

D (...) + and the Arting cokernel.

Autor(en): **Oliver, Robert**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **58 (1983)**

PDF erstellt am: **22.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-44600>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

$D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^+$ and the Artin cokernel

ROBERT OLIVER

Let p be an odd prime. If π is a p -group and $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\pi$ is a maximal order containing $\mathbb{Z}\pi$, then we set

$$D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) = \text{Ker} [K_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \rightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{M})]$$

as usual. Since $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ is a p -group [2], the involution $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ induces a natural splitting

$$D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) = D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^+ \oplus D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^-;$$

where $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^+$ and $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^-$ are the $+1$ and -1 eigenspaces, respectively. The involution can be extended to a linear action of \mathbb{F}_p^* on $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$; and this induces further eigenspace decompositions

$$D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^+ = \sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} 2^i D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^- = \sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} 2^{i+1} D(\mathbb{Z}\pi).$$

The groups $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^-$ and $2^{i+1}D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ have been studied extensively in [3] and [14]; and the order of $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^-$ for abelian π is computed in [3]. In this paper, attention is focused on ${}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$. This is the part of $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^+$ which is independent of number theoretic properties of p ; and in fact, ${}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) = D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^+$ whenever p is regular.

For any finite group π , we define the ‘‘Artin cokernel’’ $A_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)$ to be the group

$$A_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi) = \text{Coker} [\text{Ind}: \sum \{R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma) : \sigma \subseteq \pi, \sigma \text{ cyclic}\} \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)],$$

where $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)$ denotes the rational representation ring. The main result here is that ${}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \cong A_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)$ for any p -group π (p odd). Among other consequences, this gives new insight into Martin Taylor’s result [13] that the image $T(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ of the Swan homomorphism has order equal to the Artin exponent of π : $T(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ corresponds under this isomorphism to multiples of the identity in $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)$.

We end by deriving a formula for $|{}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)|$ —in fact, a formula for the order of $A_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)$ for arbitrary finite π . Also, for the sake of completeness, the formula for $|D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^{-}|$ in [3] is generalized to cover arbitrary p -groups; thus giving a complete calculation of $|D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)|$ when π is a p -group and p any odd regular prime.

For $n \geq 1$, $\mathbb{Q}\zeta_n$ always denotes the field generated by the n -th roots of unity (and similarly for $\mathbb{Z}\zeta_n$, $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\zeta_n$, etc.) Also, $\varphi(n)$ will always mean the Euler φ -function.

Throughout the paper, unless otherwise stated, p will be a fixed odd prime. We start with the following well known description of $\mathbb{Q}\pi$ when π is a p -group.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let π be a p -group. Then $\mathbb{Q}\pi$ is a product of matrix rings over fields $\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}$, for various $s \geq 0$. Furthermore, for each $s \geq 0$, the number of simple summands isomorphic to matrix rings over $\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}$ is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups $\sigma \subseteq \pi$ such that*

$$|\sigma/[\sigma, N(\sigma)]| = |\sigma| \cdot |Z(\sigma)|/|N(\sigma)| = p^s.$$

Proof. That $\mathbb{Q}\pi$ is a product of matrix algebras over the $\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}$ is shown (though not explicitly stated) by Roquette in [11]. In Section 2 of [11] he shows that the division algebra for any irreducible representation M of π is isomorphic to the division algebra of a primitive faithful representation of some subquotient of π ; and in Section 3 he shows that the only p -groups with primitive faithful representations are the cyclic groups.

Now, for all $s \geq 0$, let w_s be the number of simple summands of $\mathbb{Q}\pi$ which are matrix algebras over $\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}$; and let v_s be the number of conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups $\sigma \subseteq \pi$ with $|\sigma/[\sigma, N(\sigma)]| = p^s$. Let $p^n = |\pi|$. We say that two elements $g, h \in \pi$ are $\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^m}$ -conjugate (any $m \geq 0$) if g is conjugate to h^a for some

$$a \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^n}/\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^m}) \subseteq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^n}/\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^*.$$

In other words, $a = 1 \pmod{p^m}$ if $m \geq 1$, or $p \nmid a$ if $m = 0$.

For each cyclic $\sigma \subseteq \pi$, the number of conjugacy classes of generators of σ is just $\varphi(|\sigma/[\sigma, N(\sigma)]|)$. So for any $m \geq 0$, the number of $\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^m}$ -conjugacy classes in π is

$$\sum_{s \geq 0} v_s \cdot \min \{ \varphi(p^m), \varphi(p^s) \}. \tag{1}$$

On the other hand

$$\text{rk } K_0(\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^m}[\pi]) = \sum_{s \geq 0} w_s \cdot \min \{ \varphi(p^m), \varphi(p^s) \}; \tag{2}$$

and by Theorem 21.5 in [1], the numbers in (1) and (2) are equal for all m . So $v_s = w_s$ for all $s \geq 0$. \square

Thus, if π is a p -group and $L = Z(\mathbb{Q}\pi)$ is the center, then the reduced norm

$$\nu : K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\hat{L}_p)^*$$

is just the product of the determinant maps for the simple summands of $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi$. If $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\pi$ and $\mathcal{O} \subseteq L$ are maximal orders, then ν induces an isomorphism of $K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)$ with $(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p)^*$: by [9, Theorem 21.6], \mathfrak{M}_p is a product of matrix algebras over the components of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$. In particular, $K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)$ can be regarded as a subgroup of $K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi)$. We let $K'_1(\mathfrak{M})$ and $K'_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)$ denote the images of $K_1(\mathfrak{M})$ and $K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)$ in $K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi)$; and let $K'_1(\mathfrak{M})^\wedge$ denote the p -adic closure of $K'_1(\mathfrak{M})$.

We will use the following description of $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$, based on the formulas in [4].

PROPOSITION 2. *Let π be a p -group, and let $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\pi$ be a maximal order in $\mathbb{Q}\pi$. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \cong \text{Coker} [K'_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi) \rightarrow K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)/K'_1(\mathfrak{M})^\wedge]$$

which is natural in π .

