

Some Congruence Theorems for Closed Hypersurfaces in Riemann Spaces (Part III: Method baser on Voss' Proof)

Autor(en): **Hopf, Heinz / Katsurada, Yoshie**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **46 (1971)**

PDF erstellt am: **22.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-35537>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Some Congruence Theorems for Closed Hypersurfaces in Riemann Spaces

(Part III: Method based on Voss' Proof)

by HEINZ HOPF † (Zürich) and YOSHIE KATSURADA (Sapporo)

Introduction

An idea that gives congruence of two hypersurfaces concerning a transformation group by a relation between the invariant of the corresponding points of these hypersurfaces was first introduced by H. Hopf and K. Voss [1], that is, in that paper congruence relations of two closed curves on a plane and of two closed surfaces in 3-dimensional euclidean space have been given by the relation of the mean curvatures.

K. Voss has generalized these theorems to hypersurfaces in an $(m+1)$ -dimensional euclidean space ($m+1 \geq 3$) and also given the congruence relations in case of Gauss curvatures or the r -th mean curvatures H_r , $r=1, 2, \dots, m$ [2]. A. Aepli has developed analogous statements for a central transformation group (a homothetic transformation group with the center 0) [3].

The present authors wished to generalize these theorems to Riemann spaces. In the previous papers [4], [5], we gave the generalized theorems relating to the first mean curvature.

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate a general theorem relating to the Gauss curvature or the r -th mean curvature, that is, to generalize to an orientable Riemann space R^{m+1} with constant Riemann curvature the following theorems given by K. Voss:

THEOREM (K. Voss). *Let W^m and \bar{W}^m be two orientable closed hypersurfaces in an $(m+1)$ -dimensional euclidean space and let p and \bar{p} be the corresponding points of these hypersurfaces, and let $K(p)$ and $\bar{K}(\bar{p})$ be that Gauss curvatures at these points respectively. Assume that the second fundamental forms of W^m and \bar{W}^m are positive definite. If all straight lines $(p\bar{p})$ are parallel to one another and if $K(p) = \bar{K}(\bar{p})$ for all $p \in W^m$, then the hypersurface \bar{W}^m is produced from W^m by simple translation in the direction of $(p\bar{p})$. (W^m and \bar{W}^m are therefore congruent mod the translation group).*

THEOREM (K. Voss). *Let W^m and \bar{W}^m be two orientable closed hypersurfaces in an $(m+1)$ -dimensional euclidean space and let p and \bar{p} be the corresponding points of these hypersurfaces, and let $H_r(p)$ and $\bar{H}_r(\bar{p})$ be the r -th mean curvatures at these*

points respectively, for some $r=1, 2, \dots, m$. Assume that the second fundamental forms of W^m and \bar{W}^m are positive definite. If all straight lines $(p\bar{p})$ are parallel to one another and if $H_r(p) = \bar{H}_r(\bar{p})$ for all $p \in W^m$, then the hypersurface \bar{W}^m is produced from W^m by simple translation in the direction of $(p\bar{p})$. (W^m and \bar{W}^m are congruent mod the translation group.)

§ 1. Generalized Theorems

We suppose an $(m+1)$ -dimensional orientable Riemann space with constant curvature S^{m+1} of class C^v ($v \geq 3$) which admits an infinitesimal isometric transformation

$$\hat{x}^i = x^i + \xi^i(x) \delta\tau \quad (1.1)$$

(where x^i are local coordinate in S^{m+1} and ξ^i are the components of a contravariant vector ξ). We assume that orbits of the transformations generated by ξ cover S^{m+1} simply and that ξ is everywhere continuous and $\neq 0$. Let us choose a coordinate system such that the orbits of the transformations are new x^1 -coordinate curves, that is, a coordinate system in which the vector ξ^i has components $\xi^i = \delta_1^i$, where the symbol δ_j^i denotes Kronecker's delta; then (1.1) becomes as follows

$$\hat{x}^i = x^i + \delta_1^i \delta\tau \quad (1.2)$$

and S^{m+1} admits a one-parameter continuous group G of transformations which are 1-1-mappings of S^{m+1} onto itself and are given by the expression $\hat{x}^i = x^i + \delta_1^i \tau$ in the new special coordinate system ([6]).

