

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 65 (1990)

**Artikel:** Witt group of hyperelliptic curves.  
**Autor:** Parimala, R. / Sujatha, R.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-49743>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 08.02.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Witt group of hyperelliptic curves

R. PARIMALA and R. SUJATHA

### Introduction

Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve over  $k$ . Let  $W(k(X), \Omega_{k(X)})$  denote the Witt group of the function field of  $X$  with values in the module of differentials  $\Omega_{k(X)}$  of  $k(X)$ . A residue homomorphism

$$\partial : W(k(X), \Omega_{k(X)}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x))$$

was defined in [7],  $k(x)$  denoting the residue field at points  $x \in X$  and a residue theorem was proved; namely the composite

$$W(k(X), \Omega_{k(X)}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)) \xrightarrow{\text{trace}} W(k)$$

is zero. Thus image  $\partial$  is contained in the subgroup  $(\bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)))^0$  consisting of tuples  $(\mu_x)$  with  $\sum_{x \in X} \text{trace } \mu_x = 0$ . The kernel and cokernel of  $\partial$  are well understood if  $X = \mathbf{P}^1$  [13] or if  $X$  is an anisotropic conic over  $k$  [14]. To have an intrinsic description of these groups for curves of higher genus is an interesting question posed by Milnor in [13].

In this paper, we study this problem for smooth hyperelliptic curves  $X$  with a rational point of ramification over  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  be a covering defined over  $\mathbf{A}^1$  by the equation  $y^2 = f(T)$ . We exhibit an exact sequence (§3)

$$0 \rightarrow W(X) \rightarrow W(k(X)) \xrightarrow{\partial^0} \left( \bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)) \right)^0 \rightarrow \frac{W(k[T]_f)}{\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k)} \rightarrow W(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

where  $\partial^0$  is simply the residue map  $\partial$  through an identification of  $W(k(X), \Omega_{k(X)})$  with  $W(k(X))$  for a suitable choice of a differential as basis for  $\Omega_{k(X)}$ . We derive, as a corollary, that if all the ramification points of  $\pi$  are  $k$ -rational,  $W(X)$  is generated by one-dimensional forms. This exact sequence may be viewed in two ways: Firstly as characterising coker  $\partial^0$  as a subgroup of  $\bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x))$ ,  $S$  denoting the set of ramification points of  $\pi$  and secondly, as giving the defining relations for expressing  $W(X)$  as a quotient of  $\bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x))$ .

Using the exact sequence above, we give a more precise description of  $\text{coker } \partial^0$ . It contains a subgroup  $V_r$ , which is a quotient of  $\bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x))$ , which we call the *ramified part* of  $\text{coker } \partial^0$ . Under the rationality assumption that  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ ,  $\bar{k}$  denoting the algebraic closure of  $k$ , the group  $V_r$  is zero. We call  $V_{nr} = \text{coker } \partial^0 / V_r$ , the *unramified part* of  $\text{coker } \partial^0$ . This group is 2-torsion (§5). It can be computed in terms of certain cohomology groups if  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ , and supposing further that the curve  $Y = X$  or  $\mathbf{P}^1$  has the following property: ‘Graded Witt group of  $Y$  is isomorphic to the cohomology ring’; Curves over local and global fields have this property [16]. We in fact show that under these assumptions on  $X$ ,  $\text{coker } \partial^0$  is isomorphic to  $(\text{Pic } X'/2) \oplus NH^3(X')$ , where  $X' = X \setminus S$ ,  $S$  denoting the set of ramification points of  $\pi$  and  $NH^n(X')$  denotes the kernel of the map  $H_{et}^n(X', \mu_2) \rightarrow H_{et}^n(k(X'), \mu_2)$ . For a smooth projective hyperelliptic curve over a local field with good reduction, if  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ ,  $\text{coker } \partial$  is isomorphic to  $W(k) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{4g}$  where  $g$  is the genus of the curve (Theorem 7.1). Further,  $W(X)$  is also isomorphic to the group  $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^{4g} \oplus W(k)$ ! (Theorem 7.6).

The computations yield, as a by-product, that for any smooth projective curve  $X$  over a local field with good reduction, if  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ , the classical invariants determine the class of a quadratic space in  $W(X)$ .

We record here that J. E. Shick [19] has some independent computations of  $\text{coker } \partial$  for power series fields over  $\mathbb{R}$  and of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We thank D. S. Nagaraj for carefully going through the manuscript.

### 1. Kernel of the residue homomorphism

Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve defined over  $k$ . For a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$ , let  $W(X, \mathcal{L})$  denote the Witt group of quadratic spaces on  $X$  with values in  $\mathcal{L}$  [9]. Let  $W(X) = W(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

LEMMA 1.1. *The group  $W(X, \mathcal{L})$  depends upto isomorphism, only on the class of  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\text{Pic } X/2$ . In particular,  $W(X, \mathcal{L}^2) \simeq W(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic } X$  and  $(\mathcal{E}, q)$  be a quadratic space with values in  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^2$ , i.e.,  $q : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^2$ , where for any bundle  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^*$  denotes the dual of  $\mathcal{F}$ , is an isomorphism such that  $q' \otimes 1_{\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^2} = q$ . The assignment

$$(\mathcal{E}, q) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*, q \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}^*})$$

defines an isomorphism

$$W(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^2) \simeq W(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

Let  $\Omega_X$  denote the sheaf of differentials on  $X$ . Let

$$\partial : W(k(X), \Omega_{k(X)}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x))$$

be the residue homomorphism defined in [7],  $k(x)$  denoting the residue field at the closed point  $x$  of  $X$ . (Throughout, the notation  $x \in X$  stands for the set of all closed points  $x$  in  $X$ ).

LEMMA 1.2. *The kernel of the residue map*

$$\partial : W(k(X), \Omega_{k(X)}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x))$$

is  $W(X, \Omega_X)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $q$  be a quadratic space over  $k(X)$  with values in  $\Omega_{k(X)}$ , whose class belongs to  $\ker \partial$ . Let  $x$  be a closed point of  $X$  and  $\pi_x$  a local parameter at  $x$ . Identifying  $W(k(X))$  with  $W(k(X), \Omega_{k(X)})$  through  $d\pi_x$ , the residue map  $\partial_x : W(k(X)) \rightarrow W(k(x))$  is simply the second residue homomorphism with respect to  $\pi_x$ . Thus  $q$  which maps to zero under  $\partial_x$  (cf. [17], p. 207) is isometric to  $q_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} k(X)$  for some  $q_x \in W(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ . The spaces  $q_x \cdot d\pi_x$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  with values in  $\Omega_{X,x}$  become isometric to  $q$  over  $k(X)$ . They patch up to yield a quadratic space  $q_X$  over  $X$  with values in  $\Omega_X$  in view of the following

LEMMA 1.3. *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$ ,  $q$  a quadratic space over  $k(X)$  with values in  $\mathcal{L}_{k(X)}$ . Suppose, for every  $x \in X$ , there exists a quadratic space  $q_x$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  with value in  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  such that  $q_x \otimes k(X) \simeq q$ . Then there exists a quadratic space  $q_X$  over  $X$  with values in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $q_X \otimes k(X) \simeq q$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of ([6], Corollary 2.7) in the case  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X$  goes through verbatim for any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ .

REMARK. If  $X = \mathbf{P}^1$ ,  $\ker \partial \simeq W(X) \simeq W(k)$ . ([13], Proposition 5.3). If  $X$  is an anisotropic conic,  $\ker \partial = W(X, \Omega_X)$  is computed as  $\mathcal{B}_\varphi$  in ([14], Theorem 6.2).

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let  $X$  be a smooth hyperelliptic curve with a rational point of ramification over  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . Then  $\ker \partial \simeq W(X)$ .*

*Proof.* By (1.1) and (1.2), it suffices to show that  $\Omega_X$  is the square of a line bundle on  $X$ . Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  be a covering, defined over  $\mathbf{A}^1$  by the equation  $y^2 = f(T)$ , degree  $f = 2g + 1$ ,  $g$  being the genus of  $X$ . The divisor of the differential  $dT/y$  is  $(2g - 2)P_\infty$ ,  $P_\infty$  being the point of  $X$  lying over  $\infty$  in  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the line bundle corresponding to the divisor  $(g - 1)P_\infty$ . Then  $\Omega_X \simeq \mathcal{L}^2$ .

