Zeitschrift:	Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Band:	55 (1980)
Artikel:	Generic finite schemes and Hochschild cocycles.
Autor:	Mazzola, Guerino
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-42375

### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. <u>Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise</u>.

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. <u>See Legal notice.</u>

**Download PDF:** 06.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

# Generic finite schemes and Hochschild cocycles

GUERINO MAZZOLA

# Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from 2 and 3. In this paper we investigate the schemes  $N_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , whose k-rational points are the k-algebra structures  $\xi$  on  $k^n$  which are commutative, associative and satisfy  $a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot a_{n+1} = 0$  for any  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1} \in k^n$ . Our main result is the following

THEOREM. For n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, the schemes  $N_n$  are irreducible and rational of dimension  $n^2 - n$ . The structures isomorphic to the maximal ideal of  $k[T]/(T^{n+1})$  define a smooth, open subscheme of  $N_n$ .

Hence every finite local k-scheme X of k-rank  $n \leq 7$  can be deformed to Spec  $(k[T]/(T^n))$ . This implies that X admits a desingularization, i.e. a deformation to Spec  $(k^n)$ .

For  $n \ge 7$ , we show that there are structures  $\xi_n \in N_n$  of embedding dimension [n+1/2] which are not specializations of the maximal ideal of  $k[T]/(T^{n+1})$ . From this it follows that for  $n \ge 10$ , there are finite schemes which cannot be "desingularized."

In contrast to the Hilbert-scheme method used by A. Iarrobino and J. Emsalem [2, 3, 4, 5], our technical tools are  $N_n$ -scheme  $S_n$  parametrizing the commutative Hochschild cocycles associated with structures in  $N_n$ . The description of  $S_n/N_n$  is discussed in §1 and in §2, where we list explicitly the cocycles we are interested in.

§3 is entirely devoted to the proof of the above theorem.

§4 presents the above structures  $\xi_n$  showing that for  $n \ge 7$ ,  $N_n$  admits at least two irreducible components.

\$5 is an appendix, including two deformation criteria also valid for noncommutative, associative k-algebras, as well as the Hasse-diagram of the deformations of five-dimensional commutative, associative, unitary k-algebras.

I want to express my gratitude to P. Gabriel for careful reading and in

particular for some suggestions concerning §3 which made it possible to avoid two very ugly deformations, one of which I include as a curiosity.

# §1. Cocycles

Let k-Alg be the category of associative, commutative k-algebras with unit elements. We consider the following scheme  $N_n$   $(n \ge 1)$ : for each  $A \in k$ -Alg, the A-points of  $N_n$  are the multiplications  $\xi: A^n \times A^n \to A^n$  of commutative, associative A-algebra structures on  $A^n$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_{n+1} = 0$  for any  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1} \in A^n$ . Being bilinear, such a multiplication map  $\xi$  may clearly be identified with an element of  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(A^n \otimes_A A^n, A^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{n^3}$ . In this way  $N_n$  is identified with a closed subscheme of the scheme underlying  $k^{n^3}$ .

We denote by  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  the canonical base of  $A^n$  and often write  $\xi$  instead of  $A^n$ , when the space is considered in relation with the multiplication  $\xi$ . For instance, we write  $\xi^{i}$  for the *i*-th power of  $A^n$  under  $\xi$ . If  $\xi \in N_n(k)$  is a *k*-rational point of  $N_n$ ,  $e(\xi)$  denotes the embedding dimension dim<sub>k</sub>  $(\xi/\xi^2)$  of  $\xi$ .

By structural transport,  $g \in GL_n(A)$  acts on  $N_n(A)$  from the right in such a way that  $g: \xi^g \xrightarrow{\sim} \xi$  becomes an A-algebra isomorphism:  $\xi^g(x, y) = g^{-1}(\xi(g(x), g(y)))$ . If  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are two k-rational structures on  $N_n$ , we shall write  $\xi > \eta$  if  $\eta$  belongs to the Zariski-closure of the orbit  $\xi^{GL_n}$  of  $\xi$ .

In order to proceed from  $N_n$  to  $N_{n+1}$ , we set  $C(\xi) = \{B \in \text{Hom}_A (A^n \otimes_A A^n, A) : B = \text{symmetric} \text{ and } \xi \text{-associative}\}$ . For every  $\xi \in N_n(A)$ , this means that  $B \in C(\xi)$  iff B(x, y) = B(y, x) and B(xy, z) = B(x, yz) for any  $x, y, z \in A^n$ , the products xy, yz being taken in  $\xi$ . We call such a B a symmetric Hochschild cocycle.

If  $\xi \in N_n(A)$  and  $\eta \in N_m(A)$ , then a homomorphism  $f: \xi \to \eta$  of A-algebras induces a homomorphism of A-modules  $C(f): C(\eta) \to C(\xi)$  by the usual formula C(f)(B)(x, y) = B(f(x), f(y)). In particular, if  $\eta = \xi/\xi^{-2}$ , f being the projection of  $\xi$ onto  $\xi/\xi^{-2}$ , then we may identify  $C(\xi/\xi^{-2})$  with its C(f)-image in  $C(\xi)$ , the subspace of  $C(\xi)$  consisting of all symmetric forms vanishing on  $\xi \times \xi^{-2} + \xi^{-2} \times \xi$ .

We define the  $N_n$ -scheme  $S_n$  of symmetric Hochschild cocycles over  $N_n$  by its functor  $S_n(A) = \{(\xi, B) : \xi \in N_n(A), B \in C(\xi)\}$ , the structural morphism  $p : S_n \to N_n$  being the projection  $(\xi, B) \mapsto \xi$ . Observe that  $S_n$  is a commutative group scheme over  $N_n$ , the *p*-fibre  $S(\xi) = \{\xi\} \times C(\xi)$  being "isomorphic" with  $C(\xi)$ . Again,  $GL_n$  acts on  $S_n$  from the right by  $(\xi, B)^g = (\xi^g, C(g)(B))$ .

EXAMPLES. (1) Let  $\tau_n \in N_n(k)$  be the uniserial structure, for which  $e_1^p = e_p$  if

 $p \leq n$  and  $e_1^p = 0$  if p > n. Then  $C(\tau_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n kI_i$ , with

(2) Let  $\varphi_n \in N_n(k)$  be the final structure:  $e_i e_j = 0$ , all *i*, *j*. Then  $C(\varphi_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{M}_n^s(k)$ , the set of symmetric  $n \times n$ -matrices with coefficients in k.

Let  $\operatorname{Ex}: S_n \to N_{n+1}$  be the morphism sending the couple  $(\xi, B) \in S_n(A)$  to the structure  $\eta = \operatorname{Ex}(\xi, B)$  on  $A^{n+1} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} Ae_i$  such that  $e_{n+1, \frac{1}{\eta}} e_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1$ , and  $e_{i, \frac{1}{\eta}} e_j = e_{i, \frac{1}{\xi}} e_j + B(e_i, e_j)e_{n+1}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Clearly,  $\operatorname{Ex}: S_n \to N_{n+1}$  induces an isomorphism between  $S_n$  and the closed subscheme  $\overline{S}_n$  of  $N_{n+1}$  formed by the structures  $\xi$  such that  $\xi e_{n+1} = 0$  and  $\xi^{(n+1)} \subset Ae_{n+1}$  (the last condition holds automatically if  $\xi \in N_{n+1}(k)$  is k-rational). Moreover,  $\operatorname{Ex}$  is equivariant with respect to the embedding  $\operatorname{GL}_n \to \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}, g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Finally, the composed morphism  $\operatorname{Exgl}: S_n \times \operatorname{GL}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ex} \times 1} N_{n+1} \times \operatorname{GL}_{n+1} \to N_{n+1}$  is surjective since every k-rational structure  $\eta \in N_{n+1}(k)$  contains a one-dimensional ideal.

In order to show that  $N_{n+1}$  is irreducible for n+1=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, we shall construct irreducible curves  $\Gamma$  in  $N_{n+1}$  such that  $\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}}$  contains some non empty open subset of  $\Gamma$ . If this holds, we shall say that  $\Gamma$  lies generically in  $\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}}$ . This will imply  $\eta > \xi$  whenever  $\Gamma \cap \xi^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}} \neq \emptyset$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\xi, \eta \in N_{n+1}(k)$ . Then  $\eta > \xi$  iff there is a curve  $\Gamma$  in  $S_n$  whose image  $\text{Ex}(\Gamma)$  lies generically in  $\eta^{GL_{n+1}}$  and satisfies  $\text{Ex}(\Gamma) \cap \xi^{GL_{n+1}} \neq \emptyset$ .

