**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft

**Band:** 74 (1999)

**Artikel:** Approximating I2-Betti numbers of an amenable covering by ordinary

Betti numbers

Autor: Eckmann, Beno

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-55779

## Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

## Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

**Download PDF:** 06.02.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

# Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

# Approximating $\ell_2$ -Betti numbers of an amenable covering by ordinary Betti numbers

Beno Eckmann

**Abstract.** It is shown that the  $\ell_2$ -Betti numbers of an amenable covering of a finite cell-complex can be approximated by ordinary Betti numbers of the finite Følner subcomplexes. This is a new proof, using simple homological arguments, of a recent result of Dodziuk and Mathai.

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991). 20, 55, 57.

Keywords. Amenable groups, covering spaces,  $l_2$ -homology, Betti numbers.

#### 0. Introduction

Let Y be an infinite amenable covering of a finite cell-complex X with covering transformation group G. Then the  $\ell_2$ -Betti numbers  $\overline{\beta_p}(Y)$  can be approximated by the average ordinary Betti numbers of the finite subcomplexes  $Y_j$  of a Følner exhaustion of Y. This has been proved by Dodziuk and Mathai [D-M]. The purpose of the present paper is to give a simple "homological" proof of that result. It consists in examining the  $\ell_2$ -homology map  $H_p(Y_j) \longrightarrow H_p(Y)$  induced by the inclusion  $Y_j \longrightarrow Y$ .

#### 1. Følner sequence

- 1.1. We consider a discrete infinite amenable group G and a free cocompact G-space Y. By this we mean a cell complex Y on which G operates freely by permutation of the cells, with finite orbit complex X = Y/G. Then Y is a covering of X with covering transformation group G. Since G is a factor group of the fundamental group of X, and X is a finite complex, G is necessarily finitely generated. In short Y is called an infinite amenable covering of X.
- **1.2.** It is known (Cheeger-Gromov [C-G], see also [E] or [D-M]) that in such a situation there exists in Y a Følner sequence (or Følner exhaustion)  $Y_j$ , j = 1, 2, 3, ...

Here is its description in the form we will need later.

For each closed p-cell  $\sigma_p$  in X we choose an arbitrary lift  $\hat{\sigma}_p$  in the corresponding G-orbit. The union of all  $\hat{\sigma}_p$ ,  $p \geq 0$ , together with its topological closure (i.e. adding if necessary boundary cells of the  $\hat{\sigma}_p$ ) is a closed fundamental domain D for the G-action in Y. The  $Y_j$  form an increasing sequence of finite subcomplexes of Y with union Y; each  $Y_j$  is a union of  $N_j$  distinct translates  $x_\nu D$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, ..., N_j$ ,  $x_\nu \in G$ , of D. Let further  $Y_j$  be the topological boundary of  $Y_j$  and  $N_j$  the number of translates of D which meet  $Y_j$ . From the combinatorial Følner criterion [F] for amenability it follows easily that the sequence  $Y_j$  can be chosen such that  $N_j/N_j \longrightarrow 0$  for  $j \longrightarrow \infty$ .

# 2. $\ell_2$ -chains, restricted trace

- **2.1.** The cellular p-chains of Y with  $\mathbb{R}$ —coefficients constitute a free  $\mathbb{R}G$ —module  $C_p(Y)$ ; as basis we can take the lifts (see **1.2**)  $\hat{\sigma}_p^i$  of the p-cells  $\sigma_p^i$  of X,  $i=1,2,...,\alpha_p$ , where  $\alpha_p$  is the number of p-cells of X. Each p-cell of Y can be uniquely written as  $x\hat{\sigma}_p^i$ ,  $x\in G, i=1,...,\alpha_p$ , and in each orbit the G-action is by left translation.
- **2.2.** As Y is an infinite complex, one considers besides the ordinary p-chains also  $\ell_2$ -chains, i.e. square-summable real linear combinations of the cells of Y. They constitute a Hilbert space  $C_p^{(2)}(Y)$  where all the cells  $x\hat{\sigma}_p^i$  as above form an orthonormal basis. We sometimes omit Y and simply write  $C_p^{(2)}$ . The induced action of G on  $C_p^{(2)}$  is isometric.
- **2.3.** For any Hilbert subspace H of  $C_p^{(2)}$ , not necessarily G-invariant, there is the orthogonal projection

