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# Detecting fibred links in $S^{3}$ 

David Gabai ${ }^{(1)}$

## §0. Introduction

In §1 we describe a simple effective procedure which allows one (in practice) to decide whether or not the oriented link $L=\partial R$ ( $R$ a smoothly embedded oriented surface in $S^{3}$ ) is a fibred link with fibre $R$. As an application in $\S 3$ we give an elementary geometric proof of the following fact $(\Leftarrow$ was first proven by Stallings [S2]). Let $R$ be a Murasugi sum of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$, then $L=\partial R$ is a fibred link with fibre $R$ if and only if for $i=1,2 L_{i}=\partial R_{i}$ is a fibred link with fibre $R_{i}$. A corollary (worked out with M. Boileau) of the only if proof shows that if $R$ is the unique Seifert surface for the oriented link $L$, then $L_{i}$ fibres with fibre $R_{i}$ for at least one $i$. As further applications in §4, §5, §6 we show how to decide which oriented links of $\leq 9$ crossings, knots of $\leq 10$ crossings, oriented alternating links, and oriented pretzel links are fibred links. We either indicate or explicitly exhibit the fibres.

In $\S 7$ we give some insight into how essential tori and annuli may arise in the complement of a fibred link where the fibre is a nontrivial plumbing i.e., Murasugi sum along a square. As an application we show how to construct in any closed oriented 3-manifold a fibred knot with pseudo-Anosov monodromy, i.e., a hyperbolic fibred knot. See also Soma [So] for a proof of this last result.

In §8, we discuss an appealing conjecture.

## 81. The basic result

We recall the key definitions regarding sutured manifolds.

NOTATION 1.1. If $E$ is a space (resp. set), then $|E|$ denotes the number of components (resp. elements) of $E$. $\stackrel{\circ}{E}$ denotes the interior of $E$, and $N(E)$ denotes a regular neighborhood of $E$ in an ambient manifold.

[^0]DEFINITION 1.2. A sutured manifold $(M, \gamma)$ is a compact oriented 3manifold $M$ together with a set $\gamma \subset \partial M$ of pairwise disjoint annuli $A(\gamma)$ and tori $T(\gamma)$.

The interior of each component of $A(\gamma)$ contains a suture i.e., a homologically non trivial oriented simple closed curve. Denote the set of sutures by $s(\gamma)$. Finally, every component of $R(\gamma)=\partial M-\dot{\gamma}$ is oriented. Define $R_{+}(\gamma)$ (or $R_{-}(\gamma)$ ) to be those components of $\partial M-\dot{\gamma}$ whose normal vectors point out of (into) $M$. The orientations on $R(\gamma)$ must be coherent with respect to $s(\gamma)$ i.e., if $\lambda$ is a component of $\partial R(\gamma)$ and is given the boundary orientation, then $\lambda$ must represent the same homology class in $H_{1}(\gamma)$ as some suture.

Remark 1.3. The rest of this paper involves the study of sutured manifolds embedded in $S^{3}$. Furthermore, all sutured manifolds subsequently considered satisfy $T(\gamma)=\varnothing$ and every component of $\partial M$ intersects $\gamma$ non trivially. Under these circumstances the sutured manifold is determined by $M$ and $s(\gamma)$. Therefore, one can view $\gamma$ as a set of thick oriented curves in $\partial M$ where such curves induce the orientations on $\partial M-\dot{\gamma}$.

One can think of a sutured manifold as a manifold with corners (equal to $\partial \gamma$ ) together with a vector field which points in along $R_{-}(\gamma)$ and out along $R_{+}(\gamma)$.

CONVENTION 1.4. Fix once and for all an orientation on $S^{3}$. A surface $R$ is oriented if and only if $R$ has a well defined normal vector field i.e., transverse orientation. The + side ( - side) of $R$ is that "side" of $R$ where the normals point out (in). A transverse orientation on $R$ induces an orientation on $\partial R$ using the rule that if an observer walking along $\partial R$ on the + side ( - side) of $R$, sees $R$ to the left (right), then the observer is (is not) following the orientation of $\partial R$.

DEFINITION 1.5. Let $R \subset S^{3}$ be a compact oriented surface with no closed components, then $(R \times I, \partial R \times I)=(N, \delta)$ is the sutured manifold obtained from $R$. Use Convention 1.4 to orient $R(\gamma)=R \times\{0,1\}$.

If $(M, \gamma)$ is a sutured manifold in $S^{3}$, then $(N, \delta)=\left(S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{M}, \gamma\right)$ is the complementary sutured manifold.

If $S$ is a "reasonable" [i.e., $S$ is transverse to $\gamma$, each arc component of $S \cap \gamma$ is an essential arc in $\gamma$, and if $\lambda$ is a circle component of $S \cap \gamma$, then $\lambda$ (oriented as a component of $\partial S$ ) is homologous in $\gamma$ to a component of $s(\gamma)$ ] properly embedded oriented surface in the sutured manifold $\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$, then by applying the sutured manifold decomposition operation of Definition 3.1 [G5] to $S$ and
$\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ we obtain the new sutured manifold $\left(M_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$. Topologically $M_{2}=$ $M_{1}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(S)$. The notation for this operation is as follows.

$$
\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \leadsto\left(M_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right) .
$$

If $\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ is a sutured manifold in $S^{3}$, then one may think of this as the operation

$$
\left(N_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \leadsto\left(N_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right) .
$$

where $\left(N_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$ is the complementary sutured manifold to $\left(M_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$. Note that [G4] views sutured manifold decomposition from the latter point of view.

This paper focuses on a very special type of sutured manifold decomposition.

DEFINITION 1.6. A product decomposition is a sutured manifold decomposition

$$
\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \stackrel{D}{\sim}\left(M_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right) .
$$

where $D$ is a disc properly embedded in $M_{1}$ and $D \cap s(\gamma)=2$ points. Therefore, $M_{2}=M_{1}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(D)$ and $s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ is obtained by extending $s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(D)$ in the natural way (figure 1.1a).

Dually, if $\left(N_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$ is the complementary sutured manifold to $\left(M_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$, then a $C$-product ( $C$ for complementary) decomposition is the operation

$$
\left(N_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \stackrel{D}{\leadsto}\left(N_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)
$$

where $D$ is a properly embedded disc in $S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}_{1}$ with $\partial D \cap s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=2$ points. $N_{2}$ is obtained from $N_{1}$ by attaching the 2 -handle $D$ and $s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ is obtained by extending $s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-N(D)$ in the natural way (figure 1.1b).

DEFINITION 1.7. Let $\left(J_{0}, \gamma_{0}\right)$ be a sutured manifold in $S^{3}$. A complete (C)product decomposition of $\left(J_{0}, \gamma_{0}\right)$ is a sequence of $(C)$ product decompositions

$$
\left(J_{0}, \gamma_{0}\right) \stackrel{D_{1}}{\leadsto}\left(J_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \leadsto \cdots \stackrel{D_{p}}{\leadsto}\left(J_{p}, \gamma_{p}\right)
$$

where $\partial J_{p}$ is a union of 2 -spheres $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}$ with $s\left(\gamma_{p}\right) \cap S_{r}=$ a unique simple closed curve for $r=1, \ldots, k$.

a) A Product Decomposition

b) A C-Product Decomposition

Figure 1.1

A product decomposition of a smooth surface $R$ in $S^{3}$ is a complete $C$-product decomposition of the sutured manifold $\left(N_{0}, \gamma_{0}\right)=(R \times I, \partial R \times I)$, i.e., the sutured manifold obtained from $R$.

DEFINITION 1.8. An oriented link $L$ is a fibred link in $S^{3}$ with fibre $R$ if $\partial R=L$ (oriented boundary) and $S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ fibres over $S^{1}$ with fibre $R$.

THEOREM 1.9. Let $R$ be an oriented surface in $S^{3}, L$ the oriented link $\partial R$, then $L$ is a fibred link with fibre $R$ if and only if $R$ has a product decomposition.

