

Differential Structures on a Product of Spheres.

Autor(en): **Sapio, R. de**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **44 (1969)**

PDF erstellt am: **23.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-33755>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Differential Structures on a Product of Spheres

R. DE SAPIO

1. Introduction

In this paper we give a classification under the relation of orientation preserving diffeomorphism, of all differential structures on a simply connected product of spheres $S^k \times S^p$ of dimension greater than five. In particular, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let M^n be a differential n -manifold of dimension greater than five that is homeomorphic to a product of spheres $S^k \times S^p$, where $2 \leq k \leq p$. Then there are homotopy spheres A^p and V^n such that M^n is diffeomorphic to $(S^k \times A^p) + V^n$. Furthermore, we have the following conclusions.*

(a) *If B^p and U^n are homotopy spheres such that M^n is also diffeomorphic to $(S^k \times B^p) + U^n$, then $S^k \times A^p$ and $S^k \times B^p$ are diffeomorphic. If, in addition, either $k \equiv 2, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{8}$ or $k \geq p-3$, then V^n and U^n are diffeomorphic.*

(b) *If either $k \geq p-3$ or $p=4, 5, 6, 7$, then there is one and only one homotopy n -sphere V^n such that M^n is diffeomorphic to $(S^k \times S^p) + V^n$.*

Here S^n denotes the unit n -sphere with its usual differential structure in euclidean $(n+1)$ -space R^{n+1} and $+$ denotes the connected sum operation. Now let Θ_n denote the group of homotopy n -spheres and let Φ_p^{k+1} denote the subgroup of Θ_p consisting of these homotopy p -spheres that embed in R^{p+k+1} with a trivial normal bundle. Let $H_{p,k}$ denote the subset of Θ_p consisting of those homotopy p -spheres A^p such that $S^k \times A^p$ is diffeomorphic to $S^k \times S^p$. It is known that if $k \geq 2$, then $H_{p,k} = \Phi_p^{k+1}$. In the next section we prove the following.

THEOREM 2. *Let A^p and B^p be homotopy p -spheres such that $p > 4$ and let k be an integer greater than one. Then $S^k \times A^p$ and $S^k \times B^p$ are diffeomorphic if and only if $A^p \equiv \pm B^p \pmod{H_{p,k}}$.*

This theorem follows from Lemmas 4, 5, and 6 of the next section. Theorems 1 and 2 combine to give a classification of differential structures on $S^k \times S^p$ in the case where either $k \equiv 2, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{8}$ or $k \geq p-3$. In fact, let $C_{p,k}$ be the set obtained from the quotient group $\Theta_p/H_{p,k}$ by identifying $x \in \Theta_p/H_{p,k}$ with its inverse $-x$. Then Theorem 1 has the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1.1. *The diffeomorphism classes of n -manifolds ($n \geq 6$) that are homeomorphic to $S^k \times S^p$ ($k \leq p$) are in a one-to-one correspondence with the product $C_{p,k} \times \Theta_n$, provided that either $k \equiv 2, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{8}$ or $k \geq p-3$.*

This one-to-one correspondence is given by Theorem 1. In particular, conclusion (a) of Theorem 1 asserts that the group Θ_{p+k} acts nontrivially *via* connected sum on the differential structure of M^n , provided that either $k \equiv 2, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{8}$ or $k \geq p-3$. This is not always true in the remaining case where $k < p-3$ and $k \equiv 0, 1, 3, 7 \pmod{8}$, although we do show here that Θ_{p+k} acts nontrivially on $S^k \times S^p$ in all cases (Lemma 2 below). In a subsequent paper we shall prove the following result.

THEOREM. *Let*

$$\tau_{p,k}: \theta_p \otimes \pi_k(SO(p-1)) \rightarrow \theta_{p+k}$$

denote the pairing of Milnor-Munkres-Novikov and let A^p and V^{p+k} be homotopy spheres. Then $(S^k \times A^p) + V^{p+k}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^k \times A^p$ if and only if there exists $\alpha \in \pi_k(SO(p-1))$ such that $V^{p+k} = \tau_{p,k}(A^n \otimes \alpha)$. In particular, if $k \geq p-3$, then $\tau_{p,k} = 0$.

