

Chern numbers and the geometry of partial flag manifolds

Autor(en): **Kotschick, D. / Terzi, S.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **84 (2009)**

PDF erstellt am: **23.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-99132>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Chern numbers and the geometry of partial flag manifolds

D. Kotschick and S. Terzić

Abstract. We calculate the Chern classes and Chern numbers for the natural almost Hermitian structures of the partial flag manifolds $F_n = SU(n+2)/S(U(n) \times U(1) \times U(1))$. For all $n > 1$ there are two invariant complex algebraic structures, which arise from the projectivizations of the holomorphic tangent and cotangent bundles of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$. The projectivization of the cotangent bundle is the twistor space of a Grassmannian considered as a quaternionic Kähler manifold. There is also an invariant nearly Kähler structure, because F_n is a 3-symmetric space. We explain the relations between the different structures and their Chern classes, and we prove that F_n is not geometrically formal.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 53C30, 57R20; Secondary 14M15, 53C26, 53C55.

Keywords. Flag manifold, invariant complex structure, Chern class.

1. Introduction

In this paper we discuss the geometry of the homogeneous spaces

$$F_n = SU(n+2)/S(U(n) \times U(1) \times U(1))$$

from several points of view. These flag manifolds carry a number of interesting structures that we would like to understand. The relations between the different structures are quite intriguing. Note that F_0 is the 2-sphere, and everything we will say is either trivial or does not apply in this case. Next, F_1 is the manifold of complete flags in \mathbb{C}^3 , of real dimension 6. This plays a special rôle in our discussion. The general case begins with F_2 , of real dimension 10. All F_n with $n \geq 2$ are genuine partial flag manifolds.

We now briefly describe the different geometric features of F_n that we shall consider.

1.1. Complex structures. It is a classical fact due to Borel, Koszul and Wang that F_n admits at least one invariant Kähler structure. The starting point of this work was an observation of Borel and Hirzebruch [6], pointing out that F_2 has two different invariant structures as a complex projective variety, for which the values of the Chern

number c_1^5 are different. Extending this observation, we shall see that, up to conjugation and automorphisms, each F_n with $n \geq 2$ has precisely two invariant complex structures. We shall give explicit formulae for their Chern classes and indicate how to calculate the Chern numbers in several different ways. As a particular application of these calculations we will see that the value of the Chern number c_1^{2n+1} always distinguishes the two structures. For $n \leq 3$ we give the values of all the Chern numbers for the two complex structures.

One way of calculating the Chern numbers is through Lie theory, using the description of Chern classes as polynomials in the roots due to Borel and Hirzebruch [6]. Another way, also used by Hirzebruch in his recent paper [12], is to look for a geometric interpretation of the complex structures on F_n , and to perform the calculations using differential or algebraic geometry. This works out very nicely because the two complex structures on F_n are precisely those of the projectivizations of the holomorphic tangent and cotangent bundles of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$. Moreover, the projectivization of the cotangent bundle carries a tautological complex contact structure, and this identifies it with the total space of a certain S^2 -bundle over the Grassmannian $G_n = SU(n+2)/S(U(n) \times U(2))$, as first observed by Wolf [30]. With hindsight the Grassmannian is a quaternionic Kähler manifold in the sense of Salamon [23], and the S^2 -bundle over it is its twistor space. This relates our calculations of Chern numbers for the projectivization of the cotangent bundle of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ to earlier calculations of the indices of certain elliptic operators on G_n , cf. [26].

Our initial motivation for the calculations of Chern numbers of the complex structures on F_n was Hirzebruch's problem asking which linear combinations of Chern numbers are topological invariants of smooth projective varieties or of compact Kähler manifolds. This problem, originally raised in [11], was recently resolved completely in complex dimensions strictly smaller than 5, see [16], and we hope that the calculations performed in this paper will be useful in studying this problem in higher dimensions. The calculations in complex dimension 5, that is for F_2 , summarized in Table 1 might lead one to speculate about what happens for arbitrary n . In order to test such speculations we completed all the calculations for $n = 3$, that is in complex dimension 7. They are summarized in Table 2 at the end of the paper. We also give closed formulae for a few Chern numbers for arbitrary n in Theorems 3 and 4. Nevertheless, we do not pursue the applications to Hirzebruch's problem here.

1.2. Generalized symmetric spaces and geometric formality. According to Gray [9], compare also [27], every F_n endowed with the normal homogeneous metric induced by the Killing form is a 3-symmetric space. Generalizing the definition of symmetric spaces, this means that for every $p \in F_n$ there is a globally defined isometry $\theta: F_n \rightarrow F_n$ having p as an isolated fixed point and satisfying $\theta^3 = \text{Id}$. More general k -symmetric spaces are defined in the same way by requiring θ to be of order k .

A closed manifold is called geometrically formal if it admits a Riemannian metric for which all wedge products of harmonic forms are harmonic; cf. [15]. Compact symmetric spaces provide examples of geometrically formal manifolds because the harmonic forms for an invariant metric are precisely the invariant forms. This is no longer true for k -symmetric spaces with $k > 2$. In [17] we showed that the structure of the cohomology ring of many k -symmetric spaces of the form G/T , where $T \subset G$ is a torus, is incompatible with geometric formality. We will generalize the arguments from [17], which in particular showed that F_1 is not geometrically formal, to show that F_n is not geometrically formal for all $n \geq 1$. Thus no Riemannian metric on F_n has the property that the harmonic forms are a subalgebra of the de Rham algebra. For invariant metrics this is not hard to see, and is of interest in the context of Arakelov geometry, cf. [18].

1.3. Nearly Kähler structures. The order 3 symmetry θ of the normal homogeneous metric g on F_n can be identified with an automorphism of $G = SU(n+2)$ fixing the subgroup $H = S(U(n) \times U(1) \times U(1))$. The derivative of θ , also denoted θ , acts as an automorphism of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with fixed point set \mathfrak{h} . Although $\theta - \text{Id}$ is not invertible on \mathfrak{g} , it is invertible on $T_p F_n = \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h}$. Therefore,

$$0 = \theta^3 - \text{Id} = (\theta - \text{Id})(\theta^2 + \theta + \text{Id})$$

implies $\theta^2 + \theta + \text{Id} = 0$ on $T_p F_n = \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h}$. Now, on $T_p F_n = \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h}$, one can define

$$J_\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\text{Id} + 2\theta).$$

This is an isometry of g satisfying $J_\theta^2 = -\text{Id}$, as follows immediately from $\theta^2 + \theta + \text{Id} = 0$. Thus J_θ is an almost complex structure and (g, J_θ) is an almost Hermitian structure called the canonical almost Hermitian structure of the 3-symmetric space.

Gray [9] proved that the canonical almost complex structure of a 3-symmetric space is nearly Kähler, i. e.

$$(\nabla_v J_\theta)v = 0$$

for all vector fields v , where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of g . Conversely, Butruille [7] recently proved that every homogeneous nearly Kähler structure that is not Kähler comes from a 3-symmetric space.

The nearly Kähler structure of a 3-symmetric space is Kähler, meaning $\nabla_v J_\theta = 0$ for all v , if and only if it is Hermitian symmetric. As F_n is not a symmetric space for any $n \geq 1$, its nearly Kähler structure can not be Kähler. We will see that the J_θ defined above is the unique (up to conjugation) non-integrable invariant almost complex structure on F_n . Moreover, this structure is a special case of non-integrable almost complex structures on twistor spaces introduced by Eells and Salamon [8]; compare also [24], [1], [22]. We shall compute its Chern classes and compare the

Table 1. The Chern numbers of the invariant almost Hermitian structures on F_2 .

	standard structure $\mathbb{P}(TCP^3)$	twistor space $\mathbb{P}(T^*CP^3)$	nearly Kähler structure
c_1^5	4500	4860	-20
$c_1^3c_2$	2148	2268	-4
$c_1c_2^2$	1028	1068	-4
$c_1^2c_3$	612	612	20
c_2c_3	292	292	4
c_1c_4	108	108	12
c_5	12	12	12

Chern numbers to those of the integrable Kählerian structures. See Tables 1 and 2 for a summary of these calculations for $n = 2$ and 3.

1.4. Einstein metrics. It is well known that the six-dimensional flag manifold F_1 has exactly two invariant Einstein metrics, up to scale and isometry; see for example [2], [4], [13]. One of these is Kähler–Einstein, compatible with the essentially unique integrable complex structure, and the other one is non-Kähler, but almost Hermitian. This is the normal metric, which, as explained above, is nearly Kähler because it is 3-symmetric.

For $n \geq 2$, there are precisely three invariant Einstein metrics on F_n , up to scale and isometry. This is due to Arvanitoyeorgos [2] and Kimura [13]. Two of the three Einstein metrics are Kähler–Einstein, compatible with the two different invariant complex structures. The third Einstein metric, which is not Kähler, is not the normal nearly Kähler metric. In [9], Gray had claimed that the normal metric of any 3-symmetric space is Einstein, but, in [10], he himself corrected this, and mentioned that the normal metric of F_2 is not Einstein. It is a result of Wang and Ziller [29] that the normal metric on F_n is not Einstein for all $n \geq 2$.