$$\Phi: C_p^{(2)} \longrightarrow C_p^{(2)}$$

with image H. We consider the following "restricted trace" of  $\Phi$  referring to a finite subcomplex  $Y_j$  of Y consisting of  $N_j$  translates of the fundamental domain D. Here amenability is not required; it is in **3.4** only that  $Y_j$  will refer to a Følner sequence in Y.

Let  $\Pi_j$  be the projection  $C_p^{(2)} \longrightarrow C_p^{(2)}$  with image  $C_p^{(2)}(Y_j)$ . Since  $Y_j$  is a finite complex, we have  $C_p^{(2)}(Y_j) = C_p(Y_j)$ ; thus  $\Pi_j$  is projection on a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -subspace of  $C_p^{(2)}$  whose basis consists of all cells  $x_{\nu}\hat{\sigma}_p^i$  with  $\nu \leq N_j$ . One can form the  $\mathbb{R}$ -trace

$$d_j(H) = trace_{\mathbb{R}} \Pi_j \Phi$$

It will be examined for some special subspaces H. Note that it can be expressed

152 B. Eckmann CMH

by scalar products in  $C_p^{(2)}$  as

$$d_j(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_p} \sum_{\nu=1}^{N_j} < \Phi(x_{\nu} \hat{\sigma}_p^i), x_{\nu} \hat{\sigma}_p^i > + \sum_{\tau_p} < \Phi(\tau_p), \tau_p > .$$

where the  $\tau_p$  are cells in  $\dot{Y}_j$  not of the form  $x_{\nu}\hat{\sigma}_p^i$ .

#### **2.4.** Properties of $d_i$ :

- 1) Since  $\Phi$  is idempotent and self-adjoint, the scalar products above are equal to  $\langle \Phi(x_{\nu}\hat{\sigma}_{p}^{i}), \Phi(x_{\nu}\hat{\sigma}_{p}^{i}) \rangle$  and  $\langle \Phi(\tau_{p}), \Phi(\tau_{p}) \rangle$  respectively and thus  $\geq 0$ : The restricted trace  $d_{j}(H)$  is non-negative.
  - 2) Note that one always has

$$d_i(H) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \Pi_i(H)$$

since

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Pi_{i}\Phi) \leq ||\Pi_{i}\Phi|| \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{im}(\Pi_{i}\Phi) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \Pi_{i}(H).$$

If in particular H is a subspace of  $C_p(Y_j)$  then  $d_j$  is the same as the trace of the projection of  $C_p(Y_j)$  to H. Since these are finite-dimensional vector spaces, the trace is  $= \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H$ .

- 3) If H decomposes orthogonally into  $H_1 + H_2$  then  $d_j(H) = d_j(H_1) + d_j(H_2)$ . Just note that then  $\Phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$  where  $\phi_i$  is the projection onto  $H_i$ , i = 1, 2 and replace  $\Phi$  in the scalar products above.
- 4) In case H is G-invariant the projection  $\Phi$  is G-equivariant and  $\langle \Phi(x_{\nu}\hat{\sigma}_{p}^{i}), x_{\nu}\hat{\sigma}_{p}^{i} \rangle$  is equal to  $\langle \Phi(\hat{\sigma}_{p}^{i}), \hat{\sigma}_{p}^{i} \rangle$ . But  $\Sigma_{i=1}^{\alpha_{p}} \langle \Phi(\hat{\sigma}_{p}^{i}), \hat{\sigma}_{p}^{i} \rangle$  is just the von Neumann dimension  $\dim_{G} H$  (see e.g. [L] or [E2]). Thus in that case

$$d_j(H) = N_j \dim_G H$$

plus an "error term"  $T_j$  coming from the boundary cells  $\tau_p$  which is  $\leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} C_p(\dot{Y}_j)$ .

