

Zeitschrift: Elemente der Mathematik
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 30 (1975)
Heft: 6

Rubrik: Kleine Mitteilungen

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 30.01.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Hence $(y - k_2)/(y - k_1) = \varepsilon_i$, ($i = 0, 1, 2$), where ε_i are the three cube roots of

$$\frac{k_2}{k_1} = \frac{-\frac{r}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{q}{3}\right)^3}}{-\frac{r}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{q}{3}\right)^3}}.$$

Direct solution yields

$$y = \frac{k_2 - \varepsilon_i k_1}{1 - \varepsilon_i},$$

a formula which is readily simplified to give $y = -k_1 (\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_i^2)$, or more explicitly,

$$y = \frac{3}{q} \left[\frac{r}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{q}{3}\right)^3} \right] (\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_i^2), \quad (i = 0, 1, 2).$$

B. de la Rosa, University of the Orange Free State, Bloemfontein, S. A.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] I. T. ADAMSON, *Introduction to Field Theory*, Oliver & Boyd (1964).
- [2] H. W. TURNBULL, *Theory of Equations*, Oliver & Boyd (1944).
- [3] J. V. USPENSKY, *Theory of Equations*, McGraw-Hill (1948).

Kleine Mitteilungen

On a theorem of Cipolla

Cipolla proved 1904 in [1] the following theorem: The number

$$(2^{2^m} + 1) (2^{2^n} + 1) \cdots (2^{2^s} + 1),$$

with $m > n > \dots > s$, is a pseudoprime if and only if $2^s > m$ (a positive integer n is called a pseudoprime if $n \mid 2^n - 2$ and n is composite).

In many applications it is useful to have 'strong' pseudoprimes. In the following definition we give a precise meaning to this concept:

Definition: The positive integer n is a k -th order pseudoprime if and only if $k \mid n - 1$, $2^{(n-1)/k} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and n is composite.

In this paper we prove the following generalization of Cipolla's result:

Theorem: $L = (2^{2^m} + 1) (2^{2^n} + 1) \dots (2^{2^s} + 1)$, with $m > n > \dots > s$, is a 2^t -th order pseudoprime if and only if $2^s > m + t$.

Proof:

$$L - 1 = 2^{2^m + 2^n + \dots + 2^s} + \dots + 2^{2^m} + 2^{2^n} + \dots + 2^{2^s} = 2^{2^s} \cdot M,$$

where M is an odd number. We have

$$\frac{L - 1}{2^t} = 2^{2^s - t} \cdot M$$

and moreover in view of the well-known identity

$$F_j = 2 + F_0 \cdot F_1 \cdot F_2 \dots F_i \dots F_{j-1},$$

where $F_i = F(i) = 2^{2^i} + 1$ is the i -th Fermat number, the factors F_j of L are coprime.

Hence in order to show that,

$$2^t \mid L - 1 \text{ and } 2^{(L-1)/2^t} \equiv 1 \pmod{L} \text{ if and only if } 2^s > m + t,$$

it is enough to show that $2^s > m + t$ implies that $2^t \mid L - 1$ and $2^{(L-1)/2^t} \equiv 1 \pmod{F_u}$ for $u = s, \dots, n, m$ and that $2^{(L-1)/2^t} \equiv 1 \pmod{F_m}$ implies that $2^s > m + t$.

Now $(L - 1)/2^t = 2^{2^s - t} \cdot M$ and so certainly $2^t \mid L - 1$ if $2^s > m + t$. Moreover

$$F_u \mid F_{u+1} - 2 \mid [F(2^s - t) - 1]^M - 1$$

if $u + 1 \leq 2^s - t$. Since $u + 1 \leq m + 1 \leq 2^s - t$ by the assumption this certainly holds. On the other hand if

$$F_m \mid [F(2^s - t) - 1]^M - 1$$

the known fact $a^m + 1 \mid a^n - 1 \iff n = 2mk, a, m, n, k \in \mathbf{N}, a > 1$, gives $2^{m+1} \mid 2^{2^s - t} \cdot M$, and since M odd this implies that $2^s > m + t$. This completes the demonstration.