Both the invariant nearly Kähler metric and the invariant Einstein non-Kähler metric on F_n are obtained from the Kähler–Einstein metric of the twistor space by scaling the S^2 fibers, but the scaling factor is different for the two metrics; see [1].

Outline of the paper. In Section 2 we recall some facts from the theory developed by Borel and Hirzebruch in [6] and apply them to determine the invariant almost complex structures of F_n and discuss their integrability. In Section 3 we calculate Chern classes and Chern numbers for these structures using Lie theory.

In Section 4 we discuss the complex and the nearly Kähler structures of F_n without using Lie theory. Our point of view here is complementary to that of Section 2, and relies on the work of Salamon and his coauthors [23], [24], [8], [19]; compare also [1], [3], [22]. This section, and Sections 5 to 8 which are based on it, can be read independently of Sections 2 and 3, except for a few isolated remarks aimed at relating the two points of view. In Section 5 we give a simple description of the cohomology ring of F_n and use it to prove a general result about Hodge and Chern numbers for arbitrary Kählerian complex structures on manifolds with this cohomology ring, and we also prove that F_n is not geometrically formal. Sections 6 to 8 contain calculations of Chern numbers for the three different almost Hermitian structures.

In Section 9 we comment on the relations between the different points of view.

2. The Lie theory of generalized flag manifolds

The partial flag manifolds F_n are a special subclass of the so-called generalized flag manifolds, which are homogeneous spaces of the form G/H , with G a compact connected semisimple Lie group and $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup of equal rank that is the centralizer of a torus. For such generalized flag manifolds the cohomology ring, the invariant almost complex structures and their Chern classes, their integrability, and the invariant Einstein metrics can be described explicitly in the framework of the theory initiated by Borel and Hirzebruch [6]; see also [3], [28], [29], [31]. We recall some aspects of this theory relevant to our calculations of Chern numbers on F_n . For a different point of view on some of these matters, the reader may consult [21].

2.1. Some general theory. For a compact homogeneous space G/H as above one has the isotropy representation of H on $T_{eH}(G/H)$, which can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible summands. By Schur's lemma a G -invariant metric on G/H restricts to each of the irreducible summands as a constant multiple of the Killing form. Conversely, any choice of positive-definite multiples of the Killing form for each irreducible summand uniquely specifies a G -invariant metric on G/H . The determination of invariant Einstein metrics in [29], [13], [2] proceeds by solving—if possible—the algebraic system for the multiples of the Killing form given by the equation making the Ricci tensor proportional to the metric. For example, if the isotropy representation is irreducible, then the normal homogeneous metric given by the Killing form is Einstein, and is the only invariant Einstein metric. For the partial flag manifolds F_n the isotropy representation decomposes into the direct sum of three

irreducible summands, one of real dimension 2 and two of real dimension $2n$, see (6) below. For F_1 the method of Wang and Ziller [29] yields exactly two non-isometric non-homothetic invariant Einstein metrics, and for F_n with $n \geq 2$ it yields three; compare [2], [13].

The invariant almost complex structures on generalized flag manifolds can be enumerated in the same way, as they correspond to complex structures on the vector space $T_{eH}(G/H)$ invariant under the isotropy representation. Note that every generalized flag manifold admits an invariant complex structure, as its isotropy subgroup is the centralizer of a torus. Therefore, again by Schur's lemma, any isotropy invariant complex structure in $T_{eH}(G/H)$ is unique up to conjugation on every irreducible summand of the isotropy representation. Thus, if the isotropy representation decomposes into p irreducible summands, each admitting a complex structure, then the number of invariant almost complex structures on G/H is 2^p . If we identify complex conjugate structures this leaves 2^{p-1} invariant almost complex structures, but some of these may still be equivalent under automorphisms of G . For the partial flag manifolds F_n we have $p = 3$, so up to conjugation there are always $2^{3-1} = 4$ invariant almost complex structures. However, it will turn out that after taking into account automorphisms we are left with only 3 almost complex structures for $n \geq 2$. For $n = 1$ two of the three are equivalent under an additional automorphism that is not present in the general case.

This enumeration of invariant almost complex structures is too crude to determine which ones are integrable, and for the calculation of Chern classes. Following Borel and Hirzebruch [6] one deals with these two points using the roots of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with respect to a Cartan subalgebra.

Let $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a Cartan subalgebra for \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} . This gives rise to a root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\beta_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\beta_k},$$

where the $\pm\beta_i$ are the complementary roots for $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Note that $T_{eH}(G/H)$ is identified with $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm\beta_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\beta_k}$.

Now any isotropy invariant complex structure on $T_{eH}(G/H)$ is also invariant under the adjoint representation of a maximal torus, and therefore induces a complex structure on each root space $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm\beta_j}$. Comparing this orientation on $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm\beta_j}$ with the orientation given by the adjoint representation, one assigns a sign ± 1 to $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm\beta_j}$. Note, further, that each irreducible summand of the isotropy representation is a sum of some of these root spaces. Therefore, invariant almost complex structures on G/H are specified by choices of signs for the complementary roots compatible with the irreducible summands of the isotropy representation.

The following three lemmata are due to Borel and Hirzebruch [6].

Lemma 1 ([6], 13.7). *An invariant almost complex structure is integrable if and only if one can find an ordering on the coordinates for the Cartan algebra such that its*

corresponding system of complementary roots is positive and closed in the sense that whenever α and β are complementary roots and $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, then $\alpha + \beta$ is a complementary root.

Lemma 2 ([6], 10.8). *For an invariant almost complex structure J its complementary roots β_i considered as elements of $H^2(G/H)$ are the Chern roots, i.e. the total Chern class is*

$$c(T(G/H), J) = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \beta_i).$$

Lemma 3 ([6], 14.10). *Every invariant integrable almost complex structure makes G/H into a rational projective algebraic manifold over \mathbb{C} , all of whose cohomology is of Hodge type (p, p) .*

Remark 1. It is also proved in [6], 13.7, that if for two invariant complex structures on G/H there is an automorphism of the Cartan algebra \mathfrak{t} which carries the root system of one structure into that of the other structure and fixes the root system of H , then these two structures are equivalent under an automorphism of G fixing H .

2.2. Application to the partial flag manifolds F_n . We now specialize this general discussion to the consideration of F_n with $G = SU(n+2)$ and $H = S(U(1) \times U(1) \times U(n))$. At the level of Lie algebras this means that we consider $A_{n+1}/(\mathfrak{t}^2 \oplus A_{n-1})$, with the specific embedding of the subalgebra given by the 3-symmetric structure, see for example [31], [28].

Proposition 1. *The cohomology ring of F_n is*

$$H^*(F_n) = (\mathbb{R}[x, y, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^n]) / \langle P_2, \dots, P_{n+2} \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where

$$P_k = (-y)^k + (-x + y)^k + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i + \frac{1}{n}x \right)^k \quad \text{for } k = 2, \dots, n+2. \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_{n+2} be canonical coordinates on the maximal Abelian subalgebra of A_{n+1} with $x_1 + \dots + x_{n+2} = 0$. Analogously, let x, y be linear coordinates on \mathfrak{t}^2 and y_1, \dots, y_n canonical coordinates on A_{n-1} with $y_1 + \dots + y_n = 0$. It is not hard to see that the relations between x_1, \dots, x_{n+2} and x, y, y_1, \dots, y_n are as follows (cf. [28]):

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= y_i + \frac{1}{n}x \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ x_{n+1} &= -x + y, \quad x_{n+2} = -y. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Cartan’s theorem on the cohomology of compact homogeneous spaces together with the relations (3) implies that for $G = SU(n + 2)$ and $H = S(U(1) \times U(1) \times U(n))$ the cohomology ring of the quotient is given by (1) and (2). \square

The relations P_k for $k = 2, \dots, n$ eliminate the cohomology generators $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^n$. It follows that $H^*(F_n)$ is generated by the two generators x and y of degree 2, with relations in degrees $n + 1$ and $n + 2$.

Lemma 4. *The complementary roots for A_{n+1} with respect to $\mathfrak{t}^2 \oplus A_{n-1}$ are, up to sign, the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - x_{n+2} &= 2y - x, \text{ and} \\ x_i - x_{n+1} &= y_i + \frac{n + 1}{n}x - y \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ x_i - x_{n+2} &= y_i + \frac{1}{n}x + y \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Proof. The roots for the algebra A_{n+1} are $\pm(x_i - x_j)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n + 2$, and for the subalgebra A_{n-1} the roots are $\pm(y_i - y_j)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Using the relations (3), we can express the roots for A_{n+1} in the form

$$\pm(y_i - y_j), \quad \pm\left(y_i + \frac{1}{n}x + y\right), \quad \pm(x - 2y), \quad \pm\left(y_i + \frac{n + 1}{n}x - y\right).$$

From this it is clear that the complementary roots are given by (4). \square

We now deduce the following classification of invariant almost complex structures.

