

A Note on the Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry.

Autor(en): **Ojanguren, M. / Sridharan, R.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **44 (1969)**

PDF erstellt am: **23.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-33775>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

A Note on the Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry

M. OJANGUREN and R. SRIDHARAN¹⁾

Introduction

The aim of this note is to prove a generalisation to commutative rings of the classical fundamental theorem of projective geometry. In § 1, we introduce the notions of projective spaces and projectivities. In § 2, we prove the main theorem. The method of proof is similar to the proof of the theorem in the classical case as found for example in ARTIN [1]. The proof, as in the classical case, is elementary, but is trickier. In § 3, we give an example to show that a bijection between projective spaces of the same dimension which preserves collinear points is not necessarily a projectivity. This is in contrast to what happens in the case of projective spaces over fields.

§ 1. Projective Spaces and Projectivities

Let A be a commutative ring with 1 and let M be a free A -module. Let $P(M)$ denote the set of all A -free direct summands of rank 1 of M . This set is called the *projective space associated to M* . Clearly, any element of $P(M)$ is of the form Ae where e is a unimodular element of M , i.e. there exists a linear form $g: M \rightarrow A$ with $g(e) = 1$. If (e_1, \dots, e_n) is a basis for the A -module M and $e = \sum a_i e_i$, then we note that e is unimodular if and only if $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} A e_i = A$. If the ring A is such that every projective module of rank 1 is free, then $P(M)$ coincides with the usual projective space of algebraic geometry [2, p. 13].

DEFINITION. Let M and N be free modules over commutative rings A and B respectively. A map $\alpha: P(M) \rightarrow P(N)$ is called a *projectivity* if α is bijective and for $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in P(M)$, we have $\alpha p_1 \subset \alpha p_2 + \alpha p_3$ in N if and only if $p_1 \subset p_2 + p_3$ in M .

This definition generalises the classical notion of projectivity between projective spaces over fields.

We note that by the very definition, $\alpha^{-1}: P(N) \rightarrow P(M)$ is also a projectivity. For later purposes, we need the following

LEMMA 1. With the notation above, if e_1, \dots, e_n is a basis of M and $e \in M$ a unimodular element such that $Ae \subset \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} A e_i$, then $\alpha Ae \subset \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \alpha A e_i$.

¹⁾ The authors thank Prof. ECKMANN for having given them the opportunity to work at the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik, ETH.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on k . Let $e = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} a_i e_i$. Then $e' = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} a_i e_i + e_k$ is unimodular and $Ae \subset Ae' + Ae_k$. By definition this implies that $\alpha Ae \subset \alpha Ae' + \alpha Ae_k$. Let $e'' = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k-2} a_i e_i + e_k$. Since $e' \in Ae'' + Ae_{k-1}$, we again have $\alpha Ae' \subset \alpha Ae'' + \alpha Ae_{k-1}$. We thus have $\alpha Ae \subset \alpha Ae'' + \alpha Ae_{k-1} + \alpha Ae_k$. By induction,

$$\alpha Ae'' \subset \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k-2} \alpha Ae_i + \alpha Ae_k \quad \text{and hence} \quad \alpha Ae \subset \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \alpha Ae_i.$$

Let A and B be rings and $\sigma: A \rightarrow B$ a homomorphism. If M and N are modules over A and B respectively, then a map $\Phi: M \rightarrow N$ is called σ -semilinear if Φ is additive and $\Phi(am) = \sigma(a)\Phi(m)$ for all $a \in A, m \in M$. If M and N are free modules over A and B of the same rank and $\Phi: M \rightarrow N$ a σ -semilinear map which takes a basis (e_1, \dots, e_n) of M into a basis of N , then if $e = \sum a_i e_i$ is a unimodular element of M , then $\Phi(e) = \sum \sigma(a_i)\Phi(e_i)$ is unimodular in N . For, if $\sum \lambda_i a_i = 1, \lambda_i \in A$, we have $\sum \sigma(\lambda_i)\sigma(a_i) = 1$ which implies $\Phi(e) = \sum \sigma(a_i)\Phi(e_i)$ is unimodular. It is clear that we have an induced map $P(\Phi): P(M) \rightarrow P(N)$ by setting for any unimodular element e of $M, P(\Phi)(Ae) = B\Phi(e)$. We then have the following rather obvious

