

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 32 (1986)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: INTRODUCTION TO MICROLOCAL ANALYSIS
Kapitel: §0. Introduction
Autor: Kashiwara, Masaki
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-55089>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 04.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

INTRODUCTION TO MICROLOCAL ANALYSIS ¹⁾

by Masaki KASHIWARA

§ 0. INTRODUCTION

0.1. In this lecture, we explain the micro-local point of view (i.e. the consideration on the cotangent bundle) for the study of systems of linear differential equations.

0.2. The importance of the cotangent bundle in analysis has been recognized for a long time, although implicitly, for example by the following consideration.

We consider a linear differential operator

$$P(x, \partial) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad \partial^\alpha = (\partial/\partial x_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\partial/\partial x_n)^{\alpha_n}$$

for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, and try to find a solution to $P(x, \partial)u(x) = 0$. If we suppose that $u(x)$ has a singularity along a hypersurface $f(x) = 0$, then the simplest possible form of $u(x)$ is

$$u(x) = c_0(x)f(x)^s + c_1(x)f(x)^{s+1} + \dots$$

Then setting $P_m(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha(x)\xi^\alpha$ we have

$$(0.1.1) \quad P(x, \partial)u(x) = s(s-1) \dots (s-m+1)c_0(x)P_m(x, df)f(x)^{s-m} + \dots \\ + (s+j) \dots (s+j-m+1)c_j(x)P_m(x, df) \\ + (\text{terms in } c_0, \dots, c_{j-1})f(x)^{s-j} + \dots$$

Therefore $P_m(x, df)$ must be a multiple of $f(x)$ (i.e. $P_m(x, df) = 0$ on $f^{-1}(0)$). In this case, $f^{-1}(0)$ is called characteristic.

Thus the hypersurface $f^{-1}(0)$ is not arbitrary and the singularity of the solution to $Pu(x) = 0$ has a very special form.

¹⁾ Survey lectures given at the University of Bern in June 1984 under the sponsorship of the International Mathematical Union.

This article has already been published in *Monographie de l'Enseignement Mathématique*, N° 32, Université de Genève, 1986.

0.3. If $P_m(x, \xi) \neq 0$ for any non-zero real vector ξ , then P is called an elliptic operator. In this case, one can easily solve $P(x, \partial)u(x) = f(x)$ for any $f(x)$, at least locally. We start from the plane wave decomposition of the δ -function.

$$(0.3.1) \quad \delta(x) = \text{const.} \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\langle x, \xi \rangle^n}$$

where $\omega(\xi)$ is the invariant volume element of the sphere S^{n-1} .

By formula (0.1.1), we can solve

$$P(x, \partial)K(x, y) = \frac{1}{(\langle x, \xi \rangle - \langle y, \xi \rangle)^n},$$

by setting $K(x, y) = \sum c_j (\langle x, \xi \rangle - \langle y, \xi \rangle)^{m-n+j}$ and determining c_j recursively. Then $K(x, y) = \text{const} \int K(x, y, \xi) \omega(\xi)$ satisfies

$$P(x, \partial)K(x, y) = \delta(x - y)$$

by (0.3.1).

If we set $u(x) = \int K(x, y) f(y) dy$ then $u(x)$ satisfies $P(x, \partial)u(x) = f(x)$.

In fact

$$P(x, \partial)u(x) = \int P(x, \partial)K(x, y) f(y) dy = \int \delta(x - y) f(y) dy = f(x).$$

0.4. By these considerations, M. Sato recognized explicitly the importance of the cotangent bundle by introducing the singular spectrum of functions and microfunctions [Sato]. For a real analytic manifold M , let \mathcal{A}_M be the sheaf of real analytic functions and \mathcal{B}_M the sheaf of hyperfunctions. Let $\pi: T^*M \rightarrow M$ be the cotangent bundle of M . Then he constructed the sheaf \mathcal{C}_M of microfunctions and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_M \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} \pi_* \mathcal{C}_M \rightarrow 0.$$

The action of a differential operator $P(x, \partial)$ on \mathcal{B}_M extends to the action on \mathcal{C}_M .

Moreover $P: \mathcal{C}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_M$ is an isomorphism outside

$$\{(x, \xi) \in T^*M; P_m(x, \xi) = 0\}.$$

0.5. In the situation of § 0.2, $u(x) = c_0(x)f(x)^s + \dots$ satisfies $\text{supp } \text{sp}(u(x)) = \{\pm df(x)\}$. Therefore $P_m(x, df)$ must be zero if $P(x, \partial)u(x) = 0$. In fact otherwise the bijectivity of $P: \mathcal{C}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_M$ implies $\text{sp}(u) = 0$.

0.6. Such a method of studying functions or differential equations locally on the cotangent bundle is called microlocal analysis. After Sato's discovery of microfunctions, microlocal analysis was studied intensively in Sato-Kawai-Kashiwara [SKK].

Also L. Hörmander [H] worked in the C^∞ -case. Since then, microlocal analysis has been one of the most fundamental tools in the theory of linear partial differential equations.

§ 1. SYSTEMS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS (See [O], [Bj])

1.1. Let X be a complex manifold. A system of linear differential equations can be written in the form

$$(1.1.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} P_{ij}(x, \partial)u_j = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N_1.$$

Here u_1, \dots, u_{N_0} denote unknown functions and the $P_{ij}(x, \partial)$ are differential operators on X . The holomorphic function solutions of (1.1.1) are simply the kernel of the homomorphism

$$(1.1.2) \quad P: \mathcal{O}_X^{N_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{N_1}$$

which assigns (v_1, \dots, v_{N_1}) to (u_1, \dots, u_{N_0}) , where $v_i = \sum_j P_{ij}(x, \partial)u_j$.

Let us denote by \mathcal{D}_X the ring of differential operators with holomorphic coefficients. Then

$$(1.1.3) \quad P: \mathcal{D}_X^{N_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X^{N_0}$$

given by (Q_1, \dots, Q_{N_1}) to $(\sum Q_i P_{i1}, \dots, \sum Q_i P_{iN_0})$ is a left \mathcal{D}_X -linear homomorphism. If we denote by \mathcal{M} the cokernel of (1.1.3), then \mathcal{M} becomes a left \mathcal{D}_X -module and $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the kernel of (1.1.2). This means that the set of holomorphic solutions to $Pu = 0$ depends only on \mathcal{M} .

For this reason we mean by a system of linear differential equations a left \mathcal{D}_X -module.