**REMARK.** As observed by M. Rost, one could define more generally, a residue map

$$\partial_{\mathcal{L}} : W(k(X), \mathcal{L}_{k(X)}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X} (W(k(x)), (\mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X)(x)),$$

where  $(\mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X)(x)$  denotes the fibre of the line bundle  $(\mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X)$  at  $x$ . If  $X = \mathbf{P}^1$ , and  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X$ ,  $\partial_{\mathcal{O}_X} = \partial$  is the residue homomorphism discussed above, since  $\Omega_X$  is a square. If  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ ,  $\partial_{\mathcal{O}_X(1)}$  is an isomorphism. In the case of an anisotropic conic,  $X$  we have  $\ker \partial_{\mathcal{O}_X} \simeq W(X) \simeq W(k) / \langle 1, -a, -b, ab \rangle W(k)$ , (cf. [1]), where  $X$  is defined by the equation  $aX^2 + bY^2 - Z^2 = 0$ . One can identify  $\text{coker } \partial$  with a subgroup of the Witt group of the residue field at the ramified point of the covering  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ .

## 2. Some auxiliary results on trace, transfer and residue homomorphisms

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  be a double covering, defined over  $\mathbf{A}^1$  by the equation  $y^2 = f(T)$ , degree  $f = 2g + 1$ ,  $g$  being the genus of  $X$ . We identify  $W(k(X))$  and  $W(k(T))$  with  $W(k(X), \Omega_{k(X)})$  and  $W(k(T), \Omega_{k(T)})$  through the basis  $dT/2y$  and  $dT$  respectively. For  $y \in \mathbf{A}^1$ , if  $p \in k[T]$  is the monic irreducible polynomial which gives a parameter at  $y$ , the composite map

$$W(k(T)) \xrightarrow{dT} W(k(T), \Omega_{k(T)}) \xrightarrow{\partial_y} W(k(y))$$

is the second residue homomorphism with respect to the parameter  $pp'$ ,  $p'$  denoting the derivative of  $p$  with respect to  $T$ . Similarly, one can verify that if  $x \in X$  lies over  $y \in \mathbf{P}^1$  corresponding to  $p(T)$ , and  $x$  unramified over  $y$ , on choosing  $p(T)$  again as the parameter at  $y$ , the composite

$$W(k(X)) \xrightarrow{dT/2y} W(k(X), \Omega_{k(X)}) \xrightarrow{\partial_x} W(k(x))$$

is the second residue homomorphism with respect to the parameter  $2pp'y$ . We again denote by  $\partial$  this residue map.

For any finite separable extension  $L/K$ , let  $tr : W(L) \rightarrow W(K)$  be the map induced by the linear map trace  $L \rightarrow K$  and  $i : W(K) \rightarrow W(L)$  the map induced by the inclusion of  $K$  in  $L$ . Let  $s : W(k(X)) \rightarrow W(k(T))$  be the transfer homomorphism induced by the linear map  $s : k(X) \rightarrow k(T)$  defined by  $s(1) = 0$ ,  $s(y) = 1$  where  $\{1, y\}$  is a basis for  $k(X)$  over  $k(T)$  ([17], p. 47).

LEMMA 2.1. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(k(X)) & \xrightarrow{(\partial_x)} & \bigoplus_{x/y} W(k(x)) \\ s \downarrow & & \downarrow tr \\ W(k(T)) & \xrightarrow{\partial_y} & W(k(y)) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

*Proof.* Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(\Omega_{k(X)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{x/y} W(k(x)) \\ tr \downarrow & & \downarrow tr \\ W(\Omega_{k(T)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & W(k(y)) \end{array}$$

is commutative ([7], §1), it suffices to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(k(X)) & \xrightarrow{dT/2y} & W(k(X), \Omega_{k(X)}) \\ s \downarrow & & \downarrow tr \\ W(k(T)) & \xrightarrow{dT} & W(k(T), \Omega_{k(T)}) \end{array}$$

is commutative. It is enough to check that

$$tr(\langle h_0 + h_1 y \rangle \cdot dT/2y) = s(\langle h_0 + h_1 y \rangle) \cdot dT,$$

for  $h_0, h_1 \in k(T)$ . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} tr(\langle h_0 + h_1 y \rangle dT/2y) &= \langle tr((h_0 + h_1 y)/2y) \rangle \cdot dT \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & h_0 \\ h_0 & h_1 f \end{pmatrix} \cdot dT \\ &= s(\langle h_0 + h_1 y \rangle) \cdot dT. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.2. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(k(T)) & \xrightarrow{\partial_y} & W(k(y)) \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow i' \\ W(k(X)) & \xrightarrow{\partial_x} & \bigoplus_{x/y} W(k(x)) \end{array}$$

is commutative if  $y$  is an unramified point for  $\pi$  and  $i'$  is the composite

$$W(k(y)) \xrightarrow{i} W(k(x)) \xrightarrow{\overline{2y^{-1}}} W(k(x)).$$

If  $x$  is a ramified point for  $\pi$ ,  $\partial_x \circ i$  is zero.

*Proof.* Let  $\langle h \rangle \in W(k(T))$  and  $x \in X$  such that  $x$  is an unramified point for  $\pi$  with  $\pi(x) = y$ . Let  $p \in k[T]$  be the monic polynomial corresponding to  $y$ . Suppose  $v_y(h) = 0$ . Then  $\partial_y(\langle h \rangle) = 0$  and  $\partial_x \circ i(\langle h \rangle) = \partial_x(\langle h \rangle) = 0$ , since  $v_x(h) = v_y(h) = 0$ . Suppose  $h = up$  with  $v_y(u) = 0$ . Since  $\partial_x$  is the second residue map with respect to the parameter  $2pp'y$  and  $\partial_y$  the second residue map with respect to  $pp'$ , we have

$$i' \circ \partial_y(\langle up \rangle) = i' \circ \partial_y(\langle (u/p') \cdot pp' \rangle) = i' \langle \overline{u/p'} \rangle = \langle \overline{u/p'} 2y \rangle$$

and

$$\partial_x \circ i(\langle h \rangle) = \partial_x(\langle (u/2p'y) \cdot 2pp'y \rangle) = \langle \overline{u/2p'y} \rangle$$

Suppose  $x \in X$  is a ramified point, lying over  $y \in \mathbf{P}^1$ . For  $h \in k(T)$ ,  $v_x(ih) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ , since  $x$  has ramification index 2, and we have  $\partial_x \circ i(\langle h \rangle) = 0$ .

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $x/y$  be an unramified point for  $\pi$ . Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(k(T)) & \xrightarrow{\partial_y} & W(k(y)) \\ \langle 1, -f \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \langle 1, -f \rangle \\ W(k(T)) & \xrightarrow{\partial_y} & W(k(y)) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

*Proof.* Clear.

We repeatedly use the following lemma which is a consequence of the Lam's exact triangle ([17], Chapter 2, 5.10).

LEMMA 2.4. *The following triangles are exact.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W(k(T)) & \xrightarrow{i} & W(k(X)) \\
 \langle 1, -f \rangle \swarrow & & \swarrow s \\
 & & W(k(T)) \\
 W(k(y)) & \xrightarrow{i'} & W(k(x)) \\
 \langle 1, -f \rangle \swarrow & & \swarrow r \\
 & & W(k(y))
 \end{array}$$

where, in the second triangle,  $y$  is unramified for  $\pi$  and  $\pi(x) = y$ ; if  $y$  splits in  $X$ , we mean by  $W(k(x))$ , the direct sum  $W(k(x_1)) \oplus W(k(x_2))$  with  $\pi(x_i) = y$ .