PROPOSITION 1: *With the same notation as above, for any $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in P(M)$ with $p_1 \subset p_2 + p_3, P(\Phi)p_1 \subset P(\Phi)p_2 + P(\Phi)p_3$. If σ is an isomorphism, then $P(\Phi)$ is a projectivity.*

§ 2 The Theorem

Our object in this section is to prove the following theorem which generalises to commutative rings the classical ‘‘Fundamental theorem of projective geometry’’.

THEOREM. *Let M and N be free modules of finite rank ≥ 3 over commutative rings A and B respectively. If $\alpha: P(M) \rightarrow P(N)$ is a projectivity, then there exists an isomorphism $\sigma: A \rightarrow B$ and a σ -semilinear isomorphism $\Phi: M \rightarrow N$ such that $\alpha = P(\Phi)$. If $\sigma_i: A \rightarrow B, i = 1, 2,$ are isomorphisms and $\Phi_i: M \rightarrow N$ are σ_i -semilinear isomorphisms such that $P(\Phi_1) = P(\Phi_2)$, then there exists a $b \in B$ such that $\Phi_1 = b \cdot \Phi_2$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$.*

Proof. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis for M and let $\alpha Ae_i = Bf_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. We assert that f_1, \dots, f_n generate the B -module N . Since any element of N is a linear combination of elements of a basis for N , it is enough to check that any unimodular element $f \in N$ is a linear combination of f_1, \dots, f_n . If $e \in M$ is a unimodular element with $\alpha Ae = Bf$ and $e = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i e_i$, we have $Ae \subset \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Ae_i$ and by lemma 1, we get $Bf \subset \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Bf_i$.

This proves that f_1, \dots, f_n generate N . Since B is a commutative ring, this implies that $\text{rank } N \leq n$. Since α^{-1} is also a projectivity, it follows that $\text{rank } M = \text{rank } N$ and f_1, \dots, f_n is a basis for N .

Let $\alpha A e_1 = B f_1$ and $\alpha A e_2 = B g_2$. Now $e_1 + e_2$ is unimodular and $A(e_1 + e_2) \subset A e_1 + A e_2$ which implies that $\alpha A(e_1 + e_2) \subset B f_1 + B g_2$. Hence $\alpha A(e_1 + e_2) = B(b_1 f_1 + b_2 g_2)$. Since $A e_2 \subset A e_1 + A(e_1 + e_2)$ we have $B g_2 \subset B f_1 + B(b_1 f_1 + b_2 g_2)$. Thus $g_2 = b f_1 + c(b_1 f_1 + b_2 g_2)$. Since f_1, g_2 are independent, it follows that $c b_2 = 1$, i.e. b_2 is a unit in B . Similarly b_1 is also a unit. Writing $f_2 = b_1^{-1} b_2 g_2$, we see that f_2 is unimodular, $B f_2 = B g_2$ and $\alpha A(e_1 + e_2) = B(f_1 + f_2)$. Doing this for any $i > 1$, we get a basis f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n of N such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha A e_i &= B f_i & 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \alpha A(e_1 + e_i) &= B(f_1 + f_i) & 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

It is clear as before that for any $a \in A$ $\alpha A(e_1 + a e_2) = B(b_1 f_1 + b_2 f_2)$ with b_1 a unit of B . Thus we can write

$$\alpha A(e_1 + a e_2) = B(f_1 + \sigma(a) f_2), \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma: A \rightarrow B$ is a well defined map. Clearly

$$\sigma(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(1) = 1. \quad (3)$$