Now we consider two orientable closed hypersurfaces W^m and \bar{W}^m of class C^v imbedded in S^{m+1} which are given as follows

$$\left. \begin{aligned} W^m: x^i &= x^i(u^\alpha) & i &= 1, \dots, m+1 & \alpha &= 1, \dots, m \\ \bar{W}^m: \bar{x}^i &= \bar{x}^i(u^\alpha) + \delta_1^i \tau(u^\alpha) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

where u^α are local coordinates of W^m and τ is a continuous function attached to each point of the hypersurface W^m . We shall henceforth confine ourselves to Latin indices running from 1 to $m+1$ and Greek indices from 1 to m , and to two hypersurfaces W^m and \bar{W}^m which do not contain a piece of a hypersurface covered by the orbits of the transformations, which is expressed by $f(x^2, \dots, x^{m+1}) = 0$.

Then we can take the family of the hypersurfaces

$$W^m(t) = (1-t)W^m + t\bar{W}^m \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

generated by W^m and \bar{W}^m whose points correspond along the orbits of the transformations where W^m and \bar{W}^m mean $W^m(0)$ and $W^m(1)$ respectively. Thus according to (1.3), $W^m(t)$ is given by the expression

$$W^m(t): x^i(u^\alpha, t) = (1-t)x^i(u^\alpha) + t\bar{x}^i(u^\alpha) \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad (1.4)$$

and (1.4) may be rewritten as follows

$$W^m(t): x^i(u^\alpha, t) = x^i(u^\alpha) + \delta_1^i t \tau(u^\alpha) \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \tag{1.5}$$

Let us denote the normal unit vector of $W^m(t)$ by $n^i(t)$ and its derivative with respect to t by $n'^i(t)$. Then g_{ij} being the metric tensor of S^{m+1} and differentiating the following relations with respect to t ,

$$g_{ij} n^i(t) \frac{\partial x^j(u, t)}{\partial u^\alpha} = 0, \quad g_{ij} n^i(t) n^j(t) = 1,$$

since the transformation group G is isometric, that is, $\partial g_{ij} / \partial x^1 = 0$, we have

$$g_{ij} n'^i \frac{\partial x^j(u, t)}{\partial u^\alpha} + g_{ij} n^i(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial x^j(u, t)}{\partial u^\alpha} \right) = 0, \tag{1.6}$$

$$g_{ij} n^i(t) n'^j(t) = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

From (1.6), (1.7) and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial x^i(u, t)}{\partial u^\alpha} \right) = \delta_1^i \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial u^\alpha},$$

we get

$$n'^i(t) = -g^{\alpha\beta}(t) \tau_\alpha \delta_1^l n_l(t) \frac{\partial x^i(u, t)}{\partial u^\beta}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $g^{\alpha\beta}(t)$ is the contravariant metric tensor of $W^m(t)$ and τ_α means $\partial \tau / \partial u^\alpha$. Throughout this paper repeated lower case Latin indices call for summation 1 to $m+1$ and repeated lower case Greek indices for summation 1 to m . And also for its covariant differential along $W^m(t)$ we have

$$\delta n'^i(t) = dn'^i(t) + \Gamma_{jl}^i n'^j(t) x_\gamma^l du^\gamma, \tag{1.9}$$

where Γ_{jl}^i is the Christoffel symbol with respect to the metric tensor g_{ij} of S^{m+1} and x_γ^l means $\partial x^l(u, t) / \partial u^\gamma$.