A. Rotkiewicz (Warsaw) and R. Wasén (Uppsala)

REFERENCES

- [1] M. CIPOLLA, Sui numeri composti P , che verificano la congruenza di Fermat $a^{P-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$, Ann. Matematica (3), 9, 139-160 (1904).

Congruences for Sums of Powers of Primitive Roots and Ramanujan's Sum

Let n be an integer > 2 which has primitive roots. It is well-known (cf. [4], Theorem 65) that n must be $4, p^\alpha$, or $2p^\alpha$, where p is an odd prime and α is a positive integer; and that the number of primitive roots of n is then $\varphi(\varphi(n))$, where φ is the Euler totient function. Let m be any positive integer and let $S_r^{(m)}$ denote the sum of the m -th powers of the primitive roots of n , which are less than n , taken r at a time, where $1 \leq r \leq \varphi(\varphi(n))$.

Throughout the following we write $k = \varphi(n)$ and $\zeta = \zeta_k = \exp(2\pi i/k)$. It is well-known (cf. [4], p. 157) that the numbers ζ^h , where $1 \leq h \leq k$, $(h, k) = 1$, will be the primitive k -th roots of unity. Let $T_r^{(m)}$ denote the sum of the m -th powers of the primitive k -th roots of unity taken r at a time, where $1 \leq r \leq \varphi(k)$.

In this paper we prove the following theorem and discuss some particular cases of the theorem. We also discuss a method of evaluating the sums $T_r^{(m)}$ in terms of the Ramanujan sum.

Theorem. For $1 \leq r \leq \varphi(k)$, $S_r^{(m)} \equiv T_r^{(m)} \pmod{n}$.

Proof: Let

$$f_k(x, m) = \prod_{1 \leq h' \leq k} (x - \zeta^{h'm}) \quad (1)$$

and

$$F_k(x, m) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq k \\ (h, k) = 1}} (x - \zeta^{hm}). \quad (2)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(x, m) &= \prod_{1 \leq h' \leq k} (x - \zeta^{h'm}) = \prod_{d|k} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq h' \leq k \\ (h', k) = d}} \left[x - \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i h' m}{k}\right) \right] \\ &= \prod_{d|k} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq k/d \\ (h, k/d) = 1}} \left[x - \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i h m}{k/d}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f_k(x, m) = \prod_{d|k} F_{k/d}(x, m). \quad (3)$$

Now using the Möbius inversion formula in the product form [see E. LANDAU, Elementary Number Theory (New York 1966), p. 236, exercise 10]

$$g(n) = \prod_{d|n} f(d) = \prod_{d|n} f(n/d) \Rightarrow f(n) = \prod_{d|n} (g(d))^{\mu(n/d)}$$

we obtain

$$F_k(x, m) = \prod_{d|k} f_d(x, m)^{\mu(k/d)}, \quad (4)$$

where μ is the Möbius function.

It follows from (1) that the degree of the polynomial $f_k(x, m)$ in x is k , so that the degree of the polynomial $f_d(x, m)$ is d and hence the degree of the polynomial on the r.h.s. of (4) is $\sum_{d|k} d \mu(k/d) = \varphi(k)$ (cf. [3], (16.3.1)). Also, the degree of the polynomial on the l.h.s. of (4) is $\varphi(k)$ in virtue of (2).

Let g be a primitive root of n . It is well known (cf. [4], Theorem 62) that the numbers g^h , where $1 \leq h \leq k$, $(h, k) = 1$, form a set of incongruent primitive roots modulo n . Let $\bar{S}_r^{(m)}$ denote the sum of the m -th powers of the numbers g^h taken r at a time, where $1 \leq r \leq \varphi(k)$. It is clear that