Thus the differential structures on $S^k \times S^p$ can be classified in terms of $\Theta_p/H_{p,k}$ and the pairing $\tau_{p,k}$. We remark that the pairing $\tau_{p,k}$ corresponds to composition in the stable homotopy groups of spheres and is sometimes nontrivial. Therefore the complete classification in the case where $k < p-3$ and $k \equiv 0, 1, 3, 7 \pmod{8}$ is more complicated than that given by Corollary 1.1.

We can make some remarks that relate to the structure of the groups $H_{p,k}$. Let bP_{p+1} denote the subgroup of Θ_p consisting of those homotopy p -spheres that bound parallelizable manifolds. It is known that $bP_{p+1} \subset \Phi_p^2$ and hence, since $\Phi_p^{k+1} = H_{p,k}$ for $k \geq 2$, it follows that $bP_{p+1} \subset H_{p,k} \subset H_{p,k+1}$, provided that $k \geq 2$. Theorem 1 asserts that $H_{p,k} = \Theta_p$ if $k \geq p-3$, although this is not true for $k < p-3$. In fact, if Σ^{16} represents the nonzero element in $\Theta_{16} \approx Z_2$, then according to [5, Corollary 1.5] $S^{12} \times \Sigma^{16}$ is not diffeomorphic to $S^{12} \times S^{16}$; that is, $H_{16,12} = 0$. Finally, it can be shown that $H_{p,k}/bP_{p+1}$ is isomorphic to the cokernel of the Hopf-Whitehead homomorphism $J: \pi_p(SO(k+1)) \rightarrow \pi_{p+k+1}(S^{k+1})$, provided that $2k > p-1$ and $p \neq 2^a - 2$ (c.f. [5, Th. 1.7]). This isomorphism is induced by the Pontrjagin-Thom construction and is of interest here since the groups bP_{p+1} have been determined in many cases.

We include here a result on the action of Θ_{k+p} on the total space E of a differential k -sphere bundle over a homotopy p -sphere. Precisely, $\pi: E \rightarrow A^p$ is a k -sphere bundle over A^p with the special orthogonal group $SO(k+1)$ as structural group such that the homeomorphisms which specify the local product structure are diffeomorphisms, and where the fibre is S^k .

PROPOSITION 1. *Each element of Θ_{k+p} acts nontrivially on the differential structure of the total space E of a differential k -sphere bundle over a homotopy p -sphere A^p , provided that $k < p-1$ and $k \equiv 2, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{8}$.*

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 3; following the proof there are some remarks on the case where $k=1$ or $n < 6$. The results on the action of Θ_n are proved in the next section. All manifolds are assumed to be smooth of class C^∞ , and oriented;

diffeomorphisms are assumed to be orientation preserving and of class C^∞ . Finally, D^n denotes the unit n -disc with the standard differential structure in euclidean n -space R^n .

2. Products of Homotopy Spheres

We begin with the lemmas that are needed in proving the theorems.

LEMMA 1. *If A^p is a homotopy p -sphere, then $A^p \times D^k$ is diffeomorphic to $S^p \times D^k$, provided that $p \neq 3$ and $k \geq p - 2$.*

REMARK. If $k \geq p + 2 \geq 7$, then this is a result of Mazur.

Proof. If $p = 1, 2$, then this is a classical result; if $p = 4$, then this is a result of HIRSCH [4, Theorem 6]. Since A^p and S^p are diffeomorphic for $p = 5, 6$ we can assume that $p \geq 7$. It follows from the theorems of HAEFLIGER [3] that A^p may be differentiably embedded in R^{p+k} , provided that $k \geq p - 2$. Furthermore, it follows from [5, Th. 1.10] that the normal tube of this embedding is diffeomorphic to $A^p \times D^k$. But it is known that the normal tube of an embedded homotopy p -sphere in R^{p+k} is diffeomorphic to $S^p \times D^k$, provided that $k \geq 3$, and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2. *Let A^p and B^p be homotopy p -spheres and let V^{p+k} be a homotopy $(p+k)$ -sphere, where $p+k \geq 6$. If $k < p - 3$ and $k \equiv 0, 1, 3, 7 \pmod{8}$, then assume that $S^k \times B^p$ is diffeomorphic to $S^k \times S^p$. Then, if $(S^k \times A^p) + V^{p+k}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^k \times B^p$, then V^{p+k} is diffeomorphic to the standard $(p+k)$ -sphere S^{p+k} (and hence $S^k \times A^p$ and $S^k \times B^p$ are diffeomorphic).*

This lemma is also true for $p+k=5$ since $\Theta_5=0$.