Clearly the condition is sufficient. In order to prove the converse, consider the subscheme  $T_n$  of  $N_{n+1} \times \mathbf{P}_n$  such that  $T_n(A) = \{(\xi, \tau) : \xi \cdot \tau = 0 \text{ and } \xi^{\cdot (n+1)} \subset \tau\}$ ; here  $\xi \in N_{n+1}(A)$  is an algebra structure on  $A^{n+1}$  and  $\tau$  is a direct summand of  $A^{n+1}$  of rank 1. Clearly, the canonical projection  $v: T_n \to N_{n+1}$  is proper and surjective. Therefore we have  $v(\overline{v^{-1}(\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}})}) = \overline{\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}}}$ . If  $\eta > \xi$ , it follows that there is some  $(\xi, \sigma) \in \overline{v^{-1}(\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}})}$  lying over  $\xi$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a curve in  $v^{-1}(\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}})$  running through

 $(\xi, \sigma)$  and cutting  $v^{-1}(\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}})$ . Replacing if necessary  $(\xi, \sigma)$  by some  $(\xi^g, g^{-1}\sigma)$ with  $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}$ , we may assume that  $\sigma = ke_{n+1}$  and that  $\Delta \subset N_{n+1} \times U$ , where U is the open subscheme of  $\mathbf{P}_n$  whose A-points are the supplements of  $Ae_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus$  $Ae_n$  in  $A^{n+1}$ . Replacing  $\Delta$  by the image of  $\Delta \to T_n$ ,  $\delta \mapsto \delta^{\mu(\delta)}$  where  $\mu : U \to$  $\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}$  is a morphism such that  $u^{\mu(u)} = ke_{n+1}$  for all  $u \in U(k)$ , we are reduced to the case where  $\Delta \subset N_{n+1} \times \{ke_{n+1}\}$ . In that case we set  $\Gamma = \operatorname{Ex}^{-1}(v(\Delta))$ . QED.

For a k-rational  $\xi \in N_n(k)$  we put soc  $(\xi) = \{x \in \xi : x\xi = 0\}$  to denote the socle of  $\xi$ .

COROLLARY 1. Let  $\eta \in N_{n+1}(k)$  and  $\xi \in \text{Ex}(S_n(k))$  be such that  $\eta > \xi$  and dimsoc  $(\eta) = \text{dimsoc}(\xi)$ . Then there is a curve  $\Gamma$  in  $S_n$  such that  $\text{Ex}(\Gamma)$  runs through  $\xi$  and generically lies in  $\eta^{\text{GL}_{n+1}}$ .

**Proof.** Keeping the notations of proof of proposition 1, we only have to show that the curve  $\Delta \subseteq v^{-1}(\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}})$  constructed in that proof may be chosen in such a way that  $(\xi, ke_{n+1}) \in \Delta$ . For that it suffices to prove that  $(\xi, ke_{n+1}) \in \overline{v^{-1}(\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}})}$ . In fact, consider any irreducible component V of  $\overline{v^{-1}(\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}})}$  which dominates  $\overline{\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}}}$ . Consider any point  $(\zeta, \tau) \in V$  which is contained in no other irreducible component and lies over  $\eta^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}}$ . Then dim  $(v^{-1}(\zeta) \cap V) = \dim v^{-1}(\zeta) = \dim (v^{-1}(\xi) \cap V) \geq \dim (v^{-1}(\zeta) \cap V) = \dim (v^{-1}(\xi) \cap V) \geq \dim (v^{-1}(\zeta) \cap V) = \dim (v^{-1}(\xi) \cap V) \geq \dim (v^{-1}(\zeta) \cap V) = \dim (v^{-1}(\xi) \cap V) = \dim (v^{-1}(\xi) \cap V) \geq \dim (v^{-1}(\zeta) \cap V) = \dim (v^{-1}(\xi) \cap V) = \lim (v$ 

Remark. The preceding proposition applies in particular to the case where  $\eta = \tau_{n+1}$  and  $e(\xi) \leq 2$ . This follows from a theorem of Briançon [1] stating that  $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n+2} k\{x, y\}$  is irreducible (for the density we refer also to theorem 1 below). In fact, let the ideal  $I \subset k\{x, y\}$  in  $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n+2} k\{x, y\}$  define a local algebra isomorphic to  $k \oplus \xi$ . Then the theorem implies that I deforms to a "generic" ideal  $I_0$  defining a local algebra isomorphic to  $k \oplus \tau_{n+1}$ . Consequently, in a neighbourhood of I, this deformation may be projected to a deformation of  $\xi$  to  $\tau_{n+1}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** Let  $\xi, \eta \in N_n(k)$  be such that dim  $C(\xi) = \dim C(\eta)$  (resp.  $e(\xi) = e(\eta)$ ). If  $\Gamma$  is a curve of  $N_n$  through  $\xi$  lying generically in  $\eta^{GL_n}$  (so that  $\eta > \xi$ ), and if  $B \in C(\xi)$  (resp. if  $B \in C(\xi/\xi^{-2})$ ) then there is a curve  $\Delta$  in  $S_n$  through  $(\xi, B)$  lying over  $\Gamma$ .

**Proof.** We may suppose that dim  $C(\gamma) = \dim C(\eta)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Let  $p: S_n \to N_n$ be the canonical projection. The first statement to be proved is equivalent to  $S(\xi) = p^{-1}(\xi) \subset \overline{p^{-1}(\Gamma \cap \eta^{\operatorname{GL}_n})}$ . In fact we shall prove that  $p^{-1}(\Gamma)$  is irreducible. For this purpose consider an irreducible component V of  $p^{-1}(\Gamma)$  containing the zero-section  $\Gamma \times \{0\} \subset p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ . Let  $(\zeta, \sigma)$  be a point of V, which is contained in no other irreducible component and where  $p \mid V$  has minimal fibre dimension. Then  $p^{-1}(\zeta) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(\zeta)$  is contained in V; hence the minimal fibre dimension of  $p \mid V$  is dim  $C(\zeta) = \dim C(\eta)$ . It follows that  $\dim (p \mid V)^{-1}(\gamma) \ge \dim C(\eta)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , hence that  $(p \mid V)^{-1}(\gamma) = S(\gamma)$  and  $V = p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ . (In fact we prove that a morphism of algebraic varieties which has a section, and whose fibres are irreducible of constant dimension, is universally open.) A similar proof holds for the second part of proposition 2.

**PROPOSITION** 3. Let  $\eta \in N_m(k)$ ,  $\xi \in N_n(k)$  and  $B \in C(\eta \times \xi)$  such that  $B \mid \eta \times \eta$  is non degenerate. Then there is an automorphism of  $\eta \times \xi$  which maps B into  $C(\eta) \oplus C(\xi) \subset C(\eta \times \xi)$ .

**Proof.** Let H be the orthogonal projection of  $\xi$  onto  $\eta$  with respect to B, and set  $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -H \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(\eta \oplus \xi)$ . Then g maps  $\eta$  identically onto  $\eta$  and maps  $\xi$ bijectively onto the orthogonal supplement of  $\eta$  with respect to B. The formula  $B^{g}(x, y) = B(gx, gy)$  shows that  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  are orthogonal with respect to  $B^{g}$ . If we can prove that g is an automorphism of the algebra structure, it will follow that  $B^{g} \in C(\eta) \oplus C(\xi)$ .

In order to prove that g is an automorphism, we first prove that the socle of  $\eta$  is the orthogonal subspace of  $\eta^{2}$  in  $\eta$  with respect to B: in fact, we have sx = 0 for all  $x \in \eta$  iff B(sx, y) = 0 for all  $x, y \in \eta$ , and this holds iff B(s, xy) = 0.

Then we prove that H maps  $\xi$  into the socle of  $\eta$ : indeed, if  $x, y \in \eta$  and  $z \in \xi$ we have B(Hz, xy) = B(z, xy) = B(zx, y) = 0. Finally we observe that  $H(\xi^{-2}) = 0$ . In fact, if  $x, y \in \xi$ , we have B(z, H(xy)) = B(z, xy) = B(zx, y) = 0, for all  $z \in \eta$ .

Now take  $x \in \eta$  and  $y \in \xi$ . Then (gx)(gy) = x(y - Hy) = -xHy = 0 = g(0) = g(xy). Similarly, if  $x, y \in \xi$ , we have (gx)(gy) = (x - Hx)(y - Hy) = (Hx)(Hy) + xy = xy = xy - H(xy) = g(xy). Finally, if  $x, y \in \eta$ , we have (gx)(gy) = xy = g(xy).

Remark. Call an algebra-structure  $\zeta \in N_n(k)$  colocal if it has a socle of dimension 1. This is equivalent to saying that the algebra with unit  $k \oplus \zeta$  is symmetric (= self-injective). Clearly, if  $\eta \in N_n(k)$ , a form  $A \in C(\eta)$  is non degenerate iff  $\text{Ex}(\eta, A) \in N_{n+1}(k)$  is colocal.

We therefore say that  $\eta$  is *presymmetric* if there exists a non-degenerate  $A \in C(\eta)$ . The presymmetric algebras are obtained by dividing the maximal ideal of a local symmetric algebra by its socle.

COROLLARY 2. Suppose  $\xi \in N_n(k)$  is such that  $S(\xi) \subset \overline{S(\tau_n)^{GL_n}}$ . Then  $S(\tau_m \times \xi) \subset \overline{S(\tau_{n+m})^{GL_{n+m}}}$ .

