

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](https://www.e-periodica.ch/digbib/about3?lang=de)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](https://www.e-periodica.ch/digbib/about3?lang=fr)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](https://www.e-periodica.ch/digbib/about3?lang=en)

Download PDF: 28.01.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

The previous lemma shows that $\xi_{(\nu)}^* = \sum a_{\nu\lambda} b_{\lambda} + \delta \eta_{\nu}$ where The previous lemma shows that $\xi_{(v)}^* = \sum a_{v\lambda} b_{\lambda} + \delta \eta_{v}$ where
 $\eta_{v} \in C^{l-1}(\mathfrak{B})$ with $|| \eta_{v} || \mathfrak{B}_1 || \leq K || \xi_{(v)}^* ||$ and $|| a_{v\lambda} || \leq K || \xi_{(v)}^* ||$.

Let us put $a_{\lambda} = \sum a_{v\lambda} (t/\rho_2)^{v}$ and $\eta = \sum \eta_{v} (t/\rho_2$ Let us put $a_{\lambda} = \sum a_{\nu\lambda} (t/\rho_2)^{\nu}$ and $\eta = \sum \eta_{\nu} (t/\rho_2)^{\nu}$. We see that \wedge $\qquad \qquad \wedge$ $\eta \in C^{l-1}(\mathfrak{B}_1 (\rho_2))$ and $a_{\lambda} \in I(E^n(\rho_2))$. An easy computation gives \hat{A} \hat{A} \hat{A} \hat{A} \hat{A} \hat{A} \hat{A} $\xi_1 \big| \mathfrak{B}_1(\rho_2) = \sum a_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_\lambda \big| \mathfrak{B}_1(\rho_2) + \delta \eta$. It follows by definition that \overline{A} \overline{M} \overline{M} \overline{A} \overline{M} $\overline{$ $\xi_0 = \sum a_\lambda \mathbf{b}_\lambda$. We have now proved that $\mathbf{b}_1 \dots \mathbf{b}_r$ generate $\psi_{(l)}((q\emptyset)_x)$ at the origin. It follows in the same way that $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_1 \dots \hat{\mathbf{b}}_r$ generate $\psi_{(i)} ((q\emptyset)_x)$ for every $t \in E^n(\rho_0)$ because it is enough to do everything in a polydisc around t. Now we also prove that the sheaf $\psi_{(l)} \left((q \emptyset)_x \right)$ is free, i.e. there A A A are no relations between $\mathfrak{b}_1 \dots \mathfrak{b}_r$ at any point. Say for example that $a_1 \mathfrak{b}_1 +$ $+ ... + a_r \hat{\mathbf{b}}_r = 0$ at $\psi_{(l)} ((q \vartheta)_{X})_{(0)}$ where a_i are germs of analytic functions at the origin in $E^n(\rho_0)$. Hence $\tilde{a}_1 \hat{b}_1 + ... + \tilde{a}_r \hat{b}_r = 0$ in $H^1(X(\rho), (q\emptyset)_x)$ for some $\rho > 0$ with $\tilde{a}_1 \in I(E^n(\rho))$. It follows that $\sum \tilde{a}_v \hat{b}_v = \delta \hat{\xi}$ in $X(\rho)$
for some $\hat{\xi} \in C^{l-1}(\hat{\mathfrak{U}}(\rho), (q\theta)_v)$. Take a point $t \in E^n(\rho)$ where some \wedge \wedge for some $\xi \in C^{l-1}(\mathfrak{U}(\rho), (q\mathcal{O})_X)$. Take a point $t \in E^n(\rho)$ where some $a_v \neq 0$. Now we see that on $\{ t \} \times X_0$ we have $a_1 (t) b_1 + ... + a_r (t) b_r =$ A $\partial \xi \mid \{ t \} \times X_0 \in C^{l-1} (U, (q\mathcal{O})_{X_0}).$ This gives a contradiction to the fact that $\mathfrak{b}_1 \dots \mathfrak{b}_r$ are a base of $H^1(X_0, (q\mathcal{O})_{X_0}).$

Measure charts

Let X be a connected complex analytic manifold of dimension m . Let F be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank q on X and F the sheaf of holomorphic crossections in F. This sheaf is locally free. A regular proper holomorphic map $\psi: X \to E^n$ is given. Let us put $X_0 = \psi^{-1} (0)$. Now X_0 is a compact analytic manifold of dimension $m - n$. We now introduce special open coverings around X_0 in X.

