

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 36 (1990)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** MANIN'S PROOF OF THE MORDELL CONJECTURE OVER  
FUNCTION FIELDS  
**Autor:** Coleman, Robert F.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57915>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 04.10.2024

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## MANIN'S PROOF OF THE MORDELL CONJECTURE OVER FUNCTION FIELDS

by Robert F. COLEMAN

In the process of translating Manin's proof of Mordell's conjecture over function fields into modern language we found a gap. The arguments in [M] do not suffice to prove Manin's Theorem of the Kernel. We were able to fill this gap by using those arguments to prove a weaker theorem (Theorem 1.4.3 below) and combining this with the function field analogue of Siegel's Theorem and Manin's ideas to complete the proof of Function Field Mordell. More recently, Chai [C] (see also the Appendix, below) has applied Deligne's Theorem on the semi-simplicity of the action of the monodromy group to deduce Manin's Theorem of the Kernel as reformulated below from the weaker theorem mentioned above. I believe that all this is testimony to the power and depth of Manin's intuition. We were also able to make Manin's analytic proof completely algebraic. Manin has kindly verified that the corrections discussed herein are necessary and apt (see letter to Izvestia...)

In light of the above and because of the ground braking nature of the work we believe that Manin's paper "Rational Points of Algebraic Curves over Function Fields" merits a clear modern treatment. We attempt to give one below.

### I. THE THEOREM OF THE KERNEL

#### 0. REVIEW OF CONNECTIONS AND HYPERCOHOMOLOGY

(See also [D-1].) Let  $S$  be smooth connected scheme over a ring  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_S$  denote the structure sheaf of  $S$ ,  $\Omega_S^p$  the sheaf of  $p$ -forms on  $S$  over  $K$  and  $d$  the exterior derivation from  $\Omega_S^p$  to  $\Omega_S^{p+1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $S$ . A connection on  $\mathcal{S}$  over  $K$  is a  $K$ -linear homomorphism  $\nabla: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Omega_S^1 \otimes \mathcal{S}$  satisfying the Leibnitz rule

---

We are indebted to Arthur Ogus for many helpful and stimulating discussions.

$$\nabla(fs) = df \otimes s + f \nabla(s) .$$

for  $f$  a local section of  $\mathcal{B}_S$  and  $s$  a local section of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We will also say that  $(\mathcal{S}, \nabla)$  is a connection on  $S$ . There is a  $K$ -linear map which we also denote by  $\nabla$  from  $\Omega_S^p \otimes \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Omega_S^{p+1} \otimes \mathcal{S}$  characterized by

$$\nabla(\omega \otimes s) = d\omega \otimes s + (-1)^p \omega \otimes \nabla(s)$$

for  $\omega$  a local section of  $\Omega_S^1$  and  $s$  a local section of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We say that  $(\mathcal{S}, \nabla)$  is integrable if the map  $\nabla \circ \nabla : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Omega_S^2 \otimes \mathcal{S}$  is zero. In this case

$$\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_S^1 \otimes \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_S^2 \otimes \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots$$

is a complex. We let  $H^i(\mathcal{S}, \nabla)$  denote the  $i$ -th hypercohomology group of this complex. When  $K$  is a field of characteristic zero, integrability also implies that  $\mathcal{S}$  is locally free.

If  $(H, \nabla_H)$  and  $(G, \nabla_G)$  are two connections on  $S$  then there are natural connections  $\nabla_H \otimes \nabla_G$  on  $H \otimes G$  and  $\nabla_{H,G}$  on  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_S}(H, G)$  characterized by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_H \otimes \nabla_G(h \otimes g) &= \nabla_H(h) \otimes g + h \otimes \nabla_G(g) \\ \nabla_{H,G}(r)(h) &= \nabla_G(r(h)) - r(\nabla_H(h)) \end{aligned}$$

for local sections  $h$  and  $g$  of  $H$  and  $G$  and a local section  $r$  of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_S}(H, G)$ . We let  $\check{H} = \text{Hom}(H, \mathcal{B}_S)$  and  $\check{\nabla}_H = \nabla_{H, \mathcal{B}_S}$ , which is a connection on  $\check{H}$ . It is easy to see that  $\nabla_G \otimes \check{\nabla}_H$  equals  $\nabla_{H,G}$  under the natural identification of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_S}(H, G)$  with  $G \otimes \check{H}$ . We will need the following, easy to check, lemma.

LEMMA 1.0.1. *Suppose  $r \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_S}(H, \Omega_S^p \otimes G) \cong \Omega_S^p \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_S}(H, G)$ . Then*

$$\nabla_{H,G}(r)(s) = \nabla_G(r(s)) + (-1)^p r(\nabla_H(s)) .$$

Since we will use it frequently in the following we will record here the Čech definition of hypercohomology. (See also [H-1, Chapter 1 §3].) Suppose  $(\mathcal{S}, d)$  is a bounded below complex of Abelian sheaves on a topological space  $S$ . Then we define the hypercohomology of  $\mathcal{S}$  as follows: First let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ordered open cover of  $S$ . We have the Čech complexes

$$C^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}^j) = \bigoplus \mathcal{S}^j(U)$$

where the sum runs over all intersections  $U$  of  $i + 1$  distinct elements of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let  $\check{\delta}: C^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^j) \rightarrow C^{i+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^j)$  be the Čech co-boundary. We also have boundaries  $d: C^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^j) \rightarrow C^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^{j+1})$ .

Now let

$$C^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \bigoplus C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^q)$$

where the sum runs over  $p + q = n$ . For  $c \in C^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ , we let  $c^{p,q}$  denote its  $p, q$ -th component. The hyper-coboundary

$$\partial: C^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$$

is defined as follows: For  $c \in C^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ , we set

$$(\partial c)^{p,q} = dc^{p-1,q} + (-1)^{p-1} \check{\delta} c^{p,q-1} .$$

Then the hypercohomology of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{H}^\bullet(S, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{C})$ , is defined to be  $\text{Ker}(\partial)/\text{Image}(\partial)$  and  $\mathbf{H}^\bullet(S, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$  is defined to be an appropriate limit of these groups over all ordered covers. In particular, if  $S$  is a scheme,  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is a complex of coherent sheaves and  $\mathcal{C}$  is an affine open cover, then  $\mathbf{H}^\bullet(S, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathbf{H}^\bullet(S, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{C})$ . If in addition  $S$  is affine  $\mathbf{H}^\bullet(S, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \cong H^\bullet(\Gamma(\mathcal{F}^\bullet))$ .

### 1. EXTENSIONS OF CONNECTIONS

Let  $S$  be smooth connected scheme over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero. Suppose  $(H, \nabla_H)$  and  $(G, \nabla_G)$  are integrable connections on  $S$ . The set of isomorphism classes of integrable extensions of  $(H, \nabla_H)$  by  $(G, \nabla_G)$  forms a group under Baer sum which we will call  $\text{Ext}(H, G)$ .

PROPOSITION 1.1.1.  $\text{Ext}(H, G) \cong H^1(G \otimes \check{H}, \nabla_G \otimes \check{\nabla}_H)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\nabla_H$  is integrable,  $H$  is locally free. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an ordered affine open cover of  $S$  such that  $H(U)$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_S(U)$ -module for each  $U \in \mathcal{C}$ . Suppose we have an extension

$$0 \rightarrow (G, \nabla_G) \rightarrow (E, \nabla) \rightarrow (H, \nabla_H) \rightarrow 0$$

of connections. Let  $U \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $H(U)$  is free, there exists an  $\mathcal{O}_S(U)$ -module section  $s_U: H(U) \rightarrow E(U)$ . Now let  $h_U = \nabla \circ s_U - s_U \circ \nabla_H$ . We claim that  $h_U$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_S(U)$ -module homomorphism from  $H(U)$  into  $\Omega_S^1 \otimes G(U)$ , i.e. an element of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H, \Omega_S^1 \otimes G)(U)$ . Indeed, for  $f \in \mathcal{O}_S(U)$  and  $v \in H(U)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h_U(fv) &= \nabla(s_U(fv)) - s_U(\nabla_H(fv)) = \nabla(fs_U(v)) - s_U(df \otimes v + f\nabla_H v) \\ &= df \otimes s_U(v) - f\nabla(s_U(v)) - (df \otimes s_U(v) + fs_U(\nabla_H v)) = fh_U(v). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $s_{U,V} = s_U - s_V \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H, G)(U \cap V)$ . We claim that  $(\{h_U\}, \{s_{U,V}\})$  is a hyper one-cocycle for the complex  $(\Omega_S^1 \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H, G), \nabla_{H,G})$ . First it is clear that  $\{s_{U,V}\}$  is a one-cocycle for the sheaf  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H, G)$ . Second

$$\nabla_G \circ s_{U,V} - s_{U,V} \circ \nabla_H = \nabla \circ (s_U - s_V) - (s_U - s_V) \circ \nabla_H = h_U - h_V.$$

Finally, since

$$\nabla \circ \nabla \circ s_U = \nabla \circ s_U \circ \nabla_H + \nabla \circ h_U = h_U \circ \nabla_H + \nabla_G \circ h_U = \nabla_{H,G}(h_U),$$

(using Lemma 1.0.1)  $\nabla$  is integrable iff  $\nabla_{H,G}(h) = 0$ .

Moreover, suppose  $\{s'_U\}$  is another collection of sections

$$s'_U: H(U) \rightarrow E(U), \quad h'_U = \nabla' \circ s'_U - s'_U \circ \nabla$$

and  $s'_{U,V} = s'_U - s'_V$ . Then  $r_U = s'_U - s_U \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H, G)$  and

$$h'_U = h + \nabla \circ r_U - r_U \circ \nabla_H = h + \nabla_G \circ r_U - r_U \circ \nabla_H = h + \nabla_{H,G}(r_U).$$

And so  $(\{h_U\}, \{s_{U,V}\}) - (\{h'_U\}, \{s'_{U,V}\})$  is the hyper-boundary of  $\{r_U\}$ . Thus we get a natural map from

$$\text{Ext}(H, \mathcal{O}_X) \text{ into } H^1(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H, G), \nabla_{H,G}) \cong H^1(G \otimes \check{H}, \nabla_G \otimes \check{\nabla}_H).$$

It is easy to see that this map is a homomorphism.