Proposition 2. *The homogeneous space F_n admits at most three invariant almost complex structures I , J and \hat{J} , up to equivalence and conjugation. Their roots are:*

$$\begin{aligned} I: & \quad y_i + \frac{n + 1}{n}x - y, \quad y_i + \frac{1}{n}x + y, \quad -x + 2y, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ J: & \quad y_i + \frac{n + 1}{n}x - y, \quad -y_i - \frac{1}{n}x - y, \quad x - 2y, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \hat{J}: & \quad y_i + \frac{n + 1}{n}x - y, \quad -y_i - \frac{1}{n}x - y, \quad -x + 2y, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The structures I and J are integrable, and \hat{J} is not.

We will see that for $n = 1$ the integrable structure I is equivalent to the complex conjugate of J under an automorphism, whereas for $n \geq 2$ this is no longer true; in fact the different integrable structures are then distinguished by their Chern classes. The non-integrable invariant almost complex structure is the natural nearly Kähler structure arising from the 3-symmetric structure, as discussed in Subsection 1.3 above.

Proof. From the description of the complementary roots in Lemma 4 it follows that the isotropy representation decomposes into the direct sum of the following three irreducible summands:

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 &= \mathfrak{g}_{x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}}, \\ R_1 &= \mathfrak{g}_{x_1-x_{n+1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{x_n-x_{n+1}}, \\ R_2 &= \mathfrak{g}_{x_1-x_{n+2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{x_n-x_{n+2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

It follows that, up to conjugation, there are 4 invariant almost complex structures. Their roots are given by choosing signs for the irreducible summands of the isotropy representation. Up to conjugation, we may take the following signs:

- (a) R_0^+, R_1^+, R_2^+ ,
- (b) R_0^-, R_1^+, R_2^+ ,
- (c) R_0^-, R_1^+, R_2^- ,
- (d) R_0^+, R_1^+, R_2^- .

The invariant almost complex structures given by the first three choices are integrable by Lemma 1, as their roots correspond to the orderings $x_1 < \cdots < x_{n+1} < x_{n+2}$, $x_1 < \cdots < x_{n+2} < x_{n+1}$ and $x_{n+2} < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n+1}$, respectively. Moreover, the automorphism of the maximal Abelian subalgebra for A_{n+1} given by interchanging x_{n+1} and x_{n+2} maps the complementary roots of the first structure to the complementary roots of the second structure and leaves the root system of A_{n-1} invariant. Therefore, it follows from Remark 1 that these two structures are equivalent under an automorphism of the homogeneous space.

The fourth structure is not integrable, as there is no ordering on the coordinates x_1, \dots, x_{n+2} for which the roots defining this structure are positive.

Thus there are two integrable and one non-integrable invariant almost complex structure on F_n . The roots in (5) arise from (a) for I , (c) for J and (d) for \hat{J} by combining (6) (with the appropriate signs) with (4). \square

3. Chern numbers from Lie theory

We now calculate certain Chern classes and Chern numbers of the invariant almost complex structures on F_n using Lie theory.

Example 1. The first Chern classes for the structures I , J and \hat{J} are obtained immediately from Lemma 2 using the description of the corresponding roots in Proposition 2. The result is:

$$c_1(I) = (n+1)x + 2y, \quad c_1(J) = (n+1)(x-2y), \quad c_1(\hat{J}) = (n-1)(x-2y).$$

Example 2. The cohomology relation P_2 from (2) gives that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 = 2xy - 2y^2 - \frac{n+1}{n}x^2.$$

Using this, we compute the second Chern classes for the invariant almost structures using Lemma 2 and the description of their roots given by Proposition 2. The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(I) &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2}x^2 + (3n+2)xy + (2-n)y^2, \\ c_2(J) &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2}x^2 + (-2n^2 - 3n - 2)xy + (2n^2 + 3n + 2)y^2, \\ c_2(\hat{J}) &= \frac{n(n-3)}{2}x^2 + (-2n^2 + 5n - 2)xy + (2n^2 - 5n + 2)y^2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. Proposition 2 implies that for even n the structures I and \hat{J} define the same orientation on F_n , while J defines the opposite orientation. For odd n , the orientations given by I and J are the same, while the one given by \hat{J} is different. This fact will show up in the sign of their top Chern classes in the calculations we provide below for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$.

To calculate Chern numbers explicitly we now consider the cases where n is small.

3.1. The complete flag manifold F_1 . The case $n = 1$ is special because the three irreducible summands of the isotropy representation are all of the same real dimension equal to two. The map interchanging x_1 and x_2 but fixing x_3 defines an automorphism of A_2 fixing the Abelian subalgebra \mathfrak{t}^2 which interchanges the invariant complex structure I and the complex conjugate of J on F_1 . It follows in particular that they have the same Chern numbers.

In this case the two cohomology generators x and y satisfy the relations $y^2 - xy + x^2 = 0$ and $-y^3 + (y-x)^3 + x^3 = 0$ obtained from (2) by setting $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ respectively. The second relation simplifies to $xy^2 = x^2y$, which together with the first relation implies $x^3 = y^3 = 0$. Using the relations we find, in addition to $c_1(I) = 2(x+y)$ from Example 1, that $c_2(I) = 6xy$ and $c_3(I) = 6x^2y$. Clearly the topological Euler characteristic is 6, so $x^2y = xy^2$ is the positive cohomology generator in top degree with respect to the orientation defined by the complex structure I . Multiplying out and using the relations again we find the well known values for the Chern numbers: $c_1c_2(I) = 24$ and $c_1^3(I) = 48$.

For the non-integrable invariant almost complex structure \hat{J} we already know $c_1(\hat{J}) = 0$ by setting $n = 1$ in the formula in Example 1. Thus $c_1c_2(\hat{J}) = c_1^3(\hat{J}) = 0$.

By the discussion in Subsection 1.3, the non-integrable invariant almost complex structure \hat{J} is nearly Kähler because A_2 and t^2 form a 3-symmetric pair. It is a result of Gray [10] that every non-Kähler nearly Kähler manifold of real dimension 6 has vanishing first Chern class.

3.2. The case $n = 2$. This is the example mentioned first by Borel and Hirzebruch in [6], 13.9 and 24.11, and then in [12]. There only the values of c_1^5 are given for two different invariant complex structures. These are the I and J discussed above, and we now give complete calculations for their Chern numbers.

The formula (2) for the cohomology relations gives, for $k = 2$, that $y_1 = z$ satisfies $z^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}x^2 - 2xy + 2y^2)$. Therefore, using again (1) and (2), we see that the generators x and y of the cohomology algebra of F_2 satisfy the relations

$$x^3 = 2(x^2y - xy^2), \quad y^4 = 0.$$

This gives the following relations in top degree cohomology:

$$y^5 = xy^4 = 0, \quad x^4y = x^3y^2 = 2x^2y^3.$$

Using Lemma 2 and the relations in cohomology we find that the Chern classes of I are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(I) &= 3x + 2y, & c_2(I) &= 3x^2 + 8xy, & c_3(I) &= -x^3 + 14x^2y, \\ c_4(I) &= -14x^3y + 14x^2y^2 - 8xy^3, & c_5(I) &= 12x^2y^3. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Euler characteristic of this space is 12, we obtain the Chern numbers given in the first column of the table in the introduction.

For J we find in the same way

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(J) &= 3(x - 2y), & c_2(J) &= 3x^2 - 16xy + 16y^2, \\ c_3(J) &= x^3 - 14x^2y + 36xy^2 - 24y^3, \\ c_4(J) &= -2x^3y + 22x^2y^2 - 40xy^3, & c_5(J) &= -12x^2y^3. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying out and using the relations in cohomology this leads to the Chern numbers given in the second column of Table 1.

There are several ways to check that we have not made numerical mistakes in the calculations. First of all, as explained in Sections 6 and 7 below, all these numbers can be calculated in a completely different way without using Lie theory, and that calculation leads to the same results. Second of all, the Chern numbers must satisfy certain relations imposed by the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch theorem. For the arithmetic genus of our five-fold F_2 , HRR gives

$$\sum_{q=0}^5 (-1)^q h^{0,q} = \frac{1}{1440} (-c_1c_4 + c_1^2c_3 + 3c_1c_2^2 - c_1^3c_2).$$

The left hand side is $= 1$ because all the cohomology is of type (p, p) by Lemma 3. Substituting the values of the Chern numbers computed above into the right hand side provides a non-trivial consistency check.