Proof. Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\pi$ be its center, and let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq L$ be its maximal order. Since $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_q[\pi] = \mathfrak{M}_q$ for all primes $q \neq p$ [9, Theorem 41.1], Theorems 1 and 2 in [4] reduce to the formula

$$D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \cong K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)/K'_1(\mathfrak{M}) \cdot K'_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi).$$

Furthermore, $K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)/K'_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)$ is finite [12, Proposition 8.15]; and so $K'_1(\mathfrak{M})$ can be replaced by its p -adic closure. \square

Now let

$$\kappa : \mathbb{F}_p^* \rightarrow (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)^*$$

denote the inclusion into the group of $(p-1)$ -st roots of unity (with $\kappa(a) = a \pmod{p}$ for $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$). If π is an abelian p -group, then the group homomorphisms $g \rightarrow g^{\kappa(a)}$ for $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and $g \in \pi$ induce actions of \mathbb{F}_p^* on $K_i(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$, $K_i(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)$, $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$, etc. The next problem is to find a natural way to do this when π is non-abelian.

Let π be an arbitrary p -group. Then by Proposition 1, the center $Z(\mathbb{Q}\pi)$ is a product of fields $\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}$, for various $s \geq 0$. The natural embedding $\mathbb{F}_p^* \subseteq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}/\mathbb{Q})$ (where $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ sends ζ_{p^s} to $\zeta_{p^s}^{\kappa(a)}$) induces actions of \mathbb{F}_p^* on the centers $Z(\mathbb{Q}\pi)$ and $Z(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi)$; and hence on $K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi)$. If $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ is a homomorphism of p -groups, then

$$\alpha_* : K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi) \rightarrow K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi')$$

is a product of norm, inclusion, and diagonal maps between the groups of units of the field components of the centers; and is hence \mathbb{F}_p^* -linear.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let π be a p -group. Then*

- (i) $K_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)$ is an \mathbb{F}_p^* -invariant subgroup of $K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi)$.
- (ii) For any $0 \leq t \leq p-2$, set

$${}^tK_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi) = \{x \in K_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)_{(p)} : \tau_a(x) = \kappa(a)^t \cdot x \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*\}$$

(here τ_a denotes the action of $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$). Then for $t \neq 1$, ${}^tK_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)$ is generated by induction from cyclic subgroups.

Proof. By [8, Theorem 2], there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \langle \lambda g : \lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^*, g \in \pi \rangle \hookrightarrow K_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \overline{I(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)} \xrightarrow{\omega} \pi^{ab} \rightarrow 0,$$

natural in π , where

$$\overline{I(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)} = \text{Ker} [\varepsilon : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p] / \langle gxg^{-1} - x : g \in \pi, x \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi \rangle$$

and

$$\varepsilon(\sum \lambda_i g_i) = \sum \lambda_i; \quad \omega(\sum \lambda_i g_i) = \prod g_i^{\lambda_i}.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, let $\hat{\tau}_a$ be the action of a on $\overline{I(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)}$ given by: $\hat{\tau}_a(\sum \lambda_i g_i) = \sum \lambda_i g_i^{\kappa(a)}$. This clearly leaves $\text{Ker}(\omega) = \text{Im}(\Gamma)$ invariant. By the definition of Γ in [8], Γ is \mathbb{F}_p^* -linear when π is abelian.

Now set

$$X(\pi) = \text{Im} [\text{Ind} : \sum \{K_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\sigma) : \sigma \subseteq \pi, \sigma \text{ cyclic}\} \rightarrow K_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)]$$

and

$$Y(\pi) = \Gamma^{-1}(\overline{I(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)}) \cap \text{Ker} [\varepsilon_* : K_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^*]. \tag{1}$$

Since $\overline{I(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)}$ is generated by cyclic induction, $\text{Ker}(\Gamma) \subseteq X(\pi)$, and

$${}^t I(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi) = {}^t \text{Ker}(\omega) = {}^t \text{Im}(\Gamma) \tag{2}$$

for $t \neq 1$ ($0 \leq t \leq p-2$); it follows that

$$K_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi) = X(\pi) + Y(\pi). \tag{3}$$

By naturality, $X(\pi)$ is an \mathbb{F}_p^* -invariant subgroup of $K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi)$, and $\Gamma \upharpoonright X(\pi)$ is \mathbb{F}_p^* -linear. It follows that for n large,

$$Y(\pi)^{p^n} \subseteq {}^1 X(\pi). \tag{4}$$

Furthermore,

$$\text{tors}(K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi))_{(p)} \subseteq {}^1 K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi):$$

the torsion in $K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi)$ comes from roots of unity in the center. It follows by (4) that $Y(\pi) \subseteq {}^1 K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi)$; and hence by (3) that $K_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)$ is \mathbb{F}_p^* -invariant. Furthermore, by (1), this shows that Γ is \mathbb{F}_p^* -linear. Since $I(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)$ is generated by cyclic induction, ${}^t K_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)$ is generated by cyclic induction for $t \neq 1$ by (2). \square

Propositions 2 and 3 now imply the existence of natural actions of \mathbb{F}_p^* on $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$:

PROPOSITION 4. *For any p -group π , there is a natural linear action of \mathbb{F}_p^* on $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ such that the isomorphism of Proposition 2 is \mathbb{F}_p^* -linear. \square*

In particular, for any p -group π and $0 \leq t \leq p-2$, set

$${}^t D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) = \{x \in D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) : \tau_a(x) = \kappa(a)^t \cdot x \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*\}.$$

Since $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ is a p -group [2], and $p \nmid |\mathbb{F}_p^*|$,

$$D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) = \sum_{t=0}^{p-2} {}^t D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^+ = \sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} {}^{2i} D(\mathbb{Z}\pi).$$

Here, $D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^+$ is the group of elements invariant under the involution τ_{-1} ; induced by complex conjugation on $Z(\mathbb{Q}\pi)$.

If p is regular, then results of Iwasawa (see, *fx*, [7, Theorem 7.5.2]) show that

for any $s \geq 0$ and any even $0 < t \leq p - 3$,

$${}^t[(\mathbb{Z}\zeta_{p^s}^*)^\wedge] = {}^t(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \zeta_{p^s})^*.$$

So by Proposition 1, if $\mathfrak{M} \cong \mathbb{Z}\pi$ is a maximal order in $\mathbb{Q}\pi$, then ${}^tK_1'(\mathfrak{M})^\wedge = {}^tK_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)$; and hence ${}^tD(\mathbb{Z}\pi) = 0$ for such t . In other words:

PROPOSITION 5. *If p is an odd regular prime and π is a p -group, then*

$$D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^+ = {}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi). \quad \square$$

In order to study the groups ${}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$, we must first describe

$${}^0[(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \zeta_{p^s})^*/(\mathbb{Z}\zeta_{p^s}^*)^\wedge]$$

for any $s \geq 0$. The following result must be well known, but we have been unable to find a reference.