Let us give henceforth the derivative with respect to t by the dash. Calculating $(\delta n^i)'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta n^i &= dn^i + \Gamma_{jl}^i n^j(t) x_\gamma^l du^\gamma, \\ (\delta n^i)' &= (dn^i)' + (\Gamma_{jl}^i)' n^j(t) x_\gamma^l du^\gamma \\ &\quad + \Gamma_{jl}^i n'^j(t) x_\gamma^l du^\gamma + \Gamma_{jl}^i n^j(t) (x_\gamma^l)' du^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

since G is isometric, that is, $\partial g_{ij} / \partial x^1 = 0$, we have $\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k / \partial x^1 = 0$. Consequently we obtain the following relation between $\delta n'^i$ and $(\delta n^i)'$

$$(\delta n^i)' = \delta n'^i + \Gamma_{j1}^i n^j(t) \tau_\gamma du^\gamma. \tag{1.10}$$

We claim that the following theorems hold

THEOREM 1.1. *Let K and \bar{K} be the Gauss curvature of W^m and \bar{W}^m respectively. Assume that the second fundamental form of $W^m(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$ is positive definite. If the relation $K = \bar{K}$ holds for each point $p \in W^m$, then W^m and \bar{W}^m are congruent mod G .*

Proof. We consider the following differential form of degree $m-1$ attached to each point p on the hypersurface $W^m(t)$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} ((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sqrt{g}(n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n) \\ &= (-1)^{m-1} \sqrt{g}(n', \delta_1 \tau, x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_{m-1}}) \\ &\quad \times b_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(t) \dots b_{\beta_{m-1}}^{\alpha_{m-1}}(t) du^{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge du^{\beta_{m-1}} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.11)$$

where g is the determinant of the metric tensor g_{ij} of S^{m+1} , the symbol $(\)$ means a determinant of order $m+1$ whose columns are the components of respective vectors, $b_{\alpha\beta}(t)$ is the second fundamental tensor of $W^m(t)$ and $b_{\alpha}^{\beta}(t)$ denotes $b_{\alpha\gamma}(t) g^{\beta\gamma}(t)$.

Then the exterior differential of the differential form (1.11) becomes as follows

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) &= ((\delta n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) \\ &\quad + ((n', \delta(\delta_1 \tau), \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) + ((n', \delta_1 d\tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)), \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.12)$$

because since S^{m+1} is a space of constant curvature, we have

$$((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta \delta n, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) = 0.$$

Because G is isometric, the quantity $n_i(t) \delta_1^i \sqrt{g^*(t)}$ is independent of t , where $g^*(t)$ means the determinant of $g_{\alpha\beta}(t)$, we have

$$(((\delta n)', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) = (-1)^m (m-1)! K' n_i(t) \delta_1^i \tau dA(t) \quad (1.13)$$

where $dA(t)$ is the area element of $W^m(t)$, and using (1.8), we obtain

$$\left. \begin{aligned} ((n', \delta_1 d\tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) &= (-1)^m (m-1)! \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^*(t)}} B^{\alpha\beta}(t) \tau_{\alpha} \tau_{\beta} (n_i(t) \delta_1^i)^2 \sqrt{g^*(t)} dA(t) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.14)$$

where $B^{\alpha\beta}(t)$ means the cofactor of an element $b_{\beta\alpha}(t)$ in the determinant $|b_{\alpha\beta}(t)|$ divided by $g^*(t)$.

By making use of (1.10), (1.12), (1.13), (1.14) and the relation

$$\delta(\delta_1^i) = \Gamma_{j1}^i x_{\gamma}^j du^{\gamma},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} d((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) &= (-1)^m (m-1)! \left\{ K' n_i(t) \delta_1^i \tau dA(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^*(t)}} B^{\alpha\beta}(t) \tau_{\alpha} \tau_{\beta} (n_i(t) \delta_1^i)^2 \sqrt{g^*(t)} dA(t) \right\} \\ &\quad + ((n', \tau \Gamma_{j1}^i x_{\gamma}^j du^{\gamma}, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) \\ &\quad - ((\Gamma_{j1}^i n^j(t) \tau_{\gamma} du^{\gamma}, \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)). \end{aligned}$$

