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## A non-quasicircle with almost smooth mapping functions

F. David Lesley

## 1. Introduction

A Jordan curve $\Gamma$ in the $\omega$-plane is a quasicircle (or quasiconformal curve) if, for all $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Gamma$ and any $\omega$ on $C\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$, the arc of smaller diameter between $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\omega_{1}-\omega\right|+\left|\omega-\omega_{2}\right|}{\left|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right|}<M \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $M>0$ depending on $\Gamma$.
Let $f$ be a conformal mapping of the disk $D=\{\zeta:|\zeta|<1\}$ onto $\Omega$, the interior of $\Gamma$, and let $f^{*}$ be a conformal mapping of $D^{*}=\{\zeta:|\zeta|>1\}$ onto $\Omega^{*}$, the exterior of $\Gamma$. Since $\Gamma$ is a Jordan curve, these functions extend continuously to homeomorphisms of $\partial D$ with $\Gamma$. We shall say that a function $g$ is $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$, or Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha$ in its domain if there exist $K>0$ and $\alpha>0$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)-g(y)| \leq K|x-y|^{\alpha} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$ and $y$ in the domain of $g$.
It is well known that if $\Gamma$ is a quasicircle, then $f, f^{-1}, f^{*}$ and $f^{*-1}$ are Hölder continuous on the closure of their domains, and in fact the Hölder exponents can be expressed in terms of the $M$ in $(1.1)([5,8])$. The question then arose as to whether Hölder continuity of the four functions implies that $\Gamma$ is a quasicircle. This is true if $f$ and $f^{-1}$ (or $f^{*}$ and $f^{*-1}$ ) are Lip (1). The question was settled by Becker and Pommerenke [1] who constructed a curve $\Gamma$ which is not a quasicircle, but for which the functions are all Hölder continuous. The exponents, however, are less than $\frac{1}{4}$, and the question remained as to how large the exponents can be with $\Gamma$ not a quasicircle. Since $f^{-1}$ and $f^{*-1}$ are $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$ whenever $\Gamma$ is a
quasicircle [5], one might conjecture that $\Gamma$ is a quasicircle if the exponents are sufficiently large (but still less than 1). We prove the following

THEOREM. There exists a non-quasicircle $\Gamma$ for which the mapping $f$ of $\bar{D}$ onto $\bar{\Omega}$ is $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha<1$ and the mapping $f^{*}$ of $\bar{D}^{*}$ onto $\bar{\Omega}^{*}$ is $\operatorname{Lip}(1)$. The inverse mappings $f^{-1}$ and $f^{*-1}$ are $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha<1$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\bar{\Omega}^{*}$ respectively. In fact, $\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|$ is exponentially integrable while $1 /\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|,\left|f^{* \prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|$ and $\left|1 / f^{* \prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|$ are uniformly bounded on $\partial D$.

Let $\mu$ be Lebesgue measure on $[0,2 \pi)$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(\lambda, f^{\prime}\right)=\mu\left(\left\{\theta \in[0,2 \pi):\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|>\lambda\right\}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be the distribution function of $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$. Using the fact that for constant $A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{A\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{t f}\right)\right|} d \theta=2 \pi+A \int_{0}^{x} e^{A \lambda} m\left(\lambda, f^{\prime}\right) d \lambda, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the exponential integrability of $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ follows if there exists $M$ such that for $\lambda>M$ and $B>A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(\lambda, f^{\prime}\right) \leq e^{-B \lambda} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall construct $\Gamma$ such that an inequality like (1.5) holds for any $B>0$, so that the integral in (1.4) will be finite for any $A>0$. $\Gamma$ will be constructed so that $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is non-zero and finite on $\partial D$ and $m\left(\lambda, f^{\prime}\right)$ will be estimated using the techniques in [4] and [6], where curves were constructed with all mappings Lip (1), but $\Gamma$ respectively not smooth or "asymptotically conformal." In the last section we shall mention some other phenomena exhibited by the example, in connection with the Muckenhoupt $A_{\infty}$ condition for $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$.