# 3. Mapping $H_p(Y_i)$ into $H_p(Y)$

- **3.1.** In the following, homology  $H_p$  is to be understood as "reduced"  $\ell_2$ -homology (cycles modulo the closure of boundaries). It can be represented by the orthogonal complement of the space of boundaries in the p-cycle space, i.e. by harmonic chains (boundary  $\partial=0$  and coboundary  $\delta=0$ ). In this sense we will consider  $H_p(Y)$  as a Hilbert subspace of  $C_p^{(2)}(Y)$  and  $H_p(Y_j)$  as a subspace of  $C_p(Y_j)$ .
- **3.2.** Since the boundary operator  $\partial$  in  $C_p^{(2)}$  commutes with the G-action, the homology group  $H_p(Y)$  considered as a subspace of  $C_p^{(2)}$  is G-invariant. According to **2.4**, 4) we have

$$d_j(H_p(Y)) = N_j \; \mathrm{dim}_G H_p(Y) + T_j = N_j \; \overline{\beta}_p(Y\mathrm{rel}.G) + T_j,$$

where  $\overline{\beta}_p$  denotes the  $\ell_2$ -Betti number and  $T_j$  is the error term from **2.4**,4). As for  $H_p(Y_j)$ , we have by **2.4**, 2)

$$d_j(H_p(Y_j)) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_p(Y_j) = \beta_p(Y_j),$$

the ordinary p-th Betti number of  $Y_i$ .

**3.3.** The inclusion of  $Y_j$  in Y induces a bounded linear map  $\phi: H_p(Y_j) \longrightarrow H_p(Y)$ . Let  $K_p$  be the kernel of  $\phi$ , and  $K'_p$  its orthogonal complement in  $H_p(Y_j)$ ; and  $I_p$  the image of  $\phi$ , and  $I'_p$  its orthogonal complement in  $H_p(Y)$ .

We will look closer at these harmonic subspaces of  $C_p(Y_j)$  and  $C_p^{(2)}(Y)$  respectively in order to get estimates for the values of  $d_j$ . We recall that  $\partial$  commutes with the inclusion of  $Y_j$  in Y but in general not with the the restriction of Y to  $Y_j$ , and that for  $\delta$  things are the other way around. In particular a harmonic chain in  $Y_j$  need not be harmonic in Y, but can be made harmonic by adding a well-defined element of the closure of boundaries.

- **3.4.** We decompose the p-chains  $c \in C_p^{(2)}$  as  $c = \dot{c} + c'$  where all p-cells of  $\dot{c}$  intersect the topological boundary  $\dot{Y}_j$  and c' does not contain any such cell. This yields an orthogonal decomposition of  $C_p^{(2)}$  into  $\dot{C}_p$  and  $C_p'$ . We now use the amenability of the covering and assume that  $Y_j$  is a term of the Følner sequence. Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{C}_p \leq \dot{N}_j \alpha_p$ .
- 1) If  $c \in K_p$ , with  $\partial c = \delta c = 0$  in  $Y_j$ , then  $c \in \overline{\partial C_{p+1}^{(2)}(Y)}$ . If we assume  $\dot{c} = 0$ ,  $c = c' \in C'_p$ , then  $\delta$  commutes with the inclusion, i.e.  $\delta c = 0$  in Y. But since cocycles are orthogonal to the closure of the space of boundaries, it follows that c = 0. Thus  $K_p \cap C'_p = 0$ , and  $K_p$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $\dot{C}_p$ . Therefore

$$d_j(K_p) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} K_p \le \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{C}_p \le \dot{N}_j \alpha_p$$
.