$$S_r^{(m)} \equiv \bar{S}_r^{(m)} \pmod{n}. \quad (5)$$

Since g is a primitive root of n , we have $g^k - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ and $g^d - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for $1 \leq d < k$. Hence, if $d \mid k$ and $d \neq k$, we see from (1) that the numbers g^{hm} , where $1 \leq h \leq k$, $(h, k) = 1$, do not satisfy the congruence $f_d(x, m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, but satisfy the congruence $f_k(x, m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, since $f_k(g^{hm}, m) = \prod_{1 \leq h' \leq k} (g^{hm} - \zeta^{h'm})$, which is divisible by $\prod_{1 \leq h' \leq k} (g^h - \zeta^{h'}) = g^{hk} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Hence from (4), it follows that the congruence $F_k(x, m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ is satisfied by the $\varphi(k)$ incongruent numbers g^{hm} , where $1 \leq h \leq k$, $(h, k) = 1$. Since the degree of the congruence is also $\varphi(k)$, it follows that these numbers are all the incongruent roots of $F_k(x, m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

Hence it follows that

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq 1 \\ (h, k) = k}} (x - g^{hm}) \equiv F_k(x, m) \equiv \prod_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq k \\ (h, k) = 1}} (x - \zeta^{hm}) \pmod{n},$$

so that

$$x^{\varphi(k)} + \sum_{r=1}^{\varphi(k)} (-1)^r \overline{S}_r^{(m)} x^{\varphi(k)-r} \equiv x^{\varphi(k)} + \sum_{r=1}^{\varphi(k)} (-1)^r T_r^{(m)} x^{\varphi(k)-r} \pmod{n}.$$

Hence for $1 \leq r \leq k$, we have

$$\overline{S}_r^{(m)} \equiv T_r^{(m)} \pmod{n}. \tag{6}$$

Now the theorem follows from (5) and (6).

As particular cases of the theorem, we have the following:

Corollary 1.

$$S_1^{(m)} \equiv C_k(m) \pmod{n},$$

where $C_k(m)$ is the Ramanujan sum (cf. [3], § 16.6) defined by

$$C_k(m) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq k \\ (h, k) = 1}} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i h m}{k}\right). \tag{7}$$

Proof: This follows by taking $r = 1$ in the above theorem, since $T_1^{(m)} = C_k(m)$, the sum of the m -th powers of the primitive k -th roots of unity.

Corollary 2.

$$S_2^{(m)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \{C_k^2(m) - C_k(2m)\} \pmod{n}.$$

Proof: This follows by taking $r = 2$ in the above theorem, since

$$\begin{aligned} T_2^{(m)} &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h_1, h_2 \leq k \\ h_1 \neq h_2 \\ (h_1, k) = (h_2, k) = 1}} \zeta^{h_1 m} \cdot \zeta^{h_2 m} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq k \\ (h, k) = 1}} \zeta^{h m} \right)^2 - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq k \\ (h, k) = 1}} \zeta^{2 h m} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \{C_k^2(m) - C_k(2m)\}, \quad \text{by (7)}.$$

Remark 1. It is known (cf. [3], Theorems 271 and 272) that

$$C_k(m) = \sum_{\substack{d|k \\ d|m}} d \mu \left(\frac{k}{d} \right) \quad (8)$$

and also

$$C_k(m) = \frac{\mu(k/a) \varphi(k)}{\varphi(k/a)}, \quad \text{where } a = (k, m). \quad (9)$$

Hence from Corollary 1, we have

$$S_1^{(m)} \equiv \frac{\mu(k/a) \varphi(k)}{\varphi(k/a)} \pmod{n}. \quad (10)$$

As a particular case of (10), by taking $n = p$, an odd prime, we have the following result due to A. Czarnota [2]:

$$S_1^{(m)} \equiv \frac{\mu((p-1)/b) \varphi(p-1)}{\varphi((p-1)/b)} \pmod{p}, \quad \text{where } b = (p-1, m). \quad (11)$$

If S denotes the sum of the primitive roots of n which are less than n , then we have by Corollary 1 (taking $m = 1$),

$$S \equiv \mu(\varphi(n)) \pmod{n}, \quad (12)$$

since $C_k(1) = \mu(k)$, in virtue of (8). A particular case of result (12) in case $n = p$ (an odd prime) appears as problem 79 on page 129 of T. Nagell's book [4].