## 2. Construction of the curve and estimation of derivatives

Let $S_{1}=\{z=x+i y:|y|<\pi / 2\}$ and $S_{2}=\{z=x+i y: \pi / 2<y<3 \pi / 2\}$. We shall construct a strip domain $\Sigma_{1}$, in the $w=u+i v$ plane, which is bounded by $C_{2}=\{w: v=-\pi / 2\}$ and a Jordan arc $C_{1}$ with $-\infty$ and $+\infty$ as endpoints. This $C_{1}$ will be very close to the line $v=\pi / 2$. The strip $\Sigma_{2}$ will be the "complementary" strip bounded by $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}=\{w: v=3 \pi / 2\}$.

We then define $w_{1}(z)=u_{1}(z)+i v_{1}(z)$ and $w_{2}(z)=u_{2}(z)+i v_{2}(z)$ to be the conformal mappings of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ respectively onto $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$, with $w_{i}(-\infty)=-\infty$,
$w_{j}(+\infty)=+\infty, w_{j}(\pi i / 2)=\pi i / 2 \in \partial \Sigma_{j}$ for $j=1,2$. We denote by $z_{j}(w)$ the inverse of $w_{j}(z)$, for $j=1,2$.

Next, define

$$
\omega(w)=\frac{e^{w}-1}{e^{w}+1}, \quad w \in \overline{\Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}}
$$

and

$$
\zeta(z)=\frac{e^{z}-1}{e^{z}+1}, \quad z \in \overline{S_{1} \cup S_{2}}
$$

Then $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ correspond to the interior and exterior of the unit disk in the $\zeta=\xi+i \eta$ plane, while $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ correspond to the interior $\Omega$ and exterior $\Omega^{*}$ of a closed Jordan curve $\Gamma$ in the $\omega=s+$ it plane. $C_{1}$ will be constructed so that the image $\Gamma$ of $C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ is not a quasicircle. The function $f(\zeta)=\omega\left(w_{1}(z(\zeta))\right)$ is a conformal mapping of $D$ onto $\Omega$ and $f^{*}(\zeta)=\omega\left(w_{2}(z(\zeta))\right)$ is a conformal mapping of $D^{*}$ onto $\Omega^{*}$. Both functions may be assumed to be extended continuously to the closures of their domains.

Now, by the chain rule we have, for $\zeta \neq \pm 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{d f}{d \zeta}(\zeta)\right| & =\left|\frac{d \omega}{d w}\right|\left|\frac{d w_{1}}{d z}\right|\left|\frac{d z}{d \zeta}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{2 e^{w}}{\left(e^{w}+1\right)^{2}}\right|\left|\frac{d w_{1}}{d z}\right|\left|\frac{\left(e^{z}+1\right)^{2}}{2 e^{z}}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{d w_{1}}{d z}\right| e^{x-u_{1}(z)}\left|\frac{1+e^{-z}}{1+e^{-w}}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|\frac{d w_{1}}{d z}\right| e^{x-u_{1}(z)}(1+o(1)), \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Our goal here is to estimate the distribution function (1.3) and to show that $1 /\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|$ is bounded uniformly from above, so that we must estimate $\left|d w_{1} / d z\right|$ and $x-u_{1}(z)$ for points on $\partial \Sigma_{1}$. Similar considerations connect $\left|f^{* \prime}\right|$ to $\left|d w_{2} / d z\right|$ and $x-u_{2}(z)$ on $\partial \Sigma_{2}$.

We now construct $C_{1}$. We start with "building blocks" as in [6]. For each $k \geq 3$ consider the following circles in the $\tilde{\omega}=s+$ it plane.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}:(t-\pi)^{2}+(s-k)^{2}=\left(\pi-k^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} \\
& T_{2}: t^{2}+\left(s-u_{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{4}, \quad T_{3}: t^{2}+\left(s-u_{3}\right)^{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad u_{2}=k-\left(\left(\pi / 2-k^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2}+2 \pi\left(\pi / 2-k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \quad$ and $\quad u_{3}=k+((\pi / 2-$ $\left.\left.k^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2}+2 \pi\left(\pi / 2-k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$, so that $T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ are tangent to $T_{1}$. Let $L=$ $\{s+i t: s \geq 0, t=\pi / 2\}$. We trace a curve $\Gamma_{k}$ as follows. Starting at $\pi i / 2$ move to the right, first along $L$ to $T_{2}$, then on $T_{2}$ to $T_{1}$, on $T_{1}$ to $T_{3}$, on $T_{3}$ to $L$ and then on $L$ to $+\infty$. Let $\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}$ be the reflection of $\Gamma_{k}$ across the $s$-axis and let $\Omega_{k}$ be the "half strip" bounded by $\Gamma_{k} \cup \Gamma_{k}^{\prime} \cup\{t i:|t| \leq \pi / 2\}$. (See the figure, upper left.)