Proof. In the first place if $p=k \geq 3$, then the lemma follows from [1, Th. B]. If $k \geq p - 3$, then Lemma 1 above implies that $S^k \times A^p$ and $S^k \times B^p$ are both diffeomorphic to $S^k \times S^p$, provided that $p \neq 3$. Thus if $k = p - 1$, then Lemma 2 follows from [2, Lem. 1]. Therefore we can assume that $k < p - 1$, which implies that $p \geq 4$. If $p = 4$, then $k = 2$ and there is nothing to prove since $\Theta_6 = 0$. Therefore assume that $p > 4$.

Let

$$h: (S^k \times A^p) + V^{p+k} \rightarrow S^k \times B^p$$

be a diffeomorphism. It is known that there is a diffeomorphism $f: S^{p-1} \rightarrow S^{p-1}$ such that A^p is diffeomorphic to $D_1^p \cup_f D_2^p$, the disjoint union of two copies D_1^p, D_2^p of the p -disc D^p identified along the boundaries *via* the diffeomorphism f (that is, $x \in \partial D_2^p$ is identified with $f(x) \in \partial D_1^p$ and $D_1^p \cup_f D_2^p$ is given the orientation of D_2^p). Similarly, B^p is diffeomorphic to $D_1^p \cup_g D_2^p$, where $g: S^{p-1} \rightarrow S^{p-1}$ is a diffeomorphism. Thus we can write $S^k \times A^p$ as a disjoint union of two copies of $S^k \times D^p$, in the form

$$S^k \times A^p = (S^k \times D_1^p) \cup_{i \times f} (S^k \times D_2^p), \quad (1)$$

with points identified along $S^k \times S^{p-1}$ via the diffeomorphism $i \times f$, where $i: S^k \rightarrow S^k$ is the identity map. Similarly,

$$S^k \times B^p = (S^k \times D_1^p) \cup_{i \times g} (S^k \times D_2^p). \quad (2)$$

Now let $0 \in D_1^p$ denote the center of the p -disc D_1^p . The k -sphere $S^k \times 0$ is embedded in $S^k \times A^p$ and in $S^k \times B^p$. We can assume that the connected sum $(S^k \times A^p) + V^{p+k}$ is made far away from the sphere $S^k \times 0$ and hence $S^k \times 0$ is also embedded in $(S^k \times A^p) + V^{p+k}$. The next step is to show that we can assume that the diffeomorphism h is the identity on the k -sphere $S^k \times 0$. In fact, since $k < p-1$ h maps the homotopy class of $S^k \times 0$ in $(S^k \times A^p) + V^{p+k}$ onto either the homotopy class of $S^k \times 0$ in $S^k \times B^p$ or the negative of the homotopy class of $S^k \times 0$ in $S^k \times B^p$. In the latter case we can compose h with the (orientation preserving) diffeomorphism $\varrho \times i: S^k \times B^p \rightarrow S^k \times (-B^p)$, where $\varrho: S^k \rightarrow S^k$ is a diffeomorphism of degree -1 and $i: B^p \rightarrow -B^p$ is the identity map ($-B^p$ is the manifold B^p with the orientation reversed), to obtain a diffeomorphism $(\varrho \times i) \circ h: (S^k \times A^p) + V^{p+k} \rightarrow S^k \times (-B^p)$ that maps the homotopy class of $S^k \times 0$ in $(S^k \times A^p) + V^{p+k}$ into the homotopy class of $S^k \times 0$ in $S^k \times (-B^p)$. Thus we can assume that the restriction $h|_{S^k \times 0}$ is homotopic to the inclusion $S^k \times 0 \subset S^k \times B^p$. It follows from the theorems of HAEFLIGER [3] that $h|_{S^k \times 0}$ is diffeotopic to the inclusion $S^k \times 0 \subset S^k \times B^p$, and hence by application of the diffeotopy extension theorem we can assume that $h(u, 0) = (u, 0)$ for each $(u, 0) \in S^k \times 0$. By the tubular neighborhood theorem we may further suppose that $h(S^k \times D_1^p) = S^k \times D_1^p$ such that for each $(u, v) \in S^k \times D_1^p$, $h(u, v) = (u, v \cdot \alpha(u))$, where $\alpha: S^k \rightarrow SO(p)$ is a differentiable map and $v \cdot \alpha(u)$ denotes the action of $\alpha(u) \in SO(p)$ on $v \in D_1^p$. Now perform the spherical modification on $(S^k \times A^p) + V^{p+k}$ that removes the k -sphere $S^k \times 0$ with product structure $S^k \times D_1^p$. The following proposition implies that the result of this modification is V^{p+k} .