A Definition. A measure chart $W = (W, \Phi, \Theta, \rho)$ is a quadruple satisfying the conditions:

 \wedge 1) $W \subset X$ is open and $W = W \cap X_0$ is Stein.

A 2) $\Phi: W \to E^n(\rho) \times W$ is a biholomorphic map such that the following diagram is commutative :

$$
- 105 -
$$

\n
$$
\hat{W} \rightarrow E^{n}(\rho) \times W
$$

\n
$$
\psi \searrow \angle \pi
$$

\n
$$
E^{n}(\rho).
$$

Here π is the projection map.

 \wedge \wedge 3) Θ : $F|W \to W \times \mathbb{C}^q$ is a trivialization of F on W. A A

If $\mathscr W$ is a given measure chart on X we can identify the sheaf (W , $\mathbf F\big|W\big)$ of \mathcal{C}_X -modules with the sheaf $(W \times E^n(\rho), q \theta)$ using Φ and Θ . If $U \subset W$ is open and $\rho' \leq \rho$ we put $\hat{U}(\rho') = \Phi^{-1}(U \times E^n(\rho'))$. Hence if \wedge \wedge \wedge $s \in \Gamma \left(U \left(\rho^{\prime} \right), F \right)$ we can identify s with an element of $\Gamma \left(U \times E^n \left(\rho^{\prime} \right), q \ \theta \right).$ We shall simply denote this element of $\Gamma(U \times E^n(\rho'), q \ell)$ by the same \wedge $\qquad \qquad \wedge$ $\qquad \qquad \frac{\infty}{\cdots}$ letter s. Now we can expand s in a Taylor series: $s = \sum s_v (t/\rho')^v$ where $|v| = 0$ $s_y \in qI(U)$.

 \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge *Definition of a norm.* When $s \in \Gamma(U(\rho'), F)$ we put $|| s ||$ $=$ sup $| s_v (U) |$. t'

A Strictly speaking the norm $\| s \|$ is taken with respect to the measure chart $\mathscr{W}.$

It is not hard to see that for every point $x \in X_0$ there exists a measure A chart $\mathscr W$ such that $x \in W$. In particular we can cover X_0 by finitely many λ and λ and λ and λ measure charts $\mathscr{W}_{\iota} = (W_{\iota}, \Phi_{\iota}, \Theta_{\iota}, \rho_{\iota})$, i.e. $X_0 \subset \subset \cup W_{\iota}$. We remark that it 1 ι^* \wedge follows that $X(\rho) = \psi^{-1}(E^n(\rho)) \subset \subset \cup \; W$, for some $\rho > 0$ with $\rho \leqslant \rho$ 1 because ψ is a proper map. The collection $\mathscr{W} = {\mathscr{W}_{\iota}} {\iota^*_{\iota}}$ is called an atlas around X_0 . From now on $\mathscr W$ is a fixed atlas.

Measure coverings. We shall define measure coverings with respect to the given atlas $\mathscr W$ above. If $U \subset W$ is open we put (U) (ρ) = $\Phi_{\iota}^{-1}(U \times E^n(\rho))$ when $\rho \leqslant \rho_{\iota}$. We see that $(U)_{\iota}(\rho) \subset \hat{W}_{\iota}$ and $(U)_{\iota}(\rho)$ is Stein if U is Stein. Let $\mathfrak{U} = \{ U_{\iota} \}_{\iota}^{\iota^*}$ be a Stein covering of X_0 with $U_{\iota} \subset \iota W_{\iota}$ A for each i. Let $\rho > 0$ with $\rho < \min \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}$. We put $U_{\mathfrak{t}}(\rho) = (U_{\mathfrak{t}})_{\mathfrak{t}}(\rho)$. We Let $\rho > 0$ with $\rho < \min_{\rho_i} \rho_i$. We put $U_i(\rho) = (U_i)_i (\rho)$
 $\hat{U}_i(\rho) \subset \subset \hat{W}_i$ and $\hat{U}_i(\rho)$ are Stein. It is now required that \hat{U}_i see that $U_l(\rho) \subset \subset W_l$ and $U_l(\rho)$ are Stein. It is now required that $\mathfrak{U}(\rho)$

 \wedge and \wedge and \wedge and \wedge and \wedge $\{ U_{\mu}(\rho) \}_{1}^{\mu^*}$ is a Stein covering of $X(\rho)$. We say then that $\mathfrak{U}(\rho)$ is a measure covering of $X(\rho)$.