In the same way as we did the calculation for the integrable structures, we can also compute the Chern classes for the non-integrable invariant complex structure \hat{J} :

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\hat{J}) &= x - 2y, & c_2(\hat{J}) &= -x^2, & c_3(\hat{J}) &= -x^3 + 6x^2y - 12xy^2 + 8y^3, \\ c_4(\hat{J}) &= 6x^3y - 18x^2y^2 + 24xy^3, & c_5(\hat{J}) &= 12x^2y^3. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the Chern numbers in the third column of Table 1.

3.3. The case $n = 3$. Now we consider F_3 , of real dimension 14. From (1) it follows that its real cohomology algebra has two generators x and y of degree 2 and the relations in degree 4 and 6 are

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 y_i^2 = 2xy - \frac{4}{3}x^2 - 2y^2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i^3 = \frac{20}{9}x^3 - 5x^2y + 5xy^2.$$

Taking into account these expressions, the relations in degree 8 and 10 produce the following relations between x and y :

$$x^4 + y^4 - 3x^3y + 4x^2y^2 - 2xy^3, \quad y^5 = 0.$$

Therefore in degree 12 we get that x and y satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} y^6 = xy^5 = 0, & \quad x^4x^2 = -4x^2y^4 + 3x^3y^3, \\ x^5y = -10x^2y^4 + 5x^3y^3, & \quad x^6 = -15x^2y^4 + 5x^3y^3. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that in top degree cohomology we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^7 = xy^6 = x^2y^5 = 0, & \quad x^4y^3 = 3x^3y^4, \quad x^5y^2 = 5x^3y^4, \\ x^6y = 5x^3y^4, & \quad x^7 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2 and the cohomology relations we find for I :

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(I) &= 4x + 2y, & c_2(I) &= 6x^2 + 11xy - y^2, & c_3(I) &= 4x^3 + 21x^2y + 3xy^2 - 2y^3, \\ c_4(I) &= -5x^4 + 35x^3y - 5x^2y^2, & c_5(I) &= 5x^4y + 25x^3y^2 - 10x^2y^3, \\ c_6(I) &= 15x^4y^2 - 5x^3y^3, & c_7(I) &= 20x^3y^4. \end{aligned}$$

Now by a direct calculation one can obtain all the Chern numbers for I in this case. These are the numbers contained in the first column of Table 2.

We can also calculate the Chern classes and Chern numbers for J and for \hat{J} in the same way. We obtain that the Chern classes for J are:

$$\begin{aligned}c_1(J) &= 4x - 2y, & c_2(J) &= 6x^2 - 29xy + 29y^2, \\c_3(J) &= 4x^3 - 39x^2y + 93xy^2 - 62y^3, \\c_4(J) &= -85x^4 + 235x^3y - 235x^2y^2, \\c_5(J) &= 1095x^4y - 1245x^3y^2 + 230x^2y^3, \\c_6(J) &= -30x^4y^2 + 50x^3y^3, & c_7(J) &= 20x^3y^4.\end{aligned}$$

For \hat{J} the Chern classes are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}c_1(\hat{J}) &= 2x - 4y, & c_2(\hat{J}) &= -5xy + 5y^2, & c_3(\hat{J}) &= -2x^3 + 7x^2y - 9xy^2 + 6y^3, \\c_4(\hat{J}) &= 25x^4 - 65x^3y + 65x^2y^2, & c_5(\hat{J}) &= -45x^4y + 115x^3y^2 - 110x^2y^3, \\c_6(\hat{J}) &= 15x^4y^2 - 25x^3y^3, & c_7(\hat{J}) &= -20x^3y^4.\end{aligned}$$

The Chern classes for J and \hat{J} lead to the second and third columns of Table 2.

4. The complex geometry of F_n

We now give geometric descriptions of the almost Hermitian structures of F_n without using Lie theory or Gray's results on the structure of 3-symmetric spaces.

We think of F_n as being a partial flag manifold, as follows:

$$F_n = \{(L, P) \mid P \text{ a 2-plane in } \mathbb{C}^{n+2}, L \text{ a line in } P\}.$$

This has a natural complex projective-algebraic structure with ample anti-canonical bundle and a Kähler–Einstein metric of positive scalar curvature. With respect to this complex structure, there are two forgetful holomorphic maps, mapping a pair (L, P) to either L or P . On the one hand, the map to L gives a fibration

$$p: F_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n+1},$$

exhibiting F_n as the projectivized tangent bundle of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$. On the other hand, the map $(L, P) \mapsto P$ defines a holomorphic fibration

$$\pi: F_n \longrightarrow G_n,$$

where $G_n = Gr_{2,n}$ is the Grassmannian of complex 2-planes in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} . The fiber of π is $\mathbb{C}P^1$.

The Grassmannian

$$G_n = SU(n+2)/S(U(n) \times U(2))$$

has a homogeneous complex structure, which is unique up to conjugation. With respect to this structure G_n is a Hermitian symmetric space and carries a Kähler–Einstein metric. This metric is quaternionic Kähler in the sense of Salamon [23], meaning that its reduced holonomy group is contained in $Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1)$, the quotient of $Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$ by the subgroup $\{\pm(1, 1)\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, the scalar curvature of this metric is positive.

To any quaternionic Kähler $4n$ -manifold M with positive scalar curvature, Salamon [23] associates a twistor space Z , which is the total space of a certain S^2 -bundle over M , together with a complex structure J and compatible Kähler–Einstein metric g of positive scalar curvature on the total space, with the following properties, see [23], [19]:

- the projection $\pi: Z \rightarrow M$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers of constant Gaussian curvature,
- the fibers of π are holomorphic curves in Z (although M is not usually complex, so that π is not holomorphic in any sense), and
- the orthogonal complement D of the tangent bundle along the fibers $T\pi$ is a holomorphic contact distribution.

Just like in the real case, a contact distribution is a maximally non-integrable hyperplane distribution. Given $D \subset TZ$, let $L = TZ/D$ be the quotient line bundle and $\alpha: TZ \rightarrow L$ the projection with kernel D . The maximal non-integrability of D means that if we think of α as a one-form with values in L , then the $(2n+1)$ -form $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$ with values in L^{n+1} is no-where zero. Thus $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$ is an isomorphism between the anti-canonical bundle K^{-1} and L^{n+1} . In particular $c_1(Z) = c_1(K^{-1}) = (n+1)c_1(L)$. This relation, for arbitrary holomorphic contact manifolds, was already observed by Kobayashi [14].

As the fibers of π are holomorphic curves in Z , the tangent bundle along the fibers $T\pi$ is a complex line bundle over Z . The projection α gives an isomorphism between $T\pi$ and L . Thus, disregarding the holomorphic structure, we have an isomorphism $TZ \cong L \oplus D$ of complex vector bundles over Z .

Following Eells and Salamon [8] one can define another almost Hermitian structure (\hat{J}, \hat{g}) on Z as follows. With respect to \hat{g} the subbundles $T\pi$ and D of TZ are orthogonal, and \hat{g} agrees with g on D . For $v, w \in T\pi$ we define $\hat{g}(v, w) = \frac{1}{2}g(v, w)$. The subbundles $T\pi$ and D of TZ are invariant under \hat{J} , and \hat{J} agrees with J on D and agrees with $-J$ on $T\pi$. According to [1], [22], the pair (\hat{J}, \hat{g}) satisfies $(\nabla_v \hat{J})v = 0$ for all vector fields v , where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of \hat{g} . This precisely means that (\hat{J}, \hat{g}) is a nearly Kähler structure in the sense of Gray [10]. Note that by

the definition of \hat{J} , the complex vector bundle (TZ, \hat{J}) is isomorphic to $L^{-1} \oplus D$. This will allow us to determine the Chern classes of the nearly Kähler structure from those of the twistor space structure.

5. The cohomology ring and some consequences

We can easily describe the cohomology ring of F_n explicitly using its description as the projectivization of the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$.

Proposition 3. *The cohomology ring of F_n is generated by two elements x and y of degree 2, subject to the relations*

$$x^{n+2} = 0, \quad \frac{(x + y)^{n+2} - x^{n+2}}{y} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Consider the fibration $p: F_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ given by the projectivization of the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$. Let $x = p^*(H)$ denote the pullback of the hyperplane class. Then $x^{n+2} = 0$ for dimension reasons. Let y be the tautological class on the total space, restricting to the hyperplane class on every fiber. By the Leray–Hirsch theorem the cohomology ring of F_n is a module over the cohomology ring of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, generated by the class y .

The definition of Chern classes shows

$$y^{n+1} + c_1 y^n + \cdots + c_{n+1} = 0, \quad (8)$$

where the c_i are the pullbacks to the total space of the Chern classes of the base. As the total Chern class of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ is given by $(1 + H)^{n+2}$, the relation (8) can be rewritten as $((x + y)^{n+2} - x^{n+2})/y = 0$. \square

The proposition holds for integral coefficients, so the class $x^{n+1}y^n$ generates the top degree integral cohomology of F_n . Monomials of the form $x^m y^{2n+1-m}$ vanish if $m > n + 1$. The remaining relations in top degree are given explicitly by

$$x^{n+1-k} y^{n+k} = (-1)^k \binom{n+1+k}{k} x^{n+1} y^n \quad \text{for } k \leq n+1. \quad (9)$$

This can easily be derived from (7) by induction on k .