Proof. $L$ is a fibred link with fibre $R$ if and only if $\left(S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)\right)-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(R)=$ $R \times I$.
$\Rightarrow$ Let $D_{i}=\gamma_{i} \times I$ where $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}$ is a set of pairwise disjoint properly
embedded arcs in $R$ such that

$$
R-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} N\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=D^{2} .
$$

If follows that

$$
(R \times I, \partial R \times I)=\left(N_{0}, \gamma_{0}\right){ }_{\mu}^{D_{1}}\left(N_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \not{ }_{\leadsto}^{D_{2}}\left(N_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right) \leadsto \cdots \cdots \xrightarrow{D_{n}}\left(N_{n}, \gamma_{n}\right)=\left(D^{2} \times\right.
$$

$\left.I, \partial D^{2} \times I\right)$
is a product decomposition for $R$.
$\Leftarrow$ This is 4 ) of Theorem 2.1 of [G4]. We give an alternative proof. A product decomposition gives a prescription to show that $\left(S^{3}-\stackrel{N}{( }(L)\right)-\stackrel{N}{N}(R)$ is a product. Let

$$
\left(N_{0}, \gamma_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{D_{1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{D_{n}}\left(N_{n}, \gamma_{n}\right)
$$

be a product decomposition of $R$. Let $\left(M_{k}, \gamma_{k}\right)$ be the complementary sutured manifold to $\left(N_{k}, \gamma_{k}\right)$. Starting with $\left(M_{n}, \gamma_{n}\right)=(E \times I, \partial E \times I)$ where $E$ is a union of 2-discs, one inductively observes that each $\left(M_{k}, \gamma_{k}\right)$, hence ( $M_{0}, \gamma_{0}$ ), is a product sutured manifold, i.e., of the form ( $\left.R_{k} \times I, \partial R_{k} \times I\right)$.

EXAMPLE 1.10. a) Figure 1.4b) of [G4] shows a product decomposition of an oriented surface.
b) Figure 1.2 shows a product decomposition of an oriented surface.

DEFINITION 1.11. If $L$ is a fibred link with fibre $R$, then the monodromy of $L$ is represented by $f: R \rightarrow R$ if there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism

$$
g: S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L) \rightarrow \frac{R \times I}{(x, 0) \sim(f(x), 1)} \quad \text { where }
$$

a) $g \mid R$ is an orientation preserving homeomorphism onto $R$
b) $g\left(m_{i}\right)=x_{i} \times[0,1]$ for every meridian $m_{i}$ of $L$
c) $f \mid \partial R=i d$.

Here $R \times I$ is oriented so that the identity map id: $R \rightarrow R \times 0$ is orientation preserving and one standing at $R \times \frac{1}{4}$ sees the + side of $R \times 0$.


Figure 1.2
Note that $f_{1}, f_{2}: R \rightarrow R$ represent the monodromy of the same fibred link if and only if $f_{1}$ is isotopic (rel $\partial$ ) to $h f_{2} h^{-1}$ for some orientation preserving homeomorphism $h$ of $R$.

Remark 1.12. One can view the monodromy of $f$ as the automorphism of $\pi_{1}(R)$ obtained by pushing loops (with basepoint on $\partial R$ ) off the + side of $R$ through $S^{3}-R$ and onto the - side of $R$ where the basepoint travels along a meridian.

## §2. Technical lemmas

DEFINITION 2.1. A product annulus in the sutured manifold $(M, \gamma)$ is an annulus $A$ properly embedded in $M$ such that $\partial A \subset R(\gamma), \partial A \cap R_{+}(\gamma) \neq \varnothing$, and $\partial A \cap R_{-}(\gamma) \neq \varnothing$. A product disc is a disc $D$ properly embedded in $M$ such that $\partial D \cap \gamma$ equals two essential arcs in $\gamma$. Product discs and annuli detect where a sutured manifold is locally a product. ( $M, \gamma$ ) is a product sutured manifold if $M=R \times I, \gamma=\partial R \times I, R_{+}(\gamma)=R \times 1$, and $R_{-}(\gamma)=R \times 0$.

Similarly, a $C$-product annulus in the sutured manifold ( $N, \delta$ ) is an annulus $A$ properly embedded in $S^{3}-\stackrel{N}{N}$ such that $\partial A \subset R(\delta), \partial A \cap R_{+}(\delta) \neq \varnothing$, and $\partial A \cap R_{-}(\delta) \neq \varnothing$. A $C$-product disc is a disc $D$ properly embedded in $S^{3}-N$ such that $\partial D \cap \delta$ equals two essential arcs in $\delta$.

The following lemmas are helpful in deciding whether or not a sutured manifold ( $M, \gamma$ ) is a product sutured manifold. To understand $\S 3$, $\S 4$, $\S 5$, and most of $\S 6$ one needs only the very elementary Lemma 2.2.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $(M, \gamma) \xrightarrow{D}\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ be a product decomposition, then ( $M_{1}, \gamma_{1}$ ) is a product sutured manifold if and only if $(M, \gamma)$ is a product sutured manifold.

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ clear.
$\Leftarrow$ Certainly the homeomorphism type of ( $M_{1}, \gamma_{1}$ ) is unchanged if one replaces $D$ by an isotopic disc $E$ where the isotopy is done rel $s(\gamma)$. If $(M, \gamma)=(R \times I, \partial R \times I)$, then view $D$ as $I \times I$ where $I \times 0, I \times 1$ are properly embedded arcs in $R \times 0, R \times 1$ respectively and $0 \times I, 1 \times I$ are properly embedded arcs in $\gamma=\partial R \times I$. Now isotope $(\operatorname{rel} s(\gamma)=\partial R \times 1 / 2) D$ to be of the form $\alpha \times I$ where $\alpha$ is a properly embedded arc in $R$.

In Lemma 2.4 we give a more general version of $\Leftarrow$ Lemma 2.2 which is only needed in $\S 6$.

DEFNITION 2.3. $(M, \gamma)$ is taut if $M$ is irreducible and $R(\gamma)$ is Thurston norm minimizing. I.e., if $T$ is a properly embedded incompressible surface in $M$ having the properties that $\partial T \subset \gamma$ and $[T, \partial T]=[R(\gamma), \partial R(\gamma)] \in H_{2}(M, \gamma)$, then $\chi(T) \leq \chi(R(\gamma))$.

LEMMA 2.4. If $(M, \gamma) \stackrel{s}{\sim}\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ is a sutured manifold decomposition such that $\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ is taut, then $\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ is a product sutured manifold if $(M, \gamma)$ is a product sutured manifold.

Proof. Since the product sutured manifolds are exactly those with minimal sutured manifold complexity ( $\$ 4$ of [G5]) this result follows from Lemma 4.11 of [G5].

The following lemma describes a sutured manifold decomposition (not used in this paper) which is very helpful in practice. The proof follows as in Lemma 2.2.

LEMMA 2.5. Let $(M, \gamma) \nrightarrow\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ be a sutured manifold decomposition where $A$ is a product annulus, then $\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ is a product sutured manifold if and only if $(M, \gamma)$ is a product sutured manifold.

The following lemma and corollary are used only in $\S 6$.

LEMMA 2.6. Let $(M, \gamma) \stackrel{s}{\sim}\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right),(M, \gamma)^{-s}\left(M_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be sutured manifold decompositions such that ( $M_{1}, \gamma_{1}$ ) and ( $M_{2}, \gamma_{2}$ ) are taut, $(M, \gamma)$ is a product sutured manifold and $-S$ denotes $S$ oppositely oriented, then $S=\lambda \times I$ for some properly embedded curve $\lambda$ in $R$.

## Proof.

CASE 1. $\partial R \neq \varnothing$. Let $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}$ be a set of pairwise disjoint product discs in $M$ such that

$$
(M, \gamma) \stackrel{D}{\rightsquigarrow}\left(M^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left(D^{2} \times I, \partial D^{2} \times I\right) \text { where } D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} \text {. }
$$

Isotope $S$ so that $|S \cap D|$ is minimal and $S \cap D=S \cap D-A(\gamma)$. [If a component of $\partial S$ lay completely in $A(\gamma)$, then one of the decompositions of the lemma would not be defined.] It follows as in p. 462-464 of [G5] that $S \cap D$ appears as in figure 2.1a and if $S^{\prime}$ is the surface obtained by doing the boundary compression of figure 2.1 b then $S^{\prime}$ satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. Since the result is evidently true if $D \cap S=\varnothing$ the lemma follows by induction.


