

§3. Local representation masses and Q_p -equivalence of forms

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **35 (1989)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **25.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

§ 3. LOCAL REPRESENTATION MASSES AND $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -EQUIVALENCE OF FORMS

There is a formula due to Minkowski (cf. [9]) for $\theta(u, f, p^t)$ if t is large enough, in which appear the well-known pair of invariants determining the $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -equivalence class of f . As a consequence of this formula (cf. Proposition 3.2), we shall obtain a characterization of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -equivalence of forms through local representation masses.

Let $s \geq 1$ be an integer and $X_s = \{m \in \mathbf{Q}_p \mid -v_p(m) > s\}$. For any integral p -adic quadratic form f we define the functions:

$$\begin{aligned} r_s(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) &= p^{-\delta(f)/2} (r(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) - p^{(1-k)s} r(\cdot, f, p^s)), \\ \theta_s(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) &= p^{-\delta(f)/2} \theta(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) \cdot 1_{X_s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta(f) = v_p(\det f)$.

The reader may check that the function defined on $\mathbf{Q}_p^k \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$\phi_s(x) = p^{-\delta(f)/2} \left(1 - p^{(1-k)s} \frac{r(f(x), f, p^s)}{r(f(x), f, \mathbf{Z}_p)} \right) \cdot 1_{(\mathbf{Z}_p)^k}(x)$$

is integrable over $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and that $r_s = r_{\phi_s}$, $\theta_s = \theta_{\phi_s}$, so that these functions follow the general pattern mentioned in the introduction. Note that ϕ_s is not a Schwartz-Bruhat function.

PROPOSITION 3.1. $r_s \in L^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ and $\theta_s(m) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} r_s(n) \langle m, n \rangle dn$.

Proof. r_s is integrable since r and $r \pmod{p^s}$ are integrable. To prove the second assertion, by Proposition 2.2 we need only to compute

$$\hat{r}(m, f, p^s) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} r(n, f, p^s) \langle m, n \rangle dn.$$

Let $m = p^{-t}u$, $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, $p \nmid u$, $t \geq 0$. Let $t_0 = \max\{s, t\}$. On each class $a + p^{t_0}\mathbf{Z}_p$, the integrand is constant and we have

$$\hat{r}(m, f, p^s) = p^{-t_0} \sum_{a \in \mathbf{Z}/p^{t_0}\mathbf{Z}} r(a, f, p^s) \exp(2\pi i u a p^{-t}).$$

If $t \leq s$ we have directly:

$$p^{(1-k)s} \hat{r}(m, f, p^s) = \theta(up^{s-t}, f, p^s) = \theta(m, f, \mathbf{Q}_p).$$

If $t > s$ the sum is equal to

$$p^{-t} \sum_{a_o \in \mathbf{Z}/p^s \mathbf{Z}} r(a_o, f, p^s) \exp(2\pi i u a_o p^{-t}) \sum_{b \in \mathbf{Z}/p^{t-s} \mathbf{Z}} \exp(2\pi i u p^{s-t} b) = 0. \quad \square$$

In order to simplify Minkowski's formula for the theta-values, we will make use of the invariant $[f]_p$ of a p -adic quadratic form introduced by Conway [2]. Let α_k be the last invariant factor of f and let $s_o(f) = v_p(2p\alpha_k)$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let f be a non singular p -adic integral quadratic form in k variables. For all $t \geq s_o(f)$ and $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(u, f, p^t) &= p^{(\delta+kt)/2} \varepsilon_p^{t^2(k+2\delta)} \left(\frac{u}{p}\right)^{kt+\delta} [f]_p \left(\frac{d_o}{p}\right)^t, \quad \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ \theta(u, f, 2^t) &= 2^{(\delta+k(t+1))/2} \exp(2\pi i k/8) [f]_2 \left(\frac{2}{d_o}\right)^t \left(\frac{2}{u}\right)^{kt} [u]_2^k (u, \det f)_2, \\ &\quad \text{if } p = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\delta = \delta(f)$, $d_o = p^{-\delta} \det f$ and $(a, b)_p$ denotes Hilbert's symbol.

