

4. Symplectic HR-matrices

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **35 (1989)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **25.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

4. SYMPLECTIC HR-MATRICES

4.1. Symplectic matrices A leave invariant the bilinear form with coefficient matrix $J = \begin{pmatrix} & E_n \\ -E_n & \end{pmatrix}$; i.e., $A^T J A = J$. With respect to the HR-matrix relations (1) they behave exactly like orthogonal or unitary matrices:

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s be $2n \times 2n$ -matrices, and $A_0 = E_{2n}$. Then $\sum_0^s x_j A_j$ is symplectic up to the factor $\sum_0^s x_j^2$ for all x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s if and only if A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s is a set of symplectic HR-matrices.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof.} \quad & \left(\sum_0^s x_j A_j^T \right) J \left(\sum_0^s x_j A_j \right) = \sum_0^s x_j^2 A_j^T J A_j \\ & + \sum_1^s x_0 x_j (A_j^T J + J A_j) + \sum_{j,k=1}^s x_j x_k (A_j^T J A_k + A_k^T J A_j), \quad j \neq k. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $A_j^T J A_j = J, j = 0, \dots, s$; and

$$A_j^2 = -E, A_j A_k + A_k A_j = 0, j, k = 1, \dots, s, j \neq k.$$

Then $-A_j^T J = J A_j$, and $A_j^T J A_k + A_k^T J A_j = -J(A_j A_k + A_k A_j) = 0$. Thus the whole expression reduces to $\left(\sum_0^s x_j^2 \right) J$. The argument is plainly reversible.

4.2. In the following, "symplectic" will mean unitary symplectic; i.e., we consider matrices from the compact group $Sp(n) \subset U(2n)$. A set of symplectic HR-matrices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s is thus an ε -representation of G_s in $Sp(n)$; we continue to call its degree $2n$. The notations $v_s^{Sp}, d_s^{Sp}, D_s^{Sp}, E_s^{Sp}$ have the same meaning as before for U and for O .

All elements of G_s have square 1 or ε ; a matrix $\in U(2n)$ of square $\pm E$ is symplectic if and only if it is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -\bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}$ with $B^t = -B$, $\bar{A}^T = A$ in the case of square E , and $B^t = B$, $\bar{A}^t = -A$ in the case of square $-E$. Symplectic representations of G_s are sums of irreducible unitary representations; if an irreducible unitary ε -representation is not (equivalent to a) symplectic, we have to add its conjugate-complex in order to obtain an irreducible symplectic ε -representation. Due to the description (2) of the G_s the following observations yield the complete list of degrees etc.

4.3. (a) The tensor product of a unitary representation V of even degree and an orthogonal representation (of any degree) is symplectic if and only if V is.

(b) Since $Sp(1) = SU(2)$, the irreducible unitary ε -representations (of degree 2) of $G_2 = Q$ are symplectic.

(c) The irreducible ε -representations of D (= dihedral group of order 8) are not symplectic, but orthogonal; the same holds for D^j and D^jK , $K =$ Klein 4-group.

(d) The tensor product of any representation with the irreducible ε -representation (of degree 1) of $G_1 = C$ is not symplectic.

The periodicity modulo 8, $G_{s+8} = G_8G_s = D^4G_s$, with $d_8^O = d_8^U = 16$, yields $d_{s+8}^{Sp} = 16d_s^{Sp}$ and $v_{s+8}^{Sp} = v_s^{Sp}$. For $s \equiv 2, 3, 4$ modulo 8 the irreducible unitary ε -representations of G_s are symplectic, $d_s^{Sp} = d_s^U$ and $v_s^{Sp} = v_s^U$; for the other s they are not, thus $d_s^{Sp} = 2d_s^U$. For $s \equiv 1, 5$ modulo 8 the conjugate-complex representations are inequivalent, thus $v_s^{Sp} = 1$; for $s \equiv 0, 6, 7$ we combine two equivalent representations, thus $v_s^{Sp} = v_s^U$, i.e., $v_s^{Sp} = 1$ for $s \equiv 0, 6$ and $v_s^{Sp} = 2$ for $s \equiv 7$. The restriction arguments from G_{s+1} to G_s are as before and yield the E_s^{Sp} , which are periodic modulo 8.