Proof. By proposition 3, it suffices to show that

 $\{\tau_m \times \xi\} \times (C(\tau_m) \oplus C(\xi)) \subset \overline{S(\tau_{n+m})^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+m}}}.$ 

But our assumption implies that  $\{\tau_m \times \xi\} \times (C(\tau_m) \oplus C(\xi)) \subset \overline{S(\tau_m \times \tau_n)^{\operatorname{GL}_{n+m}}}$ , and a general member  $\zeta$  of Ex  $(S(\tau_m \times \tau_n))$  has  $e(\zeta) = 2$  and is colocal. So by the remark following corollary 1,  $S(\tau_m \times \tau_n) \subset \overline{S(\tau_{m+n})^{\operatorname{GL}_{m+n}}}$  (apply corollary 1 to  $\zeta$  and  $\eta = \tau_{m+n+1} \in \operatorname{Ex} (S(\tau_{m+n}))$ ).

COROLLARY 3. We have  $S(\varphi_n) \subset \overline{S(\tau_n)^{GL_n}}$ .

This results from  $\varphi_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_1 \times \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_1$ , *n* times.

*Remark.* This corollary more directly follows from the fact that the curve  $(\lambda \tau_n, I_n), \lambda \in k$ , is in  $S_n$  (think of  $\tau_n \in \text{Hom}_k (k^n \bigotimes_k k^n, k^n)$  to define  $\lambda \tau_n$ ), and for  $\lambda = 0$ , this is  $(\varphi_n, I_n)$ , which has an open orbit in  $S(\varphi_n)$  under Aut  $(\varphi_n) = GL_n$ .

PROPOSITION 4. Suppose  $\eta \in N_{n+1}(k)$ . Let  $\eta = \text{Ex}(\xi, B), (\xi, B) \in S_n$ . Then  $e(\xi) \leq e(\eta) \leq e(\xi) + 1$ , and  $e(\eta) = e(\xi) + 1$  iff the algebra extension  $0 \rightarrow ke_{n+1} \rightarrow \eta \rightarrow \xi \rightarrow 0$  is trivial, i.e. iff B(x, y) = f(xy) for some  $f: \xi \rightarrow k$ .

**Proof.** The only point is the implication  $e(\eta) = e(\xi) + 1 \Rightarrow \eta \xrightarrow{\sim} Ex(\xi, 0)$ . Now, since  $e(\eta) = e(\xi) + 1$ ,  $\eta^{\cdot 2} \cap ke_{n+1} = (0)$ . Take a supplement U of  $ke_{n+1}$  in  $k^{n+1}$  containing  $\eta^{\cdot 2}$ . Then U is a subalgebra of  $\eta$  which is isomorphic to  $\xi$  and  $\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} U \times ke_{n+1}$ , QED.

In general, the uniserial structure furnishes one irreducible component for  $N_n$ and for  $S_n$  according to the following

THEOREM 1. Let  $\tau_n \in N_n(k)$  be the uniserial structure.

(i) The orbit  $\tau_n^{GL_n}$  is an open, smooth, rational subscheme of  $N_n$  with dimension  $n^2 - n$ .

(ii) Let  $p: S_n \to N_n$  be the canonical projection. Then  $p^{-1}(\tau_n^{GL_n})$  is a smooth open subscheme of  $S_n$  with dimension  $n^2$ .

(iii) Let  $\Omega = \{g \in GL_n : all \text{ diagonal minors of } g \text{ invertible}\}\$  be the big cell of  $GL_n$ with respect to the Borel group B(n) of upper triangular matrices and the torus T(n)of diagonal matrices. Call f (resp.  $f_0$ ) the orbit morphism  $\Omega \to S_n : g \mapsto (\tau_n, I_n)^g$ (resp.  $\Omega \to N_n : g \mapsto \tau_n^g$ ) restricted to  $\Omega$ , the notation  $I_n$  being that of example (1). Then  $f_0$  admits a section s such that the multiplication  $Aut(\tau_n) \times Im(s \circ f_0) \to \Omega$  is an isomorphism. If char  $(k) = p \ge n + 1$  or p = 0, then f is quasi-finite and the orbit of  $(\tau_n, I_n)$  is dense in  $p^{-1}(\tau_n^{GL_n})$ .

*Proof.* We first show that the orbit morphism  $q: GL_n \to N_n : g \mapsto \tau_n^g$  is smooth. We verify the functorial criterion (formal smoothness). Consider a commutative square



where  $\overline{A} = A/I$ ,  $I^2 = 0$ , and *m* is a multiplication on  $A^n$ . We have to find  $t: \operatorname{Spec}(A) \to \operatorname{GL}_n$  such that both triangles become commutative. The datum of *s* is equivalent to that of a basis  $\overline{s}_1, \ldots, \overline{s}_n$  of  $\overline{A}^n$  such that  $\overline{s}_p = \overline{s}_1^p$ . We have to lift this basis to an appropriate basis of  $A^n$ : lift  $\overline{s}_1$  to  $s_1$  and set  $s_p = s_1^p$ !

From this the first two assertions of (i) follow. For the third assertion of (i), note that a functorial description of  $U = \tau_n^{GL_n}$  is this: for any  $A \in k$ -Alg, U(A) is formed by the A-algebra-structures on  $A^n$  which are isomorphic to  $\omega \oplus \omega^{\otimes 2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega^{\otimes n}$ ,  $\omega$  an invertible A-module. To see that  $p^{-1}(U)$  is smooth of dimension  $n^2$ , let Spec  $(A) \to U$  be any morphism. We describe Spec  $(A) \times_{N_n} S_n$  as follows. Let  $\omega$  be an invertible direct summand of  $A^n$  such that  $(A^n, m)$  is isomorphic to  $\omega \oplus \omega^{\otimes 2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega^{\otimes n}$ . Then Spec  $(A) \times_{N_n} S_n$  is the scheme over Spec (A) attached to the A-module of Hochschild cocycles relative to  $\omega \oplus \omega^{\otimes 2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega^{\otimes n}$ . This module is identified with  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Hom}_A(\omega \otimes_A \omega^{\otimes i}, A) = \omega^{\otimes -2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega^{\otimes -n-1}$ . To see that dim  $(U) = n^2 - n$  and hence dim  $(p^{-1}(U)) = n^2$ , observe that

Aut  $(\tau_n)$  is a subgroup of  $B^-(n)$ , the Borel group opposite to B(n) relative to T(n). Identify  $B^-(n-1)$  with  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & B^-(n-1) \end{pmatrix} \subset B^-(n)$ . Then the multiplication Aut  $(\tau_n) \times B^-(n-1) \times B_u(n) \to \Omega$  is an isomorphism, where  $B_u(n)$  is the unipotent part of B(n). The restriction of  $f_0$  to  $B^-(n-1) \times B_u(n)$  is an isomorphism onto U and its inverse s is the section we are looking for in assertion (iii). The rationality of U follows from this isomorphism.

Finally Aut  $(\tau_n, I_n) = G \rtimes \mu_{n+1}$ , where G is a smooth unipotent group of

dimension [n/p], p = char(k), with [n/p] = 0 for p = 0. Here we embedd the group  $\mu_{n+1}$  of (n+1)-th roots of unity in  $GL_n$  by

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & & \\ & x^2 & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & & \ddots & x^n \end{pmatrix}$$

The subgroup G of Aut  $(\tau_n)$  is identified with  $k^{[n/p]}$  by the map

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ a_2 & 1 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_n & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (a_q)_{p|n+2-q},$$

whereas  $a_r$  is a polynomial in the  $a_q$ , q < r and  $p \mid n+2-q$ , whenever  $p \neq n+2-r$ , as is easily verified inductively with decreasing indices. This implies that the orbit of  $(\tau_n, I_n)$  has dimension  $n^2 - [n/p]$ . QED.

# §2. Description of $S_n$ and $N_n$ for $n \leq 5$ .

For  $n \leq 5$ ,  $N_n$  contains a finite number of orbits. We are going to list one (k-rational) structure  $\alpha$  for each orbit, writing  $\alpha$  as quotient of the maximal ideal  $I = (X_1, \ldots, X_e)$  of  $k[X_1, \ldots, X_e]$  plus basis  $\langle X_1, \ldots, X_e, \ldots \rangle$ ,  $e = e(\alpha)$ . Let  $J = (f_1, \ldots, f_s) \subset I$  be an ideal defining  $\alpha$  as quotient, and suppose that  $\{f_1, \ldots, f_s\}$  is a minimal set of generators for J. Then the numbers n, e, s, dim  $C(\alpha)$  are related by the equation

 $\dim C(\alpha) = n + s - e.$ 

This follows from the exact sequence  $(V^* = k$ -dual of V)

$$0 \to (\alpha/\alpha^{\cdot 2})^* \to \alpha^* \to C(\alpha) \to H^2_s(\alpha) \to 0$$

of k-vectorspaces and from the k-linear isomorphism  $(J/IJ)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} H_s^2(\alpha, k)$  sending a form  $f: J/IJ \rightarrow k$  to the class of the extension  $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow k \bigoplus_J I \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow 0$ , where  $k \bigoplus_J I$  denotes the fibre sum defined by the maps  $J \rightarrow J/IJ \xrightarrow{f} k$  and  $J \xrightarrow{\sim} I$ . Observe that  $s \ge e$  by the theorem of Krull-Chevalley-Samuel, equality holding iff  $\alpha$  is a complete intersection. It follows that dim  $C(\alpha) \ge n$  for all  $\alpha \in N_n(k)$ . In each  $N_n$ ,  $n \le 5$ , we order the structures by increasing cocycle-space dimension.