Remark 2. If S_2 denotes the sum of the primitive roots of n , which are less than n , taken 2 at a time, then we have by corollary 2 (taking $m = 1$),

$$S_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \mu^2(k) - \mu(k) - 2\mu \left(\frac{k}{2} \right) \right\} \pmod{n}, \quad (13)$$

since $C_k(1) = \mu(k)$ and $C_k(2) = \mu(k) + 2\mu(k/2)$ in virtue of (8).

As a particular case of (13), when $n = p$, an odd prime, we have

$$S_2 \equiv \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mu(p-1) (\mu(p-1) - 1) - 2\mu \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right) \right\} \pmod{p}. \quad (14)$$

Remark 3. From (2) and the notation for $T_r^{(m)}$, we see that the m -th powers of the primitive k -th roots of unity are precisely the roots of the equation

$$x^{\varphi(k)} - T_1^{(m)} x^{\varphi(k)-1} + T_2^{(m)} x^{\varphi(k)-2} - \dots + (-1)^{\varphi(k)} T_{\varphi(k)}^{(m)} = 0.$$

Hence by Newton's theorem on sums of powers of the roots of an algebraic equation (cf. [1], p. 297), we have

$$s_r - T_1^{(m)} s_{r-1} + T_2^{(m)} s_{r-2} - \dots + (-1)^{r-1} T_{r-1}^{(m)} s_1 + (-1)^r r T_r^{(m)} = 0, \quad (15)$$

for $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \varphi(k)$; where

$$s_r = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq k \\ (h, k) = 1}} \zeta^{hmr}.$$

But by (7), s_r turns out to be $C_k(mr)$, so that (15) turns out to be

$$\left. \begin{aligned} C_k(mr) - T_1^{(m)} C_k(mr - m) + T_2^{(m)} C_k(mr - 2m) - \dots \\ + (-1)^{r-1} T_{r-1}^{(m)} C_k(m) + (-1)^r r T_r^{(m)} = 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (16)$$

for $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \varphi(k)$.

Using (16), we can express $T_1^{(m)}, T_2^{(m)}, \dots, T_{\varphi(k)}^{(m)}$ successively in terms of $C_k(m), C_k(2m), \dots, C_k(\varphi(k)m)$. In particular, when $m = 1$, we can express the values of the elementary symmetric functions of the primitive k -th roots of unity in terms of the values of the Möbius μ -function. This is exactly what we have done in establishing the congruences (12) and (13).

D. Suryanarayana, Andhra University, Waltair, India

REFERENCES

[1] S. BARNARD and J. M. CHILD, *Higher Algebra* (Macmillan and Company, Limited, London, 1949).
 [2] A. CZARNOTA, *Congruences Satisfied by a Sum of Powers of Primitive Roots with Respect to a Prime Modulus* (Polish, Russian and English summaries), *Prace. Mat.* 8, 131–142 (1963/64), *M. R.* 30, 1964.
 [3] G. H. HARDY and E. M. WRIGHT, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Fourth edition (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1960).
 [4] T. NAGELL, *Introduction to Number Theory* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1951).

Aufgaben

Aufgabe 729. If A, B, C denote the angles of an arbitrary triangle, then it is known (cf., e.g., O. Bottema et al., *Geometric Inequalities*, Groningen 1968, p. 120) that the three triples $(\sin A, \sin B, \sin C), (\cos A/2, \cos B/2, \cos C/2), (\cos^2 A/2, \cos^2 B/2, \cos^2 C/2)$ are sides of three triangles. Give a generalization which includes the latter three cases as special cases.

M. S. Klamkin, Dearborn, Michigan, USA

Erste Lösung: Ist M ein Punkt der Ebene des Dreiecks, so gilt nach der ptolemäischen Ungleichung für die Eckpunkte Q, R, S :

$$\overline{MQ} \cdot \overline{RS} \leq \overline{MR} \cdot \overline{SQ} + \overline{MS} \cdot \overline{QR} \tag{1}$$

sowie die durch zyklische Vertauschung von Q, R, S entstehenden Ungleichungen. Das Tripel $(\overline{MQ} \cdot \overline{RS}, \overline{MR} \cdot \overline{SQ}, \overline{MS} \cdot \overline{QR})$ stellt also die Seitenlängen eines Drei-