

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 35 (1989)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: AN ALGEBRAIC PROOF OF VAN DER WAERDEN'S THEOREM
Kapitel: 1. Semigroup properties of N
Autor: Bergelson, Vitaly / Furstenberg, Hillel / Hindman, Neil / Katznelson, Yitzhak
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57373>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 07.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

In general this result does not hold for infinite semigroups. We invite the reader to find a counterexample for $S = (\mathbf{Z}, +)$. However it does hold for compact semigroups as we will show implicitly in the proof of Theorem 3.3. (Of course the finite version is then a special case.)

We intend to apply this theorem to the natural numbers \mathbf{N} by compactifying \mathbf{N} in such a way so as to obtain a compact semigroup; this is the role of the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta\mathbf{N}$ of \mathbf{N} . We obtain a theorem about $\beta\mathbf{N}$ which when unraveled becomes exactly van der Waerden's Theorem.

We warn the reader that in the compactification of \mathbf{N} the operation of addition will be extended with the usual notation $+$. However the semigroup will not be commutative and so one has to accustom oneself to non-commutative addition.

1. SEMIGROUP PROPERTIES OF $\beta\mathbf{N}$

Any completely regular Hausdorff space has a maximal compactification, the Stone-Čech compactification. In particular the discrete space \mathbf{N} of positive integers has a Stone-Čech compactification $\beta\mathbf{N}$ which is characterized by: (1) $\beta\mathbf{N}$ is a compact Hausdorff space; (2) \mathbf{N} is a dense subset of $\beta\mathbf{N}$; and (3) given any compact Hausdorff space Y and any $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Y$ there is a continuous extension $f^\beta: \beta\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Y$, (that is $f^\beta|_{\mathbf{N}} = f$).

Our proof of van der Waerden's Theorem is based on the fact that the operation of ordinary addition extends to $\beta\mathbf{N}$ as an operation which we denote by $+$. $\beta\mathbf{N}$ under this operation will be a semigroup in which the operation of addition is continuous in a restricted way. Namely let $(S, +)$ be a semigroup with S a topological space and define functions ρ_x and λ_x for each $x \in S$ by $\rho_x(y) = y + x$ and $\lambda_x(y) = x + y$. If one requires only that ρ_x be continuous, S is called a right topological semigroup.

1.1 LEMMA. *There is an operation $+$ on $\beta\mathbf{N}$ such that $\beta\mathbf{N}$ is a compact right topological semigroup, $+$ extends ordinary addition on \mathbf{N} , and λ_n is continuous for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Proof. We extend $+$ in stages, starting with $+$ defined on $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$. Given $n \in \mathbf{N}$, consider $f_n: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \beta\mathbf{N}$ defined by $f_n(m) = n + m$. Then each f_n has a continuous extension $f_n^\beta: \beta\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \beta\mathbf{N}$. For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $p \in \beta\mathbf{N} \setminus \mathbf{N}$ define

$n + p = f_n^\beta(p)$. (Then for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $p \in \beta\mathbf{N}$, $n + p = f_n^\beta(p)$ since if $p \in \mathbf{N}$, $f_n^\beta(p) = f_n(p) = n + p$.) Now $+$ is defined on $\mathbf{N} \times \beta\mathbf{N}$. Given $p \in \beta\mathbf{N}$ define $g_p: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \beta\mathbf{N}$ by $g_p(n) = n + p$. Then each g_p has a continuous extension $g_p^\beta: \beta\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \beta\mathbf{N}$. Then for $p \in \beta\mathbf{N}$ and $q \in \beta\mathbf{N} \setminus \mathbf{N}$ define $q + p = g_p^\beta(q)$. (Again if p, q are any points in $\beta\mathbf{N}$ we have $q + p = g_p^\beta(q)$.)

Since for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\lambda_n = f_n^\beta$ and for any $p \in \beta\mathbf{N}$, $\rho_p = g_p^\beta$, the continuity assumptions are immediate. Thus we need only check that the operation is associative. To this end let $p, q, r \in \beta\mathbf{N}$. Observe that $p + (q + r) = \rho_{q+r}(p)$ while $(p + q) + r = (\rho_r \circ \rho_q)(p)$ so by continuity it suffices to show ρ_{q+r} and $\rho_r \circ \rho_q$ agree on the dense subset \mathbf{N} of $\beta\mathbf{N}$. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$\rho_{q+r}(n) = n + (q + r) = (\lambda_n \circ \rho_r)(q)$$

$$\text{and } (\rho_r \circ \rho_q)(n) = (n + q) + r = (\rho_r \circ \lambda_n)(q).$$

Again by continuity, it suffices to show $\lambda_n \circ \rho_r$ and $\rho_r \circ \lambda_n$ agree on \mathbf{N} . Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$(\lambda_n \circ \rho_r)(m) = n + (m + r) = (\lambda_n \circ \lambda_m)(r)$$

while

$$(\rho_r \circ \lambda_n)(m) = (n + m) + r = \lambda_{n+m}(r).$$

Thus it finally suffices to show $\lambda_n \circ \lambda_m$ and λ_{n+m} agree on \mathbf{N} . Let $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $(\lambda_n \circ \lambda_m)(t) = n + (m + t) = (n + m) + t = \lambda_{n+m}(t)$ as required. \square

The main fact about $\beta\mathbf{N}$ making it useful for van der Waerden's Theorem and similar results is the content of the following lemma.

1.2 LEMMA. *If $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ is a finite partition of \mathbf{N} , then $\{cl A_1, cl A_2, \dots, cl A_m\}$ is a partition of $\beta\mathbf{N}$ such that for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $cl A_i$ is open.*

Proof. Let $Y = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with the discrete topology and define $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Y$ by $f(n) = i$ if and only if $n \in A_i$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, let $B_i = \{p \in \beta\mathbf{N}: f^\beta(p) = i\}$. Then immediately $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m\}$ is a partition of $\beta\mathbf{N}$. Further, given $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $B_i = (f^\beta)^{-1}[\{i\}]$. Since $\{i\}$ is open and closed in Y and f^β is continuous, B_i is open and closed. Since $A_i \subseteq B_i$, one has $cl A_i \subseteq B_i$. To see that $B_i \subseteq cl A_i$, let $x \in B_i$ and let U be a neighborhood of x . Since \mathbf{X} is dense in $\beta\mathbf{N}$, pick $y \in \mathbf{N} \cap (U \cap B_i)$. Since $y \in B_i$, $f(y) = i$ so $y \in A_i$. Thus $U \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$ as required. \square