

5. \$H_w\$ AS A AND PARABOLICS

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **27 (1981)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **21.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Example. Recall that in H_{Σ_3} we computed

$$X_{s_\alpha s_\beta} = \frac{1}{3}(-X_{s_\alpha}^2 + X_{s_\beta} X_{s_\alpha} + 2X_{s_\beta}^2) .$$

By (4.6), one can compute

$$\begin{aligned} X_{s_\alpha}^2 &= X_{s_\beta s_\alpha} \\ X_{s_\beta} X_{s_\alpha} &= X_{s_\beta s_\alpha} + X_{s_\alpha s_\beta} \\ X_{s_\beta}^2 &= X_{s_\alpha s_\beta} \end{aligned}$$

and this confirms our earlier computation.

5. H_W AS A W -MODULE AND PARABOLICS

If (W, S) is a Coxeter system and $\theta \subseteq S$ then (W_θ, θ) is also a Coxeter system [6, p. 20] and W_θ is called a *parabolic* subgroup of W . In addition, it is easy to see that a geometric realization (Δ, Σ) of (W, S) can be restricted to a geometric realization of (W_θ, θ) . The collection $\{W_\theta\}_{\theta \subseteq S}$ of parabolic forms a lattice of $2^{|S|}$ distinct subgroups where, for example, $W_\theta \cap W_{\theta'} = W_{\theta \cap \theta'}$. We will eventually be concerned with the set of left cosets of W_θ in W . We define $W^\theta = \{w \in W : l(ws) = l(w) + 1 \text{ for all } s \in \theta\}$. The following basic result is well-known [6, p. 37 and p. 45].

THEOREM 5.1. *Every element w of W can be uniquely expressed as $w^\theta \cdot w_\theta$ with $w^\theta \in W^\theta$, $w_\theta \in W_\theta$ and furthermore $l(w) = l(w^\theta) + l(w_\theta)$.*

This immediately yields

COROLLARY 5.2. *W^θ is a complete set of left coset representations for W_θ in W and furthermore provides an element of the coset of minimal length.*

In this section we analyze the subalgebra $H_W^{W^\theta}$ of W_θ -invariants in H_W . The most straightforward approach is to compute exactly the action of W on H_W . This is easily done by exploiting the computation (4.1).

THEOREM 5.3. *The structure of H_W as a W -module is given by*

$$s_\alpha \cdot X_w = \begin{cases} X_w & \text{if } l(ws_\alpha) = l(w) + 1 \\ X_w - \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ ws_\alpha \rightarrow w'}} (s_\alpha w^{-1}(\gamma)^v, \alpha) X_{w'} & \text{if } l(ws_\alpha) = l(w) - 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As in (4.5), choose A such that $\varepsilon \Delta_g(A) = \delta_{gw}$. Then, since c is a W -map

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_\alpha X_w &= c(s_\alpha A) = \sum_{w' \in W} \varepsilon \Delta_{w'}(s_\alpha A) X_{w'} \\
 &= \sum_{w' \in W} \varepsilon \Delta_{w'}(1 - \alpha^* \Delta_\alpha)(A) X_{w'} \\
 &= X_w - \sum_{w' \neq w} (\varepsilon \Delta_{w'} \alpha^*) \Delta_\alpha(A) X_{w'} \\
 &= X_w - \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ g \xrightarrow{\gamma} w'}} (g^{-1}(\gamma)^v, \alpha) \varepsilon \Delta_{gs_\alpha}(A) X_{w'} && \text{by (4.1)} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ g \xrightarrow{\gamma} w' \\ l(gs_\alpha) = l(g) + 1 \\ gs_\alpha = w}} (g^{-1}(\gamma)^v, \alpha) X_{w'} \\
 &= X_w - \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ ws_\alpha \xrightarrow{\gamma} w'}} (s_\alpha w^{-1}(\gamma)^v, \alpha) X_{w'}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note, that the summation in the next to the last line is non-vacuous if and only if $l(ws_\alpha) = l(w) - 1$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 5.4. $X_w \in H_W^{W^\theta}$ if $w \in W^\theta$.

Proof. Immediate from (5.3) and the definition of W^θ .

The following elementary result shows that the $X_w, w \in W^\theta$, are actually an \mathbf{R} -basis for $H_W^{W^\theta}$.

LEMMA. *If a finite group G acts on a real vector space V via the regular representation and H is a subgroup of G , then*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{R}}(V^H) = [G : H].$$

Proof. Let $\{e_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a basis for V , so that

$$g' \cdot e_g = e_{gg'}$$

Then if $\chi = \sum_{g \in G} \xi_g \in V^H$, we claim $\xi_g = \xi_{g'}$, if $g \equiv g' \pmod{H}$. Indeed, if $g = g' h, h \in H$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi_{g'} &= \text{coefficient of } e_{g'} \chi \text{ in} \\
 &= \text{coefficient of } e_{g'} \text{ in } h^{-1} \chi. \\
 &= \text{coefficient of } e_{g'h} \text{ in } \chi \\
 &= \xi_g.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there are at most $[G : H]$ free parameters in determining $\chi \in V^H$ and clearly each choice gives an invariant. This finishes the proof.

COROLLARY 5.6. $\dim(H_W^{W^\theta}) = [W : W_\theta] = |W^\theta|$ and the X_w , $w \in W^\theta$, are an \mathbf{R} -basis for $H_W^{W^\theta}$.

Proof. Chevalley [8] has shown that S_W , hence H_W , is abstractly equivalent to the regular representation of W , as a W -module. Hence, (5.5) applies and the result follows.

It is now possible to "restrict" the Pieri formula (4.5) for H_W to $H_W^{W^\theta}$. We have

THEOREM 5.7. If $w, w' \in W^\theta$ and in H_W

$$\begin{aligned} X_w \cdot X_{w'} &= \sum_{w'' \in W} c(w, w', w'') X_{w''} \\ \text{then in } H_W^{W^\theta} \quad X_w \cdot X_{w'} &= \sum_{w'' \in W^\theta} c(w, w', w'') X_{w''} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. One need only observe that the vector space map $r : H_W \rightarrow H_W^{W^\theta}$ given by

$$r(X_w) = \begin{cases} X_w & \text{if } w \in W^\theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a retraction. Then, applying r to both sides of the first equation yields the second equation since the invariants form a subalgebra.

This result will be useful in the next section for computing inside the algebra of W_θ -invariants. Notice that an appropriate Giambelli formula for $H_W^{W^\theta}$ is not as easily obtained. This is because the Giambelli formula for H_W gives X_w as a polynomial in the X_{s_α} 's and not all of these are in the algebra $H_W^{W^\theta}$, so this is not quite the right thing.

6. APPLICATION:

THE COMBINATORICS OF THE CLASSICAL PIERI FORMULA

In the last section we saw that given a pair (W, W_θ) of a Coxeter group and a parabolic subgroup, one could construct a formula to describe the multiplication of Schubert generators in the invariant subalgebra $H_W^{W^\theta}$. In this section, we examine the particular case $(\Sigma_{n+k}, \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_n)$ where Σ_m denotes the symmetric group on m letters. Indeed, Σ_{n+k} is the Weyl group of the root system of type A_{n+k-1} , which we recall briefly here. Let $V' = \mathbf{R}^{n+k}$