered which is adjacent to $v_{m}$ or the edge $v_{m} v$ which completes a hamiltonian cycle. In either case, there exists an edge $v_{m} u$, where $u$ is one of the vertices $v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}$, $\ldots, v_{p}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-3}$, which determines an outer cycle containing $v$ having length less than $n$, and this is a contradiction.

We now show that $n \neq 4$. To prove this, we assume $n=4$ so that $v$ belongs to an outer 4 -cycle but not an outer triangle. From what we have shown above, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $v_{p}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ are the vertices of an outer 4 -cycle. Since $G$ is randomly hamiltonian from $v=v_{1}$, the path $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{p}, v_{p-1}$, $v_{p-2}, \ldots, v_{4}$, which contains all vertices of $G$, implies that $v_{1} v_{4}$ is an edge of $G$. The path $v_{1}, v_{4}, v_{3}, v_{p}, v_{p-1}, v_{p-2}, \ldots, v_{5}$ contains all the vertices of $G$ with the exception of $v_{2}$; hence $v_{2} v_{5}$ is an edge of $G$. Next the path $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{5}, v_{4}, v_{3}, v_{p}, v_{p-1}, v_{p-2}, \ldots, v_{6}$ contains all the vertices of $G$ and, as such, implies that $v_{1} v_{6}$ is an edge of $G$. Continuing inductively, it is now easily verified that all edges of the type $v_{1} v_{2 m}$ belong to $G$ as do all edges of the type $v_{2} v_{2 m+1}$. From this it now follows that every two vertices $v_{\alpha}$
and $v_{\beta}$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are of opposite parity, are adjacent. To see this, let $v_{2 r}$ and $v_{2 s+1}$ be two non-consecutive vertices of $C$, where $v_{2 r}$ is different from $v_{2}$ and $v_{2 s+1}$ is not $v_{1}$. There are two cases to consider according to whether the path $v_{2 r}, v_{2 r+1}$, $\ldots, v_{2 s+1}$ does or does not contain the vertex $v$. We treat here only the latter case, the former case being handled in a similar manner. We construct a path which begins at $v_{1}$, proceeds along a diagonal to $v_{2 s}$, then along $C$ to the vertices $v_{2 s-1}, v_{2 s-2}, \ldots$, $v_{2 r+1}$, from where we move to $v_{2}$ by way of the diagonal $v_{2} v_{2 r+1}$. Next we proceed to $v_{2 r-1}$ via the diagonal $v_{2} v_{2 r-1}$ and then take $v_{2 r-2}, v_{2 r-3}, \ldots, v_{3}, v_{p}, v_{p-1}, \ldots, v_{2 s+1}$, which produces a path failing only to contain $v_{2 r}$. Since $G$ is randomly hamiltonian from $v$, the edge $v_{2 r} v_{2 s+1}$ must be present in $G$. Finally, we show that if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are of the same parity, then $v_{\alpha}$ and $v_{\beta}$ are not adjacent. We consider here only the case where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are odd, the other case following similarly. Assume, to the contrary, that the vertices $v_{2 r+1}$ and $v_{2 s+1}$ are adjacent, where $2 r+1<2 s+1$, say. The path $v_{1}, v_{2 s+2}, v_{2 s+3}, \ldots, v_{p}, v_{3}, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{2 r+1}, v_{2 s+1}, v_{2 s}, \ldots, v_{2 r+2}$ fails only to contain the vertex $v_{2}$; thus $v_{2} v_{2 r+2}$ is an edge of $G$. From this we see that the path $v_{1}, v_{p}, v_{p-1}, \ldots$, $v_{2 r+2}, v_{2}, v_{2 r+1}, v_{2 r}, \ldots, v_{3}$, which contains all vertices of $G$, implies that $v_{1} v_{3}$ is a diagonal of $G$. However, this contradicts the fact that $v_{1}$ belongs to no outer triangle. Hence, $v_{\alpha}$ and $v_{\beta}$ are adjacent if and only if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are of opposite parity. This implies that $p$ is even since $v_{1} v_{p}$ is an edge of $G$. Furthermore, be letting $V_{1}=\left\{v_{2 n} \mid n=1\right.$, $2, \ldots, p / 2\}$ and $V_{2}=\left\{v_{2 n-1} \mid n=1,2, \ldots, p / 2\right\}$, we see that $G$ is the graph $K(p / 2, p / 2)$, which, as noted earlier, is randomly hamiltonian. However, this is a contradiction since it is contrary to our assumption that $G$ is not randomly hamiltonian.

We now arrive at the conclusion that the only possible value is $n=3$; thus $v$ belongs to an outer triangle. From methods similar to those we have already employed, it is immediately established that $G$ contains the edges $v_{1} v_{3}, v_{2} v_{p}$, and $v_{1} v_{p-1}$. Thus $v_{1}$ is adjacent to each of the vertices $v_{2}, v_{3}$, and $v_{p}$. However, $v_{1}$ is necessarily adjacent to all other vertices of $G$, for if $v_{1} v_{k}$ is an edge of $G, 3 \leqslant k<p-1$, then so too is $v_{1} v_{k+1}$ an edge of $G$ since the path $v_{1}, v_{k}, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{2}, v_{p}, v_{p-1}, \ldots, v_{k+1}$ contains all vertices of $G$ and therefore $v_{1}$ is adjacent to $v_{k+1}$. The result then follows by induction.

Hence we may express $G$ as $H+v$. The only remaining detail now is to verify that $H$ is randomly hamiltonian. In order to prove this, it is necessary to show that any path $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}$ of $H$ can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle of $H$. Since $v$ is adjacent to $u_{1}: v, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}$ is a path of $G$ and can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle $v, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_{p-1}, v$ of $G$. However, $v$ is also adjacent to $u_{2}$; thus $v, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots, u_{p-1}$ is also a path of $G$ and can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle of $G$. This implies that $u_{p-1} u_{1}$ is an edge of $G$ so that $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{p-1}, u_{1}$ is a hamiltonian cycle of $H$. Hence $H$ is randomly hamiltonian, completing the proof.

The preceding theorem now indicates that the only graphs with $p$ vertices which are randomly hamiltonian from some vertex $v$ are $C_{p}, K_{p}, K(p / 2, p / 2), C_{p-1}+v$, and $K((p-1) / 2,(p-1) / 2)+v$. As one final observation, we state the following.

Corollary. The number of vertices in a graph $G$ with $p$ vertices from which $G$ is randomly hamiltonian is either 0,1 , or $p$.
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