For any fixed $i > 2$, we can similarly define $\tau: A \rightarrow B$ by

$$\alpha A(e_1 + a e_i) = B(f_1 + \tau(a) f_i) \quad (4)$$

and we have

$$\tau(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(1) = 1. \quad (5)$$

Since $e_1 + a e_2 + a' e_i \in A(e_1 + a e_2) + A e_i$, we have $\alpha A(e_1 + a e_2 + a' e_i) \subset B(f_1 + \sigma(a) f_2) + B f_i$. Hence $\alpha A(e_1 + a e_2 + a' e_i) = B(b(f_1 + \sigma(a) f_2) + b' f_i)$. Similarly, $\alpha A(e_1 + a e_2 + a' e_i) = B(c(f_1 + \tau(a') f_i) + c' f_2)$.

Combining the above equations, we find that

$$\alpha A(e_1 + a e_2 + a' e_i) = B(f_1 + \sigma(a) f_2 + \tau(a') f_i). \quad (6)$$

Since $a e_2 + e_i \in A(e_1 + a e_2 + e_i) + A e_1$, using (6) and (5) we have $\alpha A(a e_2 + e_i) = B(b(f_1 + \sigma(a) f_2 + f_i) + c f_1)$. Since $\alpha A(a e_2 + e_i) \subset B f_2 + B f_i$, we get $b + c = 0$ and this proves

$$A(a e_2 + e_i) = B(\sigma(a) f_2 + f_i). \quad (7)$$

Now using (6) and (5), we have for $a, a' \in A$, $\alpha A(e_1 + (a + a') e_2 + e_i) = B(f_1 + \sigma(a + a') f_2 + f_i)$. But $\alpha A(e_1 + (a + a') e_2 + e_i) \subset \alpha A(e_1 + a e_2) + \alpha A(a' e_2 + e_i)$. Using (7), we therefore have $\alpha A(e_1 + (a + a') e_2 + e_i) \subset B(f_1 + \sigma(a) f_2) + B(\sigma(a') f_2 + f_i)$. Using the above, we see that for $a, a' \in A$, we have

$$\sigma(a + a') = \sigma(a) + \sigma(a'). \quad (8)$$

Now for $a, a' \in A$, we have, using (6), that $\alpha A(e_1 + a a' e_2 + a e_i) = B(f_1 + \sigma(a a') f_2 +$

$+\tau(a)f_i)$. On the other hand, $\alpha A(e_1+aa'e_2+ae_i)\subset\alpha Ae_1+\alpha A(a'e_2+e_i)$ which implies that $\alpha A(e_1+aa'e_2+ae_i)=B(bf_1+b'(\sigma(a')f_2+f_i))$. Comparing coefficients, we find that $\sigma(aa')=\tau(a)\sigma(a')$. Setting $a'=1$, we get

$$\sigma(a)=\tau(a) \quad \text{for all } a\in A \quad (9)$$

and

$$\sigma(aa')=\sigma(a)\sigma(a') \quad \text{for } a, a'\in A. \quad (10)$$

Thus, the map $\sigma:A\rightarrow B$ defined by (2) is a homomorphism. Replacing α by α^{-1} , we can define a homomorphism $\sigma':B\rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$\alpha^{-1}B(f_1+bf_2)=A(e_1+\sigma'(b)e_2)$$

and clearly σ and σ' are inverses of each other. Thus $\sigma:A\rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism.

We now show that, for $a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$, we have

$$\alpha A(e_1+a_2e_2+\dots+a_n e_n)=B(f_1+\sigma(a_2)f_2+\dots+\sigma(a_n)f_n). \quad (11)$$

We can assume by induction that

$$\alpha A(e_1+a_2e_2+\dots+a_{n-1}e_{n-1})=B(f_1+\sigma(a_2)f_2+\dots+\sigma(a_{n-1})f_{n-1}).$$

Since

$$\alpha A(e_1+a_2e_2+\dots+a_n e_n)\subset\alpha A(e_1+a_2e_2+\dots+a_{n-1}e_{n-1})+\alpha Ae_n,$$

we have

$$\alpha A(e_1+a_2e_2+\dots+a_n e_n)=B(b(f_1+\sigma(a_2)f_2+\dots+\sigma(a_{n-1})f_{n-1})+b'f_n).$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\alpha A(e_1+a_2e_2+\dots+a_n e_n)\subset\alpha A(e_1+a_n e_n)+\alpha Ae_2+\dots+\alpha Ae_{n-1}.$$

Comparing coefficients we find that $b'=b\sigma(a_n)$ and this proves (11).