PROPOSITION 6. *For any $s \geq 0$,*

$${}^0[(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \zeta_{p^s})^*/(\mathbb{Z}\zeta_{p^s}^*)^\wedge]_{(p)} \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p.$$

Proof. This is clear if $s = 0$. So fix $s > 0$, let $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}$ be the fixed subfield of \mathbb{F}_p^* , and let $R \subseteq K$ be the ring of integers. Then $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is cyclic of order p^{s-1} . Set $\zeta = \zeta_{p^s}$, and let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be the generator: $\gamma(\zeta) = \zeta^{p+1}$.

Let

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\langle z = \prod_{a=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta^{\kappa(a)}) \right\rangle \subseteq R$$

be the prime ideal over p . Set

$$U' = \text{Ker}[N : (\hat{R}_p)^* \rightarrow (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)^*],$$

where N is the norm of $\hat{K}_p/\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Note that $U' \subseteq 1 + \mathfrak{p}\hat{R}_p$.

Fix $u \in U'$. By Hilbert's Theorem 90, there is $x \in \hat{K}_p^*$ such that $u = \gamma(x)/(x)$. Write $x = z^i v$, where $v \in (\hat{R}_p)^*$ and z is the element defined above. Then

$$\gamma(z^i)/z^i = \prod_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{1 - \zeta^{(p+1)\kappa(a)}}{1 - \zeta^{\kappa(a)}} \right)^i \in R^* \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p}).$$

Furthermore, $N(v) \in \kappa(\mathbb{F}_p^*) \times (1 + p^s \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ (the norm group has index p^{s-1} by local class field theory). So there exists $w \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)^*$ such that $N(w) = w^{p^{s-1}} = N(v)$. Then

$$N(vw^{-1}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(vw^{-1})/(vw^{-1}) = \gamma(v)/v = x \cdot (\gamma(z^i)/z^i)^{-1}.$$

In other words,

$$U' = \{\gamma(v)/v : v \in U'\} \cdot (R^* \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p})). \tag{1}$$

But U' is a $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[\Gamma]$ -module, and the closure of $R^* \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p})$ is a $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[\Gamma]$ -submodule. Since $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[\Gamma]$ is a local ring with maximal ideal generated by p and $\gamma - 1$, no proper submodule of U' can generate

$$U' / \langle \gamma(v)/v, v^p : v \in U' \rangle.$$

So by (1), $R^* \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p})$ is dense in $U' = \text{Ker}(N)$; and

$${}^0[(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \zeta_{p^s})^* / (\mathbb{Z} \zeta_{p^s}^*)^\wedge]_{(p)} = [(\hat{R}_p)^* / (R^*)^\wedge]_{(p)} \cong (\text{Im}(N))_{(p)} \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p. \quad \square$$

By Proposition 6, if π is a p -group and $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\pi$ is a maximal order, then ${}^0[K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)/K_1'(\mathfrak{M})^\wedge]_{(p)}$ is a sum of one copy of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ for each irreducible $\mathbb{Q}\pi$ -module; and is thus (abstractly, at least) isomorphic to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)$. The key remaining step is to construct a natural isomorphism between these groups; once this is done the isomorphism between ${}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ and the Artin cokernel will follow easily.

We temporarily allow p to be an arbitrary prime (possibly $p = 2$). If A is a $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -algebra, and V is an A -module with $\dim_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p}(V) < \infty$; let $\det(u, V)$, for $u \in A$, denote the determinant over $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of $u : V \rightarrow V$. Define

$$L : (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)^* \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$$

by setting $L(u) = 1/p \log(u/\kappa(\bar{u}))$ for $u \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)^*$ and $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ its reduction mod p (note that $u/\kappa(\bar{u}) \in 1 + p\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$).

Now assume A is a finite dimensional semisimple $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -algebra, and let $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq A$ be any order. Let V_1, \dots, V_k be the distinct irreducible A -modules, and set

$$n_i = [\text{End}_A(V_i) : \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p].$$

Define a homomorphism

$$\delta = \delta_{\mathfrak{A}} : K_1(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_0(A)$$

by setting, for any matrix $u \in GL_r(\mathfrak{A})$,

$$\delta([u]) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} L(\det(u, V_i)) \cdot [V_i].$$

PROPOSITION 7. *For any prime p , the maps $\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}$ are natural with respect to homomorphisms between orders in semisimple $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -algebras.*

Proof. We must show, for any homomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$, orders $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq A$ and $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq B$ such that $\alpha(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$, and $u \in \mathfrak{A}^*$, that

$$\alpha_*(\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(u)) = \delta_{\mathfrak{B}}(\alpha(u)) \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p \otimes K_0(B).$$

Let V_1, \dots, V_s be the irreducible A -modules, and W_1, \dots, W_t the irreducible B -modules. Define $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ by setting

$$\alpha_*(V_i) = \sum_{j=1}^t a_{ij} W_j, \quad \alpha^*(W_j) = \sum_{i=1}^s b_{ij} V_i$$

(where $\alpha_*(V_i) = B \otimes_A V_i$, and $\alpha^*(W_j)$ is W_j regarded as an A -module). We also set

$$m_i = [\text{End}_A(V_i) : \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p], \quad n_j = [\text{End}_B(W_j) : \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p],$$

and write $L_i = L(\det(u, V_i))$ for short. Then

$$\alpha_*(\delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(u)) = \alpha_*\left(\sum_{i=1}^s (L_i/m_i)[V_i]\right) = \sum_{i,j} (a_{ij}L_i/m_i)[W_j]$$

and

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{B}}(\alpha(u)) = \sum_{j=1}^t n_j^{-1} L(\det(u, \alpha^*(W_j)))[W_j] = \sum_{i,j} (b_{ij}L_i/n_j)[W_j].$$