We can make a map back as follows. Given a hyper-cocycle  $(\{h_U\}, \{s_{U,V}\})$  for the complex  $(\Omega_S^1 \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H, G), \nabla_{H,G})$ , let  $E$  be the sheaf determined by the condition that  $E(U) = G(U) \oplus H(U)$  with gluing data

$$(w, v) \rightarrow (w + s_{U,V}, v)$$

on  $U \cap V$ . We then put a connection  $\nabla$  on  $E$  by setting

$$\nabla(w, v) = (\nabla_G w + h_U(v), \nabla_H v)$$

for local sections  $w$  and  $v$  of  $G$  and  $H$  on  $U$ . One can check easily that  $E$  is an extension of  $H$  by  $G$  and that this construction gives the inverse to the map above.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.1.2.**  *$\text{Ext}(H, \mathcal{O}_S)$  is a  $K$  vector space and hence is uniquely divisible.*

**COROLLARY 1.1.3.** *Suppose  $S$  is affine and  $S'$  is a non-empty affine open of  $S$ . Then  $\text{Ext}(H, \mathcal{O}_S)$  injects into  $\text{Ext}(H \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S'}, \mathcal{O}_{S'})$ .*

We note that taking duals yields an isomorphism between  $\text{Ext}(G, H)$  and  $\text{Ext}(\check{H}, \check{G})$ . Also, upon identifying  $(\check{G})^\vee$  with  $G$ ,  $\check{\nabla}_G^\vee = \nabla_G$ .

LEMMA 1.1.4. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}(H, G) & \rightarrow & H^1(G \otimes \check{H}, \nabla_G \otimes \check{\nabla}_H) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}(\check{G}, \check{H}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\check{H} \otimes G, \check{\nabla}_H \otimes \nabla_G) \end{array}$$

*anti-commutes, where the horizontal arrows are the isomorphisms given by the proposition and the right vertical arrow is the evident one.*

*Proof.* Since the assertion is local, we may suppose  $H$  and  $G$  are free. Suppose  $(E, \nabla)$  is an extension of  $H$  by  $G$  and  $s: H \rightarrow E$  is a section. Then  $h = \nabla \circ s - s \circ \nabla_H$  is an element of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H, \Omega_S^1 \otimes G)$  which represents the image of the isomorphism class of  $E$  in

$$H^1(\text{Hom}(H, G), \nabla_{H,G}) \cong H^1(G \otimes \check{H}, \nabla_G \otimes \check{\nabla}_H).$$

The image  $k$  of  $h$  in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\check{G}, \Omega_S^1 \otimes \check{H})$  is determined by

$$k(w)(v) = w(h(v)) = w((\nabla \circ s - s \circ \nabla_H)(v))$$

where  $v$  is a section of  $H$  and  $w$  is a section of  $\check{G}$ .

Now  $(\check{E}, \check{\nabla})$  is an extension of  $\check{G}$  by  $\check{H}$  and the homomorphism  $t$  determined by

$$t(w)(e) = w(e - s \circ \pi(e))$$

is a section, where  $\pi: E \rightarrow H$  is the projection,  $e$  is a section of  $E$  and  $w$  is a section of  $\check{G}$ . Hence,  $g = \check{\nabla} \circ t - t \circ \nabla_G^\vee$  is an element of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\check{G}, \Omega_S^1 \otimes \check{H})$  which represents the image of the isomorphism class of  $\check{E}$  in

$$H^1(\text{Hom}(\check{G}, \check{H}), \nabla_{\check{G}, \check{H}}).$$

Now

$$g(w)(v) = (\check{\nabla} \circ t - t \circ \nabla_G^\vee)(w)(e)$$

where  $e = s(v)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\nabla} \circ t(w)(e) &= d(w(e - s(\pi(e))) - w(\nabla(e) - s(\pi(\nabla(e)))) \\ &= -w(\nabla \circ s(v) - s \circ \nabla_H(v)) = -k(w)(v) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\pi(s(v)) = v$  and  $\pi \nabla(e) = \nabla_H(\pi(e))$ . The lemma now follows from

$$(t \circ \nabla_G^\vee)(w)(e) = \nabla_G^\vee(w)(e - s(\pi(e))) = 0. \quad \square$$

Suppose  $W$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_S$  submodule of  $H$ . We let  $[W]$  denote the smallest subconnection of  $H$  containing  $W$ .

## 2. THE GAUSS-MANIN CONNECTION

Here we will recall the definition and some basic properties of the Gauss-Manin connection which we will need in this paper. For more details see [K-O]. If  $\mathcal{S}^\bullet$  is a complex,  $\mathcal{S}^\bullet(k)$  will denote the complex obtained from  $\mathcal{S}^\bullet$  by setting  $\mathcal{S}^i(k) = \mathcal{S}^{i+k}$ . For any scheme  $Y$  over  $K$  will let  $K[Y]$  denote  $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ .

Suppose  $S$  is a smooth connected affine scheme over  $K$ . Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is a smooth morphism,  $Z$  is a closed subscheme of  $X$ , smooth over  $S$ . Suppose  $T$  is either  $\text{Spec}(K)$  or  $S$ . Then we define the subcomplex  $\Omega_{X/T,Z}^\bullet$  of  $\Omega_{X/T}^\bullet$  by the exactness of the sequence.

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/T,Z}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{X/T}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{Z/T}^\bullet \rightarrow 0.$$

When  $T = \text{Spec}(K)$  we drop it from the notation. It follows that  $\Omega_{X/S,Z}^i = \Omega_{X/S}^i$  for  $i > \dim_S Z$ . Note that  $\Omega_{X,Z}^0 = \Omega_{X/S,Z}^0$  is the sheaf of ideals of  $Z$  on  $X$ . We define  $H_{DR}^i(X/S, Z)$  to be the  $i$ -th hypercohomology group of the complex  $\Omega_{X/S,Z}^\bullet$ . We set  $H_{DR}^i(X/S) = H_{DR}^i(X/S, \emptyset)$ . If  $X$  is affine, then  $H_{DR}^i(X/S, Z)$  is the  $i$ -th cohomology group of the complex of  $K[S]$  modules  $\Gamma(\Omega_{X/S,Z}^\bullet)$ . If  $X$  is affine,  $K$  has characteristic zero and  $U$  is a dense open subscheme of  $X$  then the natural map from  $H_{DR}^i(X/S, Z)$  to  $H_{DR}^i(U/S, U \cap Z)$  is an injection.

From the last short exact sequence with  $T = S$ , we obtain a long exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_{DR}^{i-1}(Z/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^i(X/S, Z) \rightarrow H_{DR}^i(X/S) \rightarrow \dots$$

The Gauss-Manin connection  $\nabla: H_{DR}^i(X/S, Z) \rightarrow \Omega_S^1 \otimes H_{DR}^i(X/S, Z)$  is the boundary map in the long exact sequence obtained by taking hypercohomology of the short exact sequence of complexes:

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow f^* \Omega_S^1 \otimes \Omega_{X/S,Z}^\bullet(-1) \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S,Z}^\bullet / f^* \Omega_S^2 \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(-2) \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S,Z}^\bullet \rightarrow 0$$

(which is exact because  $X$  and  $Z$  are smooth over  $S$ ). It is an integrable connection. If  $K$  has characteristic zero and  $f$  is surjective and has geometrically connected fibers, then  $H_{DR}^0(X/S) = K[S]$  and the Gauss-Manin

connection is the trivial connection on this module. Moreover, it is easy to show that the sequence (2.1) is horizontal with respect to the respective Gauss-Manin connections.

Suppose now that  $S$  is an affine curve over  $K$  and  $Z = \emptyset$ . Then the short exact sequence (2.2) becomes

$$0 \rightarrow f^* \Omega_S^1 \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1(-1) \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1 \rightarrow 0 .$$

Taking cohomology of this sequence yields the Leray long exact sequence

$$(2.3) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_{DR}^i(X/S) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_S^1 \otimes H_{DR}^i(X/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^{i+1}(X) \rightarrow H_{DR}^{i+1}(X/S) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots$$

### 3. SECTIONS OF A FAMILY AND EXTENSIONS OF CONNECTIONS

Suppose now  $S$  is a smooth connected affine curve over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero and  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is a smooth proper morphism of schemes over  $K$ , with geometrically connected fibers. These assumptions will be in force throughout the remainder of this paper. Suppose  $Z$  is a closed subscheme of  $X$  finite over  $S$ . Suppose the normalization  $n: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$  of  $Z$  is smooth over  $S$ . After repeated blowing ups at closed points we find a scheme  $m: \tilde{X}' \rightarrow X$ , which contains  $\tilde{Z}$  and is such that the restriction of  $m$  to  $\tilde{Z}$  is  $n$ . Let  $\tilde{X}$  equal the complement in  $\tilde{X}'$  of the singular locus of  $\tilde{X}'/S$ . This locus is a closed subscheme of  $\tilde{X}'$  disjoint from  $\tilde{Z}$ . The long exact sequence 2.1 becomes

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow K[S] \rightarrow K[\tilde{Z}] \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(\tilde{Z}/S, \tilde{Z}) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(\tilde{X}/S) \rightarrow 0$$

Let  $H$  denote the pullback of  $H_{DR}^1(\tilde{X}/S, \tilde{Z})$  by means of the horizontal monomorphism from  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$  into  $H_{DR}^1(\tilde{X}/S)$ . We claim that  $H$  is independent of the choice of  $\tilde{X}$ . Indeed, there exists a non-empty affine open subscheme  $S'$  of  $S$  such that the map from  $\tilde{X} \times_S S'$  to  $X' = : X \times_S S'$  is an isomorphism. If  $Z' = Z \times_S S'$ , then  $Z'$  is smooth over  $S'$  and it is easy to see that  $H \otimes K[S'] \cong H_{DR}^1(X'/S', Z')$ . Hence  $H$  is an extension of the connection  $H_{DR}^1(X'/S', Z')$  on  $S'$  to a connection on  $S$ . Since such an extension is unique if it exists, it follows that  $H$  is independent of the choice of  $\tilde{X}$  and so we set  $H_{DR}^1(X/S, Z) = H$ . We obtain from the previous exact sequence, a natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K[S] \rightarrow K[\tilde{Z}] \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(X/S, Z) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(X/S) \rightarrow 0 .$$

For a section  $s$  of  $X/S$ , we will also use  $s$  to denote the induced reduced closed subscheme  $s(S)$  of  $X$  when convenient. Now suppose  $s$  and  $t$  are two distinct sections of  $X/S$ . Let  $Z = s \cup t$ . Then  $\tilde{Z}$ , the normalization of  $Z$ , is just two disjoint copies of  $S$  and so is étale over  $S$ . (The sections  $s$  and  $t$

induce maps from  $S$  to  $\tilde{Z}$  which we denote by the same names.) The map  $t^* - s^*: K[\tilde{Z}] \rightarrow K[S]$  is horizontal, surjective and its kernel is the image of  $K[S]$  under the map in (3.1). Hence we obtain a horizontal exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K[S] \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(X/S, Z) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(X/S) \rightarrow 0$$

and so an extension of  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$  by the trivial connection. We let  $E(s, t)$  denote this extension if  $s \neq t$  and  $E(s, s)$  denote the trivial extension of  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$  by  $K[S]$ . We call the class of  $E(s, t)$  in  $\text{Ext}(H_{DR}^1(X/S), K[S])$   $M(s, t)$ .