If $a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ are such that $a_2e_2+\dots+a_n e_n \in M$ is unimodular, we have

$$\alpha A(a_2e_2+\dots+a_n e_n)\subset A(e_1+a_2e_2+\dots+a_n e_n)+\alpha Ae_1.$$

Using (11) we have

$$\alpha A(a_2e_2+\dots+a_n e_n)=B(b(f_1+\sigma(a_2)f_2+\dots+\sigma(a_n)f_n)+b'f_1).$$

We also have

$$\alpha A(a_2e_2+\dots+a_n e_n)\subset Bf_2+\dots+Bf_n.$$

Combining these two facts, we get

$$\alpha A(a_2e_2+\dots+a_n e_n)=B(\sigma(a_2)f_2+\dots+\sigma(a_n)f_n). \quad (12)$$

We now assert that for any $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $i=2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha A(e_i+a_1e_1+\dots+a_{i-1}e_{i-1}+a_{i+1}e_{i+1}+\dots+a_n e_n) \\ = B(f_i+\sigma(a_1)f_1+\dots+\sigma(a_n)f_n). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

To prove (13), we first observe, using (1) and (12) that $\alpha A(e_i + e_j) = B(f_i + f_j)$ for any $j \neq i$. Fixing an i and replacing e_1 by e_i , we can repeat the previous arguments to get an isomorphism $\varrho: A \rightarrow B$ such that for $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \in A$, we have the following equation:

$$\alpha A(e_i + a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_{i-1} e_{i-1} + a_{i+1} e_{i+1} + \dots + a_n e_n) = B(f_i + \varrho(a_1) f_1 + \dots + \varrho(a_n) f_n). \tag{14}$$

instead of (11).

Taking in (14) $a_1 = 0$ and comparing this equation with (12), we find that $\sigma = \varrho$. Now (14) gives (13).

Let $e = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i e_i \in M$ be a unimodular element. We now show that

$$\alpha A(a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n) = B(\sigma(a_1) f_1 + \dots + \sigma(a_n) f_n). \tag{15}$$

Since for $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have $\alpha A e \subset \alpha A e_i + \alpha A(e_i + \dots + \widehat{a_i e_i} + \dots)$ (where $\widehat{}$ indicates that the corresponding term is omitted), we can write $\alpha A e = B f$ where

$$\begin{aligned} f &= b_1 \sigma(a_1) f_1 + c_1 \sigma(a_2) f_2 + c_1 \sigma(a_3) f_3 + \dots \\ &= c_2 \sigma(a_1) f_1 + b_2 \sigma(a_2) f_2 + c_2 \sigma(a_3) f_3 + \dots \\ &= c_3 \sigma(a_1) f_1 + c_3 \sigma(a_2) f_2 + b_3 \sigma(a_3) f_3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients, we find

$$\left. \begin{aligned} b_1 \sigma(a_1) \sigma(a_2) &= c_3 \sigma(a_1) \sigma(a_2) = c_1 \sigma(a_1) \sigma(a_2) \\ \text{and for every } i \geq 3, &\text{ we have} \\ b_1 \sigma(a_1) \sigma(a_i) &= c_2 \sigma(a_1) \sigma(a_i) = c_1 \sigma(a_1) \sigma(a_i). \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{16}$$

Since $e = \sum a_i e_i$ is unimodular, it follows that $\sum \sigma(a_i) f_i$ is unimodular and hence there exist $k_1, \dots, k_n \in B$ such that $\sum \sigma(a_i) k_i = 1$. Set

$$d = b_1 \sigma(a_1) k_1 + c_1 \sigma(a_2) k_2 + \dots + c_1 \sigma(a_n) k_n.$$

Using the equations (16), we easily verify that $d \sigma(a_1) = b_1 \sigma(a_1)$ and $d \sigma(a_i) = c_1 \sigma(a_i)$ for $i \geq 2$. Then d is a unit and (15) is proved.