### 3. An exact sequence

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  be a hyperelliptic curve defined over  $\mathbf{A}^1$  by the equation  $y^2 = f(T)$ , degree  $f = 2g + 1$ ,  $g$  being the genus of  $X$ . Let

$$\partial^0 : W(k(X)) \rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)) \right)^0$$

be the residue homomorphism as defined in §2, identifying  $W(k(X))$  with  $W(k(X), \Omega_{k(X)})$  through the basis  $dT/2y$ ,  $(\bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)))^0$  denoting the kernel of the trace map  $\bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)) \xrightarrow{tr} W(k)$ . We fix the following notation:  $S$  = set of ramification points for  $\pi$ ,  $X' = X \setminus S$ ,  $Y = \mathbf{P}^1$ ,  $Y' = Y \setminus \pi(S)$ . We have the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns, in view of (2.1), (2.3) and (2.4) and ([13], Theorem 5.3).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 W(k(X)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)) & & & & \\
 s \downarrow & & \downarrow r & & & & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & W(k) & \longrightarrow & W(k(T)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} W(k(y)) & \bigoplus_{y \in \pi(S)} W(k(y)) \xrightarrow{\text{trace}} W(k) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \langle 1, -f \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \langle 1, -f \rangle & & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & W(Y') & \longrightarrow & W(k(T)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} W(k(y)). & 
 \end{array}$$

We define a homomorphism  $\alpha : (\bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)))^0 \rightarrow W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot W(k)$ , where  $A = k[T]_f$  as follows. Let  $\theta \in (\bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)))^0$ . Then there exists  $q \in W(kT)$  with



$\partial(q) = tr \theta$ . We have for  $y \in Y'$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_y(\langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot q) &= \langle 1, -\bar{f} \rangle \partial_y(q) \\ &= \langle 1, -\bar{f} \rangle tr(\theta_x) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\langle 1, -f \rangle q \in W(Y') = W(A)$ . Let  $\alpha(\theta)$  denote its class in  $W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot W(k)$ . If  $q_1, q_2$  and two lifts of  $tr\theta$  in  $W(k(T))$ ,  $q_1 - q_2 \in W(k)$  and  $\langle 1, -f \rangle q_1$  and  $\langle 1, -f \rangle q_2$  define the same class in  $W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k)$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is well-defined.

**LEMMA 3.1.**  $\ker \alpha = \partial^0(W(k(X)))$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\partial \circ s = tr \circ \partial$  and  $\langle 1, -f \rangle \circ s = 0$ , we have

$$\partial(W(k(X))) \subset \ker \alpha.$$

Let  $\theta \in \bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x))^0$  with  $\alpha(\theta) = 0$ . Let  $q_1 \in W(k(T))$  be such that  $\partial(q_1) = tr\theta$ . Then  $\langle 1, -f \rangle q_1 \in \langle 1, -f \rangle W(k)$ . Replacing  $q_1$  by  $q_1 - q_0$  for a suitable  $q_0 \in W(k)$ , we assume that  $\langle 1, -f \rangle q_1 = 0$ . Thus, by (2.4), there exists  $q_2 \in W(k(X))$  such that  $s(q_2) = q_1$ . We have  $tr(\theta - \partial q_2) = tr\theta - \partial s q_2 = tr\theta - \partial q_1 = 0$ . The fact that  $\theta - \partial q_2 \in \partial W(k(X))$  follows from the following

**LEMMA 3.2.** Let  $\theta \in (\bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)))^0$  with  $tr\theta = 0$  in  $(\bigoplus_{y \in Y} W(k(y)))^0$ . Then  $\theta \in \partial \circ i(W(k(T)))$ .

**SUBLEMMA 3.3.** Let  $(\mu_x) \in \bigoplus_{x \in X'} W(k(x))$  be such that  $tr(\mu_x) = 0$  in  $\bigoplus_{y \in Y'} W(k(y))$ . Then there exists  $q \in W(k(T))$  such that  $\partial_x(i(q)) = \mu_x$ , for  $x \in X'$ .

*Proof.* By (2.4), there exists  $(v_y) \in \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} W(k(y))$  such that  $i'(v_y) = \mu_x$ . Since  $Y' \subset \mathbb{A}^1$ , the residue map  $\partial : W(k(T)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} W(k(y))$  is surjective. Let  $q \in W(k(T))$  be such that  $\partial_y(q) = v_y$  for  $y \in Y'$ . Then, by (2.2),  $\partial \circ i(q) = i' \circ \partial_y(q) = \mu_x$  for  $x \in X'$ .

*Proof of 3.2.* By (3.3), there exists  $q \in W(k(T))$  such that  $\partial_x \circ i(q) = \theta_x$  for  $x \in X'$ . Further, by (2.2),  $\partial_x \circ i(q) = 0$  for  $x \in S = X \setminus X'$ . Since for  $x \in S$ ,  $\theta_x = tr \theta_x = 0$ , we have,  $\partial(i(q)) = \theta$ .

Let  $A = k[T]_f$ ,  $B = (k[T, y]/(y^2 - f))_f$  be the co-ordinate rings of  $Y'$  and  $X'$  respectively. Since for  $x \in S$ ,  $q \in W(A)$ ,  $\partial_x \circ i(q) = 0$  by (2.2), the natural map  $W(A) \xrightarrow{i} W(B)$  has its image contained in  $W(X)$ . This map vanishes on  $\langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot W(k)$  and induces a map  $\beta : W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k) \rightarrow W(X)$ .

**THEOREM 3.4.** *The sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow W(X) \xrightarrow{i} W(k(X)) \xrightarrow{\partial^0} \left( \bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)) \right)^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k) \xrightarrow{\beta} W(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

*Proof.* Exactness at  $W(X)$  (left) and  $W(k(X))$  are proved in ([10], p. 277) noting that  $\partial^0$  is the second residue homomorphism at all points  $x \in X$ . The exactness at  $(\bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)))^0$  is proved in (3.1). That  $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$  follows from the fact that  $i \circ \langle 1, -f \rangle = 0$ , (2.4). We now prove the surjectivity of  $\beta$ . We identify  $W(X)$  with the subgroup of  $W(k(X))$  which is the kernel of  $\partial^0$ . Let  $q \in W(X)$ . Then  $\partial \circ s(q) = tr \circ \partial q = 0$  so that  $s(q) \in W(k)$ . Further  $\langle 1, -f \rangle s(q) = 0$  (2.4). This implies that  $s(q) = 0$  in view of the fact that for any anisotropic quadratic space  $q$  over  $k$ ,  $q \not\cong g \cdot q$  for any odd degree polynomial  $g$ . Thus, there exists  $q_1 \in W(k(T))$  with  $i(q_1) = q$ . We have  $i' \circ \partial_y(q_1) = \partial_x \circ i(q_1) = 0$  for  $y \in Y'$ . There exists  $\mu_y \in W(k(y))$  such that  $\langle 1, -\bar{f} \rangle(\mu_y) = \partial_y(q_1)$ . Since  $\partial : W(k(T)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} W(k(y))$  is surjective, there exists  $q_2 \in W(k(T))$  such that  $\partial_y(q_2) = \mu_y$  for every  $y \in Y'$ . We have  $\partial_y(q_1 - \langle 1, -f \rangle q_2) = \langle 1, -\bar{f} \rangle \mu_y - \langle 1, -\bar{f} \rangle \partial_y(q_2) = 0$  for  $y \in Y'$  so that  $q_1 - \langle 1, -f \rangle q_2 \in W(A)$  and maps to  $q$  under  $\beta$ . We now prove exactness at  $W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot W(k)$ . Let  $q \in W(A)$  be such that  $\beta(\bar{q}) = 0$  in  $W(k(X))$ . By (2.4), there exists  $q_1 \in W(k(T))$  such that  $\langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot q_1 = q$ . Since  $\langle 1, -\bar{f} \rangle \partial_y(q_1) = 0$  for  $y \in Y'$ , there exists  $\mu_x \in W(k(x))$ ,  $x/y$  such that  $tr(\mu_x) = \partial_y(q_1)$ . For  $x \in S$ , we set  $\mu_x = \partial_y(q_1)$ . Clearly  $(\mu_x) \in (\bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)))^0$  and  $\alpha((\mu_x)) = q$ .

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *If all ramification points of  $X$  are defined over  $k$ , then  $W(X)$  is generated by discriminants.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f = \prod_i (T - \alpha_i)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in k$ . An immediate consequence of the Milnor sequence ([13] Theorem 5.3) is that  $W(k[T]_f)$  is generated by  $\langle \lambda(T - \alpha_i) \rangle$  and  $\langle \mu \rangle$ ,  $\mu \in k^*$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2g + 1$ . Since  $\beta$  is surjective, their images under  $\beta$ , which are precisely the discriminants of  $W(X)$ , generate  $W(X)$ .

