

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 14 (1968)  
**Heft:** 1: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** MEROMORPHIC MAPPINGS  
**Autor:** Stein, K.  
**Kapitel:** 2. HOLOMORPHIC CORRESPONDENCES  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-42342>

#### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

#### Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. Voir Informations légales.

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

**Download PDF:** 03.12.2024

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

*Proposition 5.* If  $f : X \xrightarrow{k} Y$  is continuous and  $Y$  is a Hausdorff space,  $G_f$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ .

*Definition 2.* A correspondence  $f$  is *proper* if  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are continuous.<sup>1)</sup>

*Proposition 6.* If  $f : X \xrightarrow{k} Y$ ,  $f_1 : X_1 \xrightarrow{k} Y_1$ ,  $g : Y \xrightarrow{k} Z$  are proper, then  $f \times f_1$  and  $g \circ f$  are proper.

The junction of two proper correspondences need not, however, be proper. The diagonal mapping  $(I_X, I_X)$  serves as an example if  $X$  is not a Hausdorff space. If  $X$  is Hausdorff, the junction  $(f, f')$  of proper correspondences  $f : X \xrightarrow{k} Y$  and  $f' : X \xrightarrow{k} Y'$  remains proper.

*Proposition 7.* Let  $f : X \xrightarrow{k} Y$ ,  $f_1 : X \xrightarrow{k} Y_1$ ,  $g : Y \xrightarrow{k} Z$  be continuous where all the spaces are locally compact. Then we have:

- 1) If  $f$  is proper, then  $(f, f_1)$  and  $(f_1, f)$  are proper,
- 2) If  $g \circ f$  is proper and  $g^{-1}$  surjective, then  $f$  is proper,
- 3) If  $g \circ f$  is proper and  $f$  surjective, then  $g$  is proper.

## 2. HOLOMORPHIC CORRESPONDENCES

We consider reduced complex spaces  $(X, \theta)$  where  $X$  is assumed Hausdorff and where the structure sheaf  $\theta$  has no nilpotent elements. For the definition and related concepts we refer to [8]. The structure sheaf is usually omitted in the notation.

*Definition 3.* Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be complex spaces. A correspondence  $f : X \xrightarrow{k} Y$  is called *holomorphic* if

- 1)  $f$  is continuous,
- 2) the graph  $G_f$  is an analytic set in  $X \times Y$ .

If only the condition 2) is fulfilled,  $f$  is said to be *weakly holomorphic*.

Let  $f : X \xrightarrow{k} Y$  be weakly holomorphic. Then  $f^{-1}$  is weakly holomorphic; furthermore, if  $A \subset X$  is analytic in  $X$ ,  $f|A$  is weakly holomorphic. Since  $\check{f}^{-1}(x) = G_f \cap (\{x\} \times Y)$ ,  $x \in X$ , is analytic in  $G_f$ ,  $f(x) = \hat{f}(\check{f}^{-1}(x))$

---

<sup>1)</sup> Compare [3] where another notion of proper correspondence is defined.

is analytic in  $Y$ . If  $f$  is holomorphic and  $A' \subset Y$  analytic in  $Y$ , then, since  $\hat{f}^{-1}(A')$  is analytic in  $G_f$  and  $\check{f}$  is proper,  $f^{-1}(A') = \check{f}(\hat{f}^{-1}(A'))$  is analytic in  $X$  by Remmert's mapping theorem [11] (see also [8], p. 129).

The correspondences  $f \times f_1$ ,  $(f, f'_1)$ , and  $g \circ f$  are holomorphic if the correspondences  $f, f_1, f'_1$ , and  $g$  are holomorphic.

A weakly holomorphic correspondence  $f: X \xrightarrow{k} Y$  is called *reducible* resp. *irreducible* if  $G_f$  is reducible resp. irreducible.  $G_f$  is always a union of irreducible components  $G^{(i)}$ ; let  $f_i: X \xrightarrow{k} Y$  be the (weakly holomorphic) correspondence whose graph is  $G^{(i)}$ . Then the correspondences  $f_i$  are called the irreducible components of  $f$  and we write  $f = \cup f_i$ .

### 3. MEROMORPHIC MAPPINGS

Let  $f: X \xrightarrow{k} Y$  be a correspondence where  $X$  is a topological space. A point  $x \in X$  is called a *distinguished point of  $f$*  if there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that the restriction  $f|U$  is a mapping (in the usual sense).

*Definition 4.* A holomorphic correspondence  $f: X \xrightarrow{k} Y$  is called a *meromorphic mapping* if the following holds. If  $X$  is irreducible, then

- 1)  $f$  is irreducible,
- 2) There exists a distinguished point  $x_0 \in X$  of  $f$ .

In the general case, if  $X = \cup X^{(i)}$  is the decomposition of  $X$  into irreducible components, then there exist holomorphic correspondences  $f_i: X \xrightarrow{k} Y$  such that

- 1)  $f_i|X^{(i)}$  is a meromorphic mapping and  $f_i|X - X^{(i)}$  is empty,
- 2)  $f = \cup f_i$ .

A meromorphic mapping  $f$  is *bimeromorphic* if  $f^{-1}$  is meromorphic.

We use the notation  $f: X \xrightarrow{m} Y$  for a meromorphic mapping. Note that a meromorphic mapping is in general not a mapping in the strong sense.

An example of a meromorphic mapping is the correspondence  $f$  of  $\mathbf{C}^2$  onto the extended complex plane  $\mathbf{P}_1$  defined by  $f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{z_1}{z_2}$  if  $(z_1, z_2) \neq (0, 0)$ , and  $f(0, 0) = \mathbf{P}_1$ .