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $A^p = D_1^p \cup_f D_2^p$ be a homotopy p -sphere and let $\varphi: S^k \times D_1^p \subset S^k \times A^p$ be the inclusion. Then, the result of the spherical modification on $S^k \times A^p$ based on φ is always S^{k+p} .*

Proof. The result of the modification is

$$(D^{k+1} \times S^{p-1}) \cup_{i \times f} (S^k \times D_2^p), \quad (3)$$

which is clearly diffeomorphic to

$$S^{p+k} = (D^{k+1} \times S^{p-1}) \cup_i (S^k \times D^p) \quad (4)$$

(where $i: S^k \times S^{p-1} \rightarrow S^k \times S^{p-1}$ is the identity) by virtue of the map that sends $(u, v) \in S^k \times D^p$ into $(u, v) \in S^k \times D_2^p$ and $(u, v) \in D^{k+1} \times S^{p-1}$ into $(u, f(v)) \in D^{k+1} \times S^{p-1}$ (this diffeomorphism goes from (4) to (3)). Q.E.D.

Returning to the lemma we perform the corresponding modification (under h)

on $S^k \times B^p$ to remove the k -sphere $S^k \times 0$ with product structure $h(S^k \times D_1^p)$ in $S^k \times B^p$. From the latter modification we obtain the manifold

$$(D^{k+1} \times S^{p-1}) \cup_{\psi} (S^k \times D_2^p), \quad (5)$$

where $\psi = (h^{-1} | S^k \times S^{p-1}) \circ (i \times g)$ (see (2)), which is clearly diffeomorphic to V^{p+k} because of the way that this modification was defined (using h). We complete the proof of Lemma 2 by showing that (5) is diffeomorphic to S^{p+k} . This is done by constructing a diffeomorphism from (5) to (4) as follows, recalling that $k < p-1$. If $k < p-3$ and $k \equiv 2, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{8}$, then $\pi_k(SO(p)) = 0$ and hence we can apply Proposition 2 to conclude that (5) is diffeomorphic to S^{p+k} . If $k = p-3$ or $p-2$ then by Lemma 1 we can assume that g is the identity; if $k < p-3$ and $k \equiv 0, 1, 3, 7 \pmod{8}$, then by hypothesis $S^k \times B^p$ is diffeomorphic to $S^k \times S^p$ and we can again assume that g is the identity. Thus in these cases $\psi = h^{-1} | S^k \times S^{p-1}$ and we have the diffeomorphism that sends

$$(u, v) \in D^{k+1} \times S^{p-1} \quad \text{into} \quad (u, v) \in D^{k+1} \times S^{p-1}$$

and $(u, v) \in S^k \times D_2^p$ into

$$h(u, v) = (u, v \cdot \alpha(u)) \in S^k \times D^p.$$

The proof of Proposition 1 is similar to the preceding and is left to the reader.

The following lemma is a weakened form of Lemma 2 but removes the special assumption which was made there in the case where $k < p-3$ and $k \equiv 0, 1, 3, 7 \pmod{8}$.