#### 4. Some computations for hyperelliptic curves

Let  $X$  be a smooth hyperelliptic curve defined over  $k$ . We assume throughout that  $X$  has a rational point of ramification. Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  be a double covering as

in §3. If genus  $X > 1$ , since any two double coverings  $\pi_1, \pi_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  differ by an automorphism of  $X$ , the space  $X' = X \setminus S$ ,  $S$  denoting the set of ramification points of the covering  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  determines and is determined by  $X$ . Following notations of §3, let  $A = k[T]_f$  and  $B = (k[T, y]/(y^2 - f))_f$  be the co-ordinate rings of  $Y'$  and  $X'$  respectively.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *The unit group  $U(B)$  is generated by  $k^*$ ,  $y$ , and divisors of  $f$ . If  $f$  splits into linear factors over  $k$ ,  $U(B) \simeq k^* \times \mathbf{Z}^{2g+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h \in U(B)$ . Then  $\text{div } h = \sum n_i x_i$ ,  $x_i \in S$ ,  $\text{div } h$  denoting the divisor of  $h$ . Let  $\sigma$  denote the nontrivial automorphism of  $k(X)$  over  $k(T)$ . Then  $\sigma x_i = x_i$ , so that  $\text{div } \sigma h = \text{div } h$ . Thus  $h = \lambda \sigma h$ ,  $\lambda \in k^*$ . We have,  $h^2 = \lambda(h\sigma h) \in U(A)$ . Thus  $h\sigma h$  is upto a scalar from  $k^*$ , a power product of divisors of  $f$ . On the other hand, the only non-square in  $k(T)$  which becomes a square in  $k(X)$  is  $f$ . It follows that  $h^2 = \mu^2 \prod_i h_i^{2m_i} f$  or  $h^2 = \mu^2 \prod_i h_i^{2m_i}$ ,  $m_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $h_i$  divisors of  $f$  in  $k[T]$ . Thus,  $h = \pm \mu(\prod h_i^{m_i})y$  or  $h = \pm \mu(\prod h_i^{m_i})$ . Further, if  $f = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 2g+1} (T - \alpha_i)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in k^*$ , the homomorphism  $k^* \times \mathbf{Z}^{2g+1} \rightarrow U(B)$ , defined by

$$(\lambda, (n_i)) \rightarrow \lambda(T - \alpha_1)^{n_1} \cdots (T - \alpha_{2g})^{n_{2g}} y^{n_{2g+1}}$$

is surjective, by the above remarks. Suppose

$$\lambda(T - \alpha_1)^{n_1} \cdots (T - \alpha_{2g})^{n_{2g}} \cdot y^{n_{2g+1}} = 1$$

is a relation. Then the divisor

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2g} 2n_i x_i + n_{2g+1} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2g+1} x_i \right) - \left( \sum 2n_i + n_{2g+1}(2g+1) \right) x_\infty = 0,$$

where  $x_i \in S$  lie over  $T - \alpha_i$  and  $x_\infty$  lies over  $\infty$ . This implies that  $n_i = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2g + 1$  and  $\lambda = 1$ . Thus we have an isomorphism  $k^* \times \mathbf{Z}^{2g+1} \simeq U(B)$ .

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Suppose  $f$  splits into linear factors over  $k$ . Then the map  $\text{Pic } X' \rightarrow \text{Pic } X'_k$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Since the divisor classes of degree zero supported on the ramification locus  $S$  are precisely the elements of  ${}_2\text{Pic } X$ , we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & {}_2\text{Pic } X & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}^0 X & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic } X' \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & {}_2\text{Pic } X_k & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}^0 X_k & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic } X'_k \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Here  $\text{Pic}^0 X$  is the group of divisor classes of degree zero. The first two vertical maps are natural injections. Since  $f$  splits into a product of linear factors,  ${}_2\text{Pic } X = {}_2\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ . Since  $\text{Pic}^0 X \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}^0 X_{\bar{k}}$  is an injection, it follows that  $\text{Pic } X' \rightarrow \text{Pic } X'_{\bar{k}}$  is injective.

**LEMMA 4.3.** *The group  ${}_2\text{Pic } X' \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^l$  where  $l \leq 2g$  and  $l = 2g$  if and only if  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ .*

*Proof.* We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow {}_2\text{Pic } X \rightarrow {}_4\text{Pic } X \rightarrow {}_2\text{Pic } X' \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  ${}_2\text{Pic } X \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^l$ ,  $l \leq 2g$ . Let  $m$  elements in  ${}_2\text{Pic } X$  admit a square root over  $k$ . Then  $|{}_4\text{Pic } X| = m \cdot 2^l$ , with  $m \leq 2^l \leq 2^{2g}$ . Therefore  $|{}_2\text{Pic } X'| = m \leq 2^{2g}$  and equality holds if and only if  $m = 2^l = 2^{2g}$ ; i.e., if and only if  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.4.** *Let  $\text{Disc}$  denote the discriminant group of a scheme. Let  $f$  split into linear factors over  $k$ . Then the composite map  $\text{Disc } X' \xrightarrow{N} \text{Disc } Y' \rightarrow \text{Disc } Y'/\text{Disc } k$  is surjective if and only if  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ ,  $N$  denoting the norm map.*

*Proof.* Since  $X'/Y'$  is étale quadratic, we have an exact sequence in étale cohomology groups with  $\mu_2$  coefficients ([12], p. 92),

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(Y') \xrightarrow{\cup_{X_f}} H^1(Y') \xrightarrow{i} H^1(X') \xrightarrow{tr} H^1(Y')$$

Here,  $H^i(-)$  denotes  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(-, \mu_2)$ . The group  $H^1(-)$  is simply the discriminant group so that we have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Disc } Y'/\langle f \rangle \longrightarrow \text{Disc } X' \xrightarrow{N} \text{Disc } Y'.$$

Since the only square class in  $k(T)$  which becomes trivial in  $k(X)$  is  $\langle f \rangle$ , this sequence yields the following exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Disc } Y'/\langle f \rangle \text{Disc } k \rightarrow \text{Disc } X'/\text{Disc } k \rightarrow \text{Disc } Y'/\text{Disc } k.$$

We denote  $U(B)$  and  $U(A)$  by  $U(X')$  and  $U(Y')$  respectively. By our hypothesis on  $f$ ,  $\text{Disc } Y' \simeq U(Y')/2 \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g+1} \times \text{Disc } k$  so that  $\text{Disc } Y'/\langle f \rangle \text{Disc } k \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g}$  and  $\text{Disc } Y'/\text{Disc } k \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g+1}$ . Further, the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow U(X')/2 \rightarrow \text{Disc } X' \rightarrow {}_2\text{Pic } X' \rightarrow 0$$

gives, by (4.1) and (4.3) that  $\text{Disc } X'/\text{Disc } k \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g+1+l}$ , where  ${}_2(\text{Pic } X') \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^l$ . Clearly, the map  $\text{Disc } X'/\text{Disc } k \rightarrow \text{Disc } Y'/\text{Disc } k$  is surjective if and only if  $l = 2g$ ; i.e., if and only if  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ .

**5. Ramified and unramified parts of coker  $\partial^0$**

Let  $(\bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x)))^0$  denote the subgroup of  $(\bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)))^0$  with non-zero entries only at  $x \in S$ . Let  $V_r$  be the subgroup of  $\text{coker } \partial^0$ , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} V_r &= \left( \bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x)) \right)^0 / \left( \partial W(k(X)) \cap \left( \bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x)) \right)^0 \right) \\ &= \left( \bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x)) \right)^0 / \left( \partial W(X') \right) \end{aligned}$$

We define  $V_{nr} = \text{coker } \partial^0 / V_r$ . If  $p : (\bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(x)))^0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X'} W(k(x))$  denotes the restriction of the projection,  $p$  is surjective, since  $S = X \setminus X'$  contains a rational point. Thus,

$$V_{nr} \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X'} W(k(x)) / (p \circ \partial) W(k(X)).$$

**LEMMA 5.1.** *The map  $\alpha : \text{coker } \partial^0 \rightarrow W(A)/\langle 1, - \rangle W(k)$  maps  $V_r$  onto  $\langle 1, -f \rangle W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta \in (\bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x)))^0$ . Let  $q \in W(k(T))$  be such that  $\partial(q) = \text{tr } \theta$ .