Figure 2.1

CASE 2. $\partial R=\varnothing$. Note that $\partial S \neq \varnothing$ else $S$ is isotopic to $R \times 1 / 2$, but then one of $\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ or $\left(M_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ would not be taut. Now isotope $S$ and find an annulus $A=\delta \times I \subset R \times I$ such that $A \cap S$ is a union of arcs of the form $P \times I$.

We now have the commutative diagram where $S^{\prime}=S-N(A)$. Since ( $M_{1}, \gamma_{1}$ ) is taut, Lemma 3.12 [G5] implies ( $M_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is taut. Since a similar diagram holds for $-S,-S^{\prime}$ the hypothesis of the lemma holds for ( $M^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}$ ) and $\pm S^{\prime}$. The result now follows from Case 1.


COROLLARY 2.7. If the sutured manifold decompositions $(M, \gamma) \stackrel{S}{\sim}\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right),(M, \gamma) \stackrel{-S}{\sim}\left(M_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ yield taut sutured manifolds and some component of $S$ is neither a product annulus nor a product disc, then $(M, \gamma)$ is not a product sutured manifold.

## §3. Applications

THEOREM 3.1. Let $R \subset S^{3}$ be a Murasugi sum ([M] or [G2]) of oriented surfaces $R_{1}, R_{2}$ in $S^{3}$, then $L=\partial R$ is a fibred link with fibre $R$ if and only if for $i=1,2 L_{i}=\partial R_{i}$ is a fibred link with fibre $R_{i}$.

Remark. $\Leftarrow$ was proven algebraically by Stallings in 1976. A geometric proof can be found in [G2].
$\Rightarrow$ was proved algebraically in [G2] and geometrically in [G3].
What follows is a completely elementary geometric proof.
Proof. $R$ being a Murasugi sum implies that there exists a 2 -sphere $Q \subset S^{3}$ separating $S^{3}$ into two 3-balls $B_{1}, B_{2}$ where $R \cap B_{i}=R_{i}$ and $R \cap Q$ is a $2-n$ gon $D$. Let $(N, \delta),\left(N_{1}, \delta_{1}\right),\left(\dot{N}_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be the sutured manifolds obtained from $R, R_{1}, R_{2}$ respectively and let $(M, \gamma),\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ respectively be the complementary sutured manifolds. Note that (figure 3.1)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
B_{1} \cap R_{-}(\delta)=R_{-}\left(\delta_{1}\right), B_{1} \cap R_{+}(\delta)=R_{+}\left(\delta_{1}\right)-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(D)  \tag{*}\\
B_{2} \cap R_{-}(\delta)=R_{-}\left(\delta_{2}\right)-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(D), B_{2} \cap R_{+}(\delta)=R_{+}\left(\delta_{2}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Here we assume that the normal to $D(D$ is a square in Figure 3.1$)$ points into $B_{2}$.
Proof of $\Leftarrow$ Each $\left(M_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$ is a product sutured manifold so there exist product discs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n} \quad \text { in } \quad\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \\
& D_{n+1}, \ldots, D_{r} \quad \text { in } \quad\left(M_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3.1
such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D_{i} \cap N(Q) \cap R_{+}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\varnothing & i \leq n \\
D_{i} \cap N(Q) \cap R_{-}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\varnothing & i \geq n+1
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
R_{ \pm}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \stackrel{\circ}{N}\left(D_{i}\right), \quad R_{ \pm}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-\bigcup_{i=n+1}^{r} \stackrel{\circ}{N}\left(D_{i}\right) \quad \text { are discs. }
$$

Using $(*)$ (recall $\left.R_{ \pm}(\gamma)=R_{ \pm}(\delta)\right)$ we can view each $D_{i}$ as a product disc in ( $M, \gamma$ ). We conclude that the sequence
$(M, \gamma) \stackrel{D_{1}}{\leadsto}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leadsto \cdots \stackrel{D_{r}}{D_{r}}\left(M_{r}^{\prime}, \gamma_{r}^{\prime}\right)$
is a product decomposition for $(M, \gamma)$.

Proof of $\Rightarrow$. (This sharp proof was inspired by a question from Michel Boileau.) Assume that $R$ (figure 3.2a) was summed along a square for the other cases follow similarly. By summing $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ along the square $S^{2}-D=E$ we obtain the surface $T$ (figure 3.2b) which is disjoint from $R$ in $S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ (figure 3.2c) and homologous to $R$ in $H_{2}\left(S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L), \partial N(L)\right)$. Since $L$ fibres with fibre $R$, $R$ and $T$ are isotopic by $[\mathrm{N}]$ hence separate $S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ into 2 product sutured manifolds $\left(H_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)$ where $\left(H_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)$ is the sutured manifold whose


Figure 3.2


Figure 3.3
"thick part" lies above $Q$. The sutured manifold decomposition

$$
\left(H_{1}, \delta_{1}\right) \stackrel{D_{1}}{\sim}\left(H_{1}^{\prime}, \delta_{1}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{D_{2}}\left(H_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \delta_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

along the product discs $D_{1}, D_{2}$ (figure 3.2 c ) yields by Lemma $2.2\left(H_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \delta_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ a product sutured manifold. The sutured manifold obtained by splitting $S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ open along $R_{1}$ (figure 3.3) is the component $(H, \delta)$ of $\left(H_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \delta_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ which is contained in $B_{1}$, which is a product, so $L_{1}$ is a fibred link with fibre $R_{1}$. Similarly, $L_{2}$ fibres with fibre $R_{2}$.

COROLLARY 3.2 (with Michel Boileau). If $R$ is a Murasugi sum of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ and $L$ is an oriented link with $R$ its unique incompressible Seifert surface, then for some $i L_{i}=\partial R_{i}$ is a fibred link with fibre $R_{i}$.

Proof. Any minimal genus surface for $L$ is incompressible, hence $R$ is minimal genus. The surface $T$ (of the $\Rightarrow$ proof of Theorem 3.1) is a Seifert surface for $L$. Since $\chi(T)=\chi(R), T$ is minimal genus hence incompressible. Therefore $T$ is isotopic to $S$ so some component of $\left(S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)\right)-N(T \cup S)$ is a product. The proof follows as in the $\Rightarrow$ proof of Theorem 2.1.

Remark 3.3. This result was known to Eisner [E] for connected sums.

DEFINITION 3.4. A compact oriented surface $R \subset S^{3}$ can be desummed in $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n}$ if one can obtain $R$ by performing a finite number of Murasugi sum operations starting with $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n}$.

QUESTION 3.5. How to decide whether or not an oriented link in $S^{3}$ is a fibred link?

PRACTICAL ANSWER. First find a surface $S$ of minimal genus for $L$. If $L$ fibres with fibre $F$, then $S$ is isotopic to $F$ hence is a fibre. Next try to desum $S$. By Theorem 3.1 if any of the desummed pieces $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}$ is not a fibre, then $L$ does not fibre. Next analyze each of the $S_{i}$ 's. Let $\left(N_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)$ be the sutured manifold obtained from $S_{i}$ and perform as many $C$-product decompositions as possible to ( $N_{i}, \gamma_{i}$ ). We either might obtain a complete $C$-product decomposition or still be left with a non trivial sutured manifold $(N, \delta)$ with complementary sutured manifold $(M, \gamma)$. If we can show that $(M, \gamma)$ is not a product sutured manifold then by Lemma 2.2, $S_{i}$ is not a fibre.

Algebraically by [S1] if $M$ is irreducible and connected then $(M, \gamma)$ is a product sutured manifold if and only if $\pi_{1}\left(R_{+}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$ is an isomorphism and both $R_{+}(\gamma), R_{-}(\gamma)$ are connected. Geometrically if $M \neq B^{3}$ and there exists no product disc in $(M, \gamma)$, then $(M, \gamma)$ is not a product. One can use other methods (see §2) to help decide if ( $M, \gamma$ ) is a product. We demonstrate those techniques in $\$ 5$ and $\S 6$.

## §4. Fibred knots of $\leq 10$ crossings, links of $\leq 9$ crossings

If $L$ is an alternating knot or link see $\S 5$.