Next we shall prove that

$$((n', \tau \Gamma_{j_1} x_\gamma^j du^\gamma, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) - ((\Gamma_{j_1} n^j(t) \tau_\gamma du^\gamma, \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) = 0. \tag{1.15}$$

For the first term of the left-hand member of (1.15), making use of (1.8), we can see the following

$$\left. \begin{aligned} ((n', \tau \Gamma_{j_1} x_\gamma^j du^\gamma, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) &= (-1)^{m-1} \tau n_l(t) \delta_1^l \\ &\times ((\Gamma_{j_1} x_\gamma^j, g^{\alpha\beta}(t) \tau_\beta x_\alpha, x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_{m-1}})) \\ &\times b_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(t) \dots b_{\beta_{m-1}}^{\alpha_{m-1}}(t) du^\gamma \wedge du^{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge du^{\beta_{m-1}}. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{1.16}$$

Let $\varepsilon_{i_1 \dots i_{m+1}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m}$ be the ε -symbol of S^{m+1} and of $W^m(t)$ respectively,

$$\varepsilon_{i_1 \dots i_{m+1}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sqrt{g} e_{i_1 \dots i_{m+1}}, \quad \varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sqrt{g^*(t)} e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m},$$

the symbol $e_{i_1 \dots i_{m+1}}$ meaning plus one or minus one, depending on whether the indices i_1, \dots, i_{m+1} denote an even permutation of $1, 2, \dots, m+1$ or odd permutation, and zero when at least any two indices have the same value, and also the symbol $e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m}$ meaning similarly for the indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ running from 1 to m .

Making use of the relation

$$n_i(t) \varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}} = \varepsilon_{ii_2 \dots i_{m+1}} x_\alpha^{i_2} x_{\alpha_1}^{i_3} \dots x_{\alpha_{m-1}}^{i_{m+1}}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((n', \tau \Gamma_{j_1} x_\gamma^j du^\gamma, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) &= (-1)^{m-1} \tau n_l(t) \delta_1^l \Gamma_{j_1}^i n_i(t) x_\gamma^j \tau_\beta g^{\beta d}(t) \\ &\times \varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}} b_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(t) \dots b_{\beta_{m-1}}^{\alpha_{m-1}}(t) du^\gamma \wedge du^{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge du^{\beta_{m-1}} \\ &= (-1)^{m-1} \tau n_l(t) \delta_1^l \Gamma_{j_1}^i n_i(t) x_\gamma^j \tau_\beta \varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}}^{\beta} \varepsilon^{\gamma \beta_1 \dots \beta_{m-1}} b_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(t) \dots b_{\beta_{m-1}}^{\alpha_{m-1}}(t) dA(t) \end{aligned}$$

and we can see easily the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}}^{\beta} \varepsilon^{\gamma \beta_1 \dots \beta_{m-1}} b_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(t) \dots b_{\beta_{m-1}}^{\alpha_{m-1}}(t) &= \varepsilon^{\beta \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{m-1}} \varepsilon^{\gamma \beta_1 \dots \beta_{m-1}} b_{\gamma_1 \beta_1}(t) \dots b_{\gamma_{m-1} \beta_{m-1}}(t) \\ &= (m-1)! B^{\beta \gamma}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $B^{\beta \gamma}(t)$ is the symmetric tensor, we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} ((n', \tau \Gamma_{j_1} x_\gamma^j du^\gamma, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) &= (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! \tau n_l(t) \\ &\times \delta_1^l \Gamma_{j_1}^i n_i(t) x_\gamma^j \tau_\beta B^{\beta \gamma}(t) dA(t) \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{1.17}$$

where $\Gamma_{j_1 i}$ means $g_{il} \Gamma_{j_1}^l$ and the symbol $(\gamma \beta)$ denotes the symmetric part for the indices γ and β .