LEMMA 3. *Let A^p and B^p be homotopy p -spheres such that for some integer k , $A^p \times S^k$ and $B^p \times S^k$ are diffeomorphic up to a point. Then $A^p \times S^m$ and $B^p \times S^m$ are diffeomorphic for all $m \geq \max(k, 2)$.*

Proof. If $k \geq p-3$, then the lemma is a trivial consequence of Lemma 1. Thus we can assume that $k < p-3$. If $h: A^p \times S^k \rightarrow B^p \times S^k$ is a diffeomorphism up to a point, then we can compose h with the inclusion $B^p \times S^k \subset B^p \times D^{m+1}$ and we obtain a differentiable embedding $A^p \rightarrow B^p \times D^{m+1}$ with a trivial normal bundle. We show that the embedding $A^p \rightarrow B^p \times D^{m+1}$ is also a homotopy equivalence, by an elementary argument. In fact, let $y \in A^p$ and $z \in B^p$ such that the k -spheres $y \times S^k$ and $z \times S^k$ do not contain the singularity of h . Now $k < p-3$ and hence by standard arguments (theorems of HAEFLIGER and diffeotopy extension) we can assume that h maps $y \times S^k$ diffeomorphically onto $z \times S^k$. It follows that the induced homomorphism

$$h_*: \pi_p(A^p \times S^k) = \pi_p(A^p) + \pi_p(S^k) \rightarrow \pi_p(B^p \times S^k) = \pi_p(B^p) + \pi_p(S^k)$$

maps $\pi_p(S^k)$ isomorphically onto $\pi_p(S^k)$ and hence h_* maps the generator of $\pi_p(A^p)$ into a generator of $\pi_p(B^p)$ plus an element of $\pi_p(S^k)$. Consequently the composition $A^p \times S^k \rightarrow B^p \times S^k \subset B^p \times D^{m+1}$ maps the generator of $\pi_p(A^p)$ into a generator of $\pi_p(B^p \times D^{m+1})$ and it follows that the embedding $A^p \rightarrow B^p \times D^{m+1}$ is a homotopy

equivalence with a trivial normal bundle. We can apply [7, Th. 4.1] to conclude that $A^p \times D^{m+1}$ is diffeomorphic to $B^p \times D^{m+1}$, provided that $m \geq \max(k, 2)$.

The remainder of the present section is devoted to the study of the diffeomorphism classes of manifolds of the form $S^k \times A^p$, where A^p is an arbitrary homotopy p -sphere. Let $p \geq 4$ and $k \geq 2$ be a given pair of integers. Then two homotopy p -spheres A^p and B^p are called *k-equivalent* if and only if $S^k \times A^p$ and $S^k \times B^p$ are diffeomorphic. Thus the group Θ_p is divided into k -equivalence classes. It is clear that the k -equivalence class of an element $A^p \in \Theta_p$ contains its inverse $-A^p$ in the group Θ_p . Lemma 4 below asserts that the k -equivalence class of S^p is a subgroup of Θ_p . This subgroup is denoted by $H_{p,k}$.

LEMMA 4. *The set $H_{p,k}$ of those homotopy p -spheres A^p such that $S^k \times A^p$ is diffeomorphic to $S^k \times S^p$ forms a subgroup of Θ_p , provided that $p \neq 3$ and $k \geq 2$.*

This lemma follows from the next lemma. Lemma 5 implies that any k -equivalence class is the union of cosets of the subgroup $H_{p,k}$ of the group Θ_p .

LEMMA 5. *Let A^p and B^p be homotopy p -spheres such that $A^p \in H_{p,k}$. Then $S^k \times (A^p + B^p)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^k \times B^p$.*

Proof. Since $A^p \in H_{p,k}$ it follows that A^p may be embedded in the interior of a $(p+k+1)$ -disc in $D^{k+1} \times B^p$ with a trivial normal bundle. But B^p is embedded in $D^{k+1} \times B^p$ in the obvious way with a trivial normal bundle, and hence we can form the connected sum $A^p + B^p$ in $D^{k+1} \times B^p$ so that $A^p + B^p$ has a trivial normal bundle. Furthermore, the resulting embedding $A^p + B^p \rightarrow D^{k+1} \times B^p$ is a homotopy equivalence and hence by [7, Th. 4.1] $D^{k+1} \times (A^p + B^p)$ is diffeomorphic to $D^{k+1} \times B^p$, provided that $k \geq 2$. Q.E.D.

In general it does not seem likely that each k -equivalence class contains exactly one coset of $H_{p,k}$. If this is the case, then the k -equivalence classes are in a one-to-one correspondence with the quotient group $\Theta_p/H_{p,k}$; in particular, this would imply that $\Theta_p/H_{p,k}$ consists entirely of elements of order two. The next lemma is the best that we can do in this direction.