Table 4.1

| NonFibred NonAlternating Knots of $\leq 10$ Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 946 | $10_{130}$ | $10_{142}$ | 10163 |
| 949 | $10_{131}$ | $10_{144}$ | $10_{165}$ |
| $10_{128}$ | $10_{134}$ | $10_{146}$ | $10_{166}$ |
| $10_{129}$ | $10_{135}$ | 10147 |  |
| NonFibred NonAlternating Oriented Links of $\leq 9$ Crossings |  |  |  |
| $9_{45}^{2} 2,4$ | $9_{51}^{2} 4$ | $8{ }_{8}^{3} 1,3$ | $9_{20}^{3} 3,3$ |
| $9_{46}^{2} 2,2$ | $9_{52}^{2} 2$ | $9_{15}^{3} 3$ | $9_{21}^{3} 1,1,3,3$ |
| $9_{48}^{2} 2,4$ | $9_{54}^{2} 2$ | $9_{16}^{3} 3,3,3$ | $8{ }_{2}^{4} 2,2,2,2$ |
|  |  | $9_{17}^{3} 1,3,3$ | $8_{3}^{4} 0,0,2,2,2,2$ |

FACT 4.1. These tables summarize work found in chapter 8 of [G1]. There I explicitly exhibited a minimal genus surface for each non alternating knot of $\leq 10$ crossings and oriented link of $\leq 9$ crossings. Using 3.5 one easily decides whether such an oriented link fibres or not. For a given link (resp. knot) of $n$ components there exists $2^{n-1}$ orientation classes to analyze. In §2 of [G4] I tabulated the absolute value (resp. genus) of the Euler characteristic of a minimal genus surface for a given orientation class. Table 4.1 lists all such non alternating nonfibred knots and links. We use Rolfsen's notation to describe a given unoriented link. The numbers associated to a given unoriented link indicate the absolute values of the Euler characteristics of minimal genus surfaces spanning orientation classes which do not fibre.

Kanenabu also computed the fibred knots of $\leq 10$ crossings. Pictures of the fibres of such non alternating knots can be found in [K].

Remark 4.2. It is well known (see [R]) that if $k$ is a fibred knot, then the genus of $k$ is equal to one half of the degree of the Alexander polynomial of $k$. Also the leading term of the polynomial is 1 . Conversely Murasugi [M2] showed that the fibred alternating knots are exactly those alternating knots whose Alexander polynomial has leading coefficient 1. From that fact and this list of nonfibred nonalternating knots of $\leq 10$ crossings it follows that the fibred knots of $\leq 10$ crossings are exactly those knots whose Alexander polynomial has leading coefficient 1. Since there exist knots of 11 crossing with trivial Alexander polynomial this condition on the leading term is not sufficient to distinguish fibred knots.

## §5. Fibred alternating links

Recall that a Hopf band is an annulus spanning a $(2,2)$ torus link.
THEOREM 5.1. Let $L$ be an oriented link with an alternating projection. $L$ is a fibred link if and only if the surface $R$ obtained by applying Seifert's algorithm to the alternating projection is connected and (obviously) desums (3.4) into a union of Hopf bands.

Remarks. Murasugi [M2] showed that alternating links whose Seifert surfaces desum into Hopf bands are exactly those with finitely generated commutator subgroup; hence, this result follows from [M2] and [S1].

See [R] or [G4] for the definition of Seifert's algorithm.
Proof of $\Leftarrow$. Since these bands clearly have product decompositions the result follows by Theorem 3.1.

Proof of $\Rightarrow$. By [M1], [C], [G4], or [G6] (for an easy proof), the Seifert surface $R$ obtained by applying Seifert's algorithm to an oriented alternating projection is minimal. $R$ canonically desums into surfaces $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n}$ where each $R_{i}$ is the surface obtained by applying Seifert's algorithm to the oriented alternating link $L_{i}$, where the oriented projection to $L_{i}$ has no nested Seifert circles (i.e., the Seifert circles bound disjoint discs in $S^{2}$ ). Therefore it suffices to assume, by Theorem 3.1 that $R$ has no nested Seifert circles, hence we can view $R$ as lying in a $S^{2} \subset S^{3}$ except in small neighborhoods of the crossings.

We prove the theorem by induction on the number $n$ of crossings of $L$. If $n \leq 2$ then $R$ is a Hopf band or disc. Assuming that the result is true for links of $\leq n$ crossings we prove it for links of $n+1$ crossings. Let $(N, \delta)$ be the sutured manifold obtained from $R$. Let $E$ be a properly embedded non boundary parallel disc in $S^{3}-N$ with $s(\delta) \cap E=2$ points (i.e., $E$ is a non trivial $C$-product disc for $(N, \delta)$ ) and $E \cap S^{2}$ has the fewest number of components of intersection of all such discs. Assume that $E \cap s(\delta) \cap S^{2}=\varnothing$.

CASE 1. $E \cap S^{2}=\varnothing$.
If the points of $E \cap s(\delta)$ "occurred" at the same crossing (figure 5.1a), then $E$ was boundary parallel, hence the points of $E \cap s(\delta)$ "occur" at different crossings (figure 5.1b). Therefore $R$ is a Murasugi sum of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$, each of which is the surface obtained by applying Seifert's algorithm to an oriented alternating link $L_{i}$ of at most $n$ crossings. The result follows by induction and Theorem 3.1.

CASE 2. $E \cap S^{2} \neq \varnothing$.
$E \cap S^{2}$ is a union of arcs, else $E \cap S^{2}$ does not intersect $S^{2}$ minimally. There exists an innermost disc $F \subset E$ (i.e., $F$ is the closure of a component of $E-S^{2}$ and $F \cap S^{2}$ is connected) with $F \cap s(\delta)$ being at most 1 point.

CASE 2A. $\partial F \cap s(\delta)=\varnothing$.
The intersections of $\partial\left(F \cap S^{2}\right)$ occur at distinct crossings else we could have isotoped $E$ to reduce the number of intersections with $S^{2}$, contradicting the minimality of $E$. We conclude that $R$ is a Murasugi sum (in fact a connected sum) (figure 5.2) and the result follows as in Case 1.

CASE 2B. $\partial F \cap s(\delta)=1$ point.
In this case, since $E$ is of minimal complexity, $F$ must appear as in figure 5.3 a ), b), or c). In either case $R$ is a Murasugi sum and the result follows as in Case 1.

and
$R_{1}$
b)


a)

Figure 5.1


Figure 5.2


Figure 5.3

We have shown that if $R \neq D^{2}$ has a product decomposition, then $R$ desums in a recognizable way as a union of Hopf bands.

## §6. Fibred pretzel links

In this section we give a complete list of all the oriented prime fibred pretzel links together with their fibres. We follow the program of 3.5 . For a given oriented pretzel link $L$ we utilize [G7] to find a surface $S$ of minimal genus for $L$. We then apply the geometric methods to decide whether or not $L$ fibres.

DEFINITION 6.1. An unoriented pretzel link $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ is a link of the following form (figure 6.1).


Figure 6.1

Remarks 6.2. If $\{0,0\} \subset\left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\}$, then $L$ is a split link hence does not fibre since $\pi_{2}\left(S^{3}-L\right) \neq 0$.

If exactly one $n_{i}$ (say $n_{1}$ ) equals 0 , then $l$ is a connected sum of $L_{2}, \ldots, L_{n}$
where the unoriented $L_{i}$ equals

(rather than


By Theorem 3.1 $L$ fibres if and only if each $L_{i} i \geq 2$ fibres (since any incompressible Seifert surface for $L$ can be expressed as a connected sum of incompressible Seifert surfaces, one for each $L_{i}$ ).

If no $n_{i}=0$, then by [O] $L$ is prime.
NORMALIZATION 6.3. If $\{1,-1\} \subset\left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\}$, then $L$ is the pretzel link ( $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k-2}$ ) obtained by deleting a 1 and a -1 from ( $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}$ ). We now assume that $n_{i} \neq 0$ and $\{1,-1\} \subset\left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\}$.

Let $R$ be the surface obtained by applying Seifert's algorithm to an oriented pretzel presentation of $L$. $R$ must appear as one of the following 3 types.