On the other hand, we calculate the second term of the left-hand member of (1.15). Since G is isometric, that is, $\partial g_{ij} / \partial x^1 = 0$, we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{j_1}^l n^j(t) n_l(t) &= \frac{1}{2} g^{lk} \left(\frac{\partial g_{kj}}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial g_{1k}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{j1}}{\partial x^k} \right) n^j(t) n_l(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{kj}}{\partial x^1} n^j(t) n^k(t) = 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{1.18}$$

and we can give the vector δ_1^i by the expression

$$\delta_1^i = n_l(t) \delta_1^l n^i(t) + \varphi^\beta x_\beta^i. \tag{1.19}$$

Substituting (1.19) in the second term of the left-hand member of (1.15) and making use of (1.18), we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & - ((\Gamma_{j1} n^j(t) \tau_\gamma du^\gamma, \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) \\ & = - (-1)^{m-1} \tau n_l(t) \delta_1^l ((\Gamma_{j1} n^j(t) \tau_\gamma, n, x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_{m-1}})) \\ & \quad \times b_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(t) \dots b_{\beta_{m-1}}^{\alpha_{m-1}}(t) du^\gamma \wedge du^{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge du^{\beta_{m-1}}. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{1.20}$$

Let us take the relation

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}} g^{\alpha\beta}(t) x_\beta^j g_{ij} = (-1)^m \varepsilon_{i i_2 \dots i_{m+1}} x_{\alpha_1}^{i_2} \dots x_{\alpha_{m-1}}^{i_m} n^{i_{m+1}}.$$

Then we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & - ((\Gamma_{j1} n^j(t) \tau_\gamma du^\gamma, \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) \\ & = (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! \tau n_l(t) \delta_1^l \Gamma_{ij1} n^i(t) x_{(\beta}^j \tau_{\gamma)} B^{\beta\gamma}(t) dA(t). \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{1.21}$$

Thus from (1.17), (1.21) and $\Gamma_{ij1} + \Gamma_{ji1} = \partial g_{ij} / \partial x^1 = 0$, we can arrive at (1.15) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & ((n', \tau \Gamma_{j1} x_\gamma^j du^\gamma, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) - ((\Gamma_{j1} n^j(t) \tau_\gamma du^\gamma, \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) \\ & = (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! \tau n_l(t) \delta_1^l (\Gamma_{ij1} + \Gamma_{ji1}) n^i(t) x_{(\gamma}^j \tau_{\beta)} B^{\beta\gamma}(t) dA(t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \frac{(-1)^m}{(m-1)!} d((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) = K' n_i(t) \delta_1^i \tau dA(t) \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^*(t)}} B^{\alpha\beta}(t) \tau_\alpha \tau_\beta (n_i(t) \delta_1^i)^2 \sqrt{g^*(t)} dA(t). \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{1.22}$$

Integrating both members of (1.22) over the interval $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we get

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \frac{(-1)^m}{(m-1)!} d \int_0^1 ((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n)) dt = (K - K) n_i(0) \delta_1^i \tau dA(0) \\ & + \sqrt{g^*(0)} \int_0^1 g^*(t)^{-1/2} B^{\alpha\beta}(t) dt \tau_\alpha \tau_\beta (n_i(0) \delta_1^i)^2 dA(0). \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{1.23}$$

Furthermore integrating both members of (1.23) over W^m and applying Stokes' theorem, since W^m is closed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{W^m} (K - K) n_i(0) \delta_1^i \tau dA(0) \\ & + \iint_{W^m} \sqrt{g^*(0)} \int_0^1 g^*(t)^{-1/2} B^{\alpha\beta}(t) dt \tau_\alpha \tau_\beta (n_i(0) \delta_1^i)^2 dA(0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

making use of the hypothesis $\bar{K} = K$, we obtain

$$\int \int_{W^m} \sqrt{g^*(0)} \int_0^1 g^*(t)^{-1/2} B^{\alpha\beta}(t) dt \tau_\alpha \tau_\beta (n_i(0) \delta_1^i)^2 dA(0) = 0.$$