For $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{k}$, let $w_{k}(\tilde{\omega})=-i e^{-\tilde{\omega}}+w_{k}$ for $w_{k}=g(k)+\pi i / 2$. The function $g(k)=e^{k \ln k}$ will guarantee (1.5). Other choices of $g(k)$ will yield corresponding integrability of $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$, as will be evident. We shall work with $g(k)=e^{k \ln k}$ for our purposes. Let $D_{k}$ be the image of $\Omega_{k}$ under this $w_{k}(\tilde{\omega})$. Let $\Sigma=\{w=u+$ iv: $|v|<\pi / 2\}$. Delete from $\Sigma$ the half disks $\left\{w:\left|w-w_{k}\right|<1, v<\pi / 2\right\}$ and replace them with the $D_{k}$. The resulting domain is then $\Sigma_{1}$, and we let $\Sigma_{2}=\{w=u+i v:-\pi / 2<v<3 \pi / 2\}-\Sigma_{1}$. The upper boundary of $\Sigma_{1}$ is then the curve $C_{1}$, with a sequence of shrinking and narrowing double bumps going to $+\infty$. Under the mapping $\omega=\left(e^{w}-1\right) /\left(e^{w}+1\right), \Sigma_{1}$ corresponds to a domain $\Omega$ which is nearly a unit disk, with a sequence of double bumps converging to $\omega=1$. It is clear that (1.1) fails for $\partial \Omega$, because the bottlenecks on the $\Omega_{k}$ have width $2 / \sqrt{ } k$. A rigorous argument can be easily obtained from that on page 229 of [6].

As previewed above we consider the conformal mappings $w_{j}(z)(j=1,2)$ from $S_{j}$ onto $\Sigma_{j}$ with $w_{j}(-\infty)=-\infty, w_{j}(+\infty)=+\infty$ and $w_{j}(\pi i / 2)=\pi i / 2$, and define $f$ and $f^{*}$ on $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{D}^{*}$ accordingly. We shall work with $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ and $1 /\left|f^{\prime}\right|$; the proofs for the exterior mappings are simpler, as will be noted later. In order to use (2.1) we first observe that there exists $K_{1}$, constant, such that for all $z \in S_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-K_{1}<x-u_{1}(z)<K_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The upper bound follows as in Lemma 5 of [6] from the Ahlfors upper inequality (see [2]) while the lower bound follows similarly from the Ahlfors lower inequality (the Ahlfors distortion theorem). We now turn to the estimation of $\left|d w_{1} / d z\right|$, which depends on the $\Omega_{k}$. For each $k(\geq 3)$ let $z_{k}=z_{1}\left(w_{k}\right)$ and define $\bar{\zeta}_{k}(z)=\log \left(1 /\left(z-z_{k}\right)\right)-\pi i / 2$ for $z \in S_{1}$, so that $\left|\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\zeta}_{k}(z)\right|<\pi / 2$. For $w_{k}(\tilde{\omega})=$ $-i e^{-\bar{\omega}}+w_{k}$, the function $\zeta_{k}(\tilde{\omega})=\bar{\zeta}_{k}\left(z_{1}\left(w_{k}(\tilde{\omega})\right)\right)$ maps $\Omega_{k}$ conformally onto a half strip $S_{k}$ which is bounded by the horizontal rays from $\zeta_{k}(\pi i / 2)$ and $\zeta_{k}(-\pi i / 2)$ to $+\infty$ and by an arc $\gamma_{k}$ in $\{|\operatorname{Im} \xi|<\pi / 2\}$. As with (2.1) we see that for $z \in z_{1}\left(D_{k}\right)$, with $z_{1}(w)$ the inverse of $w_{1}(z)$ and $\omega_{k}(\tilde{\zeta})$ the inverse of $\zeta_{k}(\tilde{\omega})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d w_{1}}{d z}\right|=\left|\frac{d \omega_{k}}{d \bar{\zeta}}\right| e^{\xi-s_{k}(\bar{\xi})} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\tilde{\zeta}=\tilde{\zeta}_{k}(z)$ and $\omega_{k}(\tilde{\zeta})=s_{k}(\xi+i \eta)+i t_{k}(\xi+i \eta)$.
In order to estimate $\xi-s_{k}(\tilde{\zeta})$ on the horizontal boundary of $S_{k}$, we shall again use the Ahlfors inequalities. For a given $\Omega_{k}$ we let $\sigma(s)$ denote the vertical crosscut $\{\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega}=s\} \cap \Omega_{k}$. Let $\theta_{k}(s)$ be the length of $\sigma(s)$. We then define