Proof. Since $\theta(u, f, p^t) = \theta(1, uf, p^t)$, it is easy to reduce the claims to the case $u = 1$. Assume first $p > 2$. Let $v = v_1 \dots v_r$, $w = w_1 \dots w_{k-r}$, where

$$f \sim \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} \langle p^{s_i} v_i \rangle \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq k-r} \langle p^{t_j} w_j \rangle$$

over $\mathbf{Z}_p$, with s_i odd, t_j even, $v_i, w_j \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ for all i, j . Let $t > \max_{i,j} \{s_i, t_j\}$;

by Prop. 1.1 we have

$$\theta(1, f, p^t) = p^{(\delta+kt)/2} \begin{cases} \varepsilon_p^r \left(\frac{v}{p}\right) & \text{if } t \text{ even} \\ \varepsilon_p^{k-r} \left(\frac{w}{p}\right) & \text{if } t \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since $[f]_p = \varepsilon_p^r \left(\frac{v}{p}\right)$, we get the desired formula.

We deal now with the case $p = 2$. Assume that, over $\mathbf{Z}_2$,

$$f \sim \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} \langle 2^{s_i} H_i \rangle \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq k-2r} \langle 2^{t_j} v_j \rangle,$$

where H_i is 2-dimensional improperly primitive and $v_j \in \mathbf{Z}_2^*$. Let

$$U = \bigoplus_{s_i \text{ even}} \langle H_i \rangle, \quad U' = \bigoplus_{s_i \text{ odd}} \langle H_i \rangle, \quad V = \bigoplus_{t_j \text{ even}} \langle v_j \rangle, \quad V' = \bigoplus_{t_j \text{ odd}} \langle v_j \rangle.$$

Let d, d', v, v' denote the respective determinants of U, U', V and V' . By Proposition 1.1 we have for all $t > 1 + \max_{i,j} \{s_i, t_j\}$

$$\theta(1, f, 2^t) = 2^{(\delta + k(t+1))/2} \exp(2\pi i w/8) \left(\frac{2}{dv}\right) \left(\frac{2}{d_o}\right)^t,$$

where $w = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k-2r} v_j$. Let s denote the dimension of U ; one can see that

$$[U]_2 = \left(\frac{2}{d}\right) (-i)^{s/2}, \quad [2U']_2 = (-i)^{(2r-s)/2}.$$

Let m be the number of v_j 's in V congruent to 3 (mod 4), and let n_1, n_3, n_5, n_7 be the respective number of v_j 's in V' congruent to 1, 3, 5 or 7 (mod 8); we have

$$[V]_2 [2V']_2 = i^{3n_1 + n_3 + 2n_5 + 3n_7}.$$

Summing up these expressions the result follows. $\square$

Whereas $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -equivalence of forms is determined by all functions $r(\cdot, f, p^t)$, $t \geq 1$ (Theorem 1.2), or equivalently by its limit value $r(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ (Theorem 2.3), we prove in the next theorem that $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -equivalent forms are characterized by having the same differences $r_s(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ between these two functions, for s sufficiently large.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let f, g be non singular integral p -adic quadratic forms in k variables. Suppose that $s \geq \max(s_o(f), s_o(g))$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) $f \sim g$ over $\mathbf{Q}_p$,
- ii) $r_s(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) = r_s(\cdot, g, \mathbf{Z}_p)$,
- iii) $\theta_s(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) = \theta_s(\cdot, g, \mathbf{Q}_p)$.

Proof. For any integer $t \geq 1$ we consider the difference

$$\Delta r(n, f, p^t) := p^{(1-k)(t+1)} r(n, f, p^{t+1}) - p^{(1-k)t} r(n, f, p^t).$$

It is clear from the definitions that

$$r_s(n, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) = p^{-\delta(f)/2} \sum_{t \geq s} \Delta r(n, f, p^t).$$

If f and g are $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -equivalent, then Proposition 3.2 implies that

$$p^{-\delta(f)/2} \theta(u, f, p^t) = p^{-\delta(g)/2} \theta(u, g, p^t),$$

for all $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$, $t \geq s$. Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^t\mathbf{Z})^*} p^{-t} \theta(u, f, p^t) \exp(-2\pi i n u p^{-t}) &= r(n, f, p^t) - p^{k-1} r(n, f, p^{t-1}) \\ &= p^{(k-1)t} \Delta r(n, f, p^{t-1}), \end{aligned}$$

we see at once that i) $\Rightarrow$ ii). By Proposition 3.1, ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii).