On the other hand, from that the second fundamental form of $W^m(t)$ is positive definite everywhere in $W^m(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, the quantity

$$\sqrt{g^*(0)} \int_0^1 g^*(t)^{-1/2} B^{\alpha\beta}(t) dt v_\alpha v_\beta$$

becomes positive definite. From that two hypersurfaces W^m and \bar{W}^m do not contain a piece of a hypersurface covered by the orbits of transformations, a point on W^m such that $n_i(0)\delta_1^i = 0$ must be an isolate point. Moreover since τ is a continuous function of W^m , we have

$$\tau = \text{constant}$$

for all points of W^m . Consequently we can arrive at the following result

$$W^m \equiv \bar{W}^m \text{ mod } G.$$

THEOREM 1.2. *Let H_r and \bar{H}_r be the r -th mean curvature of W^m and \bar{W}^m respectively. Assume that the second fundamental form of $W^m(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, is positive definite. If the relation*

$$H_r = \bar{H}_r$$

holds for each point $p \in W^m$, then W^m and \bar{W}^m are congruent mod G .

Proof. We consider the following differential form of degree $m-1$ attached to each point p on the hypersurface $W^m(t)$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} ((n', \delta_1 \tau, \underbrace{\delta n, \dots, \delta n}_{r-1}, dx, \dots, dx)) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sqrt{g}(n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx) \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} \sqrt{g}(n', \delta_1 \tau, x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_{r-1}}, x_{\beta_r} \dots x_{\beta_{m-1}}) \\ &\times b_{\beta_r}^{\alpha_r}(t) \dots b_{\beta_{\delta-1}}^{\alpha_{\delta-1}}(t) du^{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge du^{\beta_{r-1}} \wedge du^{\beta_r} \wedge \dots \wedge du^{\beta_{m-1}} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.24)$$

The exterior differential of the differential form (1.24) becomes as follows

$$\begin{aligned} d((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) &= ((\delta n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) \\ &+ ((n', \delta(\delta_1) \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) + ((n', \delta_1 d\tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) \end{aligned}$$

because since S^{m+1} is a space of constant curvature, it follows that

$$((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) = 0,$$

and also we have

$$((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, \delta dx, \dots, dx)) = 0.$$

Making use of (1.8), we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & ((n', \delta_1 d\tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} g^{\alpha\beta}(t) \tau_\alpha n_i(t) \delta_1^i ((\delta_1 \tau_\gamma, X_\beta, X_{\alpha_1}, \dots, X_{\alpha_{r-1}}, X_{\alpha_r}, \dots, X_{\alpha_{m-1}})) \\ &\times b_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(t) \dots b_{\beta_{r-1}}^{\alpha_{r-1}}(t) du^\gamma \wedge du^{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge du^{\beta_{r-1}} \wedge du^{\alpha_r} \\ &\wedge \dots \wedge du^{\alpha_{m-1}} \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} g^{\alpha\beta}(t) \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{r-1} \alpha_r \dots \alpha_{m-1}} \varepsilon^{\gamma\beta_1 \dots \beta_{r-1} \alpha_r \dots \alpha_{m-1}} \\ &\times b_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(t) \dots b_{\beta_{r-1}}^{\alpha_{r-1}}(t) (n_i(t) \delta_1^i)^2 \tau_\alpha \tau_\gamma dA(t). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.25)$$

On the other hand, from (1.10) we get

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & ((\delta n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) \\ &= (((\delta n)', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) \\ &- ((\Gamma_{j_1} n^j(t) \tau_\gamma du^\gamma, \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.26)$$

And after some calculations, we have

$$(-1)^r m! H'_r n_i(t) \delta_1^i dA(t) = r((\delta_1, (\delta n)', \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)), \quad (1.27)$$

because $n_i(t) \delta_1^i dA(t)$ is independent of t and $dx'^i = \delta_1^i d\tau$, that is, the same direction to δ_1 . Moreover we can prove similarly the following relation as the proof of (1.15)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & ((n', \delta(\delta_1) \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) \\ &- ((\Gamma_{j_1} n^j(t) \tau_\gamma du^\gamma, \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) = 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.28)$$