PROPOSITION 1.3.1. *Suppose  $r, s, t$  are sections of  $X/S$ . Then*

$$M(r, t) = M(r, s) + M(s, t).$$

*In particular,  $M(r, s) = -M(s, r)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $r, s$  and  $t$  are not distinct the proposition is obvious from the definitions. Therefore suppose that  $r, s$  and  $t$  are distinct. If  $T$  is a subset of  $\{r, s, t\}$  let  $Z_T = \bigcup_{u \in T} u$ . Either by replacing  $X$  by  $\tilde{X}$  or by shrinking  $S$  and using Corollary 1.1.3 we may assume that  $Z_{\{r, s, t\}}$  is étale over  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_T$  denote the complex  $\Omega_{X/S, Z_T}^\bullet$ . We set  $H(T) = H_{DR}^1(X/S, Z_T)$ . Then from the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\{r, s, t\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\{r, s\}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\{s, t\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\{s\}} \rightarrow 0$$

(where the first map is the diagonal and the last is the difference) we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H(r, s, t) \rightarrow H(r, s) \oplus H(s, t) \rightarrow H(s)$$

moreover,  $H(s) \cong H_{DR}^1(X/S)$  and the last map is the difference of the maps from  $H(r, s)$  and from  $H(s, t)$  to  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$  (and is, in particular, a surjection).

Next from the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\{r, s, t\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\{r, t\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the complex  $(\mathcal{I}_{\{r, t\}} / \mathcal{I}_{\{r, s, t\}} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots) \cong (K[S] \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots)$  we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K[S] \rightarrow H(r, s, t) \rightarrow H(r, t) \rightarrow 0$$

Moreover the first map is the composition of the map from  $K[Z_{\{r, s, t\}}]$  into  $H(r, s, t)$  and the map  $h$  from  $K[S]$  into  $K[Z_{\{r, s, t\}}]$  characterized by  $r^*h(f) = t^*h(f) = 0$  and  $t^*h(f) = f$ . It follows from this that  $H(r, t)$  is the

Baer sum of  $H(r, s)$  and  $H(s, t)$ . Since all the maps discussed above are horizontal this statement is true on the level of connections as well. This proves the proposition.  $\square$

Suppose  $X'$  is a smooth scheme over  $S$  and  $g: X' \rightarrow X$  is an  $S$ -morphism. Then the natural map  $g^*: H_{DR}^1(X/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(X'/S)$  induces a natural map  $g^*: \text{Ext}(H_{DR}^1(X'/S), K[S]) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{DR}^1(X/S), K[S])$ . By the naturality of all our constructions we have:

PROPOSITION 1.3.2. *Suppose  $X'/S$  has geometrically connected fibers and  $s$  and  $t$  are two sections of  $X'/S$ . Then*

$$M(g \circ s, g \circ t) = g^*M(s, t) .$$

Suppose  $X_0$  is a smooth connected scheme over  $K$  and  $X = S \times_K X_0$ . Then

$$(\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet, d_{X/S}) \cong K[S] \otimes (\Omega_{X_0/K}^\bullet, d_{X_0/K})$$

and so in particular,

$$H_{DR}^1(X/S) \cong K[S] \otimes H_{DR}^1(X_0/K)$$

and the Gauss-Manin connection

$$\nabla: H_{DR}^1(X/S) \rightarrow \Omega_S^1 \otimes_{K[S]} H_{DR}^1(X/S)$$

is  $(d, id)$ . If  $H = H_{DR}^1(X/S)$ , it follows from this that

$$\text{Ext}(H, K[S]) \cong H^1(\check{H}, \check{\nabla}) \cong \text{Hom}_K(H_{DR}^1(X_0/K), H_{DR}^1(S/K)) .$$

Explicitly, this last isomorphism can be described as follows:

$$\text{if } h \in \text{Hom}(H, \Omega_S^1) \cong \Omega_S^1 \otimes \check{H} ,$$

then  $h \text{ mod } \check{\nabla}\check{H}$  goes to the map  $(\omega \in H_{DR}^1(X_0/K) \rightarrow h(1 \otimes \omega) \text{ mod } dK[S])$ .

PROPOSITION 1.3.3. *Suppose  $X_0$  is a smooth connected scheme over  $K$  and  $X = S \times_K X_0$ . Suppose  $u$  and  $v$  are two morphisms from  $S$  to  $X_0$  and  $s = (id, u)$  and  $t = (id, v)$ . Then  $M(s, t)$  is  $v^* - u^*$  as an element of  $\text{Hom}_K(H_{DR}^1(X_0/K), H_{DR}^1(S/K))$ .*

*Proof.* We may suppose that  $s \cap t = \emptyset$ . Let  $Z = s \cup t$ . Suppose  $h: H_{DR}^1(X/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(X/S, Z)$  is a section. Let  $(\{\omega_U\}, \{f_{U,V}\})$  be a one-hypercycle for  $(\Omega_{X_0/K}^\bullet, d_{X_0/K})$  and  $[\omega]$  the image the class of  $1 \otimes (\{\omega_U\}, \{f_{U,V}\})$  in  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$ . Then  $\nabla[\omega] = 0$ . We wish to compute  $\nabla h([\omega]) - h(\nabla[\omega]) = \nabla h([\omega])$ . We will abuse notation and identify  $\omega_U$  with  $1 \otimes \omega_U$  in  $\Omega_X^1(U)$  and  $f_{U,V}$  with  $1 \otimes f_{U,V}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_X(U \cap V)$ . Let  $\bar{\omega}_U$  denote the image of  $\omega_U$  in  $\Omega_{X/S}^1(U)$ . Then  $h([\omega])$  is the class of

$$(\{\bar{\omega}_U - d_{X/S}g_U\}, \{f_{U,V} - (g_U - g_V)\})$$

for some one-chain  $\{g_U\}$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_X$  such that

$$s^*f_{U,V} = u^*f_{U,V} = s^*(g_U - g_V) \quad \text{and} \quad t^*f_{U,V} = v^*f_{U,V} = t^*(g_U - g_V).$$

Let  $\eta_U = \omega_U - dg_U$ . Now

$$s^*\eta_U - s^*\eta_V = s^*df_{U,V} - s^*d(g_U - g_V) = 0$$

by the conditions that  $\{g_U\}$  must satisfy and the fact that  $(\{\omega_U\}, \{f_{U,V}\})$  is a hypercycle. Similarly,  $t^*\eta_U - t^*\eta_V = 0$ . Let  $\eta_s$  and  $\eta_t$  be the elements of  $\Omega_S^1$  determined by the cocycles  $\{s^*\eta_U\}$  and  $\{t^*\eta_U\}$  respectively.

Now to compute  $\nabla h([\omega])$  we must lift  $\bar{\omega}_U - d_{X/S}g_U$  to a section of  $\Omega_{X,Z}^1$ . Let  $e_{s,U}$  and  $e_{t,U}$  be elements of  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  such that  $s^*e_{s,U} = 1$ ,  $t^*e_{t,U} = 0$ ,  $t^*e_{s,U} = 0$  and  $s^*e_{t,U} = 1$ . These elements exist since  $Z$  is étale over  $S$ . Then  $\eta_U - (e_{s,U}\eta_s + e_{t,U}\eta_t)$  is such a lifting. To compute  $\nabla h([\omega])$  we must take the hyper-coboundary of  $(\{\eta_U - (e_{s,U}\eta_s + e_{t,U}\eta_t)\}, \{f_{U,V} - (g_U - g_V)\})$ . It is

$$(\{\eta_s \otimes d_{X/S}e_{s,U} + \eta_t \otimes d_{X/S}e_{t,U}\}, \{\eta_s \otimes (e_{s,U} - e_{s,V}) + \eta_t \otimes (e_{t,U} - e_{t,V})\}, 0).$$

The class of this hypercycle is the image of

$$\eta_t - \eta_s \in \Omega_S^1 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_S^1 \otimes H_{DR}^1(X/S, Z)$$

(recall that we've determined a map of  $K[S]$  into  $H_{DR}^1(X/S, Z)$ ). Hence  $\nabla h([\omega]) = \eta_t - \eta_s$ .

The proposition now follows from the fact that

$$(\{\eta_s + ds^*g_U\}, \{s^*g_U - s^*g_V\}) = u^*(\{\omega_U\}, \{f_{U,V}\})$$

and

$$(\{\eta_t + dt^*g_U\}, \{t^*g_U - t^*g_V\}) = v^*(\{\omega_U\}, \{f_{U,V}\}). \quad \square$$

**COROLLARY 1.3.4.** *If, in the above,  $u$  and  $v$  are constant, then  $M(s, t) = 0$ .*

#### 4. ABELIAN SCHEMES

Suppose now that  $A$  is an Abelian scheme over  $S$ . Let  $m: A \times_S A \rightarrow A$  be the addition law and  $e$  the zero section. For  $s, t \in A(S)$ , let  $M(s) = M(e, s)$  and  $s + t = m(s, t)$ .

**THEOREM 1.4.1.** *The map  $M$  from  $A(S)$  to  $\text{Ext}(H_{DR}^1(A/S), K[S])$  is a homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $s$  and  $t$  be elements of  $A(S)$ . Define the map  $g: A \rightarrow A$  by  $g = m \circ (id, t \circ f)(g(x) = x + t(f(x)))$ . Then  $g^*: H_{DR}^1(A/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(A/S)$  is the identity so that  $g^*M(e, s) = M(e, s)$  on the one hand and  $g^*M(e, s) = M(t, s + t)$  by Proposition 1.3.2 on the other. Hence,

$$M(s) + M(t) = M(e, s) + M(e, t) = M(t, s + t) + M(e, t) = M(e, s + t)$$

by Proposition 1.3.1.  $\square$

Let  $(B, \tau)$  denote the  $K(S)/K$  trace of  $A_{K(S)}$  (see [L-AV]). In particular,  $B$  is an Abelian scheme over  $K$  and  $\tau: B \times \text{spec}(K(S)) \rightarrow A_{K(S)}$  is a homomorphism. Since  $K$  has characteristic zero  $\tau$  is a closed immersion. Philosophically,  $B$  is the largest constant Abelian subscheme of  $A_{K(S)}$  defined over  $K$ . The morphism  $\tau$  extends uniquely to an  $S$ -morphism  $\bar{\tau}: B \times_K S \rightarrow A$ . It follows that  $B(K)$  maps naturally into  $A(S)$ . We call the elements  $s$  of  $A(S)$  such that  $ns$  is in the image of  $B(K)$ , the constant sections of  $A/S$ .