The Poincaré polynomial of F_n is

$$P_{F_n}(t) = (1 + t^2 + \cdots + t^{2n})(1 + t^2 + \cdots + t^{2n+2}). \quad (10)$$

Therefore the Betti numbers of F_n are

$$b_{2p}(F_n) = b_{4n+2-2p}(F_n) = p + 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq p \leq n, \quad (11)$$

and zero otherwise. Note that additively the cohomology of F_n is the same as that of $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, but the ring structure is different.

5.1. Failure of geometric formality. Recall that a closed manifold is called geometrically formal if it admits a Riemannian metric for which wedge products of harmonic forms are harmonic; cf. [15]. We now prove the following:

Theorem 1. *For all $n \geq 1$, any closed oriented manifold M with the cohomology ring of $F_n = SU(n+2)/S(U(n) \times U(1) \times U(1))$ is not geometrically formal.*

This is a consequence of the ring structure on cohomology, bringing out the difference between F_n and $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$. The latter is a symmetric space, and therefore geometrically formal. The case $n = 1$ was proved in [17], where we also considered other homogeneous spaces G/H where H is a torus. The following proof shows that the arguments of [17] apply much more generally.

Proof. Let x and $y \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be as in Proposition 3, so that $x^{n+1}y^n$ is a generator for the top cohomology of M . We can use x and $z = x + y$ as a basis for the cohomology. Then $z^{n+2} = 0$ by Proposition 3, but

$$x^n z^{n+1} = x^n (y^{n+1} + (n+1)xy^n) = -x^{n+1}y^n \neq 0$$

by (9).

Suppose now that M was geometrically formal. Then, identifying the harmonic forms for a formal metric with their cohomology classes, the above relations hold for the harmonic forms. Thus $x^{n+2} = z^{n+2} = 0$, but both x^{n+1} and z^{n+1} are nowhere zero, because $x^{n+1}y^n = -x^n z^{n+1}$ is a volume form. Thus both x and y are closed 2-forms of rank $2n+2$, with kernels of rank $2n$.

Now rewriting (8) in terms of x and z we obtain

$$z^{n+1} + xz^n + x^2z^{n-1} \dots + x^{n+1} = 0$$

at the level of forms. Contracting this equation with a local basis v_1, \dots, v_{2n} for the kernel of x , we find

$$i_{v_1} \dots i_{v_{2n}} z^{n+1} + x \wedge i_{v_1} \dots i_{v_{2n}} z^n = 0.$$

Next, contract this equation with w in the kernel of z , to obtain

$$i_w x \wedge i_{v_1} \dots i_{v_{2n}} z^n = 0.$$

This implies that $x^{n+1}z^n$ cannot be a volume form, contradicting the metric formality of M . \square

5.2. Kähler structures and Hodge numbers. The structure of the cohomology ring has the following implications for the Hodge and Chern numbers of Kähler structures:

Theorem 2. *Let M be any closed Kähler manifold with the cohomology ring of F_n . Then all its cohomology is of Hodge type (p, p) . In particular $h^{p,p} = b_{2p}$, and all other Hodge numbers vanish.*

The Chern numbers of M satisfy

$$c_{2n+1} = (n+1)(n+2), \quad (12)$$

$$c_1 c_{2n} = (n+1)^3(n+2). \quad (13)$$

The statement about the Hodge structure is a generalization of the corresponding statement for homogeneous complex structures in Lemma 3. Formula (13) does not hold for a non-integrable nearly Kähler structure, see (30) below.

Proof. For any Kähler manifold with the same cohomology ring as F_n we have $h^{1,1} + 2h^{2,0} = b_2(M) = b_2(F_n)$. As $h^{1,1} \geq 1$ and $b_2 = 2$, we conclude $h^{1,1} = b_2 = 2$, and $h^{2,0} = 0$. By Proposition 3 the cohomology ring is generated by $H^2(M) = H^{1,1}(M)$, and so all the cohomology is of type (p, p) .

The top Chern number c_{2n+1} is just the topological Euler number $P_{F_n}(-1) = (n+1)(n+2)$.

It is known that for any compact complex manifold of complex dimension m the Chern number $c_1 c_{m-1}$ is determined by the Hodge numbers, see [20], [25]. As our F_n of complex dimension $2n+1$ has the same Hodge numbers as the product $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, we conclude $c_1 c_{2n}(M) = c_1 c_{2n}(\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^{n+1})$. The value of this last Chern number on $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ can be determined by a standard calculation. Alternatively, Proposition 2.3 of Libgober and Wood [20] gives

$$\sum_{p=2}^{2n+1} (-1)^p \binom{p}{2} \chi_p = \frac{1}{12} ((2n+1)(3n-1)c_{2n+1} + c_1 c_{2n}), \quad (14)$$

with

$$\chi_p = \sum_{q=0}^{2n+1} (-1)^q h^{p,q}.$$

As all the cohomology is of type (p, p) we obtain

$$\chi_p = (-1)^p h^{p,p} = (-1)^p b_{2p}.$$

Substituting the values of the Betti numbers from (11), and plugging the result into (14), a lengthy calculation involving identities for sums of binomial coefficients leads to (13). \square

The other Chern numbers are not in general determined by the Hodge numbers, and may vary with the complex structure under consideration. We carry out the relevant calculations in the next two sections.

6. Chern numbers for the standard complex structure

Here is the general formula for the Chern classes of the standard complex structure on F_n :

Proposition 4. *The total Chern class of F_n is*

$$c(F_n) = \frac{(1+x)^{n+2}(1+x+y)^{n+2}}{1+y}. \quad (15)$$

Proof. The fibration $p: F_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ is holomorphic, so we can calculate $c(F_n)$ as the product of the total Chern classes of $p^*(T\mathbb{C}P^{n+1})$ and of Tp , the tangent bundle along the fibers. As mentioned above, the total Chern class of $p^*(T\mathbb{C}P^{n+1})$ is $(1+x)^{n+2}$. For the calculation of $c(Tp)$ consider the exact sequence

$$L^{-1} \longrightarrow p^*(T\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}) \longrightarrow L^{-1} \otimes Tp,$$

where L is the fiberwise hyperplane bundle on the total space. As $c_1(L^{-1}) = -y$, we can write formally

$$c(Tp) = (1+x+y)^{n+2}(1-y+y^2-y^3+\dots) = \frac{(1+x+y)^{n+2}}{1+y}. \quad \square$$

Combining this with Proposition 3, one can calculate all the Chern numbers of F_n . The calculation is completely elementary, but very tedious. It gives results like the following:

Theorem 3. *For the standard complex structure on F_n , the projectivization of the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, we have*

$$c_1^{2n+1}(F_n) = 2(n+1)^n(n+3)^n \binom{2n+1}{n}, \quad (16)$$

$$c_1^{2n-1} c_2(F_n) = 4(n^4 + 7n^3 + 17n^2 + 16n + 7)(n+1)^{n-2}(n+3)^{n-2} \binom{2n-1}{n}. \quad (17)$$

Proof. From Proposition 4 we have

$$c_1(F_n) = (n+1)(x+y) + (n+3)x.$$

Using the relations $x^{n+2} = 0 = (x+y)^{n+2}$ and (9), this gives

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^{2n+1}(F_n) &= \binom{2n+1}{n} ((n+1)^{n+1}(n+3)^n x^n (x+y)^{n+1} \\ &\quad + (n+1)^n (n+3)^{n+1} x^{n+1} (x+y)^n) \\ &= (n+1)^n (n+3)^n \binom{2n+1}{n} ((n+1)x^n (x+y)^{n+1} \\ &\quad + (n+3)x^{n+1} (x+y)^n) \\ &= (n+1)^n (n+3)^n \binom{2n+1}{n} ((n+1)(x^n y^{n+1} \\ &\quad + (n+1)x^{n+1} y^n) + (n+3)x^{n+1} y^n) \\ &= (n+1)^n (n+3)^n \binom{2n+1}{n} \cdot 2. \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation proves (17) using the expression

$$c_2(F_n) = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 + 5n + 8)x^2 + (n^2 + 4n + 2)x(x+y) + \binom{n+1}{2}(x+y)^2$$

obtained from Proposition 4. □

For $n = 1$ Theorem 3 gives $c_1^3(F_1) = 48$ and $c_1 c_2(F_1) = 24$. The latter value is actually determined by the Hodge numbers, and can be obtained from (13) as well.