It remains to check that $(b_{ij}/n_j) = (a_{ij}/m_i)$ for all i, j . But

$$\dim \text{Hom}_A(V_i, W_j) = m_i b_{ij}, \quad \dim \text{Hom}_B(\alpha_* V_i, W_j) = n_j a_{ij};$$

and these two dimensions are equal by [1, Theorem 2.19]. \square

We now again restrict to the case where p is odd.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let π be a p -group, let $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\pi$ be any maximal order, and set $\delta_\pi = \delta_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi}$. Then*

$$\text{Im} [\delta_\pi : K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p \otimes K_0(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi)] = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes K_0(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi);$$

and δ_π induces an isomorphism

$$\delta' = \delta'_\pi : {}^0[K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)/K'_1(\mathfrak{M})^\wedge]_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes K_0(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi).$$

Proof. Using Proposition 1, it will suffice to show that whenever $A \cong M_r(\mathbb{Q}\zeta_p)$ and $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq A$ is a maximal order, then $\delta = \delta_{\hat{A}_p}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\delta' : {}^0[K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)/K'_1(\mathfrak{M})^\wedge]_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes K_0(A).$$

By [9, Theorem 21.6], we may assume that $\mathfrak{M} = M_r(\mathbb{Z}\zeta_p)$.

Let $V \cong (\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\zeta_p)^r$ be the irreducible \hat{A}_p -representation. For any $u \in 1 + J(\mathfrak{M}_p)$ (where $J(\mathfrak{M}_p)$ is the Jacobson radical),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(u) &= \frac{1}{\varphi(p^s)} L(\det(u, V)) \cdot [V] \\ &= \frac{1}{p\varphi(p^s)} \log(N_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\zeta_p/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\det_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\zeta_p}(u))) \cdot [V]. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by local class field theory,

$$N \circ \det(1 + J(\mathfrak{M}_p)) = 1 + p^s \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$$

(or $1 + p\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ if $s = 0$). Since $\log(1 + p^s \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p) = p^s \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ for $s \geq 1$, we have

$$\delta(K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)_{(p)}) = \delta(1 + J(\mathfrak{M}_p)) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \cdot [V] = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes K_0(A).$$

If u is a global unit, then $N(\det(u)) = \pm 1$, and so $\delta(u) = 0$. Furthermore, δ is \mathbb{F}_p^* -linear when $K_0(A)$ is given the trivial action; and so δ induces a surjection

$$\delta' : {}^0[K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)/K'_1(\mathfrak{M})^\wedge]_{(p)} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes K_0(A) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p.$$

But the two groups are isomorphic by Proposition 6, and so δ' is an isomorphism. \square

We can now prove the main result. Recall that the Artin cokernel $A_{\mathbf{Q}}(\pi)$ is defined by

$$A_{\mathbf{Q}}(\pi) = \text{Coker} [\text{Ind} : \sum \{R_{\mathbf{Q}}(\sigma) : \sigma \subseteq \pi, \sigma \text{ cyclic}\} \rightarrow R_{\mathbf{Q}}(\pi)].$$

THEOREM 9. *For any p -group π (p -odd), δ' induces an isomorphism*

$$\delta'' : {}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \xrightarrow{\cong} A_{\mathbf{Q}}(\pi).$$

Proof. Let C be the set of cyclic subgroups of π . Propositions 2, 7, and 8 combine to give the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \sum_{\sigma \in C} {}^0K'_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma\eta_\sigma} & \sum_{\sigma \in C} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes R_{\mathbf{Q}}(\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma\theta_\sigma} & \sum_{\sigma \in C} {}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\sigma) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow I_1 & & \downarrow I_2 & & \downarrow I_3 \\ {}^0K'_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi) & \xrightarrow{\eta_\pi} & \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes R_{\mathbf{Q}}(\pi) & \xrightarrow{\theta_\pi} & {}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Here $I_1, I_2,$ and I_3 are the induction maps; and θ_π and η_π are the composites ($\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \theta\pi$ a maximal order):

$$\theta_\pi : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes R_{\mathbf{Q}}(\pi) \xrightarrow[\cong]{(\delta')^{-1}} {}^0[K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)/K'_1(\mathfrak{M})]_{(p)} \longrightarrow {}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$$

(the second map being the map of Proposition 2), and

$$\eta_\pi : {}^0K'_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi) \rightarrow {}^0K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p) \xrightarrow{\delta_\pi} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes R_{\mathbf{Q}}(\pi).$$

By Proposition 3(ii), I_1 is onto. Assume that ${}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\sigma) = 0$ for any cyclic p -group σ . It then follows by diagram chasing that

$${}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \cong \text{Coker}(I_2) \cong A_{\mathbf{Q}}(\pi).$$

($A_{\mathbf{Q}}(\pi)$ is a p -group by the Artin induction theorem: see, for example, [1, Theorem 15.4]).

It remains to check that ${}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\sigma) = 0$ for cyclic σ : This is implicit in [6], [5], and [15]; but doesn't seem to be stated explicitly. If $|\sigma| \leq p$, then $D(\mathbb{Z}\sigma) = 0$ by [10, Theorem 6.24].

So assume $|\sigma| = p^n$ for $n \geq 2$. Let $\rho \subseteq \sigma$ be the order p subgroup, and assume inductively that ${}^0D(\mathbb{Z}[\sigma/\rho]) = 0$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\rho) @>i_*>> \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma) @>j_*>> \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma/\rho) \\ @VV\theta_p V @VV\theta_\sigma V @VV\theta_{\sigma/\rho} V \\ 0 = {}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\rho) @>>> {}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\sigma) @>>> {}^0D(\mathbb{Z}[\sigma/\rho]) = 0, \end{CD}$$

where i_* and j_* are induced by inclusion and projection. Since $K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\sigma)$ maps onto $K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[\sigma/\rho])$,

$$j_*(\text{Ker}(\theta_\sigma)) = \text{Ker}(\theta_{\sigma/\rho}) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma/\rho).$$

In other words, $\theta_\sigma \mid \text{Ker}(j_*)$ is onto. Furthermore, $\text{Ker}(j_*) \subseteq \text{Im}(i_*)$: if $V \cong \mathbb{Q}\zeta_p^n$ and $W \cong \mathbb{Q}\zeta_p$ are the faithful irreducible $\mathbb{Q}\sigma$ - and $\mathbb{Q}\rho$ -representations, then $[V]$ generates $\text{Ker}(j_*)$, and $V = \text{Ind}_\rho^\sigma(W)$. We thus get that $\theta_\sigma \mid \text{Im}(i_*)$ is onto, but $\theta_\sigma \circ i_* = 0$, and so ${}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\sigma) = 0$.