	Structure	Space of cocycles
$N_1$	$\alpha_1 = \tau_1$	kI <sub>1</sub>
N <sub>2</sub>	$\dot{\beta}_1 = \tau_2$	$kI_1 \oplus kI_2$
	$\beta_2 = \varphi_2$	$\mathbf{M}_{2}^{s}(k)$
N <sub>3</sub>	$\gamma_1 = \tau_3$	$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{3} kI_{j}$
	$\gamma_2 = (X, Y)/(X^2, Y^2);$ $\langle X, Y, XY \rangle$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{M}_{2}^{s}(k) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
	$\gamma_3 = (X, Y)/(X^3, XY, Y^2);$ $\langle X, X^2, Y \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
	$\gamma_4 = \varphi_3$	$\mathbf{M}_{3}^{s}(k)$
N <sub>4</sub>	$\delta_1 = \tau_4$	$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{4} kI_{j}$
	$\delta_2 = (X, Y)/(XY, Y^2 + X^3);$ $\langle X, X^2, X^3, Y \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} \\ a_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{14} & 0 & 0 & a_{44} \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
	$\delta_3 = (X, Y)/(XY, X^3, Y^3);$ $\langle X, X^2, Y, Y^2 \rangle$	$ \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 \\ a_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & 0 & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\} $
	$\delta_4 = (X, Y)/(XY, Y^2, X^4);$ $\langle X, X^2, X^3, Y \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 & a_{24} \\ a_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{24} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$

	Structure	Space of cocycles
	$\delta_5 = (X, Y)/(Y^2, X^3, X^2 Y);$ $\langle X, X^2, Y, XY \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{33} & 0 \\ a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
	$\delta_6 = (X, Y, Z)/(XY, XZ, YZ, X^2 - Y^2, X^2 - Z^2);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, X^2 \rangle$	$ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{M}_{3}^{s}(k) & 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $
	$\delta_7 = (X, Y, Z)/(XY, XZ, YZ, X^2, Y^2 - Z^2);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, Y^2 \rangle$	$ \begin{pmatrix} & 0 \\ \mathbf{M}_{3}^{s}(k) & 0 \\ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $
	$\delta_8 = (X, Y, Z)/(XY, XZ, YZ, Y^2, Z^2, X^3);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, X^2 \rangle$	$\begin{pmatrix} & & 0 \\ \mathbf{M}_{3}^{\mathbf{s}}(k) & 0 \\ & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
	$\delta_9 = \varphi_4$	$\mathbf{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{s}}(k)$
N <sub>5</sub>	$\varepsilon_1 = \tau_5$	$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{5} kI_{j}$
	$\varepsilon_2 = (X, Y)/(XY, X^4 - Y^2);$ $\langle X, X^2, X^3, X^4, Y \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 & a_{15} \\ a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{15} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{55} \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
	$\varepsilon_3 = (X, Y)/(XY, X^3 - Y^3);$ $\langle X, X^2, X^3, Y, Y^2 \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} & 0 \\ a_{12} & 0 & 0 & a_{24} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{14} & a_{24} & 0 & a_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$

•

Structure	Space of cocycles
$\varepsilon_4 = (X, Y)/(X^3, Y^2);$ $\langle X, Y, X^2, XY, X^2Y \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & 0\\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{14} & 0 & 0\\ a_{13} & a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
$\varepsilon_5 = (X, Y)/(X^5, XY, Y^2);$ $\langle X, X^2, X^3, X^4, Y \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{15} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{55} \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
$\varepsilon_6 = (X, Y)/(X^4, XY, Y^3);$ $\langle Y, Y^2, X, X^2, X^3 \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & 0 & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34} & a_{35} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{35} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
$\varepsilon_7 = (X, Y, Z)/(X^2, Y^2, Z^2, XY - XZ - YZ);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, XZ, YZ \rangle$	$\begin{pmatrix} & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{M}_{3}^{s}(k) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$
$\varepsilon_8 = (X, Y)/(X^4, X^2Y, Y^2 - X^3);$ $\langle X, Y, X^2, X^3, XY \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{23} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 & a_{14} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{23} & a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$

Structure	Space of cocycles
$\varepsilon_9 = (X, Y, Z)/(X^2, Y^2, Z^2, YZ + XZ);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, XY, XZ \rangle$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{M}_{3}^{s}(k) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$
$\varepsilon_{10} = (X, Y)/(X^4, X^2Y, Y^2);$ $\langle X, Y, X^2, X^3, XY \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{23} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
$\varepsilon_{11} = (X, Y, Z)/(Y^2, Z^2, XY, X^2 - YZ);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, X^2, XZ \rangle$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{M}_{3}^{s}(k) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$
$\varepsilon_{12} = (X, Y, Z)/(X^2, Y^2, Z^2, YZ);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, XY, XZ \rangle$	$\begin{pmatrix} & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{M}_{3}^{s}(k) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$
$\varepsilon_{13} = (X, Y, Z)/(X^2, Y^2, XZ, YZ, XY - Z^3);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, Z^2, Z^3 \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & a_{34} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$

Structure	Space of cocycles
$\varepsilon_{14} = (X, Y, Z)/(Y^2, XY, YZ, XZ, X^2 + Z^3);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, Z^2, Z^3 \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & a_{34} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
$\varepsilon_{15} = (X, Y, Z)/(Z^2, Y^2, XY, XZ, X^3);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, X^2, YZ \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
$\varepsilon_{16} = (X, Y)/(X^3, X^2Y, XY^2, Y^3);$ $\langle X, Y, X^2, XY, Y^2 \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{25} \\ a_{13} & a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{14} & a_{15} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{15} & a_{25} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
$\varepsilon_{17} = (X, Y, Z)/(Y^2, YZ, XZ, Z^2 - XY, X^3);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, X^2, Z^2 \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
$\varepsilon_{18} = (X, Y, Z)/(X^2, Y^2, XY, XZ, YZ, Z^4);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, Z^2, Z^3 \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34} & a_{35} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{35} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$

Structure	Space of cocycles
$\varepsilon_{19} = (X, Y, Z)/(Z^2, XZ, YZ, XY, X^3, Y^3);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, X^2, Y^2 \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 & a_{25} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{25} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
$\varepsilon_{20} = (X, Y, Z)/(Y^2, Z^2, YZ, XZ, X^3, X^2Y);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, X^2, XY \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{15} & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{14} & a_{15} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{15} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in k \right\}$
$\varepsilon_{21} = (X, Y, Z, W)/(X^2, Y^2, Z^2, W^2, XY)$ XZ, YW, ZW, XW - YZ); $\langle X, Y, Z, W, XW \rangle$	$Y_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & $
$\varepsilon_{22} = (X, Y, Z, W) / (X^2, Y^2, XZ, XW, YZ, YW, ZW, W^2, XY - Z^2); \langle X, Y, Z, W, Z^2 \rangle$	$ \begin{pmatrix}  & 0 \\  & 0 \\  \mathbf{M}_{4}^{s} & 0 \\  & 0 \\  & 0 \\  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $
$\varepsilon_{23} = (X, Y, Z, W) / (X^2, Y^2, Z^2, W^2, XZ, XW, YZ, YW, ZW); \langle X, Y, Z, W, XY \rangle$	$\begin{pmatrix} & & 0 \\ & & 0 \\ \mathbf{M}_{4}^{s}(k) & 0 \\ & & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Structures		
$\varepsilon_{24} = (X, Y, Z, W)/(Y^2, Z^2, W^2, XY, XZ, XW, YZ, YW, ZW, X^3);$ $\langle X, Y, Z, W, X^2 \rangle$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & a_{34} & 0 \\ a_{14} & a_{24} & a_{34} & a_{44} & 0 \\ a_{15} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle  a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$	}
$\varepsilon_{25} = \varphi_5$	$\mathbf{M}_{5}^{s}(k)$	

## **§3.** The irreducibility of $N_1$ , $N_2$ , $N_3$ , $N_4$ , $N_5$ , $N_6$ .

We proceed in three steps. In the first, we show that  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  are irreducible, and hence so are  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $N_3$ ,  $N_4$ . In the second, resp. third we show that  $N_5$ , resp.  $N_6$  are irreducible.