Then putting

$$(m-1)! c_{(r)}^{\alpha\beta} = \varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{r-1} \alpha_r \dots \alpha_{m-1}} \varepsilon^{\beta\beta_1 \dots \beta_{r-1} \alpha_r \dots \alpha_{m-1}} b_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(t) \dots b_{\beta_{r-1}}^{\alpha_{r-1}}(t)$$

and using (1.25), (1.26), (1.27) and (1.28), we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & d((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{r} m! H'_r n_i(t) \delta_1^i \tau dA(t) \\ &+ (-1)^{r-1} (m-1)! c_{(r)}^{\alpha\beta} \tau_\alpha \tau_\beta (n_i(t) \delta_1^i)^2 dA(t). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.29)$$

Integrating both members of (1.29) over the interval $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and putting

$$C_{(r)}^{\alpha\beta} = g^*(0)^{1/2} \int_0^1 g^*(t)^{-1/2} c_{(r)}^{\alpha\beta} dt,$$

we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & m(\bar{H}_r - H_r) n_i(0) \delta_1^i \tau dA(0) + r C_{(r)}^{\alpha\beta} \tau_\alpha \tau_\beta (n_i(0) \delta_1^i)^2 dA(0) \\ &= \frac{r(-1)^{r-1}}{(m-1)!} d \int_0^1 ((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) dt. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.30)$$

Furthermore integrating both members of (1.30) over W^m and applying Stokes' theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{m}{r} \iint_{W^m} (\bar{H}_r - H_r) n_i(0) \delta_1^i \tau dA(0) + \iint_{W^m} (n_i(0) \delta_1^i)^2 C_{(r)}^{\alpha\beta} \tau_\alpha \tau_\beta dA(0) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{(m-1)!} \int_0^1 \int_{\partial W^m} ((n', \delta_1 \tau, \delta n, \dots, \delta n, dx, \dots, dx)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since W^m is closed, we have

$$\frac{m}{r} \iint_{W^m} (\bar{H}_r - H_r) n_i(0) \delta_1^i \tau dA(0) + \iint_{W^m} (n_i(0) \delta_1^i)^2 C_{(r)}^{\alpha\beta} \tau_\alpha \tau_\beta dA(0) = 0,$$

using the hypotheses $H_r = \bar{H}_r$ and that the second fundamental form of $W^m(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, is positive definite, and from that two hypersurfaces W^m and \bar{W}^m do not contain a piece of a hypersurface covered by the orbits of transformations, we can arrive at

$$\tau_\alpha = 0$$

for all points of W^m , consequently we have

$$\tau = \text{constant}$$

for all points of W^m . Accordingly we can see the following result

$$W^m = \bar{W}^m \text{ mod } G.$$

This proof follows to the method of that due to K. Voss [2].

Remark. In an euclidean space, if G is translation group, that is, a special isometric transformation group, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 just coincide with theorems of K. Voss given in the introduction.

REFERENCES

- [1] HOPF, H., and VOSS, K., *Ein Satz aus der Flächentheorie im Grossen*, Archiv. der Math. 3 (1952), 187–192.
- [2] VOSS, K., *Einige differentialgeometrische Kongruenzsätze für geschlossene Flächen und Hyperflächen*, Math. Ann. 131 (1956), 180–218.
- [3] AEPPLI, A., *Einige Ähnlichkeits- und Symmetriesätze für differenzierbare Flächen im Raum*, Comment. Math. Helv. 33 (1959), 174–195.
- [4] KATSURADA, Y., *Some Congruence Theorems for Closed Hypersurfaces in Riemann Spaces (Part I: Method based on Stokes' Theorem)*, Comment. Math. Helv. 43 (1968), 176–194.
- [5] HOPF H., and KATSURADA, Y., *Some Congruence Theorems for Closed Hypersurfaces in Riemann Spaces (Part II: Method based on a Maximum Principle)*, Comment. Math. Helv. 43 (1968), 217–223.
- [6] EISENHART, L. P. *Continuous Groups of Transformations*, (Princeton, London, 1934).

Received october 14, 1970.