PROPOSITION 1.4.2. *The kernel of  $M$  contains all constant sections of  $A/S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s$  be a constant section of  $A/S$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $ns = \bar{\tau} \circ (t \times id)$  where  $t \in B(K)$ . Hence it follows from the above theorem, Proposition 1.3.2 and Proposition 1.3.4 that  $nM(s) = M(ns) = M(\bar{\tau}(t \times id)) = \bar{\tau}^*M(t \times id) = 0$ . Since

$$\text{Ext}(H_{DR}^1(A/S), K[S])$$

is uniquely divisible, by Corollary 1.1.2, the proposition follows.  $\square$

We wish to prove the converse of this proposition. I.e. we wish to prove:

THEOREM 1.4.3. *The kernel of  $M$  is precisely the group of all constant sections of  $A/S$ .*

We will give two proofs of this result. The first is Algebraic. The second is analytic and is essentially a reformulation of Manin's proof based on remarks by Katz [K2] in a letter to Ogus.

## 5. THE ALGEBRAIC PROOF

### a. *Differentials with logarithmic singularities*

(See [K] §1.0). Suppose  $X$  is a smooth scheme over a scheme  $T$  and  $Z$  is a hypersurface in  $X$  whose irreducible components are smooth over  $T$  and

cross normally relative to  $T$ . Let  $W = X - Z$  and  $\tilde{Z}$  the disjoint union of the irreducible components of  $Z$ . Let  $(\Omega_{X/T}^\bullet(\text{Log}(Z)), d)$  denote the complex of differentials on  $X/T$  with logarithmic singularities along  $Z$ . (When  $T = K$ , we drop  $T$  from the notation.) When  $T$  has characteristic zero, which we will now assume, the  $i$ -th hypercohomology group of this complex is naturally isomorphic to  $H_{DR}^i(W/T)$ . We have a natural short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/T}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{X/T}^\bullet(\text{Log}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \Omega_{\tilde{Z}/T}^\bullet(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

From which, upon taking cohomology, we obtain the long exact sequence:

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(X/T) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(W/T) \rightarrow H_{DR}^0(\tilde{Z}/T) \rightarrow H_{DR}^2(X/T) \\ \rightarrow H_{DR}^2(W/T) \rightarrow H_{DR}^2(\tilde{Z}/T)$$

In addition, we have a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_S^1 \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^\bullet(\text{Log}(Z))(-1) \rightarrow \Omega_X^\bullet(\text{Log}(Z)) \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^\bullet(\text{Log}(Z)) \rightarrow 0 .$$

The boundary maps in the long exact sequence of hypercohomology obtained from this short exact sequence are the Gauss-Manin connections  $\nabla: H_{DR}^i(W/S) \rightarrow \Omega_S^1 \otimes H_{DR}^i(W/S)$ . Moreover the long exact sequence (5.1) is horizontal with respect to all the Gauss-Manin connections.

If  $D$  is any divisor on  $X$ , let  $\eta_T(D)$  denote the cohomology class of  $D$  in  $H_{DR}^2(X/T)$ . Recall ([H-DR; 7.7]), if  $\mathcal{L}$  is an ordered affine open cover of  $C$  and  $\{f_U\}$  is a Čech one-cochain with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_A$  with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$  such that the divisor of  $f_U$  is the restriction of  $D$  to  $U$ , then  $\eta_T(D)$  is the cohomology class represented by the hyper one-cocycle  $(0, \{d_{C/T} \text{Log}(f_{U,V})\}, 0)$ , where  $f_{U,V} = f_U/f_V (U < V)$ . Suppose now that  $T$  is affine. Then  $H_{DR}^0(\tilde{Z}/T)$  is naturally isomorphic to the group of divisors on  $X$  supported on  $Z$  with coefficients in  $K[T]$ .

LEMMA 1.5.1. *Suppose  $D$  is a divisor on  $X$  supported on  $Z$ , then the image of  $D$  in  $H_{DR}^2(X/T)$  via the appropriate map in (5.1) is equal to  $\eta_T(D)$ .*

*Proof.* This is essentially Proposition 7.6 of [H]. We carry out the proof in order to “straighten out” the sign.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an affine open cover of  $X$  and  $\{f_U\}$  is a Čech one-chain with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_X$  with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$  such that the divisor of  $f_U$  is the restriction of  $D$  to  $U$ . Then,  $d\text{Log}(f_U) \in \Omega_{X/T}^1(\text{Log}(Z))(U)$  and  $\text{Res}(d\text{Log}(f_U))$  is the image of the image of  $D$  in  $H_{DR}^0(\tilde{Z}/T) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}}(U)$ . It

follows that the image of  $D$  in  $H^2_{DR}(X/T)$  is the class of the hypercoboundary of  $(\{d\text{Log}(f_U)\}, 0)$  which is  $\eta_T(D)$  by definition.  $\square$

By a properly semi-stable curve over  $S$ , we mean a curve over  $S$  such that the irreducible components of the closed fibers are smooth and cross normally. (The irreducible components do not have to be smooth if the curve is only semi-stable.)

**COROLLARY 1.5.2.** *Suppose  $R$  is a smooth connected curve over a field  $K$  and  $X$  is a properly semi-stable curve over  $R$  smooth over  $K$ . Suppose  $U$  is a non-empty open subset of  $R$  and  $Y = R - U$ . Then the kernel of the natural map from  $H^2_{DR}(X)$  into  $H^2_{DR}(X_U)$  is generated by  $\{\eta(D)\}$  where  $D$  runs over the irreducible components of  $X_Y$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the lemma and the exact sequence (5.1), since the closed fibers of  $C/T$  are unions of smooth hypersurfaces of  $C$  which cross normally.  $\square$

**LEMMA 1.5.3.** *With notation as in the above corollary, if  $R$  is affine and  $X$  is smooth over  $R$  then the map from  $H^2_{DR}(X) \rightarrow H^2_{DR}(X_U)$  is an injection.*

*Proof.* For a closed point  $x$  of  $R$ , let  $X_x$  denote the fiber above  $x$ . Since all the fibers of  $X$  over  $S$  are smooth, it follows from the corollary that the kernel of the map  $H^2_{DR}(X) \rightarrow H^2_{DR}(X_U)$  is generated by  $\{\eta(X_x)\}$  where  $x$  runs over the closed points of  $Y$ . Now  $\eta(X_x)$  is the pull-back of  $\eta(x) \in H^2_{DR}(R)$ . As this latter group is zero, this proves the lemma.  $\square$

b. *End of algebraic proof*

First by using the functoriality of  $M$ , Proposition 1.3.2, and the fact that every Abelian variety over  $S$  is the quotient of a Jacobian over  $S$  we may assume that  $A$  is the Jacobian of a smooth proper curve  $C$  over  $S$ . By Proposition 1.1.1 and the long exact sequence (2.3),  $\text{Ext}(H^1_{DR}(C/S)^\vee, K[S])$  maps naturally into  $H^2_{DR}(C)$ . Moreover, since  $C$  is a proper smooth connected curve over  $S$ ,  $H^1_{DR}(C/S)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $H^1_{DR}(C/S)^\vee$ . The fact we need to finish the proof is:

**PROPOSITION 1.5.4.** *Let  $s$  and  $t$  be two elements of  $C(S)$ . The class  $\eta(t - s)$  is equal to the image of  $M(s, t)$  in  $H^2_{DR}(C)$ .*

By the previous lemma and the functoriality of  $\eta$  we may shrink  $S$  to suppose that  $s \cap t = \emptyset$ . To prove the proposition, we need the next lemma.

Suppose now that  $T = S, Z = s \cup t$  and  $X = C$ . Then the exact sequence (5.1) becomes:

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(C/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(W/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^0(\tilde{Z}/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^2(C/S) \rightarrow 0$$

Furthermore  $H_{DR}^2(C/S)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $K[S]$  with generator  $\eta_S(s) = \eta_S(t)$  and so the kernel of  $H_{DR}^0(\tilde{Z}/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^2(C/S)$  is a principal  $K[S]$  module with generator  $D = s - t$ . Using this generator, (5.2) yields an extension  $B_{s,t}$  of the connection  $(K[S], d)$  by  $(H_{DR}^1(C/S), \nabla)$ .

LEMMA 1.5.5. *Identifying  $H_{DR}^1(C/S)$  with  $H_{DR}^1(C/S)^\vee$ , the extension  $B_{s,t}$  is isomorphic to the dual of  $E_{s,t}$ .*

*Proof.* Regarding the complexes  $\Omega_{C/S,Z}^\bullet$  and  $\Omega_{C/S}^\bullet(\text{Log}(Z))$  as subcomplexes of  $\Omega_{W/S,Z}^\bullet$  the wedge product gives a product from

$$\Omega_{C/S,Z}^\bullet \times \Omega_{C/S}^\bullet(\text{Log}(Z))$$

into  $\Omega_{C/S}^\bullet$  which induces a pairing

$$(\ , \ ): H_{DR}^1(C/S, Z) \times H_{DR}^1(W/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^2(C/S) \cong K[S] .$$

This pairing is compatible with the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(C, \Omega_{C/S}^1) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(C/S, Z) \rightarrow H^1(C, \Omega_{X/S}^0) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow H^0(C, \Omega_{C/S}^1(\text{Log}(Z))) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(W/S) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

arising from the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequences for hypercohomology (which degenerate). In other words, the image of  $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/S}^1)$  in  $H_{DR}^1(C/S, Z)$  is perpendicular to the image of  $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/S}^1(\text{Log}(Z)))$  in  $H_{DR}^1(W/S)$  and if we identify  $\Omega_{X,Z}^0$  with  $\mathcal{O}_C(Z)$  and  $\Omega_{C/S}^1(\text{Log}(Z))$  with  $\Omega_{C/S}^1(-Z)$  the pairings induced on  $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/S}^1) \times H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$  and on

$$H^1(C, \Omega_{X,Z}^0) \times H^0(C, \Omega_{C/S}^1(\text{Log}(Z)))$$

are the natural ones. Since these pairings are non-degenerate, it follows that the pairing on  $H_{DR}^1(C/S, Z) \times H_{DR}^1(W/S)$  is non-degenerate.

It is also clear that the image of  $H_{DR}^0(Z/S) \cong K[Z]$  in  $H_{DR}^1(C/S, Z)$  is perpendicular to the image of  $H_{DR}^1(C/S)$  in  $H_{DR}^1(W/S)$  and that the pairing induced on  $H_{DR}^1(C/S) \times H_{DR}^1(C/S)$  is the natural one.

The lemma will follow from the following claim: Let  $\iota$  denote the map from  $K[Z]$  to  $H_{DR}^1(C/S, Z)$  and  $\text{Res}$  the map from  $H_{DR}^1(W/S)$  to  $K[Z]$ . Let  $T_{Z/S}$  denote the trace from  $K[Z]$  to  $K[S]$ . Suppose  $c \in K[Z]$  and  $\omega \in H_{DR}^1(W/S)$ . Then

$$(\iota(c), w) = - T_{Z/S}(c \text{Res}(\omega)) .$$

Indeed, if  $s^*c = 0, t^*c = 1, \text{Res}_s(\omega) = 1$  and  $\text{Res}_t(\omega) = -1$  then  $- T_{Z/S}(c \text{Res}(\omega)) = 1$ .