First step. It is clear that  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  are irreducible since  $\alpha_1 = \tau_1$ ,  $\beta_1 = \tau_2$ ,  $\beta_2 = \varphi_2$ , and corollary 3 applies. In  $S_3$ , observe  $\tau_3 > \gamma_2$  and dim  $C(\tau_3) = \dim C(\gamma_2)$ , hence by proposition 2, every cocycle over  $\gamma_2$  is a specialization of a cocycle over  $\tau_3$ . Since a general member  $B \in C(\gamma_3)$  is non-degenerate, Ex  $(\gamma_3, B)$  is colocal with embedding dimension two. Hence by corollary 1 and the remark following this corollary, there is a curve  $\Gamma$  in  $S_3$  through  $(\gamma_3, B)$  and generically over  $\tau_3^{GL_3}$ . Finally,  $\gamma_4 = \varphi_3$ , so by corollary 3, we conclude that  $S_3$  is irreducible.

Second step. The cocycles of  $S(\delta_2)$  are specializations of cocycles over  $\tau_4$  since by the first step  $\tau_4 > \delta_2$  and dim  $C(\delta_2) = \dim C(\tau_4)$  and proposition 2 applies.

Each  $C(\delta_3)$  and  $C(\delta_5)$  contain non-degenerate forms, so the argument used for  $S(\gamma_3)$  above works again: The cocycles of  $S(\delta_3)$  and of  $S(\delta_5)$  are specializations of those over  $\tau_4$ .

Observe that  $\delta_4 \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_3 \times \tau_1$ , so corollary 2 applies to  $S(\delta_4)$ . The cocycles in  $S(\delta_7)$  are specializations of those in  $S(\delta_6)$ . We have  $\delta_8 \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_3 \times \tau_2$ , so the cocycles in  $S(\delta_8)$  are specializations of those lying over  $\tau_4$  by corollary 2. We are left with  $C(\delta_6)$ . We shall show within the third step that the structure  $\varepsilon_7 \xrightarrow{\sim} Ex(\delta_6, B)$  for general  $B \in C(\delta_6)$  is a specialization of  $\tau_5$ . From this it follows that  $N_5$  is irreducible.

Third step. Let  $\xi \in N_5(k)$  be of embedding dimension  $\leq 2$ . Then either an extension  $\text{Ex}(\xi, B), B \in C(\xi)$ , is trivial or its embedding dimension is still  $\leq 2$ . In the latter case, by the remark following corollary 1,  $\text{Ex}(\xi, B)$  is a specialization of  $\tau_6$ ; in the first case, this is trivial. Since by corollary 3, all cocycles over  $\varphi_5$  are

specializations of cocycles over  $\tau_5$ , we are left with the investigation of cocycles lying over structures  $\varepsilon_i$  with  $e(\varepsilon_i) = 3$ . or 4.

In embedding dimension four, note that  $C(\varepsilon_{21}) = C(\varepsilon_{22}) = C(\varepsilon_{23}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{M}_4^s(k)$ . So by proposition 2 and since  $\varepsilon_{21} > \varepsilon_{22} > \varepsilon_{23}$  for trivial reasons, it is sufficient to consider a general cocycle in  $C(\varepsilon_{21})$ . Look at the specialization  $\tau_5 \rightarrow \varepsilon_{21}$  defined by the base change  $X = e_1$ ,  $Y = e_2/\lambda$ ,  $Z = e_3/\lambda^2$ ,  $W = e_4/\lambda^3$ ,  $XW = e_5/\lambda^3$ . Call this variable structure  $\tau_5(\lambda)$ , so  $\tau_5(1) = \tau_5$  and  $\tau_5(0) = \varepsilon_{21}$ . We have

$$C(\tau_{5}(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3} & b_{4} & \lambda b_{5} \\ b_{2} & b_{3} & b_{4} & b_{5} & 0 \\ b_{3} & b_{4} & b_{5} & 0 & 0 \\ b_{4} & b_{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda b_{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| b_{i} \in k \right\},$$

whence all the structures  $E_{x}(\varepsilon_{21}, B)$ , where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 & 0 \\ b_2 & b_3 & b_4 & b_5 & 0 \\ b_3 & b_4 & b_5 & 0 & 0 \\ b_4 & b_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

are specializations of  $\tau_6$ . They are described as follows: Let X, Y, Z, W, be the canonical basis of  $E = k^4$  and S, T the canonical basis of  $F = k^2$ . Identify  $I_4$  and B with the bilinear forms they define on  $E \times E$  with respect to X, Y, Z, W. Then Ex ( $\varepsilon_{21}$ , B) is this multiplication:

(i) EF = FF = 0,

(ii) For  $x, y \in E$ , we have  $xy = I_4(x, y)S + B(x, y)T$ .

Write  $B(x, y) = I_4(\sigma_B(x), y)$ ,  $\sigma_b \in GL(E)$ . With respect to the basis X, Y, Z, W,  $\sigma_B$  has the matrix

$$\sigma_{B} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{4} & b_{5} & 0 & 0 \\ b_{3} & b_{4} & b_{5} & 0 \\ b_{2} & b_{3} & b_{4} & b_{5} \\ b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3} & b_{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

whose characteristic polynomial is

$$\chi_{B} = \det \left( \sigma_{B} - \mu \mathbf{1} \right) = (b_{4} - \mu)^{4} - 3b_{3}b_{5}(b_{4} - \mu)^{2} + 2b_{2}b_{5}^{2}(b_{4} - \mu) + b_{3}^{2}b_{5}^{2} - b_{1}b_{5}^{3}.$$

Let Z be the 20-dimensional affine space consisting of pairs of symmetric  $4 \times 4$ -matrices. Consider the morphism  $z: \mathbf{A}^5 \times \operatorname{GL}_4 \to Z: (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5; g) \mapsto (I_4^g, B^g)$ . We show that z is dominant. This implies that for general B we get the general extension  $\operatorname{Ex} (\varepsilon_{21}, B)$  of  $\varepsilon_{21}$ . Now, if  $(I_4^g, B^g) = (I_4, B')$ , B' being defined by  $b'_1, b'_2, b'_3, b'_4, b'_5$  (like B), then  $\chi_B = \chi_{B'}$ . Hence, for fixed B, the possible B' define a one-dimensional variety in  $\mathbf{A}^5$ . On the other hand, the stabilizers of  $I_4$  and of B have a finite intersection, if B is sufficiently general. So the generic fibre of z is one-dimensional, and z is dominant.

## Remarks

- (1) With the above notation, it is easily seen that the multiplication
- (i) EF = FF = 0,
- (ii) For  $x, y \in E$ ,  $xy = B_1(x, y)S + B_2(x, y)T$  with

$$B_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & 0 & 1 \\ \lambda & \lambda^{2} - i\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } B_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\lambda & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a one-parameter family  $(\beta_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in k}$  of structures which is generic among the extensions of  $\varepsilon_{21}$ . By an elementary but very long calculus, one finds the following curve  $\Gamma_{\lambda} = \{\tau_6(t): t \in k \setminus \{0\}\}$  in  $N_6$  which defines a specialization  $\tau_6 \rightarrow \beta_{\lambda}$ : If  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$ ,  $e_4$ ,  $e_5$ ,  $e_6$  is the canonical basis of  $k^6$  we derive  $\tau_6(t)$  from  $\tau_6$  by the new basis

$$X = a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a_4e_4 + a_5e_5$$
  

$$Y = b_2e_2 + b_3e_3 + b_4e_4 + b_5e_5$$
  

$$Z = c_3e_3 + c_4e_4 + c_5e_5$$

$$W = d_4 e_4 + d_5 e_5$$

XW

 $Z^2$ 

where

$$\begin{split} a_{1} &= t^{24} \\ a_{2} &= \lambda^{2} (\lambda - i) t^{3} \\ a_{3} &= \frac{1}{4} \lambda (2\lambda - i) t^{-14} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{4} (\lambda - i)^{2} t^{-18} \\ a_{4} &= \frac{8}{3} \lambda^{4} (1 + i\lambda)^{2} t^{-25} + \frac{1}{3} \lambda t^{-31} + \frac{5}{6} \lambda^{3} (\lambda - i) (2\lambda - i) t^{-35} + \frac{2}{3} \lambda^{6} (\lambda - i)^{3} t^{-39} \\ a_{5} &= \frac{8}{3} \lambda^{6} (\lambda - i)^{3} t^{-46} + \frac{1}{96} \lambda^{2} (148\lambda^{2} - 148i\lambda + 3) t^{-52} \\ &+ \frac{17}{24} \lambda^{5} (\lambda - i)^{2} (i - 2\lambda) t^{-56} - \frac{19}{24} \lambda^{8} (\lambda - i)^{4} t^{-60} \\ b_{2} &= t^{7} \\ b_{3} &= 2\lambda^{2} (\lambda - i) t^{-14} \\ b_{4} &= 2\lambda^{2} (\lambda - i) t^{-21} + \frac{1}{2} i \lambda t^{-31} \\ b_{5} &= \frac{2}{3} \lambda^{4} (\lambda - i)^{2} t^{-42} - \frac{1}{3} \lambda t^{-48} + \frac{1}{6} \lambda^{3} (\lambda - i) (8\lambda + 5i) t^{-52} + \frac{1}{3} \lambda^{6} (\lambda - i)^{3} t^{-56} \\ c_{3} &= t^{-12} \\ c_{4} &= 2\lambda^{2} (\lambda - i) t^{-33} \\ c_{5} &= 2\lambda^{2} (\lambda - i) t^{-40} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda (i - 2\lambda) t^{-50} - \frac{3}{2} \lambda^{4} (\lambda - i)^{2} t^{-54} \\ d_{4} &= \lambda t^{-31} \\ d_{5} &= 3\lambda^{3} (\lambda - i) t^{-52} \end{split}$$

(Check!)