Since  $\partial_y((q) = \text{tr}(\theta_y) = 0$  for  $y \notin \pi(S)$ ,  $q \in W(A)$  and  $\alpha(\bar{\theta}) = \langle 1, -f \rangle q \in \langle 1, -f \rangle W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k)$ .

We now show that  $\alpha(V_r) = \langle 1, -f \rangle W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k)$ . Let  $q \in W(A)$ . Let  $\mu = (\mu_x) \in (\bigoplus_{x \in X} W(k(X)))^0$  be defined by  $\mu_x = 0$  for  $x \in X'$ ,  $\mu_x = \partial_y(q)$ , for  $x \in S$ ,  $\pi(x) = y$ . Then  $\mu \in (\bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x)))^0$  and  $\alpha(\bar{\mu}) = \langle 1, -f \rangle q$  in  $\langle 1, -f \rangle W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k)$ . We thus have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_{nr} \xrightarrow{\alpha} W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle W(A) \xrightarrow{\beta} W(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

**PROPOSITION 5.2.** *The group  $V_{nr}$  is 2-torsion.*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta \in \bigoplus_{x \in X'} W(k(x))$ . Since  $\pi(S)$  has a rational point of ramification, there exists  $q \in W(k(T))$  such that  $\partial(q) = \text{tr } \theta$ . We have,  $\langle 1, -f \rangle (\langle 1, f \rangle q) = 0$  so

that there exists  $q_1 \in W(k(X))$  with  $s(q_1) = (\langle 1, f \rangle q)$ . Since for  $x \in X'$  with  $\pi(x) = y$ ,

$$\partial_y(\langle 1, -f \rangle q) = \langle 1, -\bar{f} \rangle \partial_y(q) = \langle 1, -\bar{f} \rangle \text{tr}(\theta_x) = 0,$$

we have  $\text{tr}(2\theta) = \partial(\langle 1, f \rangle q) = \partial(s(q_1)) = \text{tr}(\partial(q_1))$ . Thus, by (3.3), there exists  $q_2 \in W(k(T))$  such that  $\partial_x \circ i(q_2) = 2\theta_x - \partial_x q_1$  for  $x \in X'$  and  $\partial_x \circ i(q_2) = 0$  for  $x \in S$ . Thus  $2\theta - \partial(q_1 - i(q_2)) \in (\bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x)))^0$  and its image under the projection map  $p$  is zero. Thus the class of  $2\theta$  in  $V_{nr}$  is zero.

Therefore  $\text{coker } \partial^0$  is an extension of  $V_r$  by the 2-torsion group  $V_{nr}$ . We now show that under the rationality assumption  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ ,  $V_r = 0$ . We observe that  ${}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$  being a finite group, there exists a finite separable extension  $l/k$  such that  $V_r = 0$  for  $X_l$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** *Suppose  ${}_2\text{Pic } X = {}_2\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ . Then the group  $V_r = 0$  if and only if  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ .*

*Proof.* We show that the map  $\partial : W(X') \rightarrow (\bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x)))^0$  is surjective if and only if  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ . In view of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} W(X') & \longrightarrow & \left( \bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x)) \right)^0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow s & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W(k) & \longrightarrow & W(Y') & \longrightarrow & \left( \bigoplus_{y \in \pi(S)} W(k(y)) \right)^0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (**)$$

with (\*\*) exact, we need to show that  $s : W(X') \rightarrow W(Y')/W(k)$  is surjective if and only if  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ . By our assumption  ${}_2\text{Pic } X = {}_2\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ ,  $f$  splits as a product  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq 2g+1} (T - \alpha_i)$  over  $k$ . Suppose  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ . The exact sequence (\*\*) with each  $W(k(y)) \simeq W(k)$  for  $y \in \pi(S)$  implies that  $W(Y')$  is generated by  $\text{Disc } k$  and  $\langle \lambda(T - \alpha_i) \rangle$ ,  $\lambda \in k^*$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2g + 1$ . It is therefore enough to show that given  $\langle \lambda(T - \alpha_i) \rangle$ ,  $\lambda \in k^*$ , there exists  $\mu \in k^*$  such that  $\langle \mu, \lambda(T - \alpha_i) \rangle \in s(W(X'))$ . By (4.4), there exists  $\tilde{z} \in \text{Disc } X'$  such that  $N(\tilde{z}) = \langle \nu(T - \alpha_i) \rangle$  for some  $\nu \in k^*$ . We have,  $s(\tilde{z}) = z_1 \langle 1, -\nu(T - \alpha_i) \rangle$  for some  $z_1 \in k(T)$ . Thus,

$$s(-z_1^{-1} \nu^{-1} \cdot \lambda \cdot \tilde{z}) = \langle -\nu^{-1} \lambda, \lambda(T - \alpha_i) \rangle.$$

Conversely, suppose  $W(X') \rightarrow W(Y')/W(k)$  is surjective. Then the map restricted to the ideal  $I(X')$  of even dimensional forms surjects onto  $I(Y')/I(k)$ . In view of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I(X') & \xrightarrow{s} & I(Y')/I(k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Disc } X' & \xrightarrow{N} & \text{Disc } Y'/\text{Disc } k \end{array}$$

with the vertical maps surjective, it follows that  $N : \text{Disc } X' \rightarrow \text{Disc } Y'/\text{Disc } k$  is surjective. This implies, by (4.4) that  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ .

In the next section, under certain assumptions on  $k$  and  $X$ , we describe the unramified part  $V_{nr}$  of coker  $\partial^0$  cohomologically.

**6. The unramified part of coker  $\partial^0$**

Let  $Y$  be any scheme over  $k$ . Let the properties  $PQ(1)$ ,  $PQ(2)$  for  $Y$  be the following.

**PQ(1):** For every geometric point  $y \in Y$ , the invariant theorem for quadratic spaces,  $I^n(k(y))/I^{n+1}(k(y)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{et}^n(k(y), \mu_2)$  holds for all  $n \geq 0$ .

**PQ(2):**  $Y$  satisfies  $PQ(1)$  and the maps  $e_n : I_n(Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{H}^n)$  defined in ([15, §1]) are surjective for  $n \geq 0$ .

Here,  $\mathcal{H}^n$  denotes the Zariski sheaf associated to the presheaf  $U \rightarrow H_{et}^n(U, \mu_2)$ . The class of schemes which satisfy  $PQ(2)$  include all smooth quasi projective curves over local fields, in view of [2] and [16]. Conjecturally, all smooth projective curves over any field satisfy  $PQ(2)$ .

We follow the same notations as in §4 and denote by  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  a double cover,  $X$  being a smooth hyperelliptic curve with a rational point of ramification. Under the assumptions that  $X' = X \setminus S$ ,  $Y' = Y \setminus \pi(S)$  satisfy  $PQ(2)$ , we shall describe  $V_{nr}$  as a certain cohomology group.

**LEMMA 6.1.** *Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbf{P}^1$  be any subscheme. Then  $Y$  satisfies  $PQ(2)$  if  $Y$  satisfies  $PQ(1)$ .*

*Proof.* We have the following commutative diagram (cf. [5], [10])

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & & I_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{e_n} & \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{H}^n) & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & I^{n+1}(k(T)) & \longrightarrow & I^n(k(T)) & \longrightarrow & H^n(k(T)) & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & \left( \bigoplus_{y \in Y} I^n(k(y)) \right)^0 & \longrightarrow & \left( \bigoplus_{y \in Y} I^{n-1}(k(y)) \right)^0 & \longrightarrow & \left( \bigoplus_{y \in Y} H^{n-1}(k(y)) \right)^0 & 
 \end{array}$$

Here  $(\bigoplus_{y \in Y} I^m(k(y)))^0$  (resp.  $(\bigoplus_{y \in Y} H^m(k(y)))^0$ ) denotes the subgroup consisting of trace zero elements. The two vertical columns are exact, by ([10], p. 277) and [5]. By the assumption on  $Y$ , the two rows are exact. The surjectivity of  $e_n: I_n(Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{H}^n)$  follows from the surjectivity of the residue map  $\partial: I^{n+1}(k(T)) \rightarrow (\bigoplus_{y \in Y} I^n(k(y)))^0$  [13], Theorem 5.3).