Assume now condition iii). Let $t = s, s + 1$ and let $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$; from the equality $\theta_s(up^{-t}, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) = \theta_s(up^{-t}, g, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ it follows, using Proposition 3.2, that $[f]_p = [g]_p$ and $\left(\frac{d_o(f)}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{d_o(g)}{p}\right)$. Since the forms f and g have the same discriminant and Conway invariant, they are equivalent over $\mathbf{Q}_p$. $\square$

Next we devote a few lines to $\mathbf{R}$ -equivalence. We identify $\mathbf{R}$ with its topological dual by defining $\langle n, m \rangle = \chi_\infty(n, m) := \exp(-2\pi i n m)$, for all $n, m \in \mathbf{R}$. We denote by dn, dx the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{R}^k$, respectively.

Let f be a non-singular real quadratic form in k variables with signature $(l, k-l)$. Let A be the matrix of f and let C be any matrix satisfying:

$$C^T A C = D, \quad D = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_l & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -I_{k-l} \end{array} \right).$$

$P := (C C^T)^{-1}$ is called a *majorant* of f . Since P is positive definite, the function

$$\phi_\infty(x) = |\det f|^{1/2} \exp(-\pi(x^T P x))$$

is a Schwartz function on $\mathbf{R}^k$. On $\mathbf{R}^*$ we define the functions

$$\begin{aligned} r(n, f, \mathbf{R}) &= \lim_{U \rightarrow \{n\}} \left(\int_{f^{-1}(U)} \phi_\infty(x) dx / \text{vol } U \right), \\ \theta(m, f, \mathbf{R}) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \phi_\infty(x) \langle f(x), m \rangle dx. \end{aligned}$$

We have seen at the end of Section 2 that $r(\cdot, f, \mathbf{R})$ is a continuous function on $\mathbf{R}^*$, integrable on $\mathbf{R}$ and that $\theta(\cdot, f, \mathbf{R})$ is its Fourier transform. These functions do not depend on the chosen matrix C ; they depend only on the signature of f . In fact, since $|\det C| = |\det f|^{-1/2}$, if we make the change of variables $x = Cy$ we obtain:

$$r(n, f, \mathbf{R}) = \lim_{U \rightarrow \{n\}} \left(\int_{d^{-1}(U)} \exp(-\pi(y^T y)) dy / dn(U) \right),$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{R}^*$, where we have denoted by d the quadratic form $d(x) = x^T D x$. It is also easy to check that for all $m \in \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(m, f, \mathbf{R}) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \exp(-\pi(y^T y) + 2\pi m i(y^T D y)) dy \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(-\pi y^2(1 + 2im)) dy \right)^s \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(-\pi y^2(1 - 2im)) dy \right)^{k-s} \\ &= (1 + 2im)^{s/2} (1 - 2im)^{(k-s)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

The following result is now clear:

THEOREM 3.4. *Let f, g be non-singular real quadratic forms in k variables. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- i) $f \sim g$ over $\mathbf{R}$,
- ii) $r(\ , f, \mathbf{R}) = r(\ , g, \mathbf{R})$,
- iii) $\theta(\ , f, \mathbf{R}) = \theta(\ , g, \mathbf{R})$. $\square$

§ 4. ADELIC REPRESENTATION MASSES

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the ring of adeles over $\mathbf{Q}$. We identify $\mathbf{A}$ with its topological dual by defining $\langle n, m \rangle$, where χ is Tate's character

$$\chi(a) = \chi_\infty(a_\infty) \cdot \prod_p \chi_p(a_p),$$

for any $a = (a_p) \in \mathbf{A}$. Let dn be the restricted product measure of the local measures used in the preceding sections. As is well-known, dn is also a selfdual measure. Let dx be the Haar measure on $\mathbf{A}^k$ naturally induced by dn .

A non-singular integral adelic quadratic form f in k variables with unit determinant can be identified to a collection (f_p) of non-singular integral p -adic quadratic forms in k variables such that $p \nmid \det f_p$, for almost all p .

Let Φ be the Schwartz-Bruhat function on $\mathbf{A}^k$ defined by

$$\Phi = \phi_\infty \cdot \prod_p 1_{(Z_p)^k}.$$

Let $\mathbf{A}_o := \mathbf{R}x \prod_p \mathbf{Z}_p$. We consider