Let $\Phi: M \rightarrow N$ be the σ -semilinear isomorphism $M \rightarrow N$ defined by $\Phi(e_i) = f_i$. The equation (15) shows that $\alpha = P(\Phi)$. The proof of the second statement of the theorem is the same as in the classical case which can be found for instance in E. ARTIN [1, chap. II].

§ 3 A Counter-Example

If M, N are finite dimensional vector spaces of the same rank over fields A and B respectively and if $\alpha: P(M) \rightarrow P(N)$ is a bijection which is such that for any $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in P(M)$ with $p_1 \subset p_2 + p_3$, we have $\alpha p_1 \subset \alpha p_2 + \alpha p_3$, it can be proved (see for instance Artin [1, chap. II]) that α is a projectivity. We now give an example to show that this need not be the case if A and B are arbitrary rings.

Let K be a field; let $A = K\langle x \rangle$ be the ring of formal power series in x and B the

quotient field of A . The canonical inclusion $\sigma:A\rightarrow B$ induces a σ -semilinear map $A^3\rightarrow B^3$ which in turn gives rise to a map $P(\sigma):P(A^3)\rightarrow P(B^3)$.

PROPOSITION 2.* *The map $P(\sigma)$ is a bijection such that for any $p_1, p_2, p_3\in P(A^3)$ with $p_1\subset p_2+p_3$, we have $P(\sigma)p_1\subset P(\sigma)p_2+P(\sigma)p_3$. However $P(\sigma)$ is not a projectivity.*

Proof. Let $(a_1, a_2, a_3), (a'_1, a'_2, a'_3)$ be unimodular elements of A^3 which represent the same element of $P(B^3)$. We then have $a, a'\in A, a\neq 0, a'\neq 0$ such that $a'(a'_1, a'_2, a'_3)=a(a_1, a_2, a_3)$, i.e. $a'a'_i=aa_i, 1\leq i\leq 3$. If $\sum_{1\leq i\leq 3} a_i k_i=1$, we have $a'\lambda=a$ with $\lambda=\sum a_i k_i A$. Similarly, $a\mu=a'$ for some $\mu\in A$. This implies that a and a' differ by a unit of A and hence $A(a_1, a_2, a_3)=A(a'_1, a'_2, a'_3)$. This proves that $P(\sigma)$ is injective. Given any element of $P(B^3)$, we can write it in the form Be where $e\in A^3$. Dividing if necessary by a suitable power of x , we may assume that at least one coordinate of e has a nonzero constant term and hence is a unit in A . Therefore we may assume that e is a unimodular element of A^3 and this proves that $P(\sigma)$ is surjective. If $p_1, p_2, p_3\in P(A^3)$ are such that $p_1\subset p_2+p_3$, it is trivial to check that $P(\sigma)p_1\subset P(\sigma)p_2+P(\sigma)p_3$. Now, $P(\sigma)A(1, 0, 0)=B(1, 0, 0)=B(x, 0, 0)\subset P(\sigma)A(x, 1, 0)+P(\sigma)A(0, 1, 0)$. However, $(1, 0, 0)\notin A(x, 1, 0)+A(0, 1, 0)$. This shows that $P(\sigma)$ is not a projectivity.

REFERENCES

- [1] ARTIN, E., *Geometric Algebra* (Interscience, New York 1957).
 [2] GABRIEL, P., Séminaire Heidelberg-Strasbourg 1965/66, Exposé 1.

*Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik, E.T.H. Zürich,
 Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay.*

Received July 1, 1968

*) (Added in proof.) This proposition and its proof are valid equally for any unique factorisation domain.