(2) In contrast to this complicated specialization, it is easy to desingularize the local k-algebras  $k[\beta_{\lambda}]$  having  $\beta_{\lambda}$  as maximal ideal.

Call a k-algebra A weakly coupled iff  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} k[X_1, \ldots, X_s]/I + J + (X_1^{m_1+1}, \ldots, X_s^{m_s+1})$ , where

(j) all the  $m_i$  satisfy  $m_i > 1$ ,

(jj) the ideal I is contained in the ideal  $I_{mix}$  generated by the monomials in several variables,

(jjj) for  $i \neq l$ ,  $X_l X_i^{m_i - 1} \in I$ ,

(jv) the vectorspace J is contained in  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} kX_{i}^{m_{i}}$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.** A weakly coupled k-algebra A with e(A) = s is a specialization of the direct product of s + 1 algebras. In particular, if  $I = I_{mix}$ , then A is desingularizable.

**Proof.** Write A as set of the k-linear combinations formed by  $1_A, X_i, X_i^2, \ldots, X_i^{m_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ , by mixed monomials  $f_1, \ldots, f_r$  defining a basis for  $I_{\text{mix}}/I$ . The relations among these generators are determined by J.

Choose in  $C = k^s \times k[X_1, \ldots, X_s]/(X_1^{m_1}, \ldots, X_s^{m_i}) + I$  the system of generators  $1_C$ ,  $X_{i,1} = \lambda 1_i + X_i$ ,  $X_{i,2} = X_i^2 - \lambda X_i$ ,  $\ldots, X_{i,m_i-1} = X_i^{m_i-1} - \lambda X_i^{m_i-2}$ ,  $X_{i,m_i} = -\lambda X_i^{m_i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ , and  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ , where  $\lambda \in k \setminus \{0\}$  and  $1_i$  denotes the *i*-th primitive idempotent of *C*. The relations from *J* are transported into this system of generators by the isomorphism  $X_i^{m_i} \mapsto X_{i,m_i}$ . Now it is clear that the structure  $A_{\lambda}$  gotten from *C* by dividing through these relations among the  $X_{i,m_i}$  tends to *A* if  $\lambda \to 0$ . For  $I = I_{\text{mix}}$ , either  $m_i > 2$ , all *i*, and the  $(s+1)^{\text{st}}$  factor of  $A_{\lambda}$  is again weakly coupled with  $I = I_{\text{mix}}$ . Or else, we have  $m_1 = 2$ , without loss of generality. Then either  $X_1$  is linearly dependent of  $X_2, \ldots, X_s$ , and the embedding dimension diminishes, or  $X_1$  is independent, and the  $(s+1)^{\text{st}}$  factor can be deformed to a non-local structure by deforming the subalgebra  $k[X_1]/(X_1^2)$  to  $k \times k$ . In either case, the induction works since new weakly coupled algebras with  $I = I_{\text{mix}}$  are produced. Finally, we get a specialization of  $k^n$  to A, n = rank of A, if  $I = I_{\text{mix}}$ . QED.

In particular, the generic extensions of  $\varepsilon_{21}$  which may be defined by the two bilinear forms

$$B_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1} & & 0 \\ & \lambda_{2} & & \\ & & \lambda_{3} & \\ 0 & & & \lambda_{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

as above, are desingularizable.

In embedding dimension four we are left with the cocycles  $B \in S(\varepsilon_{24})$ . We have  $\varepsilon_{24} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_2 \times \varphi_3$ , so corollary 2 solves this case. This concludes the discussion of embedding dimension four.-

The most interesting case is embedding dimension three. We first discuss the algebras  $\varepsilon_{13}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{14}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{18}$  having non-vanishing third powers.

An extension  $\sigma = \text{Ex}(\varepsilon_{13}, B)$  on  $\varepsilon_{13} \oplus ke_6$  has multiplication  $\sigma e_6 = 0$ ,  $a_{\sigma} b = a_{\varepsilon_{13}} b + B(a, b)e_6$  for  $a, b \in \varepsilon_{13}$ . Choose the basis X, Y, Z,  $Z_{\sigma} Z, Z_{\sigma} Z_{\sigma} Z, e_6$  in  $\sigma$ . Now, this new structure  $\sigma'$  has  $Z^{\cdot 3} := Z_{\sigma} Z_{\sigma} Z$  in its socle, so  $\sigma' \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ex}(\sigma'/kZ^{\cdot 3}, \gamma)$  where  $e(\sigma'/kZ^{\cdot 3}) = 3$ , and  $(\sigma'/kZ^{\cdot 3})^{\cdot 3} = 0$ . So the algebras lying over  $\varepsilon_{13}$  (i.e. coming from  $S(\varepsilon_{13})$ ) are structures coming from cocycles lying over algebras of embedding dimension three and having vanishing third powers. These are discussed below. Since  $\varepsilon_{14} \xrightarrow{\sim} \delta_2 \times \tau_1$ , by corollary 2, and because  $\delta_2$ -cocycles are specializations of  $\tau_4$ -cocycles (cf. 2<sup>nd</sup> step) we recognize the cocycles over  $\varepsilon_{14}$  as specializations of cocycles over  $\tau_5$ .

As  $\varepsilon_{18} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_2 \times \tau_3$ , corollary 2 applies to view cocycles over  $\varepsilon_{18}$  as specializations of cocycles over  $\tau_5$ .

We are left with the structures of embedding dimension three and having vanishing third powers (together with their cocycles). There are two subsets  $1^{\text{st}}$  set = { $\varepsilon_{15}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{17}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{19}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{20}$ }, and  $2^{\text{nd}}$  set = { $\varepsilon_7$ ,  $\varepsilon_9$ ,  $\varepsilon_{11}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{12}$ } of this set of algebras which we treat differently.

The first set is easy, because  $\varepsilon_{15} \rightarrow \tau_3 \times \gamma_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_{19} \rightarrow \tau_1 \times \delta_3$ ,  $\varepsilon_{20} \rightarrow \tau_1 \times \delta_5$ . The cocycles in  $S(\delta_3)$ ,  $S(\delta_5)$  are specializations of  $S(\tau_4)$  by the discussion of  $S_4$ . As  $S_3$  is irreducible  $S(\tau_3)$  specializes to  $S(\delta_3)$ . Hence by corollary 2,  $S(\varepsilon_{15})$ ,  $S(\varepsilon_{19})$ ,  $S(\varepsilon_{20})$  are specializations of  $S(\tau_5)$ . As to  $\varepsilon_{17}$ , note that dim  $C(\varepsilon_{17}) = \dim C(\varepsilon_{15})$ , so if we show that  $\varepsilon_{15} \succ \varepsilon_{17}$ , proposition 2 applies to get the cocycles over  $\varepsilon_{17}$ . For any  $\lambda \in k \setminus \{0\}$ , consider the structure  $(X, Y, Z)/((Y^2, X^3, XZ, \lambda Z^2 - YZ, Z^2 - YX)$  with basis  $\langle X, Y, Z, X^2, Z^2 \rangle$ . If one puts  $X' = Y + \lambda^2 X - \lambda Z$ , Y' = Y,  $Z' = Z - (1/2\lambda)Y$ , one sees that this structure is isomorphic to  $\varepsilon_{15}$ . But for  $\lambda = 0$  we get  $\varepsilon_{17}$ , as desired. This ends the discussion of the first set of structures.

In view of  $\mathbf{M}_3^{s}(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(\varepsilon_7/\varepsilon_7^{-2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(\varepsilon_7) = C(\varepsilon_9) = C(\varepsilon_{11}) = C(\varepsilon_{12})$  and by proposition 2, it suffices to show that



holds, and that  $\text{Ex}(S(\tau_5))$  specializes to  $\text{Ex}(S(\varepsilon_7))$  in order to handle this last set of structures.

Consider the family  $\varepsilon_7(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} (X, Y, Z)/(X^2, Y^2, Z^2, \lambda XY - XZ - YZ)$  with  $\varepsilon_7(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \varepsilon_7$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\varepsilon_7(0) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \varepsilon_9$ , thus  $\varepsilon_7 > \varepsilon_9$ . The family  $\varepsilon_9(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} (X, Y, Z)/(X^2, Y^2, Z^2, \lambda YZ + XZ)$  specializes to  $\varepsilon_9(0) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \varepsilon_{12}$ , and  $\varepsilon_9(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \varepsilon_9$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$ . To get  $\varepsilon_9 > \varepsilon_{11}$ , note that  $\varepsilon_9 \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} (X, Y, Z)/(Y^2, Z^2, XY, X^2 - XZ)$  which clearly specializes to  $\varepsilon_{11}$ .