One easy consequence of Theorem 9 is an alternate proof, for odd p -groups, of Martin Taylor's theorem [13] involving the image $T(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ of the Swan homomorphism. $T(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ is the group of all elements

$$[\Sigma, n] - [\mathbb{Z}\pi] \in D(\mathbb{Z}\pi),$$

for $(n, |\pi|) = 1$, where $[\Sigma, n]$ is the projective module

$$[\Sigma, n] = n\mathbb{Z}\pi + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \left(\sum_{g \in \pi} g \right) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\pi.$$

So if π is a p -group and $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\pi$ is a maximal order in $\mathbb{Q}\pi$, then $[\Sigma, n] - [\mathbb{Z}\pi]$ corresponds, under the identification in Proposition 2, to the element of $K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)$ which is $n \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)^*$ at the identity component and 1 at all other components (in particular, $T(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \subseteq {}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$). The isomorphism of Theorem 9 thus sends $T(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ to the group of multiples of the identity in

$$R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi) / \sum \{ \text{Ind}_\sigma^\pi(R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma)) : \sigma \subseteq \pi \text{ cyclic} \}.$$

In other words:

THEOREM 10. (*M. Taylor [13]*) *For any p -group π , $T(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ is cyclic of order equal to the Artin exponent of π . \square*

The computation of $|\text{}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)|$ can now be carried out, using the same idea as for the calculation in [3]: that of comparing discriminants. We first consider the Artin cokernel of an arbitrary finite group.

THEOREM 11. *Let π be any finite group, and write*

$$\mathbb{Q}\pi \cong \prod_{i=1}^k M_{r_i}(D_i),$$

where the D_i are division algebras. Let X be a set of conjugacy class representatives for cyclic subgroups $\sigma \subseteq \pi$. Then

$$|A_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)| = \left[\left(\prod_{\sigma \in X} \frac{\varphi(|\sigma|)}{|\sigma|} \cdot |N(\sigma)/\sigma| \right) / \left(\prod_{i=1}^k [D_i : \mathbb{Q}] \right) \right]^{1/2}$$

Proof. For convenience, set

$$G = \sum_{\sigma \in X} \text{Ind}_{\sigma}^{\pi} (R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma)) \subseteq R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi).$$

Then

$$|R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)/G| = [d(G)/d(R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi))]^{1/2}; \tag{1}$$

where $d(-)$ denotes discriminant with respect to the usual inner product

$$\langle [V], [W] \rangle = \frac{1}{|\pi|} \sum_{g \in \pi} \chi_V(g) \chi_W(g).$$

For each i , let V_i denote the irreducible representation of $M_{r_i}(D_i)$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [V_i], [V_j] \rangle &= \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\pi} (V_i, V_j)) = 0 && \text{if } i \neq j \\ &= [D_i : \mathbb{Q}] && \text{if } i = j. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$d(R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)) = \prod_{i=1}^k [D_i : \mathbb{Q}]. \tag{2}$$

To compute $d(G)$, consider first the set

$$S = \{[\mathbb{Q}(\pi/\sigma)] : \sigma \in X\} \subseteq R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi);$$

where $\mathbb{Q}(\pi/\sigma)$ denotes the permutation representation with \mathbb{Q} -basis π/σ . These elements generate G : since

$$\mathbb{Q}(\pi/\sigma) = \text{Ind}_\sigma^\pi(\mathbb{Q}) \in G,$$

and $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma)$ ($\sigma \in X$) is generated by the elements

$$\{[\mathbb{Q}(\sigma/\tau)] = \text{Ind}_\tau^\sigma([\mathbb{Q}]) : \tau \subseteq \sigma\}.$$

Also, $\text{rk}(R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)) = |X|$ (see [1, Theorem 21.5]); and so S is a basis for G .

It follows that

$$d(G) = \det(M) \tag{3}$$

where $M = (M_{\sigma\tau})_{\sigma, \tau \in X}$ is the matrix defined by

$$M_{\sigma\tau} = \langle [\mathbb{Q}(\pi/\sigma)], [\mathbb{Q}(\pi/\tau)] \rangle.$$

For $\sigma \in X$, let χ_σ denote the character of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi/\sigma)$. For any $x \in \pi$,

$$\chi_\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{|\sigma|} \cdot \#\{g \in \pi : xg\sigma = g\sigma\} = \frac{1}{|\sigma|} \cdot \#\{g \in \pi : x \in g\sigma g^{-1}\}.$$

Hence, for $\sigma, \tau \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\sigma\tau} &= \frac{1}{|\pi|} \sum_{x \in \pi} \chi_\sigma(x) \chi_\tau(x) = \frac{1}{|\sigma| \cdot |\tau|} \cdot \frac{1}{|\pi|} \sum_{g, h \in \pi} |g\sigma g^{-1} \cap h\tau h^{-1}| \\ &= \frac{1}{|\sigma| \cdot |\tau|} \sum_{g \in \pi} |\sigma \cap g\tau g^{-1}|. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

To simplify what follows, define, for $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$,

$$\varphi_m(n) = n - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < m}} \varphi(d).$$

Note in particular that $\varphi_1(n) = n$, $\varphi_n(n) = \varphi(n)$, and $\varphi_m(n) = 0$ for $m > n$. Let $N = \max\{|\sigma| : \sigma \in X\}$; and define, for $1 \leq m \leq N$:

$$X_m = \{\sigma \in X : |\sigma| = m\} \quad Y_m = \{\sigma \in X : |\sigma| \geq m\} = \bigcup_{i \geq m} X_i.$$

For all $0 \leq m \leq N$, define a matrix $M^{(m)} = (M_{\sigma\tau}^{(m)})_{\sigma, \tau \in Y_m}$, by setting

$$M_{\sigma\tau}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{|\sigma| \cdot |\tau|} \sum_{g \in \pi} \varphi_m(|\sigma \cap g\tau g^{-1}|).$$

In particular, $M^{(1)} = M$.