To prove this claim we may shrink  $S$ . Hence, we may assume first that  $\{U, V\} (U < V)$  is an ordered affine open cover of  $C$  such that  $U = C - s$  and  $V = C - t$ , second that  $\iota(c)$  is represented by a hypercocycle of the form  $\partial(\{g_U, g_V\})$  where  $s^*g_U = s^*c$  and  $t^*g_V = t^*c$  and third, since the composition  $H^0(C, \Omega^1_{C/S}(\text{Log}(Z))) \rightarrow H^1_{DR}(W/S) \rightarrow K[Z]$  is surjective, that  $w$  is in the image of  $H^0(C, \Omega^1_{C/S}(\text{Log}(Z)))$ , i.e.,  $w$  is represented by a hypercocycle of the form  $(\{\omega_U, \omega_V\}, 0)$  where  $\omega_U = \omega = \omega_V$  on  $U \cap V$  for some  $\omega \in H^0(C, \Omega^1_{C/S}(\text{Log}(Z)))$ . It follows that  $(\iota(c), w)$  as an element of  $H^1_{DR}(C, \Omega^1_{C/S}) \cong H^2_{DR}(C/S)$  is represented by the cocycle  $\{v_{U,V}\}$  with  $v_{U,V} = (g_V - g_U)\omega$ . Since the image of this element in  $K[S]$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_s(-g_U\omega) + - \text{Res}_t(g_V\omega) &= - (s^*g_U \text{Res}_s(\omega) + t^*g_V \text{Res}_t(\omega)) \\ &= - T_{T/S}(c \text{Res}(\omega)) . \end{aligned}$$

this establishes the claim and the lemma.  $\square$

*End of proof of Proposition 1.5.4*

Consider the commutative diagram of complexes of sheaves with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow & \Omega^1_S \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}_{C/S}(-1) & \rightarrow & \Omega^{\bullet}_C & \rightarrow & \Omega^{\bullet}_{C/S} & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \Omega^1_S \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}_{C/S}(\text{Log}(Z))(-1) & \rightarrow & \Omega^{\bullet}_C(\text{Log}(C)) & \rightarrow & \Omega^{\bullet}_{C/S}(\text{Log}(Z)) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \Omega^1_S \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}_{Z/S}(-2) & \rightarrow & \Omega^{\bullet}_Z(-1) & \rightarrow & \Omega^{\bullet}_{Z/S}(-1) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & 0 & & 0 & & 0 . & \end{array}$$

If we take hyper-cohomology of this diagram we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{DR}^1(C/S) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \Omega_S^1 \otimes H_{DR}^1(C/S) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_{DR}^1(W/S) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \Omega_S^1 \otimes H_{DR}^1(W/S) \\
\downarrow & & \\
H_{DR}^0(Z) & \rightarrow & H_{DR}^0(Z/S) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_{DR}^1(C/S) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \Omega_S^1 \otimes H_{DR}^1(C/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^2(C) \rightarrow H_{DR}^2(C/S)
\end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns in which the bottom row is part of the Leray long exact sequence. Let  $a$  be the element in  $H_{DR}^0(Z)$  corresponding to the divisor  $s - t$ . The image of  $a$  in  $H_{DR}^2(C)$  is  $\eta(s - t)$  by Lemma 1.5.1. On the other hand the image of  $a$  in  $H_{DR}^0(Z/S)$  is our chosen generator of the kernel of the map to  $H_{DR}^2(C/S)$ . In particular, it is the image of an element  $b$  of  $H_{DR}^1(W/S)$  and  $\nabla(b)$  is the image of an element  $c$  of  $\Omega_S^1 \otimes H_{DR}^1(C/S)$  whose image in  $H_{DR}^2(C/S)$  is the same as that of  $a$  by an elementary diagram chase. On the other hand, the image of  $c$  in  $H^1(H_{DR}^1(C/S), \nabla)$  is the class corresponding to the extension  $B_{s,t}$  by definition (see Proposition 1.1.1) which is, after identifying  $H_{DR}^1(C/S)$  with  $H_{DR}^1(C/S)^\vee, -M(s, t)$  by Lemma 1.5.1 and Lemma 1.5.3. Hence the image of  $M(s, t)$  in  $H_{DR}^2(C)$  is  $-\eta(s - t) = \eta(t - s)$  as required.  $\square$

Now we are in a position to prove the Theorem 1.4.3. We will suppose  $M(s, t) = 0$  which amounts to  $\eta_s(t - s) = 0$  by the Proposition 1.5.1. Recall, that  $A$  is the Jacobian of  $C/S$ . Let  $d$  denote the divisor class of  $t - s$  in  $A(K(C))$ . We will show that the canonical height of  $d$  is zero. We may replace  $S$  by a finite étale cover and complete  $C$  to a properly semi-stable curve  $\tilde{C}$  over the completion  $\tilde{S}$  of  $S$  which is smooth over  $K$ . Let  $D$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational divisor on  $\tilde{C}$  which is perpendicular (under the intersection pairing) to all the irreducible components of all the fibers of  $\tilde{C}/\tilde{S}$  and whose restriction to  $C$  is  $t - s$ . Such a divisor exists by the function field analogue of Theorem 1.3 of [Hr] (see also Theorem 5.1 (i) of [Ch]). It follows that the image of  $\eta(D)$  in  $H_{DR}^2(C)$  is  $\eta(t - s) = 0$ . Corollary 1.5.2 implies that  $\eta(D)$  is in the span of  $\{\eta(Y)\}$  where  $Y$  runs over the irreducible component of the closed fibers above  $\tilde{C} - C$ . In particular,  $D \cdot D = 0$  using Theorem 7.8.2 of [H]. On the other hand,  $D \cdot D$  is  $-2$  times the canonical height of  $d$  by the function field analogue of Theorem 5.1 of [Ch]. It now follows from Theorem 5.4.1 of [L],

that the image of  $t - s$  in  $J(C)$  is a constant section which completes the proof.  $\square$

6. THE ANALYTIC PROOF

In this section we will suppose  $K = \mathbf{C}$ .

a. *The Poincaré Lemma*

Suppose  $(\mathcal{S}, \nabla)$  is a sheaf on  $S^{an}$  with integrable connection. Then by the Poincaré lemma for integrable connections, it follows that the complex of sheaves

$$\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{San}^1 \otimes \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{San}^2 \otimes \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots$$

is a resolution of the sheaf  $\mathcal{S}^\nabla$ . Hence,

PROPOSITION 1.6.1.  $H^i(\mathcal{S}, \nabla)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $H^i(S, \mathcal{S}^\nabla)$ .

*Remark.* As in Proposition 1.1.1,  $H^1(\mathcal{S}, \nabla)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}^\vee, \mathcal{O}_{San})$ . We can describe the isomorphism from  $H^1(\mathcal{S}, \nabla)$  to  $H^1(S, \mathcal{S}^\nabla)$  explicitly as follows: Let  $h$  be an element of  $H^1(\mathcal{S}, \nabla)$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  is a covering of  $S$  by open disks. Suppose  $\mathcal{E}$  is an extension of  $\mathcal{S}^\vee$  by  $\mathcal{O}_{San}$  corresponding to  $h$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}^\vee$  is an extension of  $\mathcal{O}_{San}$  by  $\mathcal{S}$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{L}$ , there exists an  $s_U \in \mathcal{E}^\vee(U)^\nabla$  which maps to 1 in  $\mathcal{O}_{San}(U)$ . Then the image  $h$  in  $H^1(S, \mathcal{S}^\nabla)$  is the class of the cocycle  $\{(U, V) \rightarrow s_U - s_V\}$ .

Suppose,  $X$  is a smooth proper  $S$ -scheme and  $Z$  is a subscheme of  $X$  which is either empty or finite over  $S$ . We will define the Betti homology sheaf  $\mathcal{H}_i(X/S, Z, \mathbf{Z})$  on  $S^{an}$  as follows. If  $Z$  is smooth over  $S$ , we define  $\mathcal{H}_i(X/S, Z, \mathbf{Z})$  to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \rightarrow H_i(f^{-1}(U), f^{-1}(U) \cap Z, \mathbf{Z}),$$

(this latter group is the Betti homology of  $f^{-1}(U)$  relative to  $f^{-1}(U) \cap Z$ ). More generally, let  $S'$  be a non-empty affine open subset of  $S$  such that  $Z' = Z \times_S S'$  is étale over  $S'$ . Let  $X' = X \times_S S'$  and let  $\iota$  denote the inclusion morphisms  $X' \rightarrow X, Z' \rightarrow Z$  and  $S' \rightarrow S$ . We set

$$\mathcal{H}_i(X/S, Z, \mathbf{Z}) = \iota_* \mathcal{H}_i(X'/S', Z', \mathbf{Z}).$$

This is independent of the choice of  $S'$ . We also set

$$\mathcal{H}_i(X/S, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathcal{H}_i(X/S, \emptyset, \mathbf{Z}) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, Z, \mathbf{C}) = \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, Z, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Suppose  $s$  and  $t$  are two distinct sections of  $X/S$  and  $Z = s \cup t$ . Suppose  $S'$  is an affine open of  $S$  such that  $Z'$  is étale over  $S'$  in the notation of the previous paragraph. We have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{H}_0(Z'/S', \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(X/S, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow 0 .$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(S'/S', \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(Z'/S', \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(X'/S', \mathbf{Z}) ,$$

where the first map is  $t_* - s_*$ . From which we derive the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Z}} \rightarrow 0 .$$

since  $\iota_* \underline{\mathbf{Z}}|_{S'^{an}} \cong \underline{\mathbf{Z}}$ . In particular, if  $U$  is an open disk in  $S^{an}$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z})(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

We define the Betti cohomology sheaf  $\mathcal{H}^1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{C})$  in the same way and it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{H}^1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{C}) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{C}), \underline{\mathbf{C}})$ . Also, it is known that if  $Z$  is étale over  $S$  then  $\mathcal{H}^1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{C}) \cong R_{f*}^1 \mathcal{I}_Z$  where  $\mathcal{I}_Z$  is the subsheaf of  $\underline{\mathbf{C}}$  whose sections vanish on  $Z$ .