We summarize the results in the following table

(6) s	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
v_s^{Sp}	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1
d_s^{Sp}	2	2	2	2	4	8	16	16	32	32
D_s^{Sp}	\mathbf{Z}	\mathbf{Z}	\mathbf{Z}	$\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$	\mathbf{Z}	\mathbf{Z}	\mathbf{Z}	$\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$	\mathbf{Z}	\mathbf{Z}
E_s^{Sp}	0	0	0	\mathbf{Z}	$\mathbf{Z}/2$	$\mathbf{Z}/2$	0	\mathbf{Z}	0	0

4.4. Comparing with (3) one notes that $D_s^O \cong D_{s+4}^{Sp}$ and $E_s^O \cong E_{s+4}^{Sp}$. The isomorphisms can be made explicit in terms of the \cup -product introduced in 2.2, as follows.

Let $\rho_3 \in D_3^U = D_3^{Sp}$ be one of the generators, $\rho_3 = \bar{\rho}_3$, and $\sigma_t \in D_t^O$ one of the generators. The product $\rho_3 \cup \sigma_t \in D_{t+4}^U$ has degree $2.2.d_t^O$; this is precisely the degree of a generator of D_{t+4}^{Sp} . We check that $\rho_3 \cup \sigma_t$ is indeed in D_{t+4}^{Sp} and thus a generator: this is clear for $t \equiv 0, 6, 7$, $t + 4 \equiv 2, 3, 4$ modulo 8 where $D_{t+4}^{Sp} = D_{t+4}^U$; for $t \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ we know that $\sigma_t = \rho_t + \bar{\rho}_t$, whence $\rho_3 \cup \sigma_t = \rho_3 \cup \rho_t + \bar{\rho}_3 \cup \bar{\rho}_t$, i.e., it is one of the generators of D_{t+4}^{Sp} .

THEOREM 4.1. *The product of the generator $\rho_3 \in E_3^U = E_3^{Sp}$ with E_s^O is an isomorphism $E_s^O \cong E_{s+4}^{Sp}$ for all $s \geq 0$.*

4.5. We now consider the homomorphism $\theta: E_s^{Sp} \rightarrow \pi_s(Sp)$, analogous to ϕ and ψ before.

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s be a set of s symplectic $2n \times 2n$ HR-matrices, and $A_0 = E$. Then

$$f_s(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s) = \sum_0^s x_j A_j$$

$x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbf{R}^{s+1}$, $\sum_0^s x_j^2 = 1$, is symplectic. We consider f_s as a map $S^s \rightarrow Sp$ via $Sp(n)$; as in the cases U and O this yields a homomorphism $\theta: E_s^{Sp} \rightarrow \pi_s(Sp)$, $s \geq 0$. The $\pi_s(Sp)$ are known to be 0 or cyclic. Theorem A' can now be reformulated as follows.

THEOREM B'. *θ is an isomorphism $E_s^{Sp} \rightarrow \pi_s(Sp)$, $s \geq 0$.*

For $s = 3$ this is clear: since $E_3^{Sp} = E_3^U$ and $\pi_3(Sp) \cong \pi_3(Sp(1)) = \pi_3(SU(2)) \cong \pi_3(U)$, $c = \theta(\rho_3)$ is a generator of $\pi_3(Sp) = \mathbf{Z}$.

To complete the proof of Theorem B' we use, as for Theorem B, the \cup -product and results of K -theory relating $K_{\mathbf{R}}$ with $K_{\mathbf{H}}$, the quaternionic or symplectic K -theory. The product $c \cup b$, $b \in \pi_s(O)$, can be expressed in terms of linear maps $S^3 \rightarrow Sp(1) = SU(2)$, $S^s \rightarrow O(m)$, $S^{s+4} \rightarrow U(4m)$. As seen in 4.3, it lies in fact in $Sp(2m) \subset U(4m)$ and can thus be regarded as an element of $\pi_{s+4}(Sp)$. The map $c \cup - : \pi_s(O) \rightarrow \pi_{s+4}(Sp)$ corresponds, under $\pi_s(O) \cong \tilde{K}_{\mathbf{R}}(S^{s+1})$ and $\pi_t(Sp) \cong \tilde{K}_{\mathbf{H}}(S^{t+1})$, to the isomorphism $\tilde{K}_{\mathbf{R}}(S^{s+1}) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{\mathbf{H}}(S^{s+5})$ given by the external tensor product of bundles with the generating bundles of $\tilde{K}_{\mathbf{H}}(S^4) = \mathbf{Z}$ (see [K], p. 154). Hence $c \cup -$ is an isomorphism $\pi_s(O) \cong \pi_{s+4}(Sp)$.

Moreover, since everything is described by linear maps the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_s^O & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \pi_s(O) \\ \rho_3 \cup - \downarrow & & \downarrow c \cup - \\ E_{s+4}^{Sp} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \pi_{s+4}(Sp) \end{array}$$

is commutative. The upper and the two vertical maps being isomorphisms, so is θ .