Fix $1 \leq m \leq N$. For $\sigma, \tau \in X_m$ (i.e., $|\sigma| = |\tau| = m$),

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\sigma\tau}^{(m)} &= m^{-2} \sum_{g \in \pi} \varphi_m(|\sigma \cap g\tau g^{-1}|) \\ &= (\varphi(m)/m^2) \cdot \#\{g \in \pi : \sigma = g\tau g^{-1}\} = 0 && \text{if } \sigma \neq \tau \\ &= \frac{\varphi(|\sigma|)}{|\sigma|} \cdot |N(\sigma)/\sigma| && \text{if } \sigma = \tau \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\det(M^{(N)}) = \prod_{\sigma \in X_N} \left[\frac{\varphi(|\sigma|)}{|\sigma|} |N(\sigma)/\sigma| \right]. \quad (5)$$

If $1 \leq m < N$ and $\sigma, \tau \in Y_{m+1}$ (i.e., $|\sigma|, |\tau| > m$), consider the entries $M_{\sigma\rho}^{(m)}$ for $\rho \in X_m$ ($|\rho| = m$). By definition, $M_{\sigma\rho}^{(m)} = 0$ unless $|\sigma \cap g\rho g^{-1}| \geq m$ for some g ; i.e., unless $g\rho g^{-1} \subseteq \sigma$. If $m \nmid |\sigma|$, then these $M_{\sigma\rho}^{(m)}$ all vanish; and also $M_{\sigma\tau}^{(m)} = M_{\sigma\tau}^{(m+1)}$ ($\varphi_m(n) = \varphi_{m+1}(n)$ if $m \nmid n$). If $m \mid |\sigma|$, let $\rho \in X_m$ be the unique element conjugate to a subgroup of σ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\sigma\tau}^{(m)} - (M_{\sigma\rho}^{(m)}/M_{\rho\rho}^{(m)}) \cdot (M_{\rho\tau}^{(m)}) &= \frac{1}{|\sigma| \cdot |\tau|} \left[\sum_{g \in \pi} \varphi_m(|\sigma \cap g\tau g^{-1}|) - \sum_{g \in \pi} \varphi_m(|\rho \cap g\tau g^{-1}|) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{|\sigma| \cdot |\tau|} \sum_{g \in \pi} \varphi_{m+1}(|\sigma \cap g\tau g^{-1}|) = M_{\sigma\tau}^{(m+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, for all $\sigma, \tau \in Y_{m+1}$,

$$M_{\sigma\tau}^{(m+1)} = M_{\sigma\tau}^{(m)} - \sum_{\rho \in X_m} (M_{\sigma\rho}^{(m)}/M_{\rho\rho}^{(m)}) \cdot M_{\rho\tau}^{(m+1)};$$

and $M^{(m+1)}$ is obtained from $M^{(m)}$ by elementary operations which eliminate all entries $M_{\sigma\tau}^{(m)}$ for $\rho \in X_m, \tau \in Y_{m+1}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M^{(m)}) &= \det(M^{(m+1)}) \cdot \prod_{\sigma \in X_m} M_{\sigma\sigma}^{(m)} \\ &= \det(M^{(m+1)}) \cdot \prod_{\sigma \in X_m} \left[\frac{\varphi(|\sigma|)}{|\sigma|} \cdot |N(\sigma)/\sigma| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (5) gives

$$d(G) = \det(M) = \det(M^{(1)}) = \prod_{\sigma \in X} \left[\frac{\varphi(|\sigma|)}{|\sigma|} \cdot |N(\sigma)/\sigma| \right].$$

Finally, combined with (1) and (2), this gives the desired formula for $|R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)/G|$. \square

When π is a p -group (recall that p is always odd), the above formula can be reformulated solely in terms of cyclic subgroups:

THEOREM 12. *Let π be a p -group, and let X be a set of conjugacy class representatives for cyclic subgroups $\sigma \subseteq \pi$. Then*

$$|{}^0D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)| = \left[\prod_{\sigma \in X} \frac{|N(\sigma)/\sigma|^2}{|Z(\sigma)|} \right]^{1/2}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 1, $\mathbb{Q}\pi \cong \prod_{i=1}^k M_r(D_i)$, when the D_i are fields, and

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^k [D_i : \mathbb{Q}] &= \prod_{\sigma \in X} \varphi(|\sigma| \cdot |Z(\sigma)|/|N(\sigma)|) \\ &= \prod_{\sigma \in X} [\varphi(|\sigma|) \cdot |Z(\sigma)|/|N(\sigma)|]. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows by substitution into the formula of Theorem 11. \square

Finally, for the sake of completeness, we extend Fröhlich's formula for $|D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^-|$ in [3] to arbitrary (not necessarily abelian) p -groups π . For any such π , $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi$ will denote the group ring modulo conjugation:

$$\overline{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi} = \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi / \langle x - gxg^{-1} : x \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi, g \in \pi \rangle = \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi / \langle xy - yx : x, y \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi \rangle.$$

This can be regarded as the $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -vector space with basis the set of conjugacy classes in π . Let $\hat{Z}_p\pi \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi$ be the image of $\hat{Z}_p\pi$; and let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \subseteq \overline{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi}$ denote the image of any maximal order $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi$.

If F is any field and $r \geq 1$, it is easy to check that

$$\langle xy - yx : x, y \in M_r(F) \rangle = \text{Ker} [\text{tr} : M_r(F) \rightarrow F].$$

Thus, if $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi \cong \prod M_r(F_i)$, then $\overline{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi} \cong \prod F_i$, and the projection $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi \rightarrow \overline{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi}$ is the product of the trace maps. If $R_i \subseteq F_i$ is the ring of integers, then any maximal

order $\mathfrak{M}_i \subseteq M_{r_i}(F_i)$ is conjugate to $M_{r_i}(R_i)$ [9, Theorem 21.6]; and so $\text{tr}(\mathfrak{M}_i) = R_i$. In particular, $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} = \prod R_i$ under the above identification (and is thus independent of the choice of maximal order).