 \wedge \wedge Admissible refinements of measure coverings. Let $\mathfrak{U}\left(\rho\right)$ and $\mathfrak{U}^{*}\left(\rho\right)$ A be two measure coverings of $X(\rho)$. We say that $\mathfrak{U}^*(\rho)$ is an admissible A refinement of $\mathfrak{U}(\rho)$ if the following conditions hold:

1) $U^* \subset U$, for each i.

2) If $U_{i_0...i_n}^* = U_{i_0}^* \cap ... \cap U_{i_n}^*$ we put $(U_{i_0...i_n}^*)_v = \Phi_v^{-1}(U_{i_0...i_n}^* \times E^n(\rho))$ for each $v \in \{t_0 \dots t_\lambda\}$. It is now required that $(U^*_{t_0 \dots t_\lambda})_v \subset (U_{t_0 \dots t_\lambda})_\mu$ for all $v, \mu \in \{t_0 ... t_k\}.$

3)
$$
\hat{U}_{i_0...i_{\lambda}}^* = \hat{U}_{i_0}^* \cap ... \cap \hat{U}_{i_{\lambda}}^* \subset (U_{i_0...i_{\lambda}})_{\mu}
$$
 for each $\mu \in \{i_0...i_{\lambda}\}.$

Existence of admissible refinements of measure coverings

Existence Theorem. For every fixed integer ^s we can find, for some $\rho > 0$, a sequence $\mathfrak{U}_s \ll \mathfrak{U}_{s-1} \ll \ldots \ll \mathfrak{U}_1 \ll \mathfrak{U}_0$ of finer measure coverings of $X(\rho)$ each of which is an admissible refinement of the following.

Proof. We first construct a measure covering of $X(\rho)$ for some ρ < min ρ_L . Let $\mathfrak{U}_0 = \{ \mathfrak{U}_1 \} ^{d^*}$ be a Stein covering of X_0 such that $U_L \subset \subset W_L$ for $i \in \{1, ..., i^*\}$. Choose a fixed $\rho_0 < \min \rho_i$. Now the open sets $\Phi_{i}^{-1}(U_{i} \times E^{n}(\rho_{0}))$ cover X_{0} and hence they also cover $X(\rho)$ for some sufficiently small ρ . Hence \mathfrak{U}_0 defines a measure covering of $X(\rho)$. It is also clear that \mathfrak{U}_0 defines a measure covering of $X(\rho')$ for each $\rho' \leq \rho$. Let us now construct \mathfrak{U}_1 . We let $\mathfrak{U}^* = \{ U_{\iota}^* \}_{\iota}^*$ be a Stein covering such that $U_{\iota}^* \subset U_{\iota}$ always holds. Now we can find $\rho_1 \leq \rho$ such that $\{ \hat{U}_{\iota}^* (\rho_1) \}$ $=\Phi^{-1}(U^*\times E^n(\rho_1))\Big\}_{1}^*$ cover $X(\rho_1)$. Hence $\hat{\mathfrak{U}}^*(\rho_1)$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{U}}(\rho_1)$ are measure coverings of $X(\rho_1)$. But we do not yet know if $\hat{\vec{u}}^*(\rho_1) \ll \hat{\vec{u}}(\rho_1)$. We claim that if $\rho_2 \leqslant \rho_1$ is sufficiently small then $\hat{\mu}^*(\rho_2) \leqslant \hat{\hat{\mu}}(\rho_2)$. For \wedge \wedge suppose this is false. Say that 2) fails for $\mathfrak{U}^*(\rho_2)$ and $\mathfrak{U}(\rho_2)$ when $0 < \rho_2 \leq \rho_1$. Hence $\Phi_{\nu}^{-1}(U_{\nu_0...\nu_{\lambda}}^* \times E^n(\rho_2)) - \Phi_{\mu}^{-1}(U_{\nu_0...\nu_{\lambda}} \times E^n(\rho_2))$ are non empty for suitable indices while $\rho_2 \rightarrow 0$. Choose a point x_t from each of these sets. Because $x_t \in X(\rho_1)$ which is relatively compact we may assume that $x_t \to x_0$. Obviously we get $x_0 \in U_{i_0 \cdots i_k}^* - U_{i_0 \cdots i_k}$, a contradic-