LEMMA 6. *If A^p and B^p are homotopy p -spheres such that $S^k \times A^p$ and $S^k \times B^p$ are diffeomorphic, then either $S^k \times (A^p + B^p)$ or $S^k \times (A^p + (-B^p))$ is diffeomorphic to $S^k \times S^p$, provided that $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. If $k \geq p-3$, then the lemma is a consequence of Lemma 1. Thus we can assume that $k < p-3$. The hypothesis implies that A^p may be embedded in $D^{k+1} \times B^p$ with a trivial normal bundle. Furthermore, it follows from an argument given in the proof of Lemma 3 that the embedding $A^p \rightarrow D^{k+1} \times B^p$ is a homotopy equivalence. Let us assume that the embedding maps the orientation class of A^p onto the orientation class of B^p in $D^{k+1} \times B^p$ (otherwise we replace B^p by $-B^p$). Now $-B^p$ is embedded in $D^{k+1} \times B^p$ in the obvious way with a trivial normal bundle and we can

assume that A^p and $-B^p$ are disjoint in $D^{k+1} \times B^p$. Thus we can form the connected sum $A^p + (-B^p)$ in $D^{k+1} \times B^p$ such that the resulting embedding $A^p + (-B^p) \rightarrow D^{k+1} \times B^p$ has a trivial normal bundle and is homotopically trivial. Now the engulfing result of [9, Chapter 7] applies to conclude that $A^p + (-B^p)$ is embedded in the interior of a $(p+k+1)$ -disc in $D^{k+1} \times B^p$. But the normal tube of a homotopy p -sphere embedded in the interior of a $(p+k+1)$ -disc is diffeomorphic to $D^{k+1} \times S^p$, provided that $k \geq 2$ and $p \neq 3, 4$, and hence it follows that $D^{k+1} \times (A^p + (-B^p))$ is diffeomorphic to $D^{k+1} \times S^p$ (if $p=3$ or 4 , then apply [4, Th. 6]).

Lemma 6 implies that the k -equivalence class of an element $A^p \in \Theta_p$ is exactly the union of the cosets $A^p + H_{p,k}$ and $-A^p + H_{p,k}$. That is, each k -equivalence class consists of at most two cosets. Furthermore, a k -equivalence class consists of exactly one coset if and only if it contains an element A^p such that $A^p + H_{p,k}$ is of order two in the group $\Theta_p/H_{p,k}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

3. Classification

Proof of Theorem 1. Since M^n is homeomorphic to $S^k \times S^p$, where $n \geq 6$ and $p \geq k \geq 2$, it follows that M^n is simply connected and $H_3(M^n; \mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion. Therefore the ‘‘Hauptvermutung’’ of D. SULLIVAN [8] implies that there is a combinatorial equivalence

$$h: M^n \rightarrow S^k \times S^p, \quad (5)$$

where the combinatorial structures are compatible with the differential structures and $S^k \times S^p$ has the usual combinatorial structure. We now apply the obstruction theory of MUNKRES [6]. The application is particularly simple since we are dealing with a product of spheres. We note that the combinatorial equivalence h is a diffeomorphism mod the $n-1$ skeleton L_{n-1} of M^n ; suppose that h is a diffeomorphism mod the $n-q$ skeleton L_{n-q} of M^n , where $1 \leq q < k-1$. The obstruction to an approximation $g: M^n \rightarrow S^p \times S^k$ of h such that g is a diffeomorphism mod the $n-q-1$ skeleton L_{n-q-1} , is a simplicial $(n-q)$ -cycle $\lambda_{n-q}h$ of L_{n-q} with coefficients in the group Γ^q (see [6, § 3]; g is called a smoothing of h), where Γ^m is the group of diffeomorphisms of S^{m-1} modulo those diffeomorphisms that are extendable to diffeomorphisms of D^m . If $\lambda_{n-q}h=0$, then the smoothing g exists according to [6, § 4]. Since $\Gamma^1=0$ it follows that the smoothing g does exist if $q=1$. Furthermore, if $\lambda_{n-q-1}g$ is homologous to zero in $H_{n-q-1}(L_{n-q}; \Gamma^{q+1})$, then it follows from [6, § 5] that there is a smoothing f of h such that $\lambda_{n-q-1}f=0$. But $H_{n-q-1}(L_{n-q}; \Gamma^{q+1}) \approx H_{n-q-1}(M^n; \Gamma^{q+1})=0$ for $1 \leq q < k-1$ and hence by induction there exists a map $g: M^n \rightarrow S^k \times S^p$ that is a diffeomorphism mod the $n-k$ skeleton of M^n . Thus the first obstruction to deforming h into a diffeomorphism is a well defined homology class γh (called the obstruction class) in $H_p(M^n; \Gamma^k)$. We first consider the case where $k < p$; then $H_p(M^n; \Gamma^k) \approx \Gamma^k$ and hence we can consider the obstruction class γh to be an element of Γ^k . Let