PROPOSITION 13. *Let π be a p -group, and let $\overline{\hat{Z}_p\pi}, \overline{\mathfrak{M}} \subseteq \overline{\hat{Q}_p\pi}$ be as above. Then, for any odd $1 \leq t \leq p-2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |{}^t D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)| &= |{}^t(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}/\overline{\hat{Z}_p\pi})| && \text{if } t \neq 1 \\ &= |{}^1(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}/\overline{\hat{Z}_p\pi})| \cdot \frac{|\pi^{ab}|}{|\text{tors}_p(\mathbb{Q}\pi)^*|} && \text{if } t = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{M} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}\pi$ be a maximal order, and write

$$\hat{Q}_p\pi = \prod_{i=1}^k A_i; \quad A_i \cong M_{r_i}(F_i); \quad \mathfrak{M}_p \cong \prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{M}_i;$$

where F_i are fields and $\mathfrak{M}_i \subseteq A_i$ is a maximal order for all i . Given any $x \in \mathfrak{M}_i$ which is topologically nilpotent (i.e., $p \mid x^n$ for some n), the series

$$\log(1+x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \dots$$

converges in A_i . We claim that for such x ,

$$\text{tr}(\log(1+x)) = \log(\det(1+x)) \in F_i. \tag{1}$$

To see (1), choose n such that $p \mid x^{pn}$. Then for any $m \geq 0$, $(1+x)^{p^{m+n}} = 1 + p^{m+1}y$ for some $y \in \mathfrak{M}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\det(1+x)^{p^{m+n}}) &= \log(\det(1+p^{m+1}y)) \equiv p^{m+1} \cdot \text{tr}(y) \\ &\equiv \text{tr}(\log(1+p^{m+1}y)) = \text{tr}(\log(1+x)^{p^{m+n}}) \pmod{p^{2m+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

So for all $m \geq 0$,

$$\log(\det(1+x)) \equiv \text{tr}(\log(1+x)) \pmod{p^{m-n+2}};$$

and (1) holds.

In particular, $\log(1+x)$ for $x \in J(\hat{Z}_p\pi)$ or $x \in J(\mathfrak{M}_p)$ induces homomorphisms

$$L_1: K'_1(\hat{Z}_p\pi)_{(p)} \rightarrow \overline{\hat{Q}_p\pi}; \quad L_2: K_1(\mathfrak{M}_p)_{(p)} \rightarrow \overline{\hat{Q}_p\pi}$$

such that $L_1 = L_2 | K_1'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)_{(p)}$. (Here J means Jacobson radical; note that $J(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi) \not\subseteq J(\mathfrak{M}_p^*)$ in general.) Furthermore,

$$\text{Ker}(L_2) = \text{tors}_p(\mathfrak{M}_p^*) = \text{tors}_p(\mathbb{Q}\pi)^*; \quad \text{Ker}(L_1) \cong \pi^{ab};$$

and so by Proposition 2, for all odd t :

$$|{}^tD(\mathbb{Z}\pi)| = [{}^t\text{Im}(L_2) : {}^t\text{Im}(L_1)]. \tag{2}$$

For any $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -lattices $M_1, M_2 \subseteq \overline{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi}$, we write for short

$$[M_1 : M_2] = [M_1 : M_1 \cap M_2] / [M_2 : M_1 \cap M_2].$$

By Theorem 2 in [8], for any $1 \leq t \leq p-2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \Phi\right)({}^t\text{Im}(L_1)) &= \overline{{}^t\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi} && \text{if } t \neq 1 \\ &= \text{Ker} [{}^1\overline{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi} \rightarrow \pi^{ab}] && \text{if } t = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\Phi(\sum \lambda_i g_i) = \sum \lambda_i g_i^p$; and Φ is nilpotent ($\overline{{}^t\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi}$ lies in the augmentation ideal, since $t \neq 0$). So

$$\det \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \Phi\right) = 1,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^t\overline{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi} : {}^t\text{Im}(L_1)] &= 1 && \text{if } t \neq 1 \\ &= |\pi^{ab}| && \text{if } t = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Finally, note that for $s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^t\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\zeta_{p^s} : \log({}^t(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\zeta_{p^s})^*)] &= 1 && \text{if } t \neq 1 \\ &= p^s && \text{if } t = 1: \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

this follows by noting that $\log(1 + p\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\zeta_{p^s}) = p\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\zeta_{p^s}$, and then counting orders of the quotients. Since by (1),

$$\text{Im}(L_2) = \prod_{i=1}^k \log(R_i^*)_{(p)} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^k F_i \cong \overline{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi}$$

($R_i \subseteq F_i$ the ring of integers), (4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 [{}^t\overline{\mathfrak{M}} : {}^t\text{Im}(L_2)] &= 1 && \text{if } t \neq 1 \\
 &= \prod |\text{tors}_p(R_i^*)| = |\text{tors}_p(\mathbb{Q}\pi)^*| && \text{if } t = 1.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5}$$

So (2), (3), and (5) combine to prove the proposition. \square

Generalizing Fröhlich’s formula for $|D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^-|$ is now straightforward:

THEOREM 14. *Let π be a p -group (p odd). Let $S \subseteq \pi$ be a set of conjugacy class representatives for all $1 \neq g \in \pi$. Set*

$$p^n = |\pi^{ab}| \quad \text{and} \quad p^k = \prod_{g \in S} |Z(g)|.$$

For $s \geq 1$, let w_s be the number of simple summands of $\mathbb{Q}\pi$ which are matrix algebras over $\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}$. Then $|D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^-| = p^N$, where

$$N = \frac{1}{4} \left[k + 4n - \sum_{s \geq 1} w_s (sp^s - (s+1)p^{s-1} + 4s + 1) \right].$$

Proof. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{M}} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi$ be as above. By Proposition 13,

$$|D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^-| = |(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}/\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\pi)^-| \cdot p^n \cdot \left[\prod_{s \geq 1} p^{sw_s} \right]^{-1}. \tag{1}$$

Write $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi = \prod_{i=1}^k A_i$, where $A_i \cong M_{r_i}(F_i)$ and the F_i are fields. As before, the trace maps $\text{tr}_i : A_i \rightarrow F_i$ induce an identification of $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi$ with $\prod F_i$. Let $\text{pr}_i : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi \rightarrow A_i$ be the projection; and define an inner product on $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi$ by setting

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{tr}_{F_i/\mathbb{Q}_p} (\text{tr}_i \circ \text{pr}_i(x) \cdot \text{tr}_i \circ \text{pr}_i(y)) \quad (x, y \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p\pi).$$

Since $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \subseteq \prod F_i$ is the product of the rings of integers, we have by definition discriminants

$$d(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}) = \prod_i \Delta(F_i) \quad \text{and} \quad d(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}^+) = 2^{rk(\overline{\mathfrak{M}})-1} \cdot \prod_i \Delta(F_i \cap \mathbb{R}).$$

Here $\Delta(F_i)$, $\Delta(F_i \cap \mathbb{R})$ denote the discriminants over \mathbb{Q} ; and the power of 2 arises due to using the trace over F_i instead of $F_i \cap \mathbb{R}$.

