

IV. FLATNESS AND PRIVILEGE

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **14 (1968)**

Heft 1: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **21.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

whenever $x \in \overset{\circ}{K}$, we get $a = \inf_K |h(x)| > 0$. Hence $\|hf\| = \sup_K |hf(x)| \geq \geq a \sup_K |f(x)| = a \|f\|$.

(i') \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that $X \cap \overset{\circ}{K} \neq \emptyset$ and $x = (x_1, x_2) \in X \cap \overset{\circ}{K}$. We choose an analytic function $f_1 : U_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, where $U_1 \supset K_1$, and U_1 is open, such that $f_1(x_1) = 1$, $|f_1(z)| < 1$ if $z \in K_1$, $z \neq x_1$. Similarly we choose an analytic function $f_2 : U_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, with the same properties. Consider the function $f \in B(K) : (z_1, z_2) \rightarrow f_1(z_1)f_2(z_2)$. Since $h(x) = 0$ it follows that the sequence $\{hf^n\}$ converges pointwise to 0 in K .

Applying Dini's theorem we get $\|hf^n\| \rightarrow 0$. From the inequality $a \|f^n\| \leq \|hf^n\|$ we get $\|f^n\| \rightarrow 0$, which is a contradiction, because for every $n : f^n(x) = 1$.

(b) Use the Weierstrass preparation theorem (extended form).

Question. Does the condition (ii) imply that $h : B(K) \rightarrow B(K)$ is a split monomorphism?

IV. FLATNESS AND PRIVILEGE

§ 1. Morphisms from an analytic space into $B(K)$

Let S be an analytic space and K a polycylinder in an open set $U \subset \mathbf{C}^n$. We want to construct an \mathcal{O}_S -algebra homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{S \times U}(S \times U) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(S; B(K))$.

(a) Consider first $S = U' \subset \mathbf{C}^m$, U' -open. If $h \in \mathcal{O}_{U' \times U}(U' \times U)$ and $s \in U'$, $x \in K$, define $(\phi(h)(s))(x) = h(s, x)$. Using the Cauchy integral, one can show that $\phi(h)$ is analytic. On the other hand it's obvious that ϕ is an $\mathcal{O}_{U'}$ -algebra homomorphism.

(b) Let S have a special model in the polydisc Δ in \mathbf{C}^m , defined by a sheaf \mathcal{I} of ideals of \mathcal{O}_Δ , and let \mathcal{I} be generated by f_1, \dots, f_p , V -a polycylinder neighbourhood of K in U . By Cartan's theorem B for a polycylinder,

the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\Delta \times V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Delta \times V) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}(S \times V) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. If we denote by $\tilde{\pi}$ the projection $\mathcal{H}(\Delta, B(K)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(S, B(K))$, $(f_1, \dots, f_p) \cdot \mathcal{H}(\Delta, B(K)) \subset \subset \text{Ker } \tilde{\pi}$. Therefore, because π is surjection, there exists a unique

$\phi : \mathcal{O}(S \times V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(S, B(K))$, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(\Delta \times V) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{H}(\Delta, B(K)) \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ \mathcal{O}(S \times V) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{H}(S, B(K)) \end{array}$$

is commutative; ϕ is evidently an \mathcal{O}_S -algebra homomorphism.

§ 2. The flatness and privilege theorem

Notation

Let S be an analytic space, U an open set in \mathbf{C}^n , and $\pi : S \times U \rightarrow S$ the first projection.

If \mathcal{F} is an $\mathcal{O}_{S \times U}$ module, then for every $s \in S$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}(s)$ the \mathcal{O}_U -module $i_s^* \mathcal{F}$, where i_s is the injective morphism $x \rightarrow (s, x)$ from U into $S \times U$. If $x \in U$

$$(\mathcal{F}(s))_x \simeq \mathcal{F}_{(s, x)} / m_s \cdot \mathcal{F}_{(s, x)} \simeq \mathcal{F}_{(s, x)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S, s}} \mathbf{C}_s.$$

Theorem 1: Let \mathcal{E} be a coherent and S -flat $\mathcal{O}_{S \times U}$ -module, and K a poly-cylinder in U .

(a) When K is privileged for $\mathcal{E}(s_0)$, s_0 has a neighbourhood V such that K is $\mathcal{E}(s)$ -privileged for each $s \in V$. In other words: the set $S' = \{s \in S \mid K \text{ is } \mathcal{E}(s)\text{-privileged}\}$ is open in S .

(b) It is possible to define a Banach vector bundle over S' whose fibre at any $s \in S'$ is $B(K, \mathcal{E}(s))$.