$\varphi: S^{k-1} \rightarrow S^{k-1}$ be a diffeomorphism that represents γh and let $N(\gamma h)$ denote the homotopy k -sphere $D_1^k \cup_{\varphi} D_2^k$. There is the combinatorial equivalence $j: S^k \rightarrow N(\gamma h)$ of degree $+1$, defined by writing $S^k = D_1^k \cup_i D_2^k$ and letting j be the identity on D_1^k and the radial extension of φ^{-1} on D_2^k , and hence we have a combinatorial equivalence $j \times i: S^k \times S^p \rightarrow N(\gamma h) \times S^p$, where i is the identity. It follows from [6, Def. 3.4] that the first obstruction $\gamma(j \times i)$ to deforming $j \times i$ to a diffeomorphism is $-\gamma h$. Furthermore, by [6, 3.8] the first obstruction to deforming the composition $(j \times i) \circ h: M^n \rightarrow N(\gamma h) \times S^p$ into a diffeomorphism is

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma((j \times i) \circ h) &= \gamma(j \times i) + \gamma h \\ &= -\gamma h + \gamma h \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence we can assume that there is a map

$$h': M^n \rightarrow N(\gamma h) \times S^p,$$

that is a diffeomorphism mod the k -skeleton of M^n . By Lemma 1 $N(\gamma h) \times S^p$ is diffeomorphic to $S^k \times S^p$ since $p > k$ (if $k=3$, then $N(\gamma h)$ is diffeomorphic to S^3 since $\Gamma^3=0$, as is well known) and hence we have a map (also denoted by h') $h': M^n \rightarrow S^k \times S^p$ that is a diffeomorphism mod the k -skeleton of M^n . The first obstruction to deforming h' into a diffeomorphism is a class $\gamma h' \in H_k(M^n; \Gamma^p) \approx \Gamma^p$. Let $\psi: S^{p-1} \rightarrow S^{p-1}$ be a diffeomorphism that represents $\gamma h'$, let $N(\gamma h') = D_1^p \cup_{\psi} D_2^p$, and let $j': S^p \rightarrow N(\gamma h')$ be the combinatorial equivalence of degree $+1$ as defined above for $N(\gamma h')$. Then we have the combinatorial equivalence $i \times j': S^k \times S^p \rightarrow S^k \times N(\gamma h')$ and the first obstruction to smoothing $(i \times j') \circ h': M^n \rightarrow S^k \times N(\gamma h')$ is $\gamma(i \times j') + \gamma h'$, which is zero since $\gamma(i \times j') = -\gamma h'$. It follows that there is a map $h'': M^n \rightarrow S^k \times N(\gamma, h')$ that is a diffeomorphism mod a point of M^n . Under these circumstances it is known that there is a homotopy n -sphere V^n such that M^n is diffeomorphic to $(S^k \times N(\gamma, h')) + V^n$.

Now suppose that $k=p$. The first obstruction to deforming the combinatorial equivalence (5) into a diffeomorphism is a class $\gamma h \in H_k(M^n; \Gamma^k) \approx \Gamma^k \oplus \Gamma^k$; write $\gamma h = \gamma^1 \oplus \gamma^2$, where $\gamma^1, \gamma^2 \in \Gamma^k$, and let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2: S^{k-1} \rightarrow S^{k-1}$ be diffeomorphisms that represent γ^1, γ^2 . As before we have the homotopy spheres $N(\gamma^1) = D_1^k \cup_{\varphi_1} D_2^k$, $N(\gamma^2) = D_1^k \cup_{\varphi_2} D_2^k$ and the combinatorial equivalences $j_1: S^k \rightarrow N(\gamma^1)$, $j_2: S^k \rightarrow N(\gamma^2)$. The first obstruction to smoothing $j_1 \times j_2: S^k \times S^k \rightarrow N(\gamma^1) \times N(\gamma^2)$ is the class $\gamma(j_1 \times j_2) = (-\gamma^1) \oplus (-\gamma^2)$ and hence the first obstruction to deforming the composition