LEMMA 6.2. Suppose  $\mathbf{P}^1$  and  $X$  satisfy  $PQ(1)$ . Then the sequence

$$I_n(A) \xrightarrow{i} I_n(B) \xrightarrow{s} I_n(A)$$

is exact for  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $B/A$  is unramified, by (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & I_n(A) & \longrightarrow & I_n(B) & \longrightarrow & I_n(A) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 I^{n-1}(k(T)) & \xrightarrow{\langle 1, -f \rangle} & I^n(k(T)) & \longrightarrow & I^n(k(X)) & \longrightarrow & I^n(k(T)) \\
 \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow \\
 \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} I^{n-2}(k(y)) & \xrightarrow{\langle 1, -\bar{f} \rangle} & \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} I^{n-1}(k(y)) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X'} I^{n-1}(k(x)) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} I^{n-1}(k(y)).
 \end{array}$$

The vertical columns are exact by ([10], p. 277). Exactness of the rows is a consequence of the assumption  $PQ(1)$  for  $X$  and  $\mathbf{P}^1$  [3]. Exactness of the top row follows from the surjectivity of  $\partial: I^{n-1}(k(T)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} I^{n-2}(k(y))$ ,  $Y'$  being contained in  $A^1$ .

LEMMA 6.3. Suppose  $X'$ , and  $Y'$  satisfy  $PQ(2)$ . Then

$$\langle \langle 1, -f \rangle W(A) \rangle \cap I_n(A) \simeq \langle 1, -f \rangle I_{n-1}(A).$$

*Proof.* We assume, by induction, that

$$\langle \langle 1, -f \rangle W(A) \rangle \cap I_m(A) = \langle 1, -f \rangle I_{m-1}(A)$$

for  $m \leq n - 1$ . Let  $q \in (\langle \langle 1, -f \rangle W(A) \rangle \cap I_n(A))$ . By induction, we may write  $q = \langle 1, -f \rangle q_1$ ,  $q_1 \in I_{n-2}(A)$ . Since  $X', Y'$  satisfy  $PQ(1)$ , and  $B/A$  is étale quadratic,



we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 I_{n-2}(B) & \xrightarrow{s} & I_{n-2}(A) & \xrightarrow{\langle 1, -f \rangle} & I_{n-1}(A) \\
 & & \downarrow e_{n-2} & & \downarrow e_{n-1} \\
 H^{n-2}(B) & \xrightarrow{tr} & H^{n-2}(A) & \xrightarrow{\cup \chi_f} & H^{n-1}(A)
 \end{array}$$

with the bottom row exact. Since

$$\langle 1, -f \rangle q_1 = q \in I_n(A), e_{n-1}(q) = 0; \text{ i.e., } \chi_f \cup e_{n-2}(q_1) = 0.$$

Therefore, there exists  $\theta \in H^{n-2}(B)$  such that  $tr\theta = e_{n-2}(q_1)$ . Let  $\tilde{\theta} \in \Gamma(B, \mathcal{H}^{n-2})$  be the image of  $\theta$  in  $H^{n-2}(k(X))$ . By the assumption that  $X'$  satisfies  $PQ(2)$ , there exists  $q_2 \in I_{n-2}(B)$  such that  $e_{n-2}(q_2) = \tilde{\theta}$ . The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I_{n-2}(B) & \xrightarrow{s} & I_{n-2}(A) \\
 \downarrow e_{n-2} & & \downarrow e_{n-2} \\
 \Gamma(B, \mathcal{H}^{n-2}) & \xrightarrow{tr} & \Gamma(A, \mathcal{H}^{n-2}) = H^{n-2}(A)
 \end{array}$$

can be verified to be commutative, so that  $e_{n-2}(q_1 - sq_2) = 0$ . Thus  $q_1 - sq_2 \in I_{n-1}(A)$  and  $\langle 1, -f \rangle(q_1 - sq_2) = \langle 1, -f \rangle q_1 \in \langle 1, -f \rangle I_{n-1}(A)$ . This proves the lemma.

We now assume that  $X'$  and  $Y'$  satisfy  $PQ(2)$ . The group  $V_{nr} = \ker (W(A) / \langle 1, -f \rangle W(A) \xrightarrow{i} W(X))$  has a filtration induced by the filtration  $\{I_m(A)\}$  on  $W(A)$ . Since the map  $W(X) \rightarrow W(B)$  is injective and since  $i$  preserves filtration, by (6.3), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (V_{nr})_m &= \ker (I_m(A) / (\langle 1, -f \rangle W(A) \cap I_m(A)) \xrightarrow{i} I_m(B)) \\
 &= \ker (I_m(A) / \langle 1, -f \rangle I_{m-1}(A) \xrightarrow{i} I_m(B)).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now define a map  $\eta_m : (V_{nr})_m \rightarrow NH^m(B) = \ker (H^m(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(B, \mathcal{H}^m))$  as follows. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I_m(A) & \xrightarrow{i} & I_m(B) \\
 \downarrow e_m & & \downarrow e_m \\
 H^m(A) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Gamma(B, \mathcal{H}^m) \\
 & \nearrow & \searrow \\
 & H^m(B) & \\
 & \nearrow & \searrow \\
 & NH^m(B) & \\
 & \nearrow & \searrow \\
 & 0 & \\
 & \nearrow & \searrow \\
 & H^m(B) & \\
 & \nearrow & \searrow \\
 & \Gamma(B, \mathcal{H}^m) & \\
 & \nearrow & \searrow \\
 & 0 & 
 \end{array}$$

Let  $x \in I_m(A)$  be such that  $i(x) = 0$ . Then the element  $i(e_m(x)) \in H^m(B)$  maps to zero in  $\Gamma(B, \mathcal{H}^m)$ , by the commutativity of the above diagram. Hence  $i(e_m(x)) \in NH^m(B)$ . We define  $\eta_m(\bar{x}) = i \circ e_m(x)$ . To show that  $\eta_m$  is well-defined, we need to check that for  $x \in \langle 1, -f \rangle I_{m-1}(A)$ ,  $\eta_m(\bar{x}) = 0$ . Let  $x = \langle 1, -f \rangle x'$ ,  $x' \in I_{m-1}(A)$ . We have,  $i(e_m(x)) = i(\chi_f \cup e_{m-1}(x')) = \chi_{i(f)} \cup i \circ e_{m-1}(x') = 0$  since  $f$  is a square in  $B$ . Thus we have a well-defined homomorphism

$$\eta_m : (V_{nr})_m \rightarrow NH^m(B).$$

LEMMA 6.4.  $\text{Ker } \eta_m = (V_{nr})_{m+1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\eta_m(\bar{x}) = 0$  with  $x \in I_m(A)$ . Then  $ie_m(x) = 0$  and the exactness of the sequence

$$H^{m-1}(A) \xrightarrow{\cup \chi_f} H^m(A) \xrightarrow{i} H_m(B) \xrightarrow{ir} H^m(A) \tag{***}$$

implies that there exists  $y \in H^{m-1}(A)$  such that  $\chi_f \cup y = e_m(x)$ . By (6.1), there exists  $z \in I_{m-1}(A)$  such that  $e_{m-1}(z) = y$ . We have,  $e_m(x - \langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot z) = 0$  so that  $x - \langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot z \in I_{m+1}(A)$  and its class in  $(V_{nr})_{m+1}$  is simply the class of  $x$ .

We thus have a filtration  $\{(V_{nr})_m\}$  on  $V_{nr}$  with successive quotients  $(V_{nr})_m / (V_{nr})_{m+1}$  injecting into  $NH^m(B)$ .

THEOREM 6.5. *Under the assumption that  $X'$  and  $Y'$  have  $PQ(2)$ ,  $V_{nr} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{m \geq 2} NH^m(B)$ .*

*Proof.* Since by (5.2),  $V_{nr}$  is a 2-torsion group, it is enough to show that  $\eta_m$  maps  $(V_{nr})_m$  onto  $NH^m(B)$ . Let  $x \in NH^m(B)$ . Since  $NH^n(A) = 0 \forall n, trx = 0$ , and the exact sequence (\*\*\*) implies that there exists  $y \in H^m(A)$  with  $i(y) = x$ . By (6.1), there exists  $z \in I_m(A)$  with  $e_m(z) = y$ . Then  $e_m \circ i(z) = \text{class of } x \text{ in } \Gamma(B, \mathcal{H}^m)$  which is zero since  $x \in NH^m(B)$ . Thus  $i(z) \in I_{m+1}(B)$  and  $s \circ i(z) = 0$ . By (6.2), there exists  $z' \in I_{m+1}(A)$  with  $i(z') = i(z)$ . Replacing  $z$  by  $z - z'$  which again maps to  $y$  under  $e_m$ , we have  $i(z) = 0$ ; i.e.,  $\bar{z} \in (V_{nr})_m$  with  $\eta_m(\bar{z}) = x$ .