TYPE I.6.4. (e.g., figure 6.2). $L$ is oriented so that $R$ is the pretzel spanning surface.


Figure 6.2

TYPE II.6.5. Figure 6.3. Here we require some $m_{i j}$ exists. Note that $r$ is even
and each $\left|m_{i j}\right| \geq 2$ and even. Associated to $L$ is the oriented pretzel link

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime}=\left(\frac{-2 m_{1}}{\left|m_{1}\right|}, m_{11}, m_{12}, \ldots, m_{1 l_{1}}\right. & \frac{-2 m_{2}}{\left|m_{2}\right|}, \\
& \left.m_{21}, \ldots, m_{2 l_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{-2 m_{r}}{\left|m_{r}\right|}, m_{r 1}, \ldots, m_{r l_{r}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the term

$$
\frac{-2 m_{i}}{\left|m_{i}\right|}
$$

is deleted if $\left|m_{i}\right|=1 . L^{\prime}$ is oriented so that the surface obtained by applying Seifert's algorithm is of type $\mathbf{I}$.


Figure 6.3

TYPE III.6.6. Figure 6.4. A type III surface is a type II surface where no $m_{i j}$ exists.


Figure 6.4

THEOREM 6.7. The following is an effective algorithm to decide whether or not the prime oriented pretzel link $L$ fibres. If $L$ fibres we describe the fibre.

CASE 1. The surface $R$ obtained by applying Seifert's algorithm is of type I.
$L$ fibres if and only if $L$ fibres with fibre $R$. Moreover this happens if and only if one of the following holds:
(A) each $n_{i}= \pm 1$ or $\mp 3$ and some $n_{i}= \pm 1$.
(B) $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)= \pm(2,-2,2,-2, \ldots, 2,-2, n) n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (here $k$ is odd).
(C) $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)= \pm(2,-2,2,-2, \ldots,-2,+2,-4)$ (here $k$ is even).

CASE 2. The surface $R$ obtained by applying Seifert's algorithm is of type II.
CASE 2A. $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{m_{j}}{\left|m_{j}\right|} \neq 0$.
$L$ fibres if and only if $L$ fibres with fibre $R$. Moreover this happens if and only if the following holds:
(1) $\left|m_{i j}\right|=2$ for all indices $i j$ and
(2) $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{m_{j}}{\left|m_{j}\right|}= \pm 2$.

CASE 2B. $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{m_{j}}{\left|m_{j}\right|}=0$ and $L^{\prime} \neq \pm(2,-2, \ldots, 2,-2)$
$L$ fibres if and only if $L$ fibres with fibre $T$ (figure 6.5). Moreover this happens if and only if $L^{\prime}$ fibres.

CASE 2C. $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{m_{j}}{\left|m_{j}\right|}=0$ and $L^{\prime}= \pm(2,-2, \ldots, 2,-2)$.
Isotope $L=\partial T$ as exemplified in figure 6.6 to obtain a new pretzel presentation for $L$ which bounds a type III surface. Now consult case 3.

CASE 3. The surface $R$ obtained by applying Seifert's algorithm is of type III. If either

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{n_{j}}{\left|n_{j}\right|} \neq 0 \quad \text { or } \quad L^{\prime} \neq \pm(2,-2,2,-2, \ldots, 2,-2)
$$


and


Figure 6.5
( $L^{\prime}$ defined in 6.5), then pretend $R$ is of type II and apply case 2A or 2B. Otherwise $L$ is fibred if and only if there exists an $n_{j}$ such that $\left|n_{j}\right|<\left|n_{p}\right|$ if $j \neq p$.

To construct the fibre in this latter case first cyclically permute the $n_{i}$ 's and/or multiply all the $n_{i}$ 's by -1 so that
(1) $n_{i}>0 i$ odd
(2) $n_{i}<0 i$ even
(3) $\left|n_{k}\right|<\left|n_{j}\right| j \neq k(k$ as in 6.1)

Now construct the fibre as in figure 6.7. If we multiply the $n_{i}$ 's by -1 , then the fibre is the mirror image of the one constructed in figure 6.7.


Figure 6.6

$L=\left(n_{1} \cdots, n_{6}\right)$, here $k=6$. The $B_{i}$ 's are
3 -cells which contain the indicated subtangles of $L$
a)

Figure 6.7



Construction of $\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{1}$

d) Construction of $S \cap B_{i}^{\prime}$ here $j=2 i-1$

e) Construction of $S \cap B$, here $j=2 i$

Figure 6.7 continued

Remark 6.8. I was surprised that these last type III links fibred since their minimal genus Seifert surfaces look very much like the minimal genus Seifert surfaces of Kinoshita-Terasaka knots, which have trivial Alexander polynomials. See $\S 5$ of [G7] and [KT].

HISTORY. Crowell and Trotter [CT] determined which "classical" pretzel knots (i.e., $k$ and each $n_{j}$ is odd) of 3 strings (i.e., $k=3$ ) fibred. Parris [ P ] showed exactly which classical pretzel knots fibred. Such knots bound Type I surfaces. Goodman and Tavares [GT] and Kanenobu [K] independently showed exactly which Type I surfaces were fibres. Their methods were algebraic and relied on Stallings' work [S1].

Proof. Recall program 3.5.

## Proof of Case 1.

$n_{i}$ odd all $i$. $L$ had been normalized so that $\{1,-1\} \subset\left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\}$, hence by $\S 3$ of [G7] $R$ is a minimal genus surface for $L$. If some $n_{i}=+1$, say $i=1$, then $R$ desums into surfaces $R_{2}, \ldots, R_{k}$ where $R_{j}$ is a $n_{j}+1$ twisted band. By Theorem $3.1, L$ is a fibred link with fibre $R$ if and only if for every $j, n_{j}+1= \pm 2$. If some $n_{i}=-1$, the result follows similarly. If $\left|n_{i}\right| \geq 3$ all $i$, then geometric arguments show that there do not exist any $C$-product discs for the sutured manifold obtained from $R$, hence $R$ does not have a product decomposition and $L$ does not fibre.
$n_{i}$ even all $i$. By [G7] $R$ is a minimal genus surface for $L$ unless $L=$ $\pm(2,-2,2,-2, \ldots, 2,-2)$ in which case its minimal genus surface is a union of two $k / 2$ punctured spheres (figure 3.3 of [G7]). If $L$ is a fibred link its fibre, hence its minimal genus surface, is connected.

Let $(N, \delta)$ be the sutured manifold obtained from $R$. Apply $C$-product decompositions to decompose maximal regions of $(N, \delta)$ corresponding to maximal sets of consecutive numbers $\pm(2,-2 \ldots, 2,-2)$ or $\pm(2,-2, \ldots$, $-2,2$ ) in the presentation ( $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}$ ) of $L$, as in figure 6.8 to obtain the sutured manifold ( $N^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}$ ).

If $L$ satisfies (B) or (C) of Case 1 , then $\left(N^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ will be one of the sutured manifolds of figure 6.9 , so has a $C$-product decomposition, hence $L$ fibres with fibrè $R$.

If $L$ satisfies neither (B) nor (C), then by arguing geometrically we conclude that there do not exist any nontrivial $C$-product decompositions for $\left(N^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem $1.9\left(M^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ (resp. $(M, \gamma)$ ) the complementary sutured manifold to ( $N^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}$ ) (resp. $(N, \delta)$ ) is not a product so $L$ does not fibre.

$\cdots, 2,-2,2,-2$.
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## Proof of Case 2.

CASE 2A. By [G7] $R$ is a minimal genus surface for $L . R$ is a Murasugi sum of
(1) surfaces $R_{i j}$ (which are $m_{i j}$ twisted bands),
(2) a surface $R^{\prime}\left(\right.$ which is a $-\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{m_{j}}{\left|m_{j}\right|}$ twisted band $)$,
(3) and $\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left(m_{j}-1\right)$ Hopf bands.

The result now follows from Theorem 3.1.