To handle the structures in  $\operatorname{Ex}(S(\varepsilon_7))$ , consider the specialization  $\tau_5 \to \varepsilon_7$ given by the family  $x = e_1$ ,  $y = (1/\lambda)e_2$ ,  $z = (1/\lambda^2)e_3 - (1/\lambda^4)e_5$ ,  $u = (1/\lambda^2)e_4$ ,  $v = (1/\lambda^3)e_5$  of bases which define a family  $(\tau_5(\lambda))_{\lambda \in k}$  of structures isomorphic to  $\tau_5$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$ , and such that  $\tau_5(0) = \varepsilon_7$ . The cocycle-spaces are

$$C(\tau_{5}(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3} - b_{5} & \lambda b_{4} & \lambda b_{5} \\ b_{2} & b_{3} & b_{4} & \lambda b_{5} & 0 \\ b_{3} - b_{5} & b_{4} & b_{5} & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda b_{4} & \lambda b_{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda b_{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{c} b_{i} \in k \\ \text{all } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \\ \text{all } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \\ \end{array} \right\}$$

Hence we can lift the curve  $(\tau(\lambda))_{\lambda \in k}$  in  $N_5$  to a curve in  $S_5$  passing through every couple

in  $S(\varepsilon_7)$ . The algebra-extension defined by such a couple has the following description. Set  $E = ke_1 \oplus ke_2 \oplus ke_3$  and  $F = ke_4 \oplus ke_5 \oplus ke_6$ , such that  $k^6 = E \oplus F$ . Call A, B, C the three symmetric bilinear forms on  $E \times E$  defined by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 - b_5 \\ b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_3 - b_5 & b_4 & b_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to  $\langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ . Then the multiplication is FE = FF = 0,  $xy = A(x, y)e_4 + B(x, y)e_5 + C(x, y)e_6$  for  $x, y \in E$ . We want to show that it is sufficient for our purpose to consider the coefficients  $b_2 = b_3 = 0$ ,  $b_4 = 1$  and  $b_5 = b_1$ . Call this structure  $\alpha(b_1)$ .

We now investigate the structures  $\alpha(A, B, C)$  defined by an arbitrary triplet (A, B, C) of symmetric bilinear forms on  $E \times E$  in the above way. Since Ex  $(S(\varepsilon_7))$  is contained in this 18-dimensional irreducible set X of structures, we shall show that the set  $X \cap (\bigcup_{b. \in k^5} \alpha(b.)^{GL_6})$  is dense in X. Now, dim  $(\alpha(b.)^{GL_6} \cap X) = 17$  if  $b. \in k^5$  is sufficiently general. In fact, for general b. we have  $\alpha(b.)^{GL_6} \cap X = \alpha(b.)^{GL_3 \times GL_3}$ . Viewing a structure  $\alpha(A, B, C)$  as a three-dimensional vectorspace V of symmetric bilinear forms on  $E \times E$  plus a basis of V, the action of  $GL_3 \times GL_3$  on  $\alpha(A, B, C)$  becomes this: the first factor acts canonically on V. For general V,

its orbit in the Grassmannian of all 3-dimensional subspaces of the space of the symmetric bilinear forms of  $E \times E$  is 8-dimensional. The second factor simply acts as base-change.- Clearly, the subspace V defined by  $\alpha(b.)$  also has an 8-dimensional orbit for general b., whence  $\alpha(b.)^{GL_3 \times GL_3} = 8 + 9 = 17$ . Hence it suffices to find a  $GL_6$ -invariant rational function on X which is not constant on the set  $\{\alpha(b_1): b_1 \in k\}$ . If  $\alpha = \alpha(A, B, C) \in X$ , consider the equation

$$0 = f_{\alpha}(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \det (\lambda M_{A} + \mu M_{B} + \nu M_{C}),$$

where  $M_A$ ,  $M_B$ ,  $M_C$  are 3×3-matrices representing A, B, C in the basis  $\langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ . For general  $\alpha$ , this is the homogeneous equation of an elliptic curve  $E_{\alpha} \subset \mathbf{P}_2$ . Clearly, all  $\xi \in X \cap \alpha^{GL_6}$  define isomorphic curves. So "the" modular invariant  $j(E_{\alpha})$  is a GL<sub>6</sub>-invariant rational function. We calculate this function as a rational function of  $b_1$  for structures  $\alpha(b_1)$  in the following way: we have the cubic equation

$$0 = f_{\alpha(b_1)}(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = 2\lambda\mu^2 - 4b_1\mu^2\nu + 2\lambda\mu\nu - 4b_1\mu\nu^2 + 2b_1\lambda^2\nu - b_1\nu^3 - \lambda^3$$

For  $b_1 \neq 0$ , the point P with homogeneous coordinates (0, 1, 0) is not a point of inflection of  $E_{\alpha(b_1)}$ . Hence there are four projective lines through P which are tangent to  $E_{\alpha(b_1)}$  in points different from P. Call  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ ,  $P_4$  the four points on the line  $\mu = 0$  cut out by the four tangents. Let  $\Lambda = \Lambda(P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4)$  be the cross ratio of these four points, then the rational function  $j = (\Lambda^2 - \Lambda + 1)^3 / \Lambda (\Lambda - 1)^2$  is a well-known parameter for the four-points set  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$  on  $\mu = 0$  yielding "the" modular invariant of  $E_{\alpha(b_1)}$ . The homogeneous coordinates  $(\lambda_i, 0, 1)$ , i = 1, 2, 3, 4 of  $P_i$  stem from the solution  $\lambda_i$  of the equation

$$0 = \lambda^4 - 4b_1\lambda^3 + (4b_1^2 + \frac{1}{2})\lambda^2 - b_1\lambda$$

which means the vanishing of the discriminant of the quadratic equation  $0 = f_{\alpha(b_1)}(\lambda, \mu, 1)$  in  $\mu$ . Putting  $u = -4b_1$ ,  $v = 4b_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $w = -b_1$ , we get

$$j = \frac{(v^2 - 3uw)^3}{w((uv)^2 - 4(v^3 + u^3w) - 27w^2 + 18uvw)}$$

which clearly is non-constant in  $b_1$ . QED.

Together with theorem 1, we conclude:

THEOREM 2. The schemes  $N_n$ , n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 are irreducible, rational of

dimension  $n^2 - n$ , the orbit of the uniserial structure  $\tau_n$  forming a smooth subscheme of  $N_n$ .

COROLLARY 4. Let  $Alg_n$  be the scheme of associative, unitary k-algebrastructures on  $k^n$  (§5.). Let  $Alcom_n$  be the closed subscheme of commutative structures, and denote by  $Alcomloc_n \subset Alcom_n$  the reduced subscheme of local, commutative structures. Then for  $n \leq 7$ ,  $Alcomloc_n$  and (a fortiori)  $Alcom_n$  is irreducible.

# §4. Counterexamples

For n > 6, the schemes  $N_n$  are no longer irreducible. In fact, fix a subspace  $E \subset k^n$  of dimension *e*. Let  $S \subset k^n$  be any linear supplement (= complement) of *E*. Suppose  $e(e+1)/2 \ge n-e$ , and pick a surjective linear map  $B: \text{Sym}_2(E) \to S$ , where  $\text{Sym}_2(E)$  denotes the second symmetric power of *E*. Then we get a structure E(S, B) in  $N_n$  by the rules:

(i) The product  $Sk^n$  vanishes.

(ii) If  $x, y \in E$ , then  $xy = B(x \circ y)$ , where  $x \circ y$  is the class of  $x \otimes y$  in Sym<sub>2</sub> (E). Since  $E(S, B)^{\cdot 2} = S$ , the morphism

 $E(?, ?): G(E) \rightarrow N_n: (S, B) \mapsto E(S, B)$ 

is injective, where G(E) denotes the irreducible scheme whose k-points are the above couples. Because of dim  $G(E) = \frac{1}{2}e(e+1)(n-e) + e(n-e)$ , dim  $(E(?, ?)(G(E))) \ge n^2 - n$  means that we consider couples  $(e, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

- (i) the linear inequality  $n e \ge 0$ ,
- (ii) the parabolic inequality  $e^2 + 3e 2n \ge 0$ ,
- (iii) the elliptic inequality  $ne^2 e^3 + 3ne 3e^2 2n^2 + 2n \ge 0$ .

These inequalities are clearly satisfied for any couple (e, n) = (e, 2e) and (e, n) = (e, 2e-1) for  $e \ge 4$ . Hence for any  $n \ge 7$ , the irreducible subset E(?, ?)(G(E)) of  $N_n$  is not dominated by the  $(n^2 - n)$ -dimensional orbit of  $\tau_n$ . So:

PROPOSITION 6. For  $n \ge 7$ ,  $N_n$ , and hence Alcomloc<sub>n+1</sub> is not irreducible.