To prove the theorem we need:

Lemma 1: Under the conditions of the theorem, we can, for every $s \in S$, find a neighbourhood W of $\{s\} \times K$ and a free resolution of finite length

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p \xrightarrow{d_p} \dots \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathcal{L}_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{L}_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } W.$$

Proof: Let (s, x) be a point of $S \times U$ and \mathcal{L}_*^0 a finite resolution of $\mathcal{F}(x)$ in a neighbourhood of x (there exists such one, by the theorem of syzygies). We shall show that there exists a resolution \mathcal{L}^* of \mathcal{F} in a neighbourhood of (s, x) such that $\mathcal{L}^*(s) = \mathcal{L}_*^0$; if $\mathcal{L}_i^0 = \mathcal{O}_x^{r_i}$ define

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{O}_{S \times U}^{r_i} \text{ and } \mathcal{K}_i^0 = \text{Ker } d_i^0 : \mathcal{L}_i^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{i-1}^0.$$

We shall construct by induction (with respect to i) $d_i : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{i-1}$ in a neighbourhood of (s, x) such that $d_i(s) = d_i^0$, and prove that $\mathcal{K}_i = \text{Ker } d_i$ is S -flat and that $\mathcal{K}_i(s) = \mathcal{K}_i^0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} & \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L}_{i+1}^0 & \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}^0} & \mathcal{K}_i^0 \end{array}$$
 Suppose that we have constructed d_i and proved the properties for \mathcal{K}_i . We can construct $d_{i+1} : \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_i$ in a neighbourhood of (s, x) such that the diagram is commutative.

Nakayama's lemma shows that $\text{Im } d_{i+1} = \mathcal{K}_i$ at the point (s, x) , therefore in a neighbourhood of that point.

The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_i \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{K}_i and \mathcal{L}_{i+1} are S -flat, shows that \mathcal{K}_{i+1} is S -flat, and that $\mathcal{K}_{i+1}(s) = \mathcal{K}_{i+1}^0$. The first step of the induction is analogous.

Proof of the theorem: Let $s_0 \in S$ and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p \xrightarrow{d_p} \dots \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_W \rightarrow 0$$

be a free $\mathcal{O}_{S \times U}$ resolution of \mathcal{E} in a neighbourhood $W = V_1 \times V_2$ of $\{s_0\} \times K$. The sheaf \mathcal{E} is \mathcal{O}_S -flat, so for each $s \in V_1$, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S|V_1}} \mathbf{C}_s \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S|V_1}} \mathbf{C}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S|V_1}} \mathbf{C}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S|V_1}} \mathbf{C}_s \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. So the sequence

$$(A) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p(s) \xrightarrow{d_p(s)} \dots \xrightarrow{d_1(s)} \mathcal{L}_0(s) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(s)|_{V_2} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact when $s \in V_1$. Now $\mathcal{L}_i(s) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V_2}^{r_i}$ ($0 \leq i \leq p$) and every $d_i(s)$ induces a continuous linear map:

$B(K, \mathcal{L}_i(s)) \rightarrow B(K, \mathcal{L}_{i-1}(s))$, which we also denote by $d_i(s)$. We can consider $d_i = (d_{ijk})$ as an $r_i \times r_{i-1}$ -matrix with entries from $\mathcal{O}_{S \times U}(W)$.

By § 1 we have a \mathcal{O}_S -algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{S \times W}(S \times W) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(S, B(K)).$$

From the matrix (d_{ijk}) we get by this homomorphism a morphism \tilde{d}_i :

$$V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(B(K)^{r_i}, B(K)^{r_{i-1}}) = \mathcal{L}(B(K, \mathcal{L}_i(s)), B(K, \mathcal{L}_{i-1}(s))).$$

(Here V_0 is some neighbourhood of s_0) such that $\tilde{d}_i(s) = d_i(s)$ for each $s \in V_0$. In other words we have a sequence of Banach vector bundle morphisms

$$(B) \quad 0 \rightarrow B(K, \mathcal{L}_p) \xrightarrow{d_p} \dots \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_1} B(K, \mathcal{L}_0).$$

Using the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{S \times U}(S \times U) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(S, B(K))$ is an \mathcal{O}_S -algebra homomorphism, it easily follows that (B) is complex of Banach vector bundles over S .

Now K is $\mathcal{E}(s_0)$ -privileged, thus

$$0 \rightarrow B(K, \mathcal{L}_p(s_0)) \xrightarrow{d_p(s_0)} \dots \xrightarrow{d_1(s_0)} B(K, \mathcal{L}_0(s_0))$$

is split exact, so by theorem III.1

$$0 \rightarrow B(K, \mathcal{L}_p)|_V \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_p|_V} \dots \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_1|_V} B(K, \mathcal{L}_0)|_V$$

is split exact for some neighbourhood V of s_0 .