By [16, Proposition 7-5-7], for $s \geq 1$,

$$\Delta(\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}) = p^{p^s(ps-s-1)}.$$

By the same proof, or by the composition formula applied to the fields $\mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}/\mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}$ [16, Corollary 3-7-20]:

$$\Delta(\mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{Q}\zeta_{p^s}) = p^{\frac{1}{2}[sp^s-(s+1)p^{s-1}-1]}.$$

Hence, $d(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^-) = p^{N_0}$, where

$$N_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \geq 1} (sp^s - (s+1)p^{s-1} + 1). \tag{2}$$

Now fix $g, h \in \pi$. For any given $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$\text{tr}_{F_i/\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p}(\text{tr}_i \circ \text{pr}_i(g) \cdot \text{tr}_i \circ \text{pr}_i(h)) = \sum_{j=1}^i \chi_j(g)\chi_j(h),$$

where χ_1, \dots, χ_i are the distinct irreducible (complex) characters contained in the summand A_i . Let π^* denote the set of all irreducible complex characters. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, h \rangle &= \sum_{\chi \in \pi^*} \chi(g)\chi(h) = 0 && \text{if } g \text{ not conjugate to } h^{-1} \\ &= |Z(g)| && \text{if } g \text{ is conjugate to } h^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

by the second orthogonality relation [1, Proposition 9.26]. Hence, eliminating factors prime to p ,

$$d(\overline{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \pi}) = \left[\prod_{g \in S} |Z(g)| \right]^{1/2} = p^{k/2}. \tag{3}$$

By (2) and (3), $|(\overline{\mathcal{M}}' \overline{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \pi})^-| = p^{N_1}$, where

$$N_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left[k - \sum_{s \geq 1} (sp^s - (s+1)p^{s-1} + 1) \right].$$

Together with (1), this proves the theorem. \square

This can also be reformulated solely in terms of cyclic subgroups of π :

THEOREM 15. *Let π be any p -group, and let X_0 be a set of conjugacy class representatives for cyclic subgroups $1 \neq \sigma \subseteq \pi$. For each $\sigma \in X_0$, set*

$$a_\sigma = \text{ord}_p |N(\sigma)/\sigma|; \quad b_\sigma = \text{ord}_p (|\sigma| \cdot |Z(\sigma)|/|N(\sigma)|).$$

Then

$$\text{ord}_p |D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^-| = \text{ord}_p |\pi^{ab}| + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sigma \in X_0} [(a_\sigma - 1)\varphi(p^{b_\sigma}) + p^{b_\sigma} - 4b_\sigma - 1].$$

Proof. Let $w_s (s \geq 1)$ and k be as in Theorem 14. Then each $\sigma \in X_0$ has $\varphi(p^{b_\sigma})$ conjugacy classes of generators, and so

$$k = \sum_{\sigma \in X_0} \varphi(p^{b_\sigma}) \cdot (a_\sigma + b_\sigma).$$

By Proposition 1, w_s is the number of $\sigma \in X_0$ such that $b_\sigma = s$. So Theorem 14 takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p |D(\mathbb{Z}\pi)^-| &= \text{ord}_p |\pi^{ab}| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sigma \in X_0} [(a_\sigma + b_\sigma)\varphi(p^{b_\sigma}) - b_\sigma p^{b_\sigma} + (b_\sigma + 1)p^{b_\sigma - 1} - 4b_\sigma - 1] \\ &= \text{ord}_p |\pi^{ab}| + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sigma \in X_0} [a_\sigma \varphi(p^{b_\sigma}) + p^{b_\sigma - 1} - 4b_\sigma - 1] \\ &= \text{ord}_p |\pi^{ab}| + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sigma \in X_0} [(a_\sigma - 1)\varphi(p^{b_\sigma}) + p^{b_\sigma} - 4b_\sigma - 1]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] C. CURTIS and I. REINER, *Methods of representation theory with applications to finite groups and orders*, vol. 1, Wiley Interscience (1981).
- [2] A. FRÖLICH, *On the classgroup of integral groupings of finite Abelian groups*, *Mathematika* 16 (1969), 143–152.
- [3] A. FRÖLICH, *On the classgroup of integral groupings of finite Abelian groups II*, *Mathematika* 19 (1972), 51–56.
- [4] A. FRÖLICH, *Locally free module over arithmetic orders*, *J. reine ang. Mathematik* 274/275 (1975), 112–124.
- [5] S. GALOVICH, *The class group of a cyclic p -group*, *J. Algebra* 30 (1974), 368–387.
- [6] M. KERVAIRE and M. P. MURTHY, *On the projective class group of cyclic groups of prime power order*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 52 (1977), 415–452.
- [7] S. LANG, *Cyclotomic Fields*, Springer-Verlag (1978).

- [8] R. OLIVER, SK_1 for finite groupings: II, *Math. Scand.* 47 (1980), 195–231.
- [9] I. REINER, *Maximal Orders*, Academic Press (1975).
- [10] D. S. RIM, *Modules over finite groups*, *Annals of Math.* 69 (1969), 700–712.
- [11] P. ROQUETTE, *Realisierung von Darstellungen endlicher nilpotente Gruppen*, *Arkiv der Math.* 9 (1958), 241–250.
- [12] R. SWAN and F. EVANS, *K-theory of finite groups and orders*, *Lecture notes in mathematics* no. 149, Springer-Verlag (1970).
- [13] M. TAYLOR, *Locally free classgroups of groups of prime power order*, *J. Algebra* 50 (1978), 463–487.
- [14] S. ULLOM, *Fine structure of class groups of cyclic p-groups*, *J. Algebra* 49 (1977), 112–124.
- [15] S. ULLOM, *Class groups of cyclotomic fields and group rings*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 17 (1978), 231–239.
- [16] E. WEISS, *Algebraic Number Theory*, McGraw Hill (1963).

Dept of Mathematics
Aarhus University
Ny Munkegade
8000 Aarhus Denmark

Received August 5, 1982