**PROPOSITION** 7. For  $n \ge 10$ , Alcom<sub>n</sub> is not irreducible.

*Proof.* Choose the fundamental affine neighbourhood  $U_n \subset \text{Grass}_{n-1,n}$  consisting of the supplements of  $\{0\} \times \cdots \times \{0\} \times k$  in  $k^n$ . This induces an algebraic

choice of a basis for any  $R \in U_n$ . Hence every R bears the nilpotent structure E(S, B)(R) defined by E(S, B) and by the base-choice. Finally, pick a vector  $\mathbf{1} \in k^n \setminus R$ . These dates define a unique local structure  $(S, B)(R, \mathbf{1})$  having **1** as unity and E(S, B)(R) as maximal ideal. The irreducible subset L(E) of  $Alcom_n$  consisting of these structures has dimension  $n + (n-1) + \frac{1}{2}e(e+1)(n-1-e) + e(n-1-e)$ . The condition dim  $L(E) \ge n^2$  is the singular cubic inequality

$$n^{2}e - e^{3} + 3ne - 4e^{2} - 2n^{2} - 3e + 4n - 2 \ge 0$$
<sup>(\*)</sup>

So L(E) is not dominated by the orbit of  $k^{\times n}$  as soon as the following hold:

- (i) the linear inequality  $n e 1 \ge 0$ ,
- (ii) the parabolic inequality  $e^2 + 3e 2n + 2 \ge 0$ ,
- (iii) the cubic inequality (\*) above.

It is clear that all couples (e, n) = (e, e+4) for  $e \ge 6$  satisfy these inequalities, and that (e, n) = (5, 11) is a solution of minimal embedding dimension five. QED.

# **§5.** Two criteria for deformation of finite-dimensional algebras and the Hassediagram of the deformations of commutative algebras of dimension five.

In this paragraph, we are dealing with the scheme  $Alg_n$  whose functor on the category k-Alg takes the values

$$\operatorname{Alg}_{n}(A) = \begin{cases} \xi \in (A^{n}) * \bigotimes_{A} (A^{n}), \xi \text{ defines on } A^{n} \text{ the structure} \\ \text{of an associative, unitary } A \text{-algebra} \end{cases}$$

where  $(A^n) * = A$ -dual of  $A^n$ .

Like in §1.  $GL_n$  acts upon  $Alg_n$  by structural transport from the right. We carry over to  $Alg_n$  the notations of §1 concerning this action.

The first deformation criterion is concerned with central idempotents. Let  $Zip_n$  be the scheme whose functor on k-Alg takes the values

$$\operatorname{Zip}_{n}(A) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\xi, i), \ \xi \in \operatorname{Alg}_{n}(A), \ i \in A^{n}, \ \text{and} \ i \ \text{is central} \\ \text{and idempotent for the structure } \xi \end{array} \right\}$$

LEMMA (P. Gabriel). The projection  $p: Zip_n \rightarrow Alg_n$  is an étale morphism. (For the definition of an étale morphism, cf. [8; (IV, 17.1.1)].)

Idea of proof. The only non-trivial point is the verification that p is formally

smooth, Let *B* be local, artinian in *k*-Alg. Take an ideal  $I \subset B$  with  $I^2 = 0$ , and let  $\xi$  be a *B*-valued structure in Alg<sub>n</sub>. The undirected graph of  $\xi$  has vertices  $S_i$  representing a complete system of simple  $\xi$ -modules. For  $i \neq j$ , there is an edge between  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  iff either  $\text{Ext}_{\xi}(S_i, S_j)$  or  $\text{Ext}_{\xi}(S_j, S_i)$  doesn't vanish. The connected components of this graph correspond one-to-one to the primitive central idempotents of  $\xi$ . The lemma now follows from the fact that  $\text{Ext}_{\xi/I\xi}(S_i, S_j)$  doesn't vanish if  $\text{Ext}_{\xi}(S_i, S_j)$  doesn't. QED.

THEOREM. Let  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$  be two k-rational structures in Alg<sub>n</sub>. Let  $\xi \cong \xi_1 \times \xi_2$ ,  $\xi_i$  being k-rational in Alg<sub>n</sub>, i = 1, 2. Then  $\eta > \xi$ , iff there are k-rational structures  $\eta_i$  in Alg<sub>n</sub>, i = 1, 2, satisfying  $\eta_i > \xi_i$ , i = 1, 2, and such that  $\eta \cong \eta_1 \times \eta_2$ .

Idea of proof. Let the structures  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$  have the required properties. Then trivially  $\eta_1 \times \eta_2 > \xi_1 \times \xi_2$ . For the converse, observe that there is a  $GL_n$ -action on  $\operatorname{Zip}_n$  by  $(\zeta, i)^g := (\zeta^g, g^{-1}(i))$  for  $g \in GL_n(A)$  and  $(\zeta, i) \in \operatorname{Zip}_n(A)$  such that the symbol > of dominance makes sense on  $\operatorname{Zip}_n$  too.- Let  $\eta > \xi$ . Call  $i_{\xi}$  the central idempotent corresponding to the factor  $\xi_1$ . From the lemma it follows that there is a central idempotent  $i_{\eta}$  in  $\eta$  with  $(\eta, i_{\eta}) > (\xi, i_{\xi})$ . Let  $\eta i_{\eta}$  denote the structure of the direct factor of  $\eta$  generated by the central idempotent  $i_{\eta}$ . Then it follows by a standard argument that  $\eta i_{\eta} > \xi i_{\xi}$  and that  $\eta (1_{\eta} - i_{\eta}) > \xi (1_{\xi} - i_{\xi})$ . QED.

The following criterion is concerned with semi-simple modules. It is quite useful while deforming non-commutative structures and has been used in [7]. We omit the proof since it is routine work in deformation theory.

THEOREM. Let  $\xi$ ,  $\xi'$  be two k-rational structures in Alg<sub>n</sub>. Suppose that (i) to (iii) hold:

(i) We have  $\xi > \xi'$ .

(ii) Both structures  $\xi$  resp.  $\xi'$  have subalgebras L resp. L' which are isomorphic to k'. Here we don't require coincidence of unities of L and  $\xi$  resp. of L' and  $\xi'$ .

(iii) There is only one equivalence class of subalgebras of  $\xi$  isomorphic to k' under the action of Aut ( $\xi$ ). Under these conditions, for every left-sub-L-module M of  $\xi$  there is a left-sub-L'-module M' of  $\xi'$  which is di-isomorphic to M.

To finish this paragraph, we would like to include the Hasse-diagram of the deformations of commutative algebras of dimension five. Here an arrow  $X \rightarrow Y$  means that Y deforms to X. Most of the deformations in the diagram are trivial. Let us merely point out two non-trivial ones:

(1)  $A_8 \rightarrow A_{12}$ . For  $\lambda \in k \setminus \{0\}$ , take the  $A_8$ -base 1,  $\hat{X} = \lambda^2(1, 0) + X + Y$ ,  $\hat{X}^2$ ,



 $\hat{X}^3$ ,  $\hat{Y} = \lambda(X - Y)$ . The relations among  $\hat{X}$  and  $\hat{Y}$  are defined by the singular cubic  $\hat{X}^3 + \lambda^2 \hat{X}^2 - \hat{Y}^2 = 0$  and the union of two lines  $\hat{X}\hat{Y} = 0$ .

(2)  $A_9 \rightarrow A_{12}$ . For  $\lambda \in k \setminus \{0\}$  we take the  $A_9$ -base 1, X = T,  $X^2$ ,  $X^3$ ,  $Y = \lambda^3((T/\lambda^2)^2 + (T/\lambda^2)^3 + (T/\lambda^2)^4)$ . The relations among X and Y are defined by the singular cubic  $Y^2 + X^3 - \lambda XY = 0$  and by the hyperbola  $XY - \lambda^2 Y + \lambda X^2 = 0$ .

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] BRIANÇON, J. Description of Hilb<sup>n</sup>  $\{x, y\}$ , Inv. Math. 41, (1977).
- [2] JARROBINO, A. Reducibility of the families of O-dimensional schemes on a variety, Inv. Math. 15, (1972).
- [3] ----, The number of generic Singularities. Rice Univ. Studies 59, (1973).
- [4] —, Punctual Hilbert schemes. Thesis, AMS-Memoirs 188, (1977).
- [5] JARROBINO, A. and EMSALEM, J. Finite algebras having small tangent space some zerodimensional Generic Singularities, Unpublished.
- [6] HACELETT, O. C. On the classification and invariantive characterization of nilpotent algebras, Amer. J. Math. 38, (1916).
- [7] MAZZOLA, G. The algebraic and geometric classification of associative algebras of dimension five, Manuscripta Math. 27, (1979).
- [8] GROTHENDIECK, A. and DIEUDONNÉ, J. Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, I.H.E.S.-Publ. (1960–1967).

Kapfsteig 31 CH-8032 Zürich

Received May 4, 1979