CASE 2B. $L$ bounds the Seifert surface $T$ (figure 6.5 ) which is a Murasugi sum of Hopf bands (max. $\left(0,\left|m_{i}\right|-2\right)$ arise from each $\left.T_{i}\right)$ and the type I spanning surface $R^{\prime}$ to the pretzel link $L^{\prime}$ (recall 6.5). By [G7] $T$ is minimal genus, hence $L$ fibres if and only if $L$ fibres with fibre $T$ if and only if (by Theorem 3.1 and Case 1) $L^{\prime}$ fibres with fibre $R^{\prime}$.

CASE 2C. There is nothing to prove here. We remark that $T$ is not a minimal genus surface for $L$.

Proof of Case 3. If both

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{n_{j}}{\left|n_{j}\right|}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad L^{\prime}= \pm(2,-2, \ldots, 2,-2)
$$

then after performing a cyclic permutation of the $n_{i}$ 's and/or multiplying all the $n_{i}$ 's by -1 we can assume that
(1) $n_{i}>0 i$ odd
(2) $n_{i}<0 i$ even
(3) $\left|n_{k}\right| \leq\left|n_{j}\right| j \neq k$
(4) $n_{k} \leq-2$.

Construct a Seifert surface $S$ for $L$ as follows. If $\left|n_{k}\right|<\left|n_{j}\right|$ all $j \neq k$, then proceed as in figure 6.7. Otherwise construct $S$ as in figure 6.7 with the following modifications. If $\left|n_{j}\right|=\left|n_{k}\right| j=2 i-1$, define $S \cap B_{i}^{\prime}=$. If $\left|n_{j}\right|=\left|n_{k}\right| j=2 i$, define $S \cap B_{i}^{\prime \prime}=$ 䋊. If $\left|n_{j}\right|<\left|n_{k}\right|$ define the corresponding $S \cap B_{i}^{\prime}$ or $S \cap B_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ as before.

Recall that $(M, \gamma),\left(M_{p}, \gamma_{p}\right)$, etc. denote the complementary sutured manifolds in $S^{3}$ to the sutured manifolds $(N, \delta),\left(N_{p}, \delta_{p}\right)$, etc. Using the methods of [G7] we will show that $S$ is a surface of minimal genus for $L$ by showing that ( $M, \gamma$ ) has a sutured manifold hierarchy (i.e., a sequence of sutured manifold decompositions reducing ( $M, \gamma$ ) to a union of product sutured manifolds, where $(N, \delta)$ is the sutured manifold obtained from $S$ ).

By Lemma 5.5 of [G7], if some $S \cap B_{i}^{\prime}$ or $S \cap B_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ (as in figure 6.7) equals , then one can decompose $(M, \gamma)$ to any one of $\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ or $\left(M_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ where
$\left(N_{j}, \delta_{j}\right) \cap\left(S^{3}-\ddot{B}_{i}\right)=(N, \delta) \cap\left(S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{i}\right) j=1,2$ and $\left(N_{j}, \gamma_{j}\right) \cap B_{i}$ appears as in figure 6.10 a if $j=1$ or figure 6.10 b if $j=2$. If for example $i=2$, then the first step of each sequence of decompositions involves decomposing $(M, \gamma)$ along the
oriented annulus $A$ (figure 6.7b), where distinct orientations on $A$ are used in these distinct sequences. It also follows from Lemma 5.5 of [G7] that if neither $S \cap B_{i}^{\prime}$ nor $S \cap B_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ equals then we can decompose $(M, \gamma)$ to $\left(M_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$.


Figure 6.10

To show that $(M, \gamma)$ has a sutured manifold hierarchy, decompose $(M, \gamma)$ to ( $M^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}$ ) where

$$
\left(N^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right) \cap B_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { fig. 6.10a } i<k / 2 \\
\text { fig. 6.10b } i=k / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left(N^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(S^{3}-\bigcup_{j=1}^{k / 2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{j}\right)=(N, \delta) \cap\left(S^{3}-\bigcup_{j=1}^{k / 2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{j}\right)
$$

Now observe that $\left(M^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)=(J \times I, \partial J \times I)$ where $J=\left|n_{k}\right|+1$ copies of $S^{2}-$ $k / 2$ (discs). Since ( $M^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}$ ) is a product, $(M, \gamma)$ has a sutured manifold hierarchy.

Now suppose that for some $i \neq k / 2$ either $\left|n_{2 i}\right|=\left|n_{k}\right|$ or $\left|n_{2 i-1}\right|=\left|n_{k}\right|$. Decompose $(M, \gamma)$ to ( $M^{\prime \prime}, \delta^{\prime \prime}$ ) where

$$
\left(N^{\prime \prime}, \delta^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap B_{r}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { fig. 6.10a if } r \neq i \\
\text { fig. 6.10b if } r=i
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left(N^{\prime \prime}, \delta^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap\left(S^{3}-\bigcup_{j=1}^{k / 2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{j}\right)=(N, \delta) \cap\left(S^{3}-\bigcup_{j=1}^{k / 2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{j}\right)
$$

we again obtain $\left(M^{\prime \prime}, \delta^{\prime \prime}\right)=(J \times I, \partial J \times I)$. Hence we have now obtained a second sutured manifold hierarchy of $(M, \gamma)$. (Compare with previous paragraph.) If for example, $i=2$, then we could have arranged the first term of each sutured manifold hierarchy to involve decomposing along $A$ (see figure 6.7b). We have shown that by using distinct orientations on $A$ the decompositions $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ yield taut sutured manifolds (see 5.3 of [G5]). Since $A \cap \gamma \neq \varnothing, A$ is not a product annulus so it follows by Corollary 2.7 that $(M, \gamma)$ is not a product sutured manifold, hence $L$ is not a fibred link with fibre $S$. Since $S$ is a minimal genus surface for $L$, $L$ cannot fibre.


We can now assume that $L$ satisfies $\left|n_{k}\right|<\left|n_{j}\right| j<k-1$ and $\left|n_{k}\right| \leq\left|n_{k-1}\right|$. To complete the proof of Theorem 6.7 we need to show that $L$ fibres with fibre $S$ if $\left|n_{k}\right|<\left|n_{k-1}\right|$ and does not fibre if $\left|n_{k}\right|=\left|n_{k-1}\right|$. By using only $C$-product decompositions, decompose $(N, \delta)$ to $\left(N^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ so that

$$
\left(N^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right) \cap B_{i}=\text { figure 6.10a if } i<k / 2
$$

and

$$
\left(N^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(S^{3}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{k / 2-1} B_{i}\right)=(N, \delta) \cap\left(S^{3}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{k / 2-1} \stackrel{\circ}{3}\right)
$$

By performing $\left|n_{k}\right|(k / 2-2) C$-product decompositions in $S^{3}-\ddot{B}_{k / 2}$ to $\left(N^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ we obtain the sutured manifold ( $N^{\prime \prime}, \delta^{\prime \prime}$ ) of figure 6.11 (here $k=6, n_{6}=-4$ ) which is isotopic to the sutured manifold of figure 6.12. If $\left|n_{k}\right|=\left|n_{k-1}\right|$ then $R\left(\gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ has 4 components, hence is not a product. Since $S$ is a minimal genus surface, $L$ does not fibre. If $n_{k} \neq n_{k-1}$, then ( $N^{\prime \prime}, \delta^{\prime \prime}$ ) has a $C$-product decomposition, hence $L$ fibres with fibre $S$.

## §7. Fibred links in general 3-manifolds

In this chapter we continue to analyze the structure of knots whose fibres decompose as nontrivial Murasugi sums. Our main result is Theorem 7.7. We will


Figure 6.11
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work in 3-manifolds which are not necessarily $S^{3}$. For convenience of the reader we will recall the appropriate definitions and results in this more general setting.

DEFINITION 7.1. $L \subset H$ is a fibred link with fibre $R$ in the closed oriented 3-manifold $H$ if $H-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ fibres over $S^{1}$ with fibre $R$ and $\partial R \cap$ (each meridian of $L)=1$ point .