6.1. The case $n = 2$. Here Theorem 2 gives $c_5(F_2) = 12$ and $c_1 c_4(F_2) = 108$. Theorem 3 gives us $c_1^5(F_2) = 4500$, which checks with the value given in [6], [12], and $c_1^3 c_2(F_2) = 2148$. In this case it remains to calculate $c_1 c_2^2$, $c_1^2 c_3$ and $c_2 c_3$. We do this in some detail in order to illustrate some shortcuts in calculations making Proposition 4 explicit. These shortcuts are useful when calculating for F_n with larger n .

The tangent bundle of F_n has a complex splitting into $p^*(T\mathbb{C}P^{n+1})$ and TP , which have almost equal ranks. Therefore, computing certain Chern classes of F_n

using the Whitney sum formula there are not too many summands. By the proof of Proposition 4, the total Chern class of Tp is

$$c(Tp) = \frac{(1+x+y)^{n+2}}{1+y}.$$

However, as the rank of Tp is n , we can truncate this at terms of degree n . In the case at hand $n = 2$, and we have

$$c(Tp) = 1 + (4x + 3y) + (6x^2 + 8xy + 3y^2).$$

Combining this with $c(p^*(T\mathbb{C}P^{n+1})) = 1 + 4x + 6x^2 + 4x^3$ and using the Whitney sum formula, we find

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(F_2) &= 8x + 3y, \\ c_2(F_2) &= 28x^2 + 20xy + 3y^2, \\ c_3(F_2) &= 52x^3 + 50x^2y + 12xy^2. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying out using $x^4 = 0$, and substituting from (9), we quickly obtain the numbers given in the first column of the table in the introduction.

6.2. The case $n = 3$. Here Theorem 2 gives $c_7(F_3) = 20$ and $c_1c_6(F_3) = 320$. Theorem 3 gives us $c_1^7(F_3) = 967680$ and $c_1^5c_2(F_3) = 458880$. We have completed the calculation of all the Chern numbers in this case using the procedure outlined above. The results are presented Table 2. We shall not reproduce the details of the calculation here, but we mention some of the intermediary steps.

Here $TF_3 = p^*(T\mathbb{C}P^4) \oplus Tp$ splits as a direct sum of complex vector bundles of rank 4 and 3 respectively. To find the Chern classes of Tp we look at

$$c(Tp) = \frac{(1+x+y)^5}{1+y}$$

and ignore all terms of degree larger than 3 to obtain

$$c(Tp) = 1 + (5x + 4y) + (10x^2 + 15xy + 6y^2) + (10x^3 + 20x^2y + 15xy^2 + 4y^3).$$

Multiplying $(1+x)^5$ with $c(Tp)$ and using $x^5 = 0$ together with (9), we find, in addition to $c_1(F_3) = 10x + 4y$ and $c_2(F_3) = 45x^2 + 35xy + 6y^2$, which were already mentioned in the proof of Theorem 3, the following:

$$\begin{aligned} c_3(F_3) &= 120x^3 + 135x^2y + 45xy^2 + 4y^3, \\ c_4(F_3) &= 5(41x^4 + 58x^3y + 27x^2y^2 + 4xy^3), \\ c_5(F_3) &= 10(37x^4y + 21x^3y^2 + 4x^2y^3). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying out and again using (9), we obtain the numbers given in Table 2.

7. Chern numbers of the twistor space

Let us denote by Z_n the twistor space of the Grassmannian G_n . Then Z_n is diffeomorphic to F_n , the projectivization of $T\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, but has a different complex structure, as described in Section 4. The complex structure of the twistor space is in fact given by the projectivization of the cotangent bundle of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, and the holomorphic contact structure of the twistor space mentioned in Section 4 is the tautological contact structure of $\mathbb{P}(T^*\mathbb{C}P^{n+1})$; see [5], [30], [24], [19].

To calculate the Chern numbers of $Z_n = \mathbb{P}(T^*\mathbb{C}P^{n+1})$ we shall follow the same approach as for the projectivization of the tangent bundle. First we write down the cohomology ring in a way which is adapted to the projectivization of the cotangent bundle:

Proposition 5. *The cohomology ring of Z_n is generated by two elements x and z of degree 2, subject to the relations*

$$x^{n+2} = 0, \quad \frac{(x-z)^{n+2} - x^{n+2}}{z} = 0. \quad (18)$$

We omit the proof because it is exactly the same as that of Proposition 3. The proposition holds for integral coefficients, so the class $x^{n+1}z^n$ generates the top degree integral cohomology of Z_n . Monomials of the form $x^m z^{2n+1-m}$ vanish if $m > n+1$. The remaining relations in top degree are given explicitly by

$$x^{n+1-k} z^{n+k} = \binom{n+1+k}{k} x^{n+1} z^n \quad \text{for } k \leq n+1. \quad (19)$$

This can easily be derived from (18) by induction on k .

Next we determine the total Chern class of Z_n .

Proposition 6. *In the generators x and z from Proposition 5, the total Chern class of Z_n is*

$$c(Z_n) = \frac{(1+x)^{n+2}(1-x+z)^{n+2}}{1+z}. \quad (20)$$

Again we omit the proof, because it is exactly the same as the proof of Proposition 4.

Remark 3. The complex anti-linear isomorphism between $T\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ and $T^*\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ induces a diffeomorphism between F_n and Z_n which pulls back x to x and z to $-y$. In the basis x and y which we used for F_n , the total Chern class of Z_n is:

$$c(Z_n) = \frac{(1+x)^{n+2}(1-x-y)^{n+2}}{1-y}.$$

Note that the relations in the cohomology ring are neater when expressed in terms of x and z , rather than in terms of x and y . On the top degree generators, $x^{n+1}z^n$ is pulled back to $(-1)^n x^{n+1}y^n$. Thus, the diffeomorphism is orientation-preserving if and only if n is even. For n odd we get different generators in top degree, and we may have to replace one of the complex structures by its conjugate to get the same orientation.

Combining Propositions 5 and 6, one can calculate all the Chern numbers of Z_n . The calculation is again completely elementary, but rather tedious, although it is a little less so than for the standard complex structure, due to the more convenient presentation of the cohomology ring, and an easier to handle formula for the first Chern class. This calculation leads to results like the following:

Theorem 4. *For the projectivization Z_n of the cotangent bundle of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, we have*

$$c_1^{2n+1}(Z_n) = (n+1)^{2n+1} \binom{2n+2}{n+1}, \quad (21)$$

$$c_1^{2n-1}c_2(Z_n) = 2(n+1)^{2n-1}(n^2+n+1) \binom{2n}{n}, \quad (22)$$

$$c_1^{2n-3}c_2^2(Z_n) = (n+1)^{2n-3}n(4n^3+8n^2+10n+5) \binom{2n-2}{n-1}. \quad (23)$$

Proof. The previous proposition gives in particular $c_1(Z_n) = (n+1)z$ and

$$c_2(Z_n) = -(n+2)x^2 + (n+2)xz + \binom{n+1}{2}z^2.$$

From this one computes mechanically using the relations (19). □

For $n = 1$ we find $c_1^3(Z_1) = 48$ and $c_1c_2(Z_1) = 24$. These are of course the same values as for F_1 , compare Subsection 3.1. However, for larger n we find in particular:

Corollary 1. *For all $n > 1$ one has $c_1^{2n+1}(Z_n) \neq c_1^{2n+1}(F_n)$.*

Example 3. For $n = 2$ Theorem 4 gives $c_1c_2^2(Z_2) = 1068$, $c_1^3c_2(Z_2) = 2268$ and $c_1^5(Z_2) = 4860$. This last value checks with a value given in [6], [12]. From Theorem 2 we have $c_1c_4(Z_2) = 108$, so that the only Chern numbers left to compute for Z_2 are $c_1^2c_3$ and c_2c_3 . Using the procedure applied to F_2 we determine an explicit formula for $c_3(Z_2)$, and multiplying out gives the numbers in the middle column of Table 1.

Example 4. For $n = 3$ Theorems 2 and 4 tell us some of the Chern numbers. To calculate all of them we can apply the method outlined in the previous section. For the Chern classes we know already that $c_1(Z_3) = 4z$ and $c_2(Z_3) = -5x^2 + 5xz + 6z^2$, and now we find

$$\begin{aligned}c_3(Z_3) &= -15x^3 + 15xz^2 + 4z^3, \\c_4(Z_3) &= 5(x^4 - 2x^3z - 3x^2z^2 + 4xz^3), \\c_5(Z_3) &= 10(7x^4z - 9x^3z^2 + 4x^2z^3).\end{aligned}$$

This leads to the Chern numbers given in the middle column in Table 2.