Suppose  $X$  is proper over  $S$  with connected fibers. Let

$$(\mathcal{H}_{DR}^1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}), \nabla) = \mathcal{O}_{S^{an}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} (H_{DR}^1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}), \nabla) .$$

We claim, for  $Z \subseteq X$  finite over  $S$ .

$$(\mathcal{H}_{DR}^1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}), \nabla) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{S^{an}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{C}), d \otimes id)$$

This follows from the relative Poincaré lemma above on  $S'$  and hence on all of  $S$  since both sides are integrable connections. Hence,

LEMMA 1.6.2. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$(\mathcal{H}_{DR}^1(X/S, \mathbf{Z})^\vee, \check{\nabla}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{S^{an}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{C}), d \otimes id) .$$

*In particular*

$$H^i(\mathcal{H}_{DR}^1(X/S, \mathbf{Z})^\vee, \check{\nabla}) \cong H^i(S^{an}, \mathcal{H}^1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{C})) .$$

We conclude, using this, Proposition 1.1.1 and GAGA that

THEOREM 1.6.3. *There exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\beta: \text{Ext}(H_{DR}^1(X/S), \mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow H^1(S^{an}, \mathcal{H}^1(X/S, \mathbf{C})) .$$

b. *End of Analytic Proof*

Now suppose  $X$  is an Abelian scheme over  $S$ . We have an exact sequence of sheaves over  $S^{an}$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ie_{X^{an}/S^{an}} \rightarrow \underline{X^{an}} \rightarrow 0 .$$

From the corresponding long exact sequence of cohomology groups we obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{L}ie_{X^{an}/S^{an}}(S^{an}) \rightarrow X^{an}(S^{an}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(S^{an}, \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z})) .$$

We may describe  $\delta(s)$  as follows: Suppose  $e \neq s$ . Let  $Z = e \cup s$ . Then as  $f_*(\Omega_{X^{an}/S^{an}}^1)$  maps into  $\mathcal{H}_{DR}^1(X/S)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})$  maps into

$$f_*(\Omega_{X^{an}/S^{an}}^1)^\vee = \mathcal{L}ie_{X^{an}/S^{an}}$$

so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) & & \\ \uparrow & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{L}ie_{X^{an}/S^{an}} \end{array}$$

commutes. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ordered covering of  $S$  by open disks. For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  let  $\gamma_U \in \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})(U)$  such that  $\gamma_U \rightarrow 1$  under the map  $\mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z})(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ . Then the image of  $\gamma_U$  in  $X(U)$  is  $s(U)$ . Hence  $\delta(s)$  is represented by the one cocycle  $\{(U, V) \rightarrow \gamma_U - \gamma_V\}$ .

Now, it follows from this and the remark after Proposition 1.6.1 that  $\beta \circ M$  is equal to the composition of  $\delta$  and the natural map

$$H^1(S^{an}, \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z})) \rightarrow H^1(S^{an}, \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{C})) \cong H^1(S^{an}, \mathcal{H}_1(X/S, \mathbf{Z})) \otimes \mathbf{C} .$$

Hence, if  $s \in X^{an}(S^{an})$ ,  $M(s) = 0$  iff there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\delta(ns) = n\delta(s) = 0$ . Hence  $ns$  is in the image of  $\mathcal{L}ie_{X^{an}/S^{an}}(S^{an}) \rightarrow X^{an}(S^{an})$  and so is an infinitely divisible element of  $X^{an}(S^{an})$ .

Suppose  $s \in X(S)$ . We claim  $ns$  is an infinitely divisible element of  $X(S)$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer. Let  $t \in X^{an}(S^{an})$  such that  $mt = ns$ . There exists a finite étale Galois covering  $\tilde{S}$  of  $S$  such that  $t \in X(\tilde{S})$ . If  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{S}/S)$ , then  $t^\sigma = t$  because  $t^\sigma(x) = t(\sigma^{-1}(x))$  for  $x \in \tilde{S}(\mathbf{C})$ . It follows that  $t \in X(S)$ . This establishes our claim.

Finally, it follows from the function field Mordell-Weil Theorem [LN] that the image of  $ns$  in  $X_{\mathbf{C}(S)}(\mathbf{C}(S))$  is a constant section  $X/S$ . Theorem 1.4.3 now follows immediately.  $\square$

## II. PICARD-FUCHS EQUATIONS

We will give a proof of Mordell's conjecture for function fields using Theorem 1.4.3 above. This theorem is weaker than Manin's Theorem of the Kernel (Theorem 2.1.0, below). In an appendix, we will give Chai's demonstration of Theorem 2.1.0 and show how Manin used it to complete his proof.

### 1. PICARD-FUCHS DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Let  $f: X \rightarrow S$  be a smooth proper morphism with geometrically connected fibers over  $K$ . Let  $\omega_{X/S} = H^0(X, \Omega_{X/S}^1)$ . Let  $Z$  be a subscheme of  $X$  finite over  $S$  whose normalization is smooth over  $S$ . Then  $\omega_{X/S}$  injects naturally into both  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$  and  $H_{DR}^1(X/S, Z)$  such that the obvious diagram commutes. Let  $W$  denote the image of  $\omega = : \omega_{X/S}$  in  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$ .

Let  $s$  and  $t$  be two sections of  $X/S$ , and  $Z = s \cup t$ . It follows that, if  $s \neq t$ ,  $H_{DR}^1(X/S, Z)$  is an extension of  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$  by  $K[S]$  with a section on  $W$ . Hence we have an element  $N(s, t)$  in  $\text{Ext}(H_{DR}^1(X/S), \mathcal{O}_S, W)$  which maps to  $M(s, t)$  under the natural forgetful map from  $\text{Ext}(H_{DR}^1(X/S), \mathcal{O}_S, W)$  to  $\text{Ext}(H_{DR}^1(X/S), \mathcal{O}_S)$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{D} = : \mathcal{D}_S$  denote the algebra of differential operators on  $S$ , i.e. the free left algebra over  $K[S]$  generated by  $\text{Der}_S = : \text{Der}_{S/K}$ . Since  $\text{Der}_S$  acts on the sections of a connection on  $S$  so does  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $PF = : PF(X/S)$  denote the kernel of the natural map from  $\mathcal{D} \otimes_{K[S]} \omega$  (where here  $K[S]$  acts on  $\mathcal{D}$  on the right) into  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$ . Clearly,  $PF$  is a left  $\mathcal{D}$ -module. We call the elements of  $PF$ , Picard-Fuchs differential equations. The image of  $PF$ , under the natural map from  $\mathcal{D} \otimes_{K[S]} \omega$  into  $H_{DR}^1(X/S, Z)$ , lies in the image of  $K[S]$ . We have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & PF & & \\
 & & \searrow & & \\
 & & & \mathcal{D} \otimes \omega & \\
 & & & \downarrow & \searrow \\
 & & & & \\
 K[S] & \rightarrow & H_{DR}^1(X/S, Z) & \rightarrow & H_{DR}^1(X/S)
 \end{array}$$

If  $\mu \in PF$ , call its image under the map to  $K[S]$   $\mu(s, t)$ . It follows from Proposition 1.3.1 that

$$(1.1) \quad \mu(r, s) + \mu(s, t) = \mu(r, t)$$

for  $r, s, t \in X(S)$ .

Suppose  $A/S$  is an Abelian scheme over  $S$  with origin section  $e$ . Then it follows from Theorem 1.4.1 that if  $\mu \in PF(A/S)$ ,  $s \rightarrow \mu(e, s)$  is a homomorphism from  $A(S)$  into  $K[S]$ .

Manin's Theorem of the Kernel is:

**THEOREM 2.1.0.** *Suppose  $s \in A(S)$ . Then  $\mu(e, s) = 0$  for all  $\mu \in PF(A/S)$  iff  $s$  is a constant section.*

We will now explain the connection between this theorem and Theorem 1.4.3. Let  $w$  denote the natural map from  $\text{Ext}(H_{DR}^1(X/S), \mathcal{O}_S, W)$  to  $\text{Ext}([W], \mathcal{O}_S, W)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.1.** *Suppose  $s, t \in X(S)$ . Then  $\mu(s, t) = 0$  for all  $\mu \in PF(X/S)$  iff  $w \circ N(s, t) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* First,  $[W]$  is the image of  $\mathcal{D} \otimes \omega_{X/S}$  in  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$ . Hence, if  $\mu(s, t) = 0$  for all  $\mu \in PF(X/S)$ , we can define a horizontal section from  $[W]$  to  $E(s, t)$  by sending the image of an element of  $\mathcal{D} \otimes \omega_{X/S}$  in  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$  to its image in  $E(s, t)$ . This implies  $w \circ N(s, t) = 0$ . The other direction is just as easy.  $\square$

Hence Manin's Theorem of the Kernel is equivalent to:

**THEOREM 2.1.0'.** *The class  $w \circ N(e, s) = 0$  iff  $s$  is a constant section of  $A/S$ .*

On the other hand, it is easy to see that Theorem 1.4.3 is equivalent to this statement with  $w \circ N(e, t)$  replaced by  $N(e, t)$ . Thus Theorem 2.1.0 follows from Theorem 1.4.3 in the case  $[W] = H_{DR}^1(A/S)$ , i.e.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.2.** *Suppose  $[W] = H_{DR}^1(A/S)$  and  $s \in A(S)$ . Then  $\mu(e, s) = 0$  for all  $\mu \in PF(A/S)$  iff  $s$  is a constant section.*

*Remark.* The error in Manin's proof of Theorem 2.1.0 occurs in §6.2 on Page 214 of [M]. The displayed equation on line 12 is false. To make this statement true one must replace  $\mathbf{r}$  with  $\mathbf{r}^\sigma$ , (in Manin's notation). In Appendix 1, we give Chai's proof that  $N(e, t) = 0$  iff  $w \circ N(e, t) = 0$  which together with Theorem 1.4.3 implies Theorem 2.1.0. However, we show below,

that Proposition 2.1.2 is sufficient to prove the function field Mordell conjecture.

We call the composition

$$H^0(X, \Omega_{X/S}^1) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(X/S) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_S^1 \otimes H_{DR}^1(X/S) \rightarrow \Omega_S^1 \otimes H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

where the maps on either end are natural ones, the Kodaira-Spencer map and denote it by  $\kappa_{X/S}$ . An important special case of the previous proposition is the one in which  $\kappa_{X/S}$  is an isomorphism, since then

$$(\Omega_S^1 \otimes W) \oplus \kappa_{X/S} W \cong \Omega_S^1 \otimes H_{DR}^1(X/S)$$

under the natural map and so, in particular,  $[W] = H_{DR}^1(X/S)$ . It is well known that if  $X$  is a family of curves over  $S$  and the Kodaira-Spencer map is zero then  $X/S$  is an isoconstant family, i.e., becomes constant after a finite base extension.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.3.** *Suppose  $\text{Der}_{S/K}$  is spanned by  $\partial$  over  $K[S]$ . Suppose  $\kappa_{X/S}$  is an isomorphism. There exists a  $K[S]$ -linear map from  $\omega_{X/S}$  to  $PF$*

$$\omega \in \omega_{X/S} \rightarrow \mu_{\partial, \omega} = : \mu_{\omega},$$

*characterized by the condition that  $\mu_{\omega}$  can be written in the form  $\partial^2 \otimes \omega + \partial \otimes \omega' + 1 \otimes \omega''$ , where  $\omega'$  and  $\omega'' \in \omega_{X/S}$ . Moreover  $PF$  is generated over  $\mathcal{D}$  by the image of this map.*

*Proof.* The fact that  $(\Omega_S^1 \otimes W) \oplus \kappa_{X/S} W \cong \Omega_S^1 \otimes H_{DR}^1(X/S)$  implies that there exist unique elements  $\omega'$  and  $\omega''$  in  $W$  such that  $\partial^2 \otimes \omega + \partial \otimes \omega' + 1 \otimes \omega'' \in PF$ . The  $K[S]$ -linearity follows from the uniqueness and fact that for any  $v \in \omega_{X/S}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $f \in K[S]$ , one may write  $f\partial^n \otimes v$  in the form  $\partial^n \otimes fv + \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \partial^i \otimes v_i$  with  $v_i \in \omega_{X/S}$ . The fact that  $PF$  is generated by these elements is also clear.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.1.4.** *Suppose  $\text{Der}_{S/K}$  is spanned by  $\partial$  over  $K[S]$ . Suppose  $\kappa_{A/S}$  is an isomorphism. Then*

$$\{s \in A(S) : \mu_{\partial, \omega}(e, s) = 0\} = A(S)_{\text{tor}}.$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.2 since the only constant sections in this case are torsion.