2) As for  $d_j(I_p')$  it does not exceed  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} R_p$  where  $R_p = \operatorname{res}_j I_p'$  and  $\operatorname{res}_j$  is the restriction from Y to  $Y_j$ . The chains  $c \in I_p'$  fulfill  $\partial c = \underline{\delta c} = 0$ . Moreover  $\langle c, z \rangle = 0$  for all p-cycles z in  $Y_j$  since  $\phi(z) = z + b$ , with  $b \in \overline{\partial C_{p+1}^{(2)}}$ . For  $r \in R_p$  the same holds except possibly for  $\partial r = 0$ . But if  $r = \dot{c} + c'$  as above, and if we assume  $\dot{c} = 0$  then  $\partial r = 0$ . From  $\langle r, z \rangle = 0$  for all p-cycles z in  $Y_j$  it follows that r is a coboundary in  $Y_j$ ,  $r = \delta s$ . Thus  $\langle r, r \rangle = \langle r, \delta s \rangle = \langle \partial r, s \rangle = 0$ , whence r = 0 and  $R_p \cap C_p' = 0$ . As before this implies  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} R_p \leq \dot{N}_j \alpha_p$  and we get

$$d_j(I_p') \le \dim_{\mathbb{R}} R_p \le \dot{N}_j \alpha_p$$
.

**3.5.**  $K'_p$  is isomorphic as a (finite-dimensional) vector space to  $I_p$ . Their  $d_j$  need not be equal, but we show that their difference fulfills an inequality similar to

those above. The isomorphism is given by adding to each  $c \in K_p'$  a well defined element  $b(c) \in \overline{\partial C_{p+1}^{(2)}(Y)}$ , in order to get a harmonic chain in Y. If, in particular,  $c \in K_p' \cap C_p'$  then  $\delta c = 0$  in Y, whence  $c \in I_p$ . Thus  $K_p' \cap C_p'$  is a subspace of  $I_p$  which remains unchanged under  $\Pi_j$ . This implies that  $d_j(I_p) \geq d_j(K_p' \cap C_p') = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} K_p' \cap C_p'$  and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} K_p' - d_j(I_p) \le \dim_{\mathbb{R}} K_p'/K_p' \cap C_p'.$$

But  $K_p'/K_p' \cap C_p'$  is isomorphic to  $(K_p' + C_p')/C_p'$  which is contained in  $C_p^{(2)}/C_p'$  isomorphic to  $\dot{C}_p$ . Thus its dimension is  $\leq \dot{N}_j \alpha_p$  whence

$$d_j(K_p') - d_j(I_p) \le \dot{N}_j \alpha_p$$
.

**3.6.** Finally we have

$$\beta_p(Y_j) - N_j \overline{\beta_p}(Yrel.G) = d_j(H_p(Y_j)) - d_j(H_p(Y)) + T_j$$
$$= d_j(K_p) - d_j(I_p') + (d_j(K_p') - d_j(I_p)) + T_j$$

where  $T_i$  is the error term in **2.4**. By **3.4** and **3.5** and since  $T_i \leq \dot{N}_i \alpha_p$  this yields

$$\left|\frac{1}{N_j}\beta_p(Y_j) - \overline{\beta_p}(Y \text{rel.}G)\right| \le 4\alpha_p \frac{\dot{N}_j}{N_j}$$

which goes to 0 with  $j \to \infty$ . Thus

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{N_j} \beta_p(Y_j) = \overline{\beta_p}(Y_{rel}.G).$$

This is the approximation statement mentioned in the introduction.

# References

- [C-G] J. Cheeger and M. Gromov,  $L_2$ -cohomology and group cohomology, Topology **25** (1986), 189-215.
- [D-M] Jozef Dodziuk and Varghese Mathai, Approximating  $L^2$ -invariants of amenable covering spaces: a combinatorial approach, Preprint.
  - [E] B. Eckmann, Amenable groups and Euler characteristic, Comment. Math. Helv. 67 (1992), 383-393.
  - [E2] B. Eckmann, Projective and Hilbert modules over group algebras, and finitely dominated spaces, Comment. Math. Helv. 71 (1996), 453-462.
  - [F] E. Følner, On groups with full Banach mean value, Math. Scand. 3 (1995), 336-334.
  - [L] W. Lück, Approximating  $L^2$ -invariants by their finite-dimensional analogues, GAFA 4 (1994), 455-481.

Beno Eckmann Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik Eidg. Technische Hochschule 8092 Zürich Switzerland

(Received: February 2, 1998)