

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 28 (1982)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** TURING MACHINES THAT TAKE ADVICE  
**Autor:** Karp, Richard M. / Lipton, Richard J.  
**Kapitel:** 3. SUMMARY OF MAIN RESULTS  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52237>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 18.04.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

Here *UGAP* is the undirected maze problem. As another example, we have Adleman's [1] result that *R* (the set of languages accepted in polynomial time by randomizing Turing machines) has small circuits, which can be restated as

$$R \text{ is a subset of } P/poly.$$

It may be interesting that both these results use the probabilistic method of Erdős to prove the existence of the required advice bits.

### 3. SUMMARY OF MAIN RESULTS

We will discuss a variety of complexity classes. These include the basic time and space classes *DTIME* ( $T(n)$ ), *DSPACE* ( $S(n)$ ) and *NSPACE* ( $S(n)$ ) and the classes:

*P* = the set of languages accepted in deterministic polynomial time,

*R* = the set of languages accepted in polynomial time by randomizing Turing machines [1],

*NP* = the set of languages accepted in nondeterministic polynomial time,

*PSPACE* = the set of languages accepted in polynomial space,

$$EXPTIME = \bigcup_{i > 0} DTIME(2^{ni}).$$

Also important is the polynomial-time hierarchy of Meyer and Stockmeyer [19]. For  $i \geq 1$  we let  $\sum_i^P$  (respectively  $\prod_i^P$ ) denote those languages accepted in polynomial time by Turing machines that make  $i$  alternations starting from an existential (respectively universal) state. Note that  $NP = \sum_1^P$  and  $\text{co-}NP = \prod_1^P$ . Finally, note that *P*, *PSPACE* and *EXPTIME* can be viewed as complexity classes associated with alternating Turing machines; specifically,  $P = ASPACE(\log n)$ ,  $PSPACE = AP$  and  $EXPTIME = APSPACE$  [3, 10].

Many of the following theorems take the form

$$L \subseteq S/F \Rightarrow L \subseteq S'$$

where *L* and *S'* are uniform complexity classes and *V/F* is a nonuniform complexity class. The proof usually consists of showing that

$$K \in V/F \Rightarrow K \in S'$$

where the set of strings  $K$  is complete in  $L$  with respect to an appropriate reducibility. The hypothesis tells us that  $K$  is of the form  $S : h$  where  $S$  is a language in  $V$  and a bound on  $|h(|x|)|$  is known. The proof that  $K \in S'$  consists of giving an appropriate uniform algorithm to recognize  $K$ . The function  $h(|x|)$  is not available to this uniform algorithm, but the algorithm can exploit the fact that  $h(|x|)$  is consistent; i.e. for all strings  $y$  of the same length as  $x$ ,  $y \in K \Leftrightarrow h(|x|) \cdot y \in S$ . The algorithm must somehow filter through all the strings that might be  $h(|x|)$ , and come up with the right decision about  $x$ . The method of doing so depends on the structure of  $K$ . The following section treats the case where  $K$  is a "game". Section 5 considers the case where  $K$  is self-reducible. Finally, Section 6 deals with the case where  $K$  has a simple recursive definition.

The main results of this paper are summarized in Figure 1. The rest of the paper is devoted to supplying proofs and additional comments on these main results. As promised in the introduction each result demonstrates that a nonuniform hypothesis can have uniform consequences.

#### 4. THE ROUND-ROBIN TOURNAMENT METHOD

Insight into the nature of a complexity class can often be gained by identifying "hardest" problems in the class, i.e., problems that are complete in the class with respect to an appropriate definition of reducibility. For complexity classes defined in terms of time and space on alternating Turing machines, these complete problems often take the form of games ([3, 4]). In this section we explain and apply a proof technique called "the round-robin tournament method", which enables us to relate the nonuniform complexity of a game to its uniform complexity. The specific complexity classes we consider are *PSPACE*, *P* and *EXPTIME* (alias *AP*, *ASPACE* ( $\log n$ ) and *APSPACE*, respectively ([3, 10])).

A game  $G$  is specified by

- (i) a set  $W \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  and
- (ii) a pair of length-preserving functions  $F_0$  and  $F_1$ , each mapping  $\{0, 1\}^* - W$  into  $\{0, 1\}^*$ .