$$
\xi_{k}(s)=\min \xi_{k}(\tilde{\omega}) \quad \tilde{\omega} \in \sigma(s), \quad \xi_{k}(s)=\max \xi_{k}(\tilde{\omega}) \quad \tilde{\omega} \in \sigma(s)
$$

where $\xi_{k}(\tilde{\omega})=\operatorname{Re} \zeta_{k}(\tilde{\omega})$. We first prove
LEMMA 1. For $\tilde{\xi}=\xi+i \eta \in S_{k}$, we have, for constant $K_{2}$,
$-K_{2}<\xi-s_{k}(\bar{\zeta})<K_{2} k \quad$ for each $k$.
Proof. We begin by showing that there exists $K_{3}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
-K_{3} \leq \xi_{k}(i t)<K_{3} \quad \text { for all } k \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\Sigma_{1}$ is so nearly a parallel strip $z_{1}(w)$ has an unrestricted derivative at $\infty: z_{1}(w)-w \rightarrow l$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$ for a real $l$ ([10],[11]). Choose $M$ such that for $\operatorname{Re} w>M$ and $w \in \bar{\Sigma}_{1}$ we have

$$
\left|z_{1}(w)-w-l\right|<\frac{1}{10}
$$

and choose $N$ such that for $k>N$, all $D_{k}$ lie in the half plane $\{\operatorname{Re} w>M\}$. Then for $|t| \leq \pi / 2$,

$$
\left|z_{1}\left(-i e^{-i t}+w_{k}\right)-\left(-i e^{-i t}+w_{k}\right)-l\right|<\frac{1}{10}
$$

and from $\left|z_{k}-w_{k}-l\right|<\frac{1}{10}$, we obtain

$$
\left|\left(z_{1}\left(-i e^{-i t}+w_{k}\right)-z_{k}\right)-\left(-i e^{-i t}\right)\right|<\frac{2}{10}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{4}{5}<\left|z_{1}\left(-i e^{-i t}+w_{k}\right)-z_{k}\right|<\frac{6}{5}
$$

and

$$
\log \frac{5}{6}<\xi_{k}(i t)=-\log \left|z_{1}\left(-i e^{-i t}+w_{k}\right)-z_{k}\right|<\log \frac{5}{4}
$$

from which (2.5) follows.
From the Ahlfors distortion theorem we have

$$
\underline{\xi}_{k}(s)-\bar{\xi}_{k}(0) \geq \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\pi}{\theta_{k}(t)} d t-2 \pi
$$

so that

$$
\xi_{k}(\tilde{\omega})-s \geq \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\pi-\theta_{k}(t)}{\theta_{k}(t)} d t-2 \pi+\bar{\xi}_{k}(0)
$$

and the left side of (2.4) follows from (2.5) and the fact that $\pi-\theta_{k}(t) \geq 0$. Next we apply the Ahlfors upper inequality as expressed in Theorem 3 of [2], to see that

$$
\bar{\xi}_{k}(s)-\underline{\underline{\xi}}_{k}(0) \leq \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\pi d t}{\theta(t)}+k \frac{\pi}{2}+\pi k^{1 / 2}
$$

so that

$$
\xi_{k}(\bar{\omega})-s \leq \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\pi-\theta(t)}{\theta(t)} d t+\frac{\pi}{2} k+\pi k^{1 / 2}+\underline{\xi}_{k}(0)
$$

Then the right inequality of (2.4) follows from the above, (2.5) and the construction of $\Omega_{k}$.