To end this section we discuss the relationship between our calculations and a special case of those of Semmelmann and Weingart [26]. The holomorphic line bundle L on the twistor space is ample, because $L^{n+1} = K^{-1}$ and K^{-1} is ample for any complex manifold with a Kähler–Einstein metric of positive scalar curvature. Thus one can consider (Z_n, L) as a polarised projective algebraic variety with Hilbert polynomial

$$P(r) = \chi(Z_n, \mathcal{O}(L^r)) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i(Z_n, \mathcal{O}(L^r)).$$

By the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch theorem, this can be calculated as

$$P(r) = \langle ch(L^r) \text{Todd}(Z_n), [Z_n] \rangle,$$

which is a polynomial of degree $2n + 1$ in r . As we know all the Chern classes of Z_n and the Chern class of L , we can in principle calculate the Hilbert polynomial. Conversely, if we know the Hilbert polynomial, then we can read off all the combinations of Chern numbers which appear in it as coefficients of powers of r . Let us just write out the terms of highest degree in r :

$$\begin{aligned}P(r) &= \frac{1}{(2n+1)!(n+1)^{2n+1}} c_1(Z_n)^{2n+1} r^{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2(2n)!(n+1)^{2n}} c_1(Z_n)^{2n+1} r^{2n} \\ &+ \frac{1}{12(2n-1)!(n+1)^{2n-1}} (c_1(Z_n)^{2n+1} + c_1(Z_n)^{2n-1} c_2(Z_n)) r^{2n-1} + \dots.\end{aligned}$$

Now Semmelmann and Weingart [26] have calculated the Hilbert polynomial of the twistor space of the Grassmannian explicitly:

$$P(r) = \frac{n+2r+1}{n+1} \binom{n+r}{r}^2.$$

Expanding this in powers of r we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n+2r+1}{n+1} \binom{n+r}{r}^2 \\ &= \frac{2}{n!(n+1)!} r^{2n+1} + \frac{2n+1}{(n!)^2} r^{2n} + \frac{3n^2+4n+2}{3(n-1)!n!} r^{2n-1} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of r^{2n+1} in the two expansions, we find

$$c_1^{2n+1}(Z_n) = 2(n+1)^{2n+1} \binom{2n+1}{n}. \quad (24)$$

This agrees with (21).

One can determine further combinations of Chern numbers for Z_n by looking at the terms of lower order in r . The coefficients of r^{2n} give no new information, but provide a consistency check for the calculation of $c_1^{2n+1}(Z_n)$. Combining this calculation with the comparison of the coefficients of r^{2n-1} , we find

$$c_1^{2n-1} c_2(Z_n) = 4(n^2+n+1)(n+1)^{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{n}. \quad (25)$$

This agrees with (22).

One could calculate some more Chern numbers by looking at the further terms in the expansions, but this would not be enough to compute all the Chern numbers of Z_n .

8. Chern numbers of the nearly Kähler structure

We denote by N_n the smooth manifold underlying F_n and Z_n , but endowed with the non-integrable almost complex structure \hat{J} that is part of the nearly Kähler structure defined at the end of Section 4. The Chern classes of N_n are given by the following:

Proposition 7. *In the generators x and z from Proposition 5, the total Chern class of N_n is*

$$c(N_n) = c(Z_n) \cdot \frac{1-z}{1+z} = \frac{(1+x)^{n+2}(1-x+z)^{n+2}(1-z)}{(1+z)^2}. \quad (26)$$

Proof. The second equality follows from Proposition 6. To prove the first equality, recall from Section 4 that as complex vector bundles we have $TZ_n = L \oplus D$ and $TN_n = L^{-1} \oplus D$. Thus, for the total Chern classes we find

$$c(Z_n) = (1+c_1(L)) \cdot c(D) \quad \text{and} \quad c(N_n) = (1-c_1(L)) \cdot c(D).$$

Furthermore, we have $(n + 1)z = c_1(Z_n) = (n + 1)c_1(L)$. As the cohomology of Z_n is torsion-free, we conclude $c_1(L) = z$, which completes the proof. \square

Combining Propositions 5 and 7, one can calculate all the Chern numbers of N_n . This gives results like the following:

Theorem 5. *For the nearly Kähler manifold N_n we have*

$$c_1^{2n+1}(N_n) = -(n-1)^{2n+1} \binom{2n+2}{n+1}, \quad (27)$$

$$c_1^{2n-1}c_2(N_n) = -4(n-1)^{2n-1}(n^2-n-1) \binom{2n-1}{n+1}, \quad (28)$$

$$c_1^{2n-3}c_2^2(N_n) = -\frac{1}{n+1} \cdot (n-1)^{2n-3} \cdot (4n^5 - 20n^4 + 34n^3 - 17n^2 - 11n + 16) \binom{2n-2}{n-1}, \quad (29)$$

$$c_1c_{2n}(N_n) = (n-1)^2(n+1)(n+2). \quad (30)$$

Proof. The previous proposition gives in particular $c_1(N_n) = (n-1)z$ and

$$c_2(N_n) = -(n+2)x^2 + (n+2)xz + \frac{1}{2}n(n-3)z^2.$$

From this one computes (27), (28) and (29) mechanically using the relations (19). The only new feature is that the almost complex structure \hat{J} of N_n induces the orientation opposite to the one induced by the complex structure of the twistor space Z_n . Therefore $x^{n+1}z^n$ is now the negative rather than the positive generator of the top degree cohomology.

One can also use the argument from the proof of Proposition 7 to calculate Chern numbers of N_n from those of the twistor space Z_n . We use this approach to prove (30).

Recall from Section 4 that as complex vector bundles $TZ_n = L \oplus D$ and $TN_n = L^{-1} \oplus D$, and that $c_1(Z_n) = (n+1)c_1(L)$ and $c_1(N_n) = (n-1)c_1(L)$. For the Chern classes c_1c_{2n} this means

$$c_1c_{2n}(N_n) = (n-1)(c_1(L)c_{2n}(D) - c_1^2(L)c_{2n-1}(D))$$

and

$$c_1c_{2n}(Z_n) = (n+1)(c_1(L)c_{2n}(D) + c_1^2(L)c_{2n-1}(D)).$$

Evaluating on the fundamental class of Z_n , the second equation gives the following relation between Chern numbers:

$$(n+1)^3(n+2) = (n+1)((n+1)(n+2) + \langle c_1^2(L)c_{2n-1}(D), [Z_n] \rangle),$$

where we have used (13) on the left hand side, and we have used the known value for the top Chern number $c_{2n+1}(Z_n)$ to identify the first term on the right hand side. Now we can similarly evaluate the first equation on $[N_n] = -[Z_n]$ and plug in what we just computed for the evaluation of $c_1^2(L)c_{2n-1}(D)$ to obtain (30). \square

Example 5. For $n = 2$, Theorem 5 gives $c_1^5(N_2) = -20$, $c_1^3c_2(N_2) = c_1c_2^2(N_2) = -4$ and $c_1c_4(N_2) = 12$. In this case we can easily extract $c_3(N_2)$ from the formula in Proposition 7 and carry out the multiplication in the cohomology ring to prove $c_1^2c_3(N_2) = 20$ and $c_2c_3(N_2) = 4$.

Example 6. The case $n = 3$ is also fairly easy because the formula for c_2 simplifies to $c_2(N_3) = -5(x^2 - xz)$. This immediately yields $c_1c_2^3(N_3) = -500$, in addition to the values already given by the theorem. Still, to calculate all the Chern numbers more work is needed. From Proposition 7, together with our calculation of the Chern classes of Z_3 , we find the following:

$$\begin{aligned} c_3(N_3) &= -5x^2z + 5xz^2 - 2z^3, \\ c_4(N_3) &= 5x^4 - 10x^3z + 5x^2z^2 - 2z^4, \\ c_5(N_3) &= 2(30x^4z - 35x^3z^2 + 25x^2z^3 - 10xz^4 + z^5). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the numbers given in the third column of Table 2.

9. Final remarks

In this section we explain the relationship between the different points of view on the almost Hermitian structures that we have discussed.

First of all, the holomorphic tangent and cotangent bundles of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ are homogeneous bundles under $SU(n+2)$, and therefore the complex structures of their projectivizations, denoted F_n and Z_n in Sections 4 to 7, are also homogeneous under $SU(n+2)$. Thus, up to conjugation, they must equal the invariant complex structures I and J in Proposition 2, but *a priori* it is not clear which is which, and the case $n = 1$ shows that distinguishing between the two is not an entirely trivial matter. By looking at the Chern classes we can however immediately say that the standard complex structure F_n is I and the twistor space structure Z_n is J . This follows most easily by looking at the divisibilities of c_1 . On the projectivized cotangent bundle the divisibility is a multiple of $n+1$ due to the presence of a holomorphic contact structure. This fits with the formula for $c_1(J)$ in Example 1, but not with $c_1(I)$.

The fibration of F_n over the Grassmannian G_n is a homogeneous fibration, and the tangent bundle along the fibers is given by the two-dimensional irreducible subrepresentation R_0 of the isotropy representation of F_n , compare Subsection 2.2. In the

definition of the nearly Kähler structure \hat{J} in Section 4 we started with the complex structure of the twistor space and conjugated it along the fiber of the twistor fibration. This matches precisely the relationship between the J and \hat{J} in Proposition 2, which coincide on R_1 and R_2 but are conjugate to each other on R_0 . Thus the \hat{J} of Section 4 is the same as the homogeneous \hat{J} of Proposition 2 in Section 2.