Because $\tilde{d}_i(s) = d_i(s)$ and the sequence (A) is exact part (a) of the theorem follows.

(b) $B(K, \mathcal{L}_0)|_V$ splits as the direct sum of $\text{im } \tilde{d}_1$ and a bundle E_V , such that $E_{V,s} \simeq B(K, \mathcal{E}(s))$, for each $s \in V$. We must show that these bundle structures fit together globally.

Suppose therefore that V is open in S' and that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p \xrightarrow{d_p} \dots \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathcal{L}_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{V \times V_2} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'_p \xrightarrow{d'_p} \dots \xrightarrow{d'_2} \mathcal{L}'_1 \xrightarrow{d'_1} \mathcal{L}'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{V \times V_2} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

are free resolutions of ξ over $V \times V_2$.

If V_1, V_2 are open polycylinders, we can find an $\mathcal{O}_{S \times U}$ -homomorphism $\phi_0 : \mathcal{L}'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}'_0 & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} & \mathcal{E}|_{V \times V_2} \rightarrow 0 \\ \phi_0 \uparrow & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{L}_0 & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \mathcal{E}|_{V \times V_2} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. ϕ_0 determines a bundle morphism $\tilde{\phi}_0: B(K, \mathcal{L}_0) \rightarrow B(K, \mathcal{L}'_0)$. $B(K, \mathcal{L}_0)$ (resp. $B(K, \mathcal{L}'_0)$) splits as $(\text{im } \tilde{d}_1) \otimes E_V$ [Resp. $(\text{im } \tilde{d}'_1) \otimes E'_V$].

Let p' be the projection morphism: $B(K, \mathcal{L}'_0) \rightarrow E'_V$ with kernel $\text{im } \tilde{d}'_1$, and put $\tilde{\phi} = p' \circ \phi_0|_{E_V}$.

The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B(K, \mathcal{L}_0(s)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_0} & B(K, \mathcal{L}'_0(s)) \\
 \varepsilon \downarrow & \swarrow \nearrow & \downarrow \varepsilon' \\
 & E_{V,s} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} E'_{V,s} \\
 & \varepsilon \swarrow \cong \alpha \circ \varepsilon & \searrow \cong \alpha' \circ \varepsilon' \\
 B(K, \mathcal{E}(s)) & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & B(K, \mathcal{E}'(s))
 \end{array}$$

and the open mapping theorem shows that $\tilde{\phi}(s)$ is an isomorphism of Banach spaces for each $s \in V$, so $\tilde{\phi}: E_V \rightarrow E'_V$ is a bundle isomorphism. We also notice that $\tilde{\phi}$ depends only on the choice of splittings in $B(K, \mathcal{L}_0)$ and $B(K, \mathcal{L}'_0)$, and not on the choice of $\tilde{\phi}_0$. This ends the proof of the theorem.

Remark: Consider the general situation where X and S are analytic spaces, and $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism, \mathcal{E} an \mathcal{O}_X -module. To study the local dependence of \mathcal{E} on S , one can imbed an open set X' in X in the open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. The morphism $\phi: X' \rightarrow U, \pi: X' \rightarrow S$ determine the imbedding $\pi \times \phi: X' \rightarrow S \times U$ such that the diagram commutes. \mathcal{E} can be extended by zero into a sheaf \mathcal{E}' over $U \times S$. Obviously this sheaf \mathcal{E}' is S -flat iff \mathcal{E} is S -flat.

Therefore theorem 1 makes clear also this general situation.

Corollary: If $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism and \mathcal{E} a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. Then $\pi|_{\text{Supp}(\mathcal{E})}$ is an open map.

Proof: Suppose as above that X is imbedded in $S \times U$, and \mathcal{E} is extended by zero to $S \times U$. Let $x_0 \in \text{Supp } \mathcal{E}$, and V be a neighbourhood of x_0 in $S \times U$. Let $s_0 = \pi(x_0)$ and choose an $\mathcal{E}(s_0)$ -privileged polycylinder K in U , such that $\{s_0\} \times K \subset V$, over some neighbourhood W of s_0 . We have the Banach bundle $B(K, \mathcal{E}|_{\pi^{-1}(W)})$, whose fiber over s is $B(K, \mathcal{E}(s))$. Since $x_0 \in \text{Supp } \mathcal{E}(s_0)$ and K is a neighbourhood of x_0 , $B(K; \mathcal{E}(s_0)) \neq 0$. As all the fibers are isomorphic, then for all $s \in U$, $B(K; \mathcal{E}(s)) \neq 0$ and therefore $\{s\} \times K \cap \text{Supp } \mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$, and $s \in \pi(\text{Supp } \mathcal{E})$. This proves that π is open.