$$(j_1 \times j_2) \circ h: M^n \rightarrow N(\gamma^1) \times N(\gamma^2)$$

is

$$\gamma(j_1 \times j_2) \circ h = \gamma(j_1 \times j_2) + \gamma h = 0.$$

It follows that there is a map $h': M^n \rightarrow N(\gamma^1) \times N(\gamma^2)$ that is a diffeomorphism mod a point. Now a result of WALL applies to conclude that $N(\gamma^1) \times N(\gamma^2)$ and $S^k \times S^k$ are

diffeomorphic up to a point (in fact, see [1, Th. B]) and hence there is a homotopy $2k$ -sphere V^n such that M^n is diffeomorphic to $(S^k \times S^k) + V^n$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is now completed by applying Lemmas 1 and 2.

In this theorem it is assumed that $2 \leq k \leq p$ and $n = p + k \geq 6$. We conclude with some remarks on the excluded cases.

CASE 1. $k = 1, n = p + k \geq 6$. The Hauptvermutung of [8] does not apply in this case and so consider a differential n -manifold M^n that is combinatorially equivalent to $S^1 \times S^p$. We can apply the obstruction theory of [6] as was done in the proof of Theorem 1 to conclude that M^n is diffeomorphic to $(S^1 \times A^p) + V^n$, where A^p and V^n are homotopy spheres that are combinatorially equivalent to the standard spheres. Then by application of Lemma 2 we have the following theorem: *If M^n is a differential n -manifold that is combinatorially equivalent to $S^1 \times S^p$, where $n = p + 1 \geq 6$, then there are homotopy spheres A^p and V^n such that M^n is diffeomorphic to $(S^1 \times A^p) + V^n$. If $S^1 \times A^p$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^p$, then V^n is uniquely determined by M^n .* On the other hand, if we assume only that there is a homeomorphism h between M^n and $S^1 \times S^p$, then according to [8] there is an integer q such that the homeomorphism $h \times \text{identity}$ between $M^n \times R^q$ and $S^1 \times S^p \times R^q$ is homotopic to a combinatorial equivalence (R^q is euclidean q -space). One can try to smooth the combinatorial equivalence between $M^n \times R^q$ and $S^1 \times S^p \times R^q$ by applying [6].

CASE 2. $p + k \leq 6$. Since $\Gamma^q = 0$ for $q \leq 6$, it follows from MUNKRES' obstruction theory that combinatorial equivalences can be smoothed to diffeomorphisms for manifolds of dimension ≤ 6 . Thus if M^n is combinatorially equivalent to $S^k \times S^p$, where $p + k \leq 6$, then M^n is diffeomorphic to $S^k \times S^p$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. DE SAPIO, *Embedding π -manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 82 (1965), 213–224.
- [2] —, *Actions of θ_{2k+1}* , Michigan Math. J. 14 (1967), 97–100.
- [3] A. HAEFLIGER, *Plongements différentiables de variétés dans variétés*, Comment. Math. Helv. 36 (1961), 47–82.
- [4] M. W. HIRSCH, *On homotopy spheres of low dimension*, Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse), (Princeton University Press, Princeton 1965), pp. 199–204.
- [5] W. C. HSIANG, J. LEVINE, and R. H. SCZARBA, *On the normal bundle of a homotopy sphere embedded in euclidean space*, Topology 3 (1965), 173–181.
- [6] J. MUNKRES, *Obstructions to the smoothing of piecewise-differentiable homeomorphisms*, Ann. of Math. 72 (1960), 521–554.
- [7] S. SMALE, *On the structure of manifolds*, Amer. Math. J. 84 (1962), 387–399.
- [8] D. SULLIVAN, *On the Hauptvermutung for manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 598–600.
- [9] E. C. ZEEMAN, *Seminar on combinatorial topology* (mimeographed notes), Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1963, revised 1965).

University of California, Los Angeles

Received June 6, 1968