

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 30 (1984)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: LARGE FREE GROUPS OF ISOMETRIES AND THEIR GEOMETRICAL USES
Autor: Mycielski, Jan / Wagon, Stan
Kapitel: §9. Linear Transformations of the Euclidean Plane
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-53829>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 15.07.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

and the sets of Theorem 4(b) are all Borel. Since Borel sets have the Property of Baire, each A_α may be written as $R_\alpha \Delta M_\alpha$ where R_α is open and M_α is meager. But each A_α , being Borel equidecomposable to all of H^2 , is nonmeager, whence each R_α is nonempty. It follows that the R_α are pairwise disjoint, which contradicts the separability of H^2 . A similar argument shows that the sets cannot all be Lebesgue measurable either.

Let us point out how the proof of Theorem 9 breaks down in hyperbolic space. Theorem 9 is based on the fact that \mathbf{R}^n is a union of countably many sets B_r of finite Lebesgue measure satisfying: for any isometry σ , $m(B_r \Delta \sigma(B_r))/m(B_r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Simply let B_r be the ball of radius r centered at the origin. Because Theorem 9 is false for H^n if $n \geq 2$, there can be no such sequence of almost invariant sets of finite (hyperbolic) measure in H^n .

§ 9. LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS OF THE EUCLIDEAN PLANE

Paradoxical decompositions in the plane are possible if one allows the use of area-preserving affine transformations. This was first realized by von Neumann [31], who showed that a square is paradoxical using this expansion of the isometry group. In fact, it is sufficient to consider the group generated by $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and all translations; see [39]. In this section we discuss how the results of this paper are affected by considering linear, or affine, transformations instead of just isometries.

Let us consider the action of $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$ on $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. The two matrices, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ freely generate a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, no nonidentity element of which has a fixed point in $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$; this follows from the result of Magnus and Neumann mentioned in § 6, since an element of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ has a nonzero fixed point in \mathbf{R}^2 if and only if it has trace 2. It follows by the technique of § 4 that $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$ has a free subgroup with a perfect set of free generators whose action on $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is fixed-point free. Therefore the action of $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$ on $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies all the conclusions of Theorems 4 and 6.

Using techniques of functional analysis, J. Rosenblatt and R. Kallman (unpublished) have recently shown that the Lebesgue measurable subsets of $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ($n \geq 2$) do not bear a finitely additive, $SL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ -invariant measure of total measure one. (For $n \geq 3$ this uses the fact that $SL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ has Kazhdan's Property T, while the \mathbf{R}^2 case uses specific facts about representations of

$SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$; see [41; Theorem 11.17].) Thus Theorem 9 does not extend to area-preserving affine transformations. It would be interesting if a paradoxical decomposition of $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ using measurable sets, similar to the one illustrated in § 8, could be explicitly constructed. Some sort of paradoxical decomposition using measurable pieces must exist, by a general theorem of Tarski (see [41]), but it is not known if one using just four pieces exists. On the other hand, Belley and Prasad [4] have shown that there is a finitely additive measure on a certain (not too small) Boolean algebra of Borel subsets of \mathbf{R}^n that has total measure one and is invariant under all nonsingular affine transformations of \mathbf{R}^n (not just the measure-preserving ones).

Finally, we mention some unsolved problems about the existence of nice free groups of affine, area-preserving transformations, positive solutions to which would yield (via Theorems 4-6) paradoxical decompositions of \mathbf{R}^n . Let $A_n(\mathbf{R})$ denote the group of affine transformations of \mathbf{R}^n , i.e., transformations of the form TL , where T is a translation and $L \in GL_n(\mathbf{R})$. Let $SA_n(\mathbf{R})$ be the subgroup obtained by restricting L to $SL_n(\mathbf{R})$, and let $SA_n(\mathbf{Z})$ consist of those TL where $L \in SL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ and T is a translation by a vector in \mathbf{Z}^n . Note that $SA_n(\mathbf{Z})$ acts on \mathbf{Z}^n . Since $G(\mathbf{R}^3) \subseteq SA_3(\mathbf{R})$, Theorem 1 yields that $SA_3(\mathbf{R})$ has a free non-Abelian subgroup whose action on \mathbf{R}^3 is fixed-point free. Consideration of \mathbf{Z}^3 instead of \mathbf{R}^3 leads to problem 1 below. Problem 2 is an attempt to get a version of these results for \mathbf{R}^2 (rather than $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, which is treated at the beginning of this section). Only local commutativity is sought because of part (b) of the proposition below. Since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and its transpose freely generate a group of rank two, so do the two transformations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence perhaps the subgroup of $SA_2(\mathbf{Z})$ which these two transformations generate solves Problem 2 affirmatively. But we are unable to show that this subgroup is locally commutative.

Problems.

1. Does $SA_3(\mathbf{Z})$ have a free subgroup of rank two which is fixed-point free on \mathbf{Z}^3 ?
2. Does $SA_2(\mathbf{R})$ (or $SA_2(\mathbf{Z})$) have a subgroup of rank two which is locally commutative in its action on \mathbf{R}^2 (or on \mathbf{Z}^2)?

PROPOSITION 10.