Remark 7.2. Myers and Gonzales-Acuna (see [R]) showed that every closed oriented 3-manifold $M$ possesses a fibred knot. Harer [H] generalized this to $\gamma \in\left[\pi_{1}(M), \pi_{1}(M)\right]$ if and only if there exists a fibred knot $k$ homotopic to $\gamma$.
$L \subset H^{3}$ is a fibred link with fibre $R$ if and only if $\partial R \cap$ (each meridian of $L)=1$ point and $((H-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L))-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(R), \partial N(L)-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(R))$ is a product sutured manifold. It follows that an appropriately stated version of Theorem 1.9 holds for general 3-manifolds and the methods of $\S 2$ and $\S 3$ can be used for deciding whether or not a link fibres.

We show how to generalize the notion of Murasugi sum and hence Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 to general 3-manifolds.

DEFINITION 7.3. Let $R_{i} \subset H_{i} i=1,2$ be compact oriented surfaces in the closed oriented 3-manifolds $H_{i}$. Then $R \subset H_{1} \# H_{2}=H$ is a Murasugi sum of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=\left(H_{1}-\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{1}\right) \bigcup_{S^{2}}\left(H_{2}-{\left.\stackrel{\circ}{B_{2}}\right), \quad B_{i}=3 \text { cell, } \quad S^{2}=\partial B_{1}=\partial B_{2}}_{S^{2} \cap R_{i}=2 n \text { gon } \quad\left(H_{i}-\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{i}\right) \cap R=R_{i}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

PICTURE. For a view of this situation consult figure 3.1a after relabelling as follows. Replace $B_{1}$ by $H_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ by $H_{2}$.

The following result follows exactly as in the old and new proofs of Theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 7.4. Let $R \subset H=H_{1} \# H_{2}$ be a Murasugi sum of $R_{1} \subset H_{1}$ and $R_{2} \subset H_{2}$ and let $L=\partial R, L_{i}=\partial R_{i} i=1,2 . L \subset H$ is a fibred link with fibre $R$ if and only if for $i=1,2 L_{i} \subset H_{i}$ is a fibred link with fibre $R_{i}$.

The following very useful result proven (e.g., see [G3] or [M]) for links in $S^{3}$ has been restated for closed oriented 3-manifolds. The proof follows exactly as before. (Recall Remark 1.12.)

LEMMA 7.5. Let $L$ be a fibred link in $H=H_{1} \# H_{2}$ with fibre $R$ which is a Murasugi sum of $R_{1} \subset H_{1}$ and $R_{2} \subset H_{2}$ where $\partial R_{i}=L_{i}$. If $f: R_{i} \rightarrow R_{i}$ represents the monodromy of $L_{i}$ and the + side of the summing disc points into (resp. out of) the component of $H-S^{2}$ containing $R_{1}$, then the monodromy of $L$ is represented by $f: R \rightarrow R$ where $f=f_{2} \circ f_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.f_{1} \circ f_{2}\right)$.

Remark 7.6. Abusing notation slightly, when we say $f: R \rightarrow R$ is periodic, reducible, or pseudo Anosov we mean the $f$ is isotopic to such a map.

Recall that $f: R \rightarrow R$ is reducible if there exists a set $J \subset R$ of pairwise disjoint essential (i.e., neither bounds a disc nor is boundary parallel) simple closed curves and arcs such that $f(J)$ is isotopic to $J$.

Let $H$ be a 3-manifold with nonempty boundary which fibres over $S^{1}$ with monodromy $f: R \rightarrow R, R \neq D^{2}$, then Thurston [T1], [T2] proves that $f$ is pseudo Anosov if and only if every incompressible torus and annulus is boundary parallel if and only if $\stackrel{H}{H}$ has a complete hyperbolic structure of finite volume.

THEOREM 7.7. Let $L_{1}$ be a fibred link in $S^{3}$ with fibre $R_{1}$, let $L_{2}$ be a fibred link in the compact oriented 3-manifold $H$ with fibre $R_{2}$, let $R \subset H=S^{3} \# H$ be a Murasugi sum of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ summed along a square (i.e., $R$ is a plumbing of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ ) and let $L=\partial R$.

One of the following must hold.
(A) Some $L_{i}$ is a 2-bridge link in $S^{3}$ (so if $i=2 H=S^{3}$ ).
(B) The monodromy of $L$ is pseudo Anosov.
(C) The monodromy of $L$ is reducible where one invariant set of reducing curves is either contained in $R_{2}-R_{1}$ or $R_{1}-R_{2}$.

Remark. By considering the trefoil knot (resp. figure 8 knot), whose fibre is a Murasugi sum of Hopf bands, one sees that possibility (A) (resp. (B)) can occur. If $L$ is a connected sum of two hyperbolic non two bridge knots, then ( C ) occurs.

Proof. By Thurston [T1] there exists a $g: R \rightarrow R$ such that $g$ is isotopic to a representative of the monodromy of $L$ and either (B) holds, or $L$ is the unknot in $S^{3}$ and (A) holds, or there exists an essential set of $g$ invariant simple closed curves or arcs. (If $g$ was periodic, then $H-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ is Seifert fibred and the intersection of an essential vertical annulus and $R$ would be a set of reducing curves.) Therefore if neither (B) nor (A) holds there exists either a torus $T$ or an annulus $A$ which is incompressible and non boundary parallel in $H-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$.

Let $S$ be the 2 -sphere along which $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ were summed.
CASE 1. There exists a non boundary parallel incompressible torus $T$ or annulus $A$ such that either $T \cap S=\varnothing$ or $A \cap S=\varnothing$.

Proof. Assume that we are dealing with an annulus, the other case is similar and easier.

Since $A \cap S=\varnothing$ each component of $\partial A$ must be a meridian of $N(L)$ and $A \cap R_{1}=\varnothing$ (or $A \cap R_{2}=\varnothing$ ) hence we can isotope $A$ so that $A \cap S=\varnothing, \partial A$ is transverse to the fibres of the fibration $\mathscr{F}, A$ is transverse to $R$, and $A$ is transverse to $\mathscr{F}$ except possibly at a finite set of points, where at a point of tangency $A$ looks like either a saddle or a hilltop with respect to $\mathscr{F}$. Now apply the isotopy theorem of [Ro] to isotope $A$ to $A^{\prime}$ rel $\partial A$ so that $A^{\prime}$ is transverse to $\mathscr{F}$. By considering Roussarie's proof we observe that the isotopy could have been performed so that $A^{\prime} \cap R \subset A \cap R$, so in particular $A^{\prime} \cap R_{1}=\varnothing$.

Now $g$ is the return map of a vector field transverse to $\mathscr{F}$. Since one can homotope this vector field through non singular vector fields to one keeping $A$ invariant it follows that $g(A \cap R)$ is isotopic to $A \cap R$, hence conclusion (C) holds.

Now suppose that each essential torus or annulus intersects $S$ nontrivially. We now consider the case where there exists a non boundary parallel incompressible torus $T$. Assume that $T$ has been chosen to minimize the number of components of intersection with $S$. By the usual disc swapping argument we can assume that no component of $T \cap S$ is a circle bounding a disc in $S-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$.

CASE 2. Some component of $T-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(S)$ is a disc $D$.
No component of $T-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(S)$ is a disc $D$ with $\partial D$ boundary parallel in $S-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ for this would imply that there exists a sphere in $H$ intersecting $L$ in one point, contradicting the fact that $L$ is homologically trivial.

Proof. We will assume that $D$ is contained in the $H$ factor of $S^{3} \# H$ for the proof in the other case is similar. For $j=1,2 F_{j}=E_{j} \cup D$ is a 2-sphere in $H$ which intersects $L$ in 2-points, where $E_{1}, E_{2}$ are the discs in $S$ which $\partial D$ bounds. If some $F_{j}$ does not separate $H$, apply Case 1 to $F_{j}$ to conclude that conclusion (C) holds.

Now suppose that each $F_{j}$ separates $H$. Let $C_{j}$ be the component of $H-\stackrel{\circ}{N}\left(F_{j}\right)$ which does not contain $S$. First observe that $F_{j}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ is an annulus $A_{j}$ which can be extended to a torus $T_{j}=\partial\left(C_{j}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)\right)$. By the isotopy result of [Ro] we can assume that $A_{j}$ is transverse to $\mathscr{F}$. Since $\partial N(L)$ is transverse to $\mathscr{F}$ we conclude that $T_{j}$ is transverse to $\mathscr{F}$.