### 7. An example

THEOREM 7.1. *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective hyperelliptic curve defined over a local field  $k$  with residue field characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Suppose  $X$  has a rational point of ramification,  $X$  has good reduction and  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ . Then*

$$\text{coker } \partial \xrightarrow{\sim} W(k) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{4g},$$

$g$  being the genus of  $X$ .

In view of results of [2], any curve over a local field satisfies  $PQ(1)$ . It is shown in [16] that any such curve also satisfies  $PQ(2)$ . Therefore by our assumption  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ , we have,  $\text{coker } \partial \simeq W(k) \oplus (\oplus_{m \geq 2} NH^m(X'))$ . Let  $G = G(\bar{k}/k)$ ,  $\bar{k}$  denoting the algebraic closure of  $k$ . Then  $cd_2 k \leq 2$  [18] and  $cd_2 X_{\bar{k}} \leq 1$ ,  $X_{\bar{k}}$  being affine. The spectral sequence ([12], p. 105)

$$H^i(G, H^j(X'_{\bar{k}})) \Rightarrow H^n(X')$$

yields  $H^n(X') = 0$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Thus  $\text{coker } \partial^0 \simeq NH^2(X') \oplus NH^3(X')$ . We shall now compute these groups.

**LEMMA 7.2.** *Let  $X$  be any smooth projective curve of genus  $g$  (not necessarily hyperelliptic) over a local field  $k$  with residue field characteristic  $\neq 2$  and such that  $X(k) \neq \emptyset$  and  ${}_2\text{Pic } X = {}_2\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ . Then  $H^3(X) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g+2}$  and  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^3) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The only two non-zero terms in the above spectral sequence contributing to  $H^3(X)$  are  $H^1(G, H^2(X_{\bar{k}}))$  and  $H^2(G, H^1(X_{\bar{k}}))$ . The only possible non-zero differential  $H^0(G, H^2(X_{\bar{k}})) \rightarrow H^2(G, H^1(X_{\bar{k}}))$  is zero,  $X(k)$  being non-empty, since  $H^2(X) \rightarrow H^0(G, H^2(X_{\bar{k}}))$  is surjective. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H^3(X) &\simeq H^2(G, H^1(X_{\bar{k}})) \oplus H^1(G, H^2(X_{\bar{k}})) \\ &\simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g} \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

In fact the action of  $G$  on  $H^1(X_{\bar{k}}) \simeq {}_2\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}} \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g}$  is trivial by our assumption and  $H^2(X_{\bar{k}}) \simeq \text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}/2 \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2$  with trivial action again. Further,  $k$  being a local field,  $H^2(G, \mathbf{Z}/2) \simeq {}_2\text{Br}(k) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2$  and  $H^1(G, \mathbf{Z}/2) \simeq k^*/k^{*2} \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2$ . In view of [4],  $NH^3(X) \simeq k^*/k^{*2} \times J(k)/2J(k)$ . Since  $k$  is a local field, by [11],  $J(k)$  contains a subgroup  $\mathcal{M}$  isomorphic to copies of the valuation ring such that  $J(k)/\mathcal{M}$  is finite. The 2-primary part of  $J(k)/\mathcal{M}$  is isomorphic to  $\prod_{1 \leq j \leq l} (\mathbf{Z}/2^j)$ , where  $l = \dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2}({}_2\text{Pic } X) = 2g$  by our assumption. Therefore  $J(k)/2J(k) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g}$ , so that  $NH^2(X) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g+2}$ . Thus  $NH^3(X) = H^3(X)$  and  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^3) = 0$ .

**COROLLARY 7.3.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve over a local field  $k$  with residue field characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Suppose  $X$  has good reduction and  ${}_2\text{Pic } X = {}_2\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ . Then the classical invariants uniquely determine the class of a quadratic space in  $W(X)$ .*

*Proof.* In view of ([15], §1), we have injections  $rk : W(X)/I(X) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2$ ,  $\text{disc} : I(X)/I_2(X) \hookrightarrow H^1(X)$ ,  $c : I_2(X)/I_3(X) \hookrightarrow {}_2\text{Br}(X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^2)$ , where  $rk, \text{disc}$

and  $c$  stand for rank, discriminant and Hasse–Witt invariant maps. Since  $I_4(X) \hookrightarrow I^4(k(X)) = 0$  [2] and  $I_3(X)$  injects into  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^3) = 0$  by (7.2), we have,  $rk$ , disc and  $c$  uniquely determine an element in  $W(X)$ .

**LEMMA 7.4.** *Let  $X$  be a hyperelliptic curve. Then  $NH^2(X') \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g}$ , under the assumptions of (7.1) on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $NH^2(X') \simeq \text{Pic } X'/2$ . The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow {}_2\text{Pic } X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 X \rightarrow \text{Pic } X' \rightarrow 0$$

yields the following long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow {}_2\text{Pic } X \rightarrow {}_2\text{Pic}^0 X \rightarrow {}_2\text{Pic } X' \rightarrow {}_2\text{Pic } X/2 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 X/2 \rightarrow \text{Pic } X'/2 \rightarrow 0.$$

We have  ${}_2\text{Pic } X = {}_2\text{Pic}^0 X$ ,  ${}_2\text{Pic } X' \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g}$  (4.3),  ${}_2\text{Pic } X/2 \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g}$  and  $\text{Pic}^0 X/2 = J(k)/2J(k) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g}$ , in view of (7.2). We therefore have  $\text{Pic } X'/2 \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g}$ .

**LEMMA 7.5.** *Let  $X$  be a hyperelliptic curve. Then  $NH^3(X') \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g}$ , under the assumptions of (7.1) on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U(X'_k)/2 \rightarrow H^1(X'_k) \rightarrow {}_2\text{Pic } X'_k \rightarrow 0.$$

By (4.1) and (4.3),  $U(X'_k)/2 \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g+1}$  and  ${}_2\text{Pic } X'_k \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g}$ . Therefore  $H^1(X'_k) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{4g+1}$ . Further, since  $U(X'_k)/2$  is generated by  $\{y, T - \alpha_i\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2g$ , which are defined over  $k$ , and  ${}_2\text{Pic } X'_k$  is also defined over  $k$  under the assumption  ${}_4\text{Pic } X' = {}_4\text{Pic } X'_k$ , the action of  $G$  on  $H^1(X'_k)$  is trivial. The only non-zero terms in the spectral sequence

$$H^i(G, H^j(X'_k)) \Rightarrow H^n(X')$$

contributing to  $H^3(X')$  is  $H^2(G, H^1(X'_k))$  with all the differentials vanishing, as before. We therefore have

$$H^3(X') \simeq H^2(G, H^1(X'_k)) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{4g+1}.$$

We shall now compute  $\Gamma(X', \mathcal{H}^3)$ . The sequence

$$H^3(k(X)) \xrightarrow{tr} H^3(k(T)) \xrightarrow{\cup_{X'}^{\cup_{Y'}}} H^4(k(T))$$

is exact and since  $cd_2(k) \leq 2, cd_2(k(T)) \leq 3, H^4(k(T)) = 0$ . Thus

$$tr : H^3(k(X)) \rightarrow H^3(k(T))$$

is surjective. It induces a map

$$tr : \Gamma(X', \mathcal{H}^3) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y', \mathcal{H}^3) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^3(Y').$$