LEMMA 2 There exist positive $K_{4}$ and $M$, independent of $k$, such that for $\bar{\zeta} \in \partial S_{k}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \bar{\zeta}>M$,

$$
\frac{1}{K_{4}}<\left|\frac{d \omega_{k}}{d \tilde{\xi}}\right|<K_{4} k^{1 / 2}
$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is essentially that of Lemma 3 of [4]. (See also Lemma 7 of [6].) Briefly, the right inequality holds because for each $\tilde{\omega} \in \partial \Omega_{k}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega}>\pi / 2$, one may inscribe a circle of radius at least $k^{-1 / 2}$ in $\Omega_{k}$, tangent to $\partial \Omega_{k}$ at $\tilde{\omega}$ and with center on the $s$ axis. Furthermore, the image of the $s$ axis is asymptotic to the $\xi$ axis. One then bounds $\left|d \omega_{k} / d \xi\right|$ by a Schwarz lemma argument. The lower bound is simpler in that at each $\tilde{\omega} \in \partial \Omega_{k}$ there is a circle of radius $\pi-k^{-1 / 2}$ in the exterior of $\Omega_{k}$, tangent to $\partial \Omega_{k}$ at $\tilde{\omega}$.

It is now evident from (2.3) and Lemmas 1 and 2 that for $z \in \partial \Sigma_{1} \cap D_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{K_{4}} e^{-K_{2}} \leq\left|\frac{d w_{1}}{d z}\right| \leq K_{4} k^{1 / 2} e^{K K_{2} k}<e^{K<k} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

At every other point of $\partial \Sigma_{1}$, there are tangent circles interior and exterior to $\partial \Sigma_{1}$ with radius $\pi$, and the image of the $x$ axis under $w_{1}(z)$ is asymptotic to the $u$ axis in $S_{1}$. Thus there exists $K_{6}>0$ for which

$$
\frac{1}{K_{6}}<\left|\frac{d w_{1}}{d z}\right|<K_{6}
$$

on the rest of $\partial \Sigma_{1}$. It now follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.6) that $1 /\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \in L^{x}(\partial D)$.

Next we must estimate the length of the image of $\partial \Sigma_{1} \cap D_{k}$ under $\omega(w)=$ $\left(e^{w}-1\right) /\left(e^{w}+1\right)$, recalling that $D_{k}$ is centered at $w_{k}=g(k)+i \pi / 2$.

Let $\quad z_{k}^{\prime}=z_{1}\left(w_{k}-1\right)=x_{k}^{\prime}+i \pi / 2, \quad z_{k}^{\prime \prime}=z_{1}\left(w_{k}+1\right)=x_{k}^{\prime \prime}+i \pi / 2, \quad \zeta_{k}^{\prime}=\zeta\left(z_{k}^{\prime}\right) \quad$ and $\zeta_{k}^{\prime \prime}=\zeta\left(z_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ so that $\zeta_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\zeta_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ are the endpoints of the interval $I_{k} \subset \partial D$ which corresponds to $\partial D_{k} \cap \Sigma_{1}$. Since $z_{1}(w)$ has an unrestricted derivative $l$ at $+\infty$, $x_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow g(k)-1+l$ and $x_{k}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow g(k)+1+l$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus for $\left|I_{k}\right|$ the length of $I_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{k}\right| & =\operatorname{Arg} \zeta\left(z_{k}^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{Arg} \zeta\left(z_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{e^{z_{k}^{\prime}}-1}{e^{z_{k}^{\prime}}+1} \frac{e^{z_{k}^{\prime \prime}}+1}{e^{z_{k}^{\prime \prime}}-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{i e^{x_{k}^{\prime}}-1}{i e^{x_{k}^{\prime}}+1} \frac{i e^{x_{k}^{\prime \prime}}+1}{i e^{x_{k}^{\prime \prime}}-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{e^{x_{k}^{\prime}+x_{k}^{\prime \prime}}+1-i\left(e^{x_{k}^{\prime}}-e^{x_{k}^{\prime \prime}}\right)}{e^{x_{k}^{\prime}+x_{k}^{\prime \prime}}+1+i\left(e^{x_{k}^{\prime}}-e^{x_{k}^{\prime \prime}}\right)}\right) \\
& =2 \tan ^{-1} \frac{e^{x_{k}^{\prime \prime}}-e^{x_{k}^{\prime}}}{e^{x_{k}^{\prime \prime}+x_{k}^{\prime}}+1} \leq 2 \tan ^{-1} \frac{e^{x_{k}^{\prime \prime}-x_{k}^{\prime}}-1}{e^{x_{k}^{\prime \prime}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x_{k}^{\prime \prime}-x_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow 2$ and $x_{k}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow g(k)+l+1$ we see that there exists $K_{7}>0$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{k}\right|<K_{7} e^{-g(k)} \quad \text { for each } k, \text { noting that } k \geq 3 \text {. } \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6), we obtain, for $g(k)=e^{k \ln k}$ and a positive constant $K_{0}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu\left\{\theta:\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|\right. & \left.>K_{0} e^{K ; k}\right\}<\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty}\left|I_{n}\right| \\
& <\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} K_{7} \exp \left(-e^{n \log n}\right) \\
& <K_{8} \exp \left(-e^{k \log k}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