(a) If $TL \in A_n(\mathbf{R})$ and TL has no fixed points in \mathbf{R}^n , then L has $+1$ as an eigenvalue, i.e., L has a fixed point in $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

(b) If G is a subgroup of $SA_2(\mathbf{R})$ which is fixed-point free on \mathbf{R}^2 then G is solvable.

Proof.

(a) Suppose T is a translation by the vector v . Since $L(x) + v = x$ has no solution, the same is true of $(L - I)(x) = -v$, and therefore $\det(L - I) = 0$, i.e., 1 is an eigenvalue of L .

(b) Let $\sigma = TL$ and $\tau = T'L'$ be in G . Then $\sigma\tau = T''LL'$ so part (a) yields that each of L , L' , LL' has 1 as an eigenvalue. Since these are 2×2 matrices with determinant 1 , this implies that all have trace 2 . Hence, choosing an appropriate basis, we have $L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $L' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & 2-\alpha \end{pmatrix}$.

Then $LL' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + b\gamma & * \\ * & 2 - \alpha \end{pmatrix}$, and the trace of the latter being 2 yields that $b\gamma = 0$. But if either b or γ equal zero, then L and L' commute, which implies that the commutators $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1}$ and $\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau$ are pure translations. Hence $[[G, G], [G, G]]$ is the identity subgroup, i.e., G is solvable.

Part (b) of the Proposition shows why there is no fixed-point free, non-Abelian free subgroup of $SA_2(\mathbf{R})$. But the following problem is unsolved.

Problem 3. Does there exist a free non-Abelian semigroup in $SA_2(\mathbf{R})$ (or $SA_2(\mathbf{Z})$) whose action on \mathbf{R}^2 is fixed-point free?

Part (a) of Proposition 10 brings to light a distinction between the groups $G(\mathbf{R}^n)$ according as n is even or odd. The proof of Theorem 1 for \mathbf{R}^3 (§ 5) is essentially the same as the proof for S^{2n+1} given in § 4. Precisely, it is shown that $A = \{\sigma \in G(\mathbf{R}^3) : \sigma \text{ has a fixed point in } \mathbf{R}^3\}$ is nowhere dense and, in fact, each $R_w = f_w^{-1}(A)$ is nowhere dense in the appropriate product, where w is any group word in finitely many variables. While this is sufficient to get the existence of perfect free generating sets of fixed-point free subgroups in \mathbf{R}^3 and beyond, the set A can fail to be nowhere dense in the higher dimensions. Indeed, consider \mathbf{R}^{2n} , $n \geq 1$. Letting $\pi: G(\mathbf{R}^{2n}) \rightarrow SO_{2n}$ be the canonical homomorphism, it follows from part (a) of Proposition 10 that $G(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus A \subseteq \pi^{-1}(B)$, where $B = \{L \in SO_{2n} : L \text{ has } 1 \text{ as an eigenvalue}\}$. It is easy to see that B is nowhere dense and it follows that the same is true of $\pi^{-1}(B)$; i.e., A has a nowhere dense complement. In odd

dimensions, however, the situation in \mathbf{R}^3 is typical, as the following proposition shows.

PROPOSITION 11. *If $n \geq 1$ is odd then $A = \{\sigma \in G(\mathbf{R}^n) : \sigma \text{ has a fixed point in } \mathbf{R}^n\}$ is a nowhere dense subset of $G(\mathbf{R}^n)$.*

Proof. It is an easy linear algebra exercise (generalizing Proposition 10 (a) above) to see that $\sigma = TL$ has a fixed point in \mathbf{R}^n if and only if the translation vector of T is orthogonal to all vectors fixed by L . Since there is a basis for the fixed space of L that consists of vectors whose entries are polynomials in the entries of L (Gaussian elimination and scaling), this latter condition on TL is equivalent to the vanishing of a polynomial in the entries of σ . But the condition is not universally true in $G(\mathbf{R}^n)$ since any pure translation has no fixed points; therefore the technique introduced in § 4 implies that A is nowhere dense, as desired.

This proposition, in exactly the same cases, is valid for SO_{n+1} 's action on S^n (see § 4). The following extension of these results is a refinement of the theorems on the existence of free, fixed-point free groups of isometries of rank m : it shows that in these cases almost all (from the category point of view) m -tuples of isometries are free generators of fixed-point free groups of isometries.

PROPOSITION 12. *Suppose n is odd and $n \geq 3$, and X is one of \mathbf{R}^n or S^n . Then any m elements of $G(X)$, with the exception of a meager set in $G(X)^m$, are free generators of a fixed-point free subgroup of $G(X)$.*

Proof. For the spherical case this follows from § 4, where it was shown that $\cup\{R_w : w \text{ is a group word in } m \text{ variables}\}$ is comeager. The Euclidean case is proved by observing (see Proposition 11's proof and § 5) that there is a function p that is a polynomial in the entries of $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ such that $p = 0$ if and only if $f_w(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m) \in A$. Since, by the rank two case of Theorem 1 (a), f is not identically zero, $f_w^{-1}(A)$ is nowhere dense. Therefore the union over all words in m variables is meager, as desired.