We show that this map is surjective. Let  $\lambda \in H^3(Y')$  and  $\mu \in H^3(k(X))$  be such that  $tr \mu = \lambda$ , identifying  $H^3(Y')$  with a subgroup of  $H^3(k(T))$ . In view of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^3(k(T)) & \xrightarrow{i} & H^3(k(X)) & \xrightarrow{tr} & H^3(k(T)) \\ \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} H^2(k(y)) & \xrightarrow{i} & \bigoplus_{x \in X'} H^2(k(x)) & \xrightarrow{tr} & \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} H^2(k(y)) \end{array}$$

with exact rows,  $tr \circ \partial \mu = \partial \circ tr \mu = \partial(\lambda) = 0$  and hence there exists  $v \in \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} H^2(k(y))$  with  $i(v) = \partial(\mu)$ . Since  $Y' \subset A^1, \partial : H^3(k(T)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} H^2(k(y))$  is surjective and hence there exists  $\tilde{v} \in H^3(k(T))$  with  $\partial(\tilde{v}) = v$ . We have  $\partial(\mu - iv) = 0$  so that  $(\mu - iv) \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{H}^3)$  and maps to  $\lambda \in \Gamma(Y', \mathcal{H}^3) = H^3(A)$ . We thus have a surjection  $tr : \Gamma(X', \mathcal{H}^3) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y', \mathcal{H}^3)$ . We now compute its kernel. Since  $H^3(k) = 0$ , the map  $\partial : H^3(A) \rightarrow (\bigoplus_{y \in \pi(S)} H^2(k(y)))^0$  is an isomorphism. Since the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(X', \mathcal{H}^3) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \left( \bigoplus_{x \in S} H^2(k(x)) \right)^0 \\ tr \downarrow & & \parallel \\ H^3(A) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \left( \bigoplus_{y \in \pi(S)} H^2(k(y)) \right)^0 \end{array}$$

is commutative, we have,  $\ker tr = \ker \partial = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^3) = 0$ , by [5] and (7.2). Thus,  $\Gamma(X', \mathcal{H}^3) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^3(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g+1}$ . Therefore  $NH^3(X') \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{2g}$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 7.1. Finally, we use the exact sequence (§3) to compute the defining relations for  $W(X)$  as a quotient of  $\bigoplus_{x \in S} W(k(x))$ . More precisely, we have the following

**THEOREM 7.6.** *Under the same hypothesis as in (7.1),*

$$W(X) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{4g} \oplus W(k).$$

*Proof.* In view of (3.4) and (7.1), we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{4g} \rightarrow W(A)/(\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k)) \rightarrow W(X) \rightarrow 0 \tag{*}$$

The residue map  $\partial : W(A) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq 2g+1} W(k)$  is surjective, with kernel  $W(k)$ . We have, in  $W(k(T))$ ,  $(W(k) \cap \langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot W(k)) = 0$ . In fact, for  $q \in W(k) \cap \langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot W(k)$ ,  $q$  extends to zero in  $W(X)$ . Since  $X(k)$  is non-empty, specialising at a rational point yields  $q = 0$  in  $W(k)$ . We thus have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W(k) \rightarrow W(A)/(\langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot W(k)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{2g+1} W(k)/\partial(\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k)) \rightarrow 0.$$

The image of the map  $\eta : W(k) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{2g+1} W(k)$  defined by

$$\eta(q) = (-f'(\alpha_1)q, -f'(\alpha_2)q, \dots, -f'(\alpha_{2g+1})q)$$

is precisely  $\partial(\langle 1, -f \rangle \cdot W(k))$ . The map  $\eta$  is injective, since for  $q \in W(k)$ ,  $\eta(q) = 0$  implies that  $\partial(\langle 1, -f \rangle q) = 0$ ; i.e.,  $\langle 1, -f \rangle q \in W(k) \cap \langle 1, -f \rangle W(k) = 0$  and  $q \simeq fq$ . Since degree  $f$  is odd,  $q = 0$ . Clearly  $\eta$  is a split injection, a section  $t$  being given by  $t(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{2g+1}) = -f'(\alpha_1) \cdot q_1$ . We thus have an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\eta} : W(A)/(\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k)) \rightarrow W(k) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{2g} W(k) \right)$$

given by  $\tilde{\eta}(\bar{q}) = (\tilde{q}, (\partial_{x_i} q))$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq 2g + 1$ ,  $x_i \in S$ ,  $\tilde{q}$  denoting specialisation at  $\infty$ . If  $\bar{q} \in W(A)/\langle 1, -f \rangle W(k)$ , maps to zero in  $W(X)$ , specialising at  $\infty$ , we see that  $\tilde{q} = 0$ , so that in the sequence (\*),  $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^{4g}$  injects into the factor  $\bigoplus_{2g} W(k) \simeq \bigoplus_{4g} W(F)$  where  $F$  denotes the residue field of  $k$ . If  $-1$  is a square in  $F$ ,  $W(F) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)^2$  and if  $-1$  is not a square in  $F$ ,  $W(F) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/4$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} W(X) &\simeq W(k) \oplus W(F)^{4g}/(\mathbf{Z}/2)^{4g} \\ &\simeq W(k) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{4g}. \end{aligned}$$

The above theorem leads one to the following natural questions.

**QUESTION 1.** *For a smooth hyperelliptic curve  $X$  over an arbitrary ground field  $k$ , (with  ${}_4\text{Pic } X = {}_4\text{Pic } X_{\bar{k}}$ ), is  $W(X)$  isomorphic to  $W(k) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{4g}$ ?*

A positive answer to this question will also provide evidence to an affirmative answer to the following more general

QUESTION. (Scharlau) *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve over a field  $k$ . If  $W(k)$  is finitely generated, is  $W(X)$  finitely generated?*

QUESTION 2. *For a smooth projective curve  $X$  over  $k$  with  $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ , is  $\text{coker } \partial \xrightarrow{\simeq} W(X)$ ?*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. K. ARASON, *Appendix II to the paper, Amenable Fields and Pfister Extensions by R. Elman, T. Y. Lam and A. R. Wadsworth*. In Conf. on Quadratic forms, Kingston, p. 492, (1976).
- [2] J. K. ARASON, R. ELMAN and B. JACOB, *Fields of cohomological 2-dimension three*. Math. Ann. 274, 649–657 (1986).
- [3] J. K. ARASON, R. ELMAN and B. JACOB, *The graded Witt Ring and Galois Cohomology*. CMS Conference Proceedings, 4, 1973.
- [4] S. BLOCH, *Algebraic K-Theory and Classfield Theory for arithmetic surfaces*. Ann. of Math. 114, 229–265 (1981).
- [5] S. BLOCK, A. OGUS, *Gersten's Conjecture and the homology of schemes*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 7, 181–202 (1974).
- [6] J.-L. COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE, J. J. SANSUC, *Fibrés quadratiques et composantes connexes réelles*. Math. Ann. 224, 105–134 (1979).
- [7] W. D. GEYER, G. HARDER, M. KNEBUSCH and W. SCHARLAU, *Ein Residuensatz für symmetrische Bilinearformen*. Inv. Math. 11, 319–328 (1970).
- [8] M. KNEBUSCH, *On Algebraic curves over real closed fields II*, Math. Z. 151, 189–205 (1976).
- [9] M. KNEBUSCH, W. SCHARLAU, *Quadratische Formen und Quadratische Reziprozitätsgesetze*. Math. Z. 121, 346–368 (1971).
- [10] M. KNEBUSCH, *Symmetric bilinear forms over algebraic varieties*. In Conf. on Quadratic forms, Kingston, 103–283 (1976).
- [11] A. MATTUCK, *Abelian varieties over p-adic ground fields*. Ann. of Math. 62, 92–119 (1955).
- [12] J. S. MILNE, *Étale cohomology*. Princeton Math. Series 33 (1980).
- [13] J. MILNOR, *Algebraic K-theory and Quadratic forms*, Invent Math. 9, 318–344 (1970).
- [14] R. PARIMALA, *Witt groups of conics, elliptic and hyperelliptic curves*. Journal of Number Theory 28, 69–93 (1988).
- [15] R. PARIMALA, *Witt group of affine three folds*. Duke Math. Journal 57, 947–954 (1988).
- [16] R. PARIMALA and R. SRIDHARAN, *Graded Witt-rings and unramified cohomology rings of curves*. Preprint, Bombay.
- [17] W. SCHARLAU, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*. In Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin (1985).
- [18] J. P. SERRE, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1986).
- [19] J. SHICK, *Abstract of Ph.D. Thesis*. Univ. of Calif., San Diego (1988).

*School of Mathematics  
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research  
Homi Bhabha Road  
Bombay 400 005  
India*

Received November 20, 1989