for a positive constant $K_{8}$.
Now let $\lambda_{k}=e^{K \leqslant k}$. Then for any $A>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \int_{\lambda_{3}}^{\infty} e^{A \lambda} m\left(\lambda, f^{\prime}\right) d \lambda & =\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} A \int_{\lambda_{k}}^{\lambda_{k+1}} e^{A \lambda} m\left(\lambda, f^{\prime}\right) d \lambda \\
& \leq \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} m\left(\lambda_{k}, f^{\prime}\right) A \int_{\lambda_{k}}^{\lambda_{k+1}} e^{A \lambda} d \lambda \\
& \leq \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} m\left(\lambda_{k}, f^{\prime}\right) e^{A \lambda_{k+1}} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} K_{8} \exp \left(-e^{k \log k}+A e^{k s(k+1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from (2.8). Since this series converges for any $A>0$, it follows from (1.4) that $\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|$ is exponentially integrable to any power.

The boundedness of $\left|f^{* \prime}\right|$ and $1 /\left|f^{* \prime}\right|$ follow in the same way as that of $1 /\left|f^{\prime}\right|$. The argument is applied to $\Omega_{k}^{*}$ which is bounded by $\Gamma_{k}$, its reflection across $s=\pi$ and $\{t i: \pi / 2<t<3 \pi / 2\}$. Rather than a narrowing, $\Omega_{k}^{*}$ has a widening, so that a disk inside $\Omega_{k}^{*}$ with radius $\pi / 2$ is tangent to $\Gamma_{k}$ at any $\tilde{\omega} \in \Gamma_{k}(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega}>\pi / 2)$.

## 3. Hölder continuity and further remarks

Since $\left|f^{* \prime}\right|$ is bounded on $\partial D, f^{*}$ is in Lip (1). An application of Hölder's inequality shows that $f \in \operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ on $\bar{D}$, for any $\alpha<1$, because $f^{\prime} \in L^{p}$ for any $p<\infty$. The Hölder continuity of the inverse functions is less obvious, as $\Gamma$ is not a quasicircle. However, by a theorem of Pommerenke [9, Theorem 1], the fact that
$\left|f^{* \prime}\right|$ is bounded above and below on $\partial D$ implies that $\Gamma$ is an "exterior quasicircle," which is defined as follows. For $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Gamma$, let

$$
d_{\Omega^{*}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\inf _{C} \operatorname{diam} C
$$

where $C$ ranges over all arcs which lie in $\Omega^{*}$ except for their endpoints, $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$. We say that $\Gamma$ is an "exterior quasicircle" if there exists $M>0$ such that for every $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Gamma$,

$$
\operatorname{diam} C\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \leq M d_{\Omega^{*}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)
$$

With the corresponding definition of "interior quasicircle," it is easy to see that if $\Gamma$ is both an interior and exterior quasicircle then it is a quasicircle.

In [4, Corollary to Theorem 1] it is shown that if $f \in \operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ on $\partial D$ and if $\Gamma$ is a quasicircle then $f^{*-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}(1 /(2-\alpha))$ on $\Gamma$. This proof in fact only requires that $\Gamma$ be an exterior quasicircle, for then the result of Lemma 4 in [7] holds and the fact that $f^{*-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}(1 /(2-\alpha))$ on $\Gamma$ follows exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2 in [7]. Thus $f^{*-1}$ is Hölder continuous for any exponent less than 1.