As the fibration of F_n over the Grassmannian G_n is homogeneous, with the tangent bundle along the fibers corresponding to a subrepresentation of the isotropy representation, we can modify any homogeneous metric on the total space of the fibration by constant rescaling along the fibers leaving the orthogonal complement unchanged, and the resulting metric will still be homogeneous. This just means that on the summand R_0 of the isotropy representation we change the metric by multiplication with a constant. Therefore the nearly Kähler metric \hat{g} defined in Section 4 is homogeneous.

This scaling procedure can be applied to any Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers, and is sometimes called the canonical variation of the submersion metric, see [3], 9G. It is a standard way to build new Einstein metrics from old ones. For the twistor fibration of Z_n over G_n one has a Kähler–Einstein metric on Z_n , and its canonical variation contains another Einstein but non-Kähler metric, see [1], [3]. This Einstein metric is also homogeneous, and coincides with the nearly Kähler metric if and only if $n = 1$, as one sees by comparing Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 of [1].

Appendix: Chern numbers for $n = 3$

Table 2 summarizes our calculations of the Chern numbers for the case $n = 3$. Up to complex conjugation, the three columns correspond to the almost complex structures I , J and \hat{J} from Section 2. These were denoted by F_n (standard structure), Z_n and N_n in later sections.

Table 2. The Chern numbers for the invariant almost Hermitian structures of F_3 .

	standard structure $\mathbb{P}(TCP^4)$	twistor space $\mathbb{P}(T^*CP^4)$	nearly Kähler structure
c_1^7	967680	1146880	-8960
$c_1^5 c_2$	458880	532480	-3200
$c_1^3 c_2^2$	217680	247680	-1200
$c_1 c_2^3$	103330	115480	-500
$c_1^4 c_3$	134080	148480	640
$c_1^2 c_2 c_3$	63580	69280	200
$c_1 c_3^2$	18530	19480	-60
$c_2^2 c_3$	30180	32430	50
$c_1^3 c_4$	26320	27520	880
$c_1 c_2 c_4$	12470	12920	300
$c_3 c_4$	3620	3670	-70
$c_1^2 c_5$	3520	3520	400
$c_2 c_5$	1670	1670	150
$c_1 c_6$	320	320	80
c_7	20	20	20

References

- [1] B. Alexandrov, G. Grantcharov and S. Ivanov, Curvature properties of twistor spaces of quaternionic Kähler manifolds. *J. Geom.* **62** (1998), 1–12. [Zbl 0911.53019](#) [MR 1631453](#)
- [2] A. Arvanitoyeorgos, New invariant Einstein metrics on generalized flag manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **337** (1993), 981–995. [Zbl 0781.53037](#) [MR 1097162](#)
- [3] A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*. *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* (3) 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1987. [Zbl 0613.53001](#) [MR 0867684](#)

- [4] C. Böhm and M. M. Kerr, Low-dimensional homogeneous Einstein manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006), 1455–1468. [Zbl 1087.53042](#) [MR 2186982](#)
- [5] W. M. Boothby, A note on homogeneous complex contact manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 276–280. [Zbl 0103.38703](#) [MR 0137126](#)
- [6] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces, I; II. *Amer. J. Math.* **80** (1958), 458–538; **81** (1959), 315–382. [Zbl 0097.36401](#) [MR 0102800](#) [MR 0110105](#)
- [7] J.-B. Butruille, Classification des variétés approximativement kähleriennes homogènes. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **27** (2005), 201–225. [Zbl 1079.53044](#) [MR 2158165](#)
- [8] J. Eells and S. M. Salamon, Twistorial construction of harmonic maps of surfaces into four-manifolds. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (4) **12** (1985), 589–640. [Zbl 0627.58019](#) [MR 0848842](#)
- [9] A. Gray, Riemannian manifolds with geodesic symmetries of order 3. *J. Differential Geometry* **7** (1972), 343–369. [Zbl 0495.53040](#) [MR 0331281](#)
- [10] A. Gray, The structure of nearly Kähler manifolds. *Math. Ann.* **223** (1976), 233–248. [Zbl 0345.53019](#) [MR 0417965](#)
- [11] F. Hirzebruch, Some problems on differentiable and complex manifolds. *Ann. of Math.* **60** (1954), 213–236. [Zbl 0056.16803](#) [MR 0066013](#)
- [12] F. Hirzebruch, The projective tangent bundles of a complex three-fold. *Pure and Appl. Math. Quarterly* **1** (2005), 441–448. [Zbl 05239322](#) [MR 2201324](#)
- [13] M. Kimura, Homogeneous Einstein metrics on certain Kähler C -spaces. In *Recent Topics in Differential and Analytic Geometry*, ed. T. Ochiai, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 18, Part I, Academic Press and Kinokuniya Co., Tokyo 1990. [Zbl 0748.53029](#) [MR 1145261](#)
- [14] S. Kobayashi, Remarks on complex contact manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10** (1959), 164–167. [Zbl 0090.38502](#) [MR 0111061](#)
- [15] D. Kotschick, On products of harmonic forms. *Duke Math. J.* **107** (2001), 521–531. [Zbl 1036.53030](#) [MR 1828300](#)
- [16] D. Kotschick, Chern numbers and diffeomorphism types of projective varieties. *J. Topol.* **1** (2008), 518–526. [Zbl 1145.57023](#) [MR 2399142](#)
- [17] D. Kotschick and S. Terzić, On formality of generalised symmetric spaces. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **134** (2003), 491–505. [Zbl 1042.53035](#) [MR 1981214](#)
- [18] K. Künnemann and H. Tamvakis, The Hodge star operator on Schubert forms. *Topology* **41** (2002), 945–960. [Zbl 1007.57026](#) [MR 1923993](#)
- [19] C. LeBrun and S. M. Salamon, Strong rigidity of positive quaternion-Kähler manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994), 109–132. [Zbl 0815.53078](#) [MR](#)
- [20] A. S. Libgober and J. W. Wood, Uniqueness of the complex structure on Kähler manifolds of certain homotopy types. *J. Differential Geometry* **32** (1990), 139–154. [Zbl 0711.53052](#) [MR 1288469](#)
- [21] L. A. B. San Martin and C. J. C. Negreiros, Invariant almost Hermitian structures on flag manifolds. *Adv. Math.* **178** (2003), 277–310. [Zbl 1044.53053](#) [MR 1994221](#)
- [22] P.-A. Nagy, On nearly-Kähler geometry. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **22** (2002), 167–178. [Zbl 1020.53030](#) [MR 1923275](#)

- [23] S. M. Salamon, Quaternionic Kähler manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **67** (1982), 143–171. [Zbl 0486.53048](#) [MR 0664330](#)
- [24] S. M. Salamon, Harmonic and holomorphic maps. In *Geometry seminar “Luigi Bianchi” II–1984*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1164, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1985. [Zbl 0591.53031](#) [MR 0829230](#)
- [25] S. M. Salamon, On the cohomology of Kähler and hyper-Kähler manifolds. *Topology* **35** (1996), 137–155. [Zbl 0854.58004](#) [MR 1367278](#)
- [26] U. Semmelmann and G. Weingart, An upper bound for a Hilbert polynomial on quaternionic Kähler manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.* **14** (2004), 151–170. [Zbl 1057.53035](#) [MR 2030579](#)
- [27] S. Terzić, Real cohomology and characteristic Pontrjagin classes of generalized symmetric spaces. *Vsesojuz. Inst. Naučn. i Tehn. Informacii* **1034 - V**, Moscow 1998 (in Russian).
- [28] S. Terzić, Cohomology with real coefficients of generalized symmetric spaces. *Fundam. Prikl. Mat.* **7** (2001), 131–157 (in Russian). [Zbl 1010.57016](#) [MR 1845068](#)
- [29] M. Wang and W. Ziller, On normal homogeneous Einstein manifolds. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **18** (1985), 563–633. [Zbl 0598.53049](#) [MR 0839687](#)
- [30] J. A. Wolf, Complex homogeneous contact manifolds and quaternionic symmetric spaces. *J. Math. Mech.* **14** (1965), 1033–1047. [Zbl 0141.38202](#) [MR 0185554](#)
- [31] J. A. Wolf and A. Gray, Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms, I; II. *J. Differential Geometry* **2** (1968), 77–114; 115–159. [Zbl 0169.24103](#) [Zbl 0182.24702](#) [MR 0236328](#) [MR 0236329](#)

Received September 19, 2007

D. Kotschick, Mathematisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, 80333 München, Germany

E-mail: dieter@member.ams.org

S. Terzić, Faculty of Science, University of Montenegro, Džordža Vašingtona bb, 20000 Podgorica, Montenegro

E-mail: sterzic@ac.me