2. PICARD-FUCHS COMPUTATIONS

We will need an explicit formula for  $\mu(s, t)$  in some cases. Suppose that  $X/S$  has relative dimension one. Suppose  $z \in K[S]$  such that  $\Omega_S^1(S) = K[S]dz$  and suppose  $U$  is an affine open of  $X, s \in U(S)$  and  $v \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ , such that  $s^*v = 0$  and  $\Omega_{X/S}^1(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(U)d_{X/S}v$ . For  $u \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  we define  $\partial_z u$  and  $\partial_v u$  by

$$du = \partial_z u dz + \partial_v u dv$$

Clearly  $\partial_z$  is a lifting of  $\partial = : \partial / \partial z$  to a derivation of  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ . For  $\omega = ud_{X/S}v \in \Omega_{X/S}^1(U)$  we set  $\partial_z \omega = \partial_z u d_{X/S}v$  (the image of the Lie derivative of  $udv$  with respect to  $\partial_z$  in  $\Omega_{X/S}^1(U)$ ). Since  $\partial$  generates  $\mathcal{D}$  over  $K[S]$  we can and will also make  $\mathcal{D}$  act on  $\Omega_{X/S}^1(U)$  using  $\partial_z$ .

LEMMA 2.2.1. *Suppose  $\omega = ud_{X/S}v \in \Omega_{X/S}^1(U)$  is of the second kind and  $[\omega]$  is its class in  $H_{DR}^1(X/S)$ . Then*

$$\partial[\omega] = [\partial_z \omega] .$$

*Proof.* The element  $udv$  is a lifting of  $ud_{X/S}v$  to  $\Omega_X^1(U)$ , and  $d(udv) = du \wedge dv = \partial_z u dz \wedge dv$ . Since this is the image of  $dz \otimes \partial_z \omega$  in  $\Omega_X^2$  the lemma follows.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.2.2. *Suppose  $\sum D_i \otimes \omega_i \in PF$ . Then*

$$\sum D_i \omega_i = d_{X/S} w$$

for some  $w \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ .

Suppose  $t \neq s$  is an element of  $U(S)$  and  $Z = s \cup t$ . Let  $l$  denote the map from  $K[S]$  into  $H_{DR}^1(U/S, Z)$  associated to the pair  $(s, t)$ . For  $\omega \in \Omega_{X/S}^1(U)$  let  $[\omega]_Z$  denote the class of  $\omega$  in  $H_{DR}^1(U/S, Z)$ .

LEMMA 2.2.3. *Suppose  $U, s$  and  $v$  are as above,  $t \in U(S)$  and  $t^*v \neq 0$ . Suppose  $\omega = ud_{X/S}v \in \Omega_{X/S}^1(U)$ . Then  $\partial^k[\omega]_Z$  equals*

$$[\partial_z^k \omega]_Z + l(\sum \partial^{i-1}(t^*(\partial_z^{k-i} u) \partial t^* v))$$

where  $i$  runs from 1 to  $k$ .

*Proof.* By shrinking  $S$  we may suppose that  $t^*v$  is invertible. We want to compute  $\nabla[\omega]_Z$ . First we must lift  $ud_{X/S}v$  to section of  $\Omega_{X,Z}^1(U)$ . Let  $y = f^*(t^*v)$ . Then  $\eta = u y d y^{-1} v$  is such a lifting and it equals  $udv - u y y^{-1} \partial_z y dz$ . Then  $\nabla[\omega]_Z$  is the class of

$$d\eta = \partial_z u dz \wedge dv - d(uvy^{-1}) \wedge dy = dz \wedge \partial_z u dv + dz \wedge d(uvy^{-1} \partial_z y) .$$

which is the image of

$$dz \otimes (\partial_z \omega + d_{X/S}(uvy^{-1} \partial_z y)) \in \Omega_S^1 \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1(U) .$$

Hence  $\partial[\omega]$  is the class of  $\partial_z \omega + d_{X/S}(uvy^{-1} \partial_z y)$  in  $H_{DR}^1(U/S, Z)$ . Since  $(t^* - s^*)(uvy^{-1} \partial_z y) = t^* u \partial(t^* v)$  the lemma follows in the case  $k = 1$ . Since  $\partial \circ l = l \circ \partial$  the lemma follows in general by induction.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.2.4.** *Suppose  $U, s, z$  and  $v$  are as above,  $t \in X(S)$  which meets  $U$  and  $t^* v \neq 0$ . Suppose  $\omega, \omega'$  and  $\omega''$  are elements  $\omega_{X/S}$ . Let  $\omega = u d_{X/S} v$  and  $\omega' = u' d_{X/S} v$  on  $U$ . Then we have:*

(i) *Suppose  $\mu = \partial \otimes \omega - 1 \otimes \omega' \in PF$ ,  $\omega = u d_{X/S} v$  and  $\partial_z \omega - \omega' = d_{X/S} w$ , with  $w \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ . Then*

$$\mu(s, t) = t^* w - s^* w + (t^* u) \partial t^* v .$$

(ii) *Suppose  $\mu = \partial^2 \otimes \omega + \partial \otimes \omega' + 1 \otimes \omega'' \in PF$  and  $\partial^2 \omega + \partial \omega' + \omega'' = d_{X/S} w$  with  $w \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ . Then*

$$\mu(s, t) = t^* ((w - s^* w, (u' + 2\partial_z u), \partial_v u, u) \cdot (1, x_t, x_t^2, \partial x_t))$$

and where  $x_t = \partial t^* v$ .

*Proof.* First shrink  $S$  so that  $s$  and  $t$  satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma and then apply it and the definition of  $\mu(s, t)$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $g: X \rightarrow A$  is a morphism over  $S$  from a curve to an Abelian scheme. Suppose  $\kappa_{A/S}$  is an isomorphism. If  $\eta = g^* \omega$  where  $\omega \in \omega_{A/S}$  we will set  $\mu_\eta = g^* \mu_\omega$ . This is independent of the choice of  $\omega$ . As an immediate consequence of the previous corollary we obtain:

**COROLLARY 2.2.5.** *Let  $U, z, s$  and  $v$  be as above. Set  $X(S)' = \{t \in X(S) : t \text{ meets } U \text{ and } t^* v \neq 0\}$ . Then there exist maps*

$$V = : V_{z, v} : T_{U, v} \rightarrow K(S)^4$$

and

$$L = : L_{z, v, s} : \omega_{X/S} \rightarrow K(X)^4$$

such that  $L$  is  $K$ -linear and for  $t \in X(S)'$  and  $\omega \in g^* \omega_{A/S}$ ,

$$\mu_\omega(s, t) = t^*(L(\omega) \cdot V(t)) .$$

## III. MORDELL'S CONJECTURE

Suppose  $L$  is a field of characteristic zero of finite type over a relatively algebraically closed subfield  $K$ .

**THEOREM 3.1 (Manin).** *Suppose  $C$  is a curve of genus at least 2 defined over  $K$ . Suppose  $C(L)$  is infinite, then there exists a curve  $C_0$  defined over  $K$  such that  $C_0 \times_K L \cong C$  and  $C(K)$  minus the image of  $C_0(K)$  under this isomorphism is finite.*

We can translate this into

**THEOREM 3.1 (BIS).** *Suppose  $S$  is a variety defined over  $K$  and suppose  $C \rightarrow S$  is a smooth proper curve of genus at least 2 over  $S$ . Suppose  $C(S)$  is infinite, then there exists a curve  $C_0$  defined over  $K$  such that  $C_0 \times_K S \cong C$  and  $C(S)$  minus the image of  $C_0(K)$  under this isomorphism is finite.*

*Remarks.* First, it is possible to reduce this by standard arguments to the case in which  $S$  is a smooth affine curve over  $K$  and so we will suppose this to be the case. Second, if we can prove that  $C_0 \times_K X \cong C$  for some  $C_0$  defined over  $K$ , (i.e. that  $C$  is a constant family) then this is de Franchis' theorem which is proven in Lang's *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*. Hence to prove this theorem all we have to do is show that if  $C(S)$  is infinite then  $C$  is a constant family of curves.

## 1. SETS OF BOUNDED HEIGHT

In this section we will either recall or derive the properties of heights needed in the sequel.

Let  $f: X \rightarrow S$  be a smooth projective morphism of varieties over  $K$  a field of characteristic zero. Corresponding to a projective embedding of  $X$  over  $S$ , there exists a function  $h: X(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  called a logarithmic height. (For a reference, see ([L-FD] Chapter 3, §3). If the logarithmic height of a subset of  $X(S)$  is bounded with respect to one projective embedding, it is bounded with respect to all (See [L] Prop. 1.7, Chapt. 4). We will call such a set a set of bounded height and a set of points which is not of bounded height, a set of unbounded height. We will need several properties of such sets. If  $g: X' \rightarrow X$  is a morphism of projective schemes over  $S$  which is finite onto its image, then the inverse image of a set of bounded height in  $X(S)$  is a set of bounded height

in  $X'(S)$ . Suppose  $X$  is an Abelian scheme over  $S$  and  $R$  is the subgroup of  $X(S)$  consisting of constant sections of  $X/S$ . Let  $s \in X(S)$ . Then the set  $s + R$  is a set of bounded height.