We now turn to the proof that $f^{-1}$ is $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha<1$. Let $s(\omega)$ denote arclength on $\Gamma$, starting at some $\omega_{0} \in \Gamma$, proceeding in the positive direction to $\omega$. Choose $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Gamma$, and let $f^{*}\left(\zeta_{i}\right)=\omega_{i}, i=1,2$. Since $f^{*}$ is $\operatorname{Lip}(1)$ on $\partial D$, we have for some $K>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|s\left(\omega_{1}\right)-s\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right|<K\left|\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}\right|=K\left|f^{*-1}\left(\omega_{1}\right)-f^{*-1}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hölder continuity of $f^{*-1}$ yields, for some $K_{1}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{*-1}\left(\omega_{1}\right)-f^{*-1}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right|<K_{1}\left|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right|^{\alpha} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\alpha<1$. But from the rectifiability of $\Gamma$ it follows that $f^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous on $\Gamma$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f^{-1}\left(\omega_{1}\right)-f^{-1}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{s\left(\omega_{1}\right)}^{s\left(\omega_{2}\right)} f^{-1,}(s) d s\right| \\
& \leq K_{2}\left|s\left(\omega_{1}\right)-s\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left|f^{-1 \prime}\right| \leq K_{2}$. This together with (3.1) and (3.2) yields the Hölder continuity of $f^{-1}$ for any exponent $\alpha<1$.

This example is also of interest in connection with the Muckenhoupt $A_{\infty}$
condition for $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$, which is equivalent to the existence of $\delta>0$ and $M>0$ such that for any interval $I \subset \partial D$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{1+\delta}|d z|\right)^{1 / 1+\delta} & \leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right||d z|  \tag{3.3}\\
& \leq M\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-\delta}|d z|\right)^{-1 / \delta}
\end{align*}
$$

This of course implies that $\left|f^{\prime}\right| \in L^{1+\delta}$ and $\left|1 / f^{\prime}\right| \in L^{\delta}$; it also implies that $\log f^{\prime}$ is of bounded mean oscillation.

We shall say that $\Gamma$ has bounded arclength - interior distance ratio if there exists a constant $M>0$ such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{l\left(C\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right)}{d_{\Omega}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}<M \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l()$ denotes arclength. A corresponding definition holds for bounded arclength - exterior distance ratio. If (3.4) holds then $\Gamma$ is an interior quasicircle. Pommerenke [9] has shown that (3.4) is equivalent to the condition that $\Omega$ is a Smirnov domain $\left(\log \left|f^{\prime}\right| \in H^{1}\right)$ and $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ satisfies the $A_{\infty}$ condition.

By a result of Jerison [3], the rectifiability of our $\Gamma$ and the fact that $1 / f^{\prime}$ is bounded imply that $\Omega$ is a Smirnov domain, so that Pommerenke's theorem implies that $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ does not satisfy the $A_{\infty}$ condition (since (3.4) fails for our $\Gamma$ ). Thus, our example yields a function $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ which is exponentially integrable, with $1 /\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ bounded, but for which (3.3) fails. Furthermore $\log \left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is of bounded mean oscillation, since $\arg f^{\prime}$ is bounded on $\partial D$.

## REFERENCES

[1] J. Becker and Ch. Pommerenke, Hölder continuity of conformal mappings and nonquasiconformal Jordan curves, Comment. Math. Helvetici 57 (1982), 221-225.
[2] J. A. Jenkins and K. Oikawa, On results of Ahlfors and Hayman, Illinois J. Math. 15 (1971), 664-671.
[3] D. Jerison, The failure of $L^{p}$ estimates for harmonic measure in chord-arc domains, Michigan Math. J. 30 (1983), 191-198.
[4] F. D. Lesley, On interior and exterior conformal mappings of the disk, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 20 (1979), 67-78.
[5] F. D. Lesley, Hölder continuity of conformal mappings at the boundary via the Strip Method, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 31 (1982), 341-354.
[6] F. D. Lesley, Domains with Lipschitz mapping functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I. 8 (1983), 219-234.
[7] F. D. Lesley, Conformal mappings of domains satisfying a wedge condition, Proc. A.M.S. 93 (1985), 483-488.
[8] R. Nákki and B. Palka, Quasiconformal circles and Lipschitz classes, Comment. Math. Helv. 55 (1980), 485-498.
[9] Ch. Pommerenke, One sided smoothness conditions and conformal mapping, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 26 (1982), 77-88.
[10] B. Rodin and S. E. Warschawski, Extremal length and univalent functions, Math. Z. 153 (1977), 1-17.
[11] S. E. WARSCHAWSKı, Über das Randverhalten der Ableitung der Abbildungsfunktion bei konformer Abbildung, Math. Z. 35 (1932), 322-456.

Department of Mathematics<br>San Diego State University<br>San Diego, California 92182

Received April 15, 1985/February 5, 1986