Let $\mathscr{G}$ be the foliation on $C_{j}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ obtained by restricting $\mathscr{F}$. Each leaf of $\mathscr{G}$ is compact so by the Reeb stability Theorem [Re] $C_{j}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ fibres over $S^{1}$ with fibre a leaf of $\mathscr{G}$. We conclude that either $C_{j}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ is a solid torus with each leaf of $\mathscr{G}$ a disc or $T_{j}$ is incompressible. The latter cannot hold else we would have contradicted the minimality hypothesis on $T$.

Now $C_{j}$ is obtained by attaching a 2-cell (a meridianal disc of $L$ ) to a solid torus. Since each meridian intersects a fibre of $\mathscr{G}$ exactly once we conclude that $C_{j}$ is a 3-cell and $L \cap C_{j}$ is a boundary parallel arc. It follows that if each $C_{j}-\stackrel{N}{N}(L)$ is a solid torus, then $L_{j}$ is a rational link since, except for 2 "bridges", it can be made to lie in a plane, hence Conclusion (A) holds.

CASE 3. Each component of $T-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(S)$ is an annulus. By hypothesis one side of $S$ bounds a 3-cell $C$. A component $E$ of $T \cap C$ is an annulus which can be extended to a torus $T^{\prime}=E \cup E^{\prime}$ where $E^{\prime}$ is the annulus which $\partial J$ bounds in $S-L$. (If $\partial J$ did not bound an annulus, then the minimality hypothesis on $T \cap S$ implies that $T$ would have been boundary parallel.) $T^{\prime}$ does not bound a solid torus else one could isotope $T$ to remove intersections with $S . T^{\prime}$ could not be incompressible for that would contradict the minimality of $T$.

We now assume that there exist no essential tori. As before, let $C$ be the closure of a 3-cell component of $H-S$.

CASE 4A. $A \cap \partial N(L)$ are meridians.
Assume that $A$ has been chosen to have fewest number of intersections with $S$ and $\partial A \subset H-C$. If a component of $A \cap S$ bounds a disc in $A$, then Case 4A would follow by arguing as in Case 2 . If there exists an annular component $E$ of $C \cap A$ with $\partial E \subset S$, then arguing as in Case 3 eliminates that possibility.

CASE 4B. $A \cap \partial N(L)$ contains a non meridian.

No arc of $S \cap A$ is boundary parallel in $A$, else either $L_{1}$ is a 2-bridge link or $L_{1}$ is a non trivial connected sum and (C) holds. If some component of $S \cap A$ was a circle $\alpha$, then $\alpha$ bounds a disc in $A$ hence Case 4B would follow by arguing as in Case 2.

We now assume that $S \cap A$ is a union of arcs. A component $D$ of $C \cap A$ is a square with 2 edges on $L$ and 2 edges in $S$. If $D$ intersected a unique component of $L \cap C, A$ is boundary compressible. Boundary compressing $A$ yields a properly embedded disc $E \subset H-\stackrel{N}{N}(L)$ whose boundary is inessential in $\partial N(L)$. Since $H-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(L)$ is irreducible (it fibres over $S^{1}$ ) it follows that $E$, hence $A$, is boundary parallel.

Now assume that $\partial D$ intersects distinct components of $L \cap C . \partial N(D)-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(S)$ is an annulus $D^{\prime}$ which can be extended to a torus $T=D^{\prime} \cup D^{\prime \prime}$ where $D^{\prime \prime}$ is the annulus in $N(S)-L$ which $\partial D^{\prime}$ bounds (figure 7.1). Since we assumed that no essential torus exists and $T$ is contained in a 3-cell $C$ we conclude that $C \cap L$ are
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2 unknotted arcs. It follows that $L_{1}$ is a rational (or 2-bridge) link, hence conclusion (A) holds.

The following result has been also proven (although not stated in this generality) by Soma [So].

COROLLARY 7.8. Let $H$ be a closed oriented 3-manifold. Then $\beta \in$ $\left[\pi_{1}(H), \pi_{1}(H)\right]$ if and only if there exists a fibred knot $k \subset H$ in the homotopy class of $\beta$ with pseudo Anosov monodromy, i.e., $k$ is a hyperbolic fibred knot.

Proof of $\Leftarrow, k=\partial R$ hence is a product of commutators.
Idea of Proof of $\Rightarrow$. Given $\beta \in\left[\pi_{1}(H), \pi_{1}(H)\right]$ apply Harer's result to find a reasonable fibred knot $k_{1}$ in $H$ with fibre $R_{1}$ homotopic to $\beta$. Find a sufficiently complicated (i.e., non 2-bridge) hyperbolic fibred knot $k_{2}$ with fibre $R_{2} \subset S^{3}$. Let $R$ be a sufficiently complicated Murasugi sum of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$. Finally $k=\partial R$ is the desired knot.

Proof of $\Rightarrow$. If $H=S^{3}$, then the figure eight knot satisfies the conclusion of the corollary. Now assume $H \neq S^{3}$. By Harer [H] given any $\beta \in\left[\pi_{1}(H), \pi_{1}(H)\right]$ one can find a fibred knot $k_{1}$ representing $\beta$. Let $R_{1}$ be the fibre of $k$ with monodromy $f: R_{1} \rightarrow R_{1}$. By [T1] $f$ is isotopic to $g$ where there exists a set $J \subset R$, of $g$ invariant simple closed curves and arcs such that $R_{1}-J=X \cup Y$ where $g \mid X$ is pseudo Anosov and $g \mid Y$ is periodic. By first, if necessary, doing a connected sum of $k_{1}$ and a hyperbolic fibred knot (e.g., figure eight) we can assume that $X \neq \varnothing$.

By [T1] every component of $X$ has negative Euler characteristic and each set of pairwise disjoint arcs or simple closed curves invariant (up to isotopy) by $g$ can be isotoped off of $X$.

Let $\lambda_{1}$ be a non boundary parallel properly embedded arc in $R_{1}$ such that $R_{1}-\left(\dot{X} \cup \stackrel{\circ}{N}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right)$ is a union of discs and each component of $\lambda_{1} \cap X$ is an essential $\operatorname{arc}$ in $X$.

Let $k_{2} \subset S^{3}$ be a fibred knot with fibre $R_{2}$ such that $k_{2}$ is not a 2-bridge knot and the monodromy of $k_{2}$ is pseudo Anosov. For example, the pretzel knot $(5,-5,5,-4)$ fibres by $\S 6$, is not 2 -bridge by $[B]$ and has no essential tori or annuli in its complement by [O]. Let $\lambda_{2} \subset R_{2}$ be a non boundary parallel properly embedded arc.

Let $R \subset H=S^{3} \# H$ be the surface obtained by Murasugi summing $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ along the squares $N\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \subset R_{1}$ and $N\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \subset R_{2}$. By Theorem $7.4 k=\partial R$ is a fibred knot in $H$ with fibre $R . k$ is clearly homotopic to $\beta$. By construction and Theorem 7.7 it follows that the monodromy of $k$ preserves no set of essential arcs or circles.

It now follows by [T1] that the monodromy $f$ of $k$ is pseudo Anosov. (If $f$ was periodic, then $H-\stackrel{\circ}{N}(k)$ is Seifert fibred, and the intersection of an essential vertical annulus and $R$ would be a set of reducing curves.)

## §8. A conjecture

CONJECTURE 8.1. If $k$ is a non trivial atoroidal fibred $k n o t$ in $S^{3}$ with fibre $R$, then $R$ is a non trivial Murasugi sum.

EVIDENCE 8.2. This dubious sounding conjecture is true for alternating knots, torus knots, knots of $\leq 10$ crossings and pretzel knots. (The conjecture clearly holds for fibred pretzel knots bounding type I, type II surfaces. It is a good exercise to show that a fibre constructed as in figure 6.7 is a non trivial Murasugi sum.)


Figure 8.1

Remark 8.3. The conjecture is false for links. For example a surface $T$ in figure 8.1 with $n \neq 0$ is not a Murasugi sum. A link $L$ bounding a thrice punctured sphere $S$ is not prime if $S$ is a Murasugi sum. By [ O ], $\partial T$ is prime.

The conjecture is false for knots if one drops the toroidal hypothesis.
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