LEMMA 3.1.1 (Manin). *Suppose  $E$  is a finite dimensional  $K$  vector subspace of  $K(C)$ . Then the set*

$$T = \{s \in C(S) : \exists k \neq 0 \in E \text{ such that } s*k = 0\}$$

*has bounded height.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may increase  $E$  to suppose that the rational map  $g: C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K(E)$  given on points by  $x \rightarrow (e \in E \rightarrow e(x))$  is birational onto its image (note:  $g$  is actually a morphism on the complement of the polar locus of  $E$ ). It follows that  $g$  induces an embedding of the generic fiber of  $C/S$  into  $\mathbf{P}_{K(S)}(E \otimes K(S))$ . Let  $h$  denote the logarithmic height with respect to this embedding. It follows that if  $s \in C(S)$ ,  $g \circ s$  is constant or  $g \circ s$  has degree one. In the former case  $h(s)$  is zero and the degree of the Zariski closure of  $g \circ s(S)$  in  $\mathbf{P}(E)$  in the latter.

Now if  $s \in T$ , and  $g \circ s$  is not constant, it follows that the Zariski closure of  $g \circ s(S)$  is a component of a hyperplane section of the Zariski closure of  $g(C)$ . Hence,  $h(s)$  is less than or equal to the degree of the Zariski closure of  $g(C)$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

The key property about heights we will need is:

THEOREM 3.1.2. *Suppose  $C \rightarrow S$  is as in the above theorem. If  $C(S)$  contains an infinite set of bounded height then  $C$  is a constant family.*

(See Corollary 2.2, Chapter 8 of [L-FD].)

Hence all we need prove is that the elements of  $C(S)$  have bounded height.

## 2. LANG-SIEGEL TOWERS

Suppose the genus of  $C$  is at least 1. Suppose  $T$  is an infinite subset of  $C(S)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2.1. *There exists a projective system of curves*

$(\{C_n\}, \{h_{m,n}\}), m, n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$  and  $n \leq m$ , over  $K$  such that

- (i)  $C_1 = C$ ,
- (ii)  $h_{m,n}: C_m \rightarrow C_n$  is étale,

- (iii)  $(h_{m,1})^{-1}(T) \cap C_m(S)$  is infinite,
- (iv) There exists a finite covering  $S_{m,n}$  of  $S$  such that the fiber product of  $h_{m,n}$  with  $S_{m,n}$  is Galois, Abelian and of positive degree.

Let  $J$  denote the Jacobian scheme of  $C$  over  $S$ . Let  $a: C \rightarrow J$  be an Albanese morphism. Let  $p$  be a prime. Let  $\bar{T}$  denote the closure of  $a(T)$  in  $J(S) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p$ . Since  $a(T)$  is infinite it follow from the Mordell-Weil Theorem that there exists a  $t \in \bar{T} - a(T)$ . Let  $t_n \in T$  such that  $t - a(t_n) \in p^n J(S)$ . Let  $C_n$  denote the normalization of the fiber-product of  $C$  and  $J$  via the map  $H_n: x \rightarrow p^n x + t_n$  and  $h_{n,1}$  the natural map from  $C_n$  to  $C$ . It follows that  $C_n$  is defined over  $S$  and since  $H_m(J(S)) \supseteq \{t_n: m \mid n\}$  that  $h_{n,1}(C_m(S))$  contains an infinite subset of  $T$ .

All that remains is to exhibit the maps  $h_{m,n}$ . Clearly,  $t_m - t_n = p^n r_{m,n}$  for some  $r_{m,n} \in J(S)$ . Let  $H_{m,n}$  denote the map  $x: p^{m-n}x + r_{m,n}$ . Then  $H_{m,k} = H_{n,k} \circ H_{m,n}$ . It follows that  $H_{m,n}$  pulls back to a morphism  $h_{m,n}: C_m \rightarrow C_n$ . It is easy to see that this morphism becomes Abelian after adjoining the  $p^{m-n}$ -torsion points on  $J$ . This proves the proposition.  $\square$

*Remark.* One can also prove the above proposition with the condition  $n \leq m$  replaced by  $n \mid m$ .

### 3. COROLLARIES OF THE THEOREM OF THE KERNEL

LEMMA 3.3.1. *Suppose  $g: X' \rightarrow X$  is a morphism of smooth proper schemes with geometrically connected fibers over  $S$ . Then if  $\mu \in PF(X'/S)$  and  $s, t \in X(S)$ ,  $(g^*\mu)(s, t) = \mu(g \circ s, g \circ t)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows easily from Lemma 1.3.2.  $\square$

Suppose  $J$  is the Jacobian of  $C$  over  $S$  and  $g$  is an Albanese morphism, then since  $g^*: H_{DR}^1(J/S) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(C/S)$  is an isomorphism  $g^*: PF(J/S) \rightarrow PF(C/S)$  is an isomorphism.

LEMMA 3.3.2. *Let  $\mu$  be a fixed Picard-Fuchs differential equation on  $C/S$ . Then  $\{\mu(s, t): s, t \in C(S)\}$  lies in a finite dimensional subspace of  $K[S]$  over  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\tilde{\mu} \in PF(J/S)$  such that  $g^*\tilde{\mu} = \mu$ . The lemma follows from the Mordell-Weil theorem which together with the Theorem of the kernel implies that  $J(S)$  modulo the kernel of the homomorphism  $s \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}(e, s)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.3.3. *Suppose  $A$  is an Abelian scheme over  $S$  such that  $[W_{A/S}] = H_{DR}^1(A/S)$  and  $g: C \rightarrow A$  is a non-constant morphism over  $S$ . Fix  $s \in C(S)$ . Then the set  $T = \{t \in C(S) : (g^*\mu)(s, t) = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in PF(A/S)\}$  is of bounded height.*

*Proof.* Let  $A'$  denote the smallest Abelian subscheme of  $A$  over  $S$  containing  $g(C)$ . Since the map  $g^*: PF(A/S) \rightarrow PF(A'/S)$  is surjective and  $[W_{A/S}] = H_{DR}^1(A/S)$ , it follows from Proposition 2.1.2 that  $g(T)$  is contained in a translation of the group of constant sections of  $A'/S$ . Hence,  $g(T)$  is a set of bounded height. Finally, since  $C \rightarrow g(C)$  is a finite morphism, it follows that  $T$  is a set of bounded height.  $\square$

In particular,

COROLLARY 3.3.4. *Suppose  $A$  is an Abelian scheme over  $S$  such that  $\kappa_{A/S}$  is an isomorphism and  $g: C \rightarrow A$  is a non-constant morphism over  $S$ . Fix  $s \in C(S)$ . Then the set  $\{t \in C(S) : (g^*\mu_\omega)(s, t) = 0 \text{ for all } \omega \in \omega_{A/S}\}$  is of bounded height.*

#### 4. PROOF OF MORDELL'S CONJECTURE

PROPOSITION 3.4.1. *Suppose the kernel of the  $\kappa_{C/S}$  has rank at least 2 over  $K[S]$ , then the points of  $C(S)$  have bounded height.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $C(S)$  contains points of arbitrarily large height. Fix  $s \in C(S)$ . By shrinking  $S$ , if necessary, we may suppose that there exists a function  $z \in K[S]$  such that  $\Omega_S^1 = K[S]dz$  and there exists a finite covering  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $C$  by affine opens  $U$  and functions  $v_U \in \mathcal{O}_C(U)$  such that  $s \in U(S)$ , and  $\Omega_C^1(U)$  is spanned by  $dz$  and  $dv_U$ . We may also suppose that  $s^*v_U = 0$  by replacing  $v_U$  with  $v_U - (s \circ f)^*v_U$  if necessary. For  $U \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{O}_C(U)$  we define  $\partial_{U,z}u$  and  $\partial_{U,v}u$  by the equation

$$du = \partial_{U,z}udz + \partial_{U,v}udv_U.$$

Then  $\partial_{U,z}$  is a lifting of  $\partial = : \partial/\partial z$ . We set  $\mu(t) = \mu(s, t)$  for

$$\mu \in PF = : PF(C/S)$$

and  $t \in C(S)$ .

Let  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  be two independent elements in the kernel of  $\kappa_{C/S}$ . It follows that there exist  $\omega'_1$  and  $\omega'_2 \in \omega_{C/S}$  such that

$$\partial[\omega'_i] = [\omega'_i].$$

Hence  $\mu_i = \partial \otimes \omega_i - 1 \otimes \omega'_i$  is in  $PF$ . For  $U \in \mathcal{L}$  let  $w_{U,i}$  and  $u_{U,i}$  be elements of  $\mathcal{L}_C(U)$  such that

$$\partial_{U,z}\omega_i - \omega'_i = d_{C/S}w_{U,i},$$

$s^*w_{U,i}$  and  $\omega_i = u_{U,i}d_{C/S}v_U$  on  $U$ . Let  $T$  denote the set of  $t \in C(S)$  such that  $t \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and  $t^*v_U \neq 0$  for all  $U$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . This is the complement of a finite subset. For  $t \in T$

$$(4.1) \quad \mu_i(t) = t^*(w_{U,i}) + t^*(u_{U,i})\partial t^*(v_U)$$

for all  $U \in \mathcal{L}$ , by Corollary 2.2.4.

For  $t \in T, U \in \mathcal{L}$  let

$$h_{U,t} = u_{U,2}\mu_1(t) - u_{U,1}\mu_2(t) - (u_{U,2}w_{U,1} - u_{U,1}w_{U,2}).$$

We deduce from (4.1) that  $t^*h_{U,t} = 0$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3.2, the set of functions  $h_{U,t}$  lies in a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}_C(U)$  of finite dimension over  $K$ . It follows from Lemma 3.1.1 that  $h_{U,t} = 0$  for all  $t$  in a subset  $T'$  of  $T$  of unbounded height. Fix  $t_0 \in T'$ , and set  $c_i = \mu_i(t_0)$ , then it follows that

$$u_{U,2}(\mu_1(t) - c_1) - u_{U,1}(\mu_2(t) - c_2) = 0$$

for all  $t \in T'$ . Now since  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are independent over  $K[S]$ ,  $u_{U,1}$  and  $u_{U,2}$  are independent over  $K(S)$  and so we must have

$$\mu_i(t) = c_i$$

for all  $t \in T'$ . Let  $z_{U,i} = u_{U,i}^{-1}(c_i - w_{U,i})$ . Let  $T''$  denote the subset of  $T'$  such that  $t^*u_{U,1} \neq 0$  and  $t^*u_{U,2} \neq 0$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{L}$ . This is the complement of a finite subset of  $T'$ . For  $t \in T''$

$$(4.2) \quad t^*z_{U,i} = \partial t^*v_U$$

for all  $U \in \mathcal{L}$ . This implies that  $z_{U,1} = z_{U,2}$  since  $T''$  is infinite. Set  $z_U = z_{U,1}$ .

Set  $u_U = u_{U,1}$  and  $w_U = w_{U,1}$ . On  $U \cap V$ ,

$$dv_V = g_{U,V}dz + f_{U,V}dv_U$$

for some  $g_{U,V} \in \mathcal{L}_C(U)$  and  $f_{U,V} \in \mathcal{L}_C(U \cap V)^*$ . It follows that

$$u_U = f_{U,V}u_V, \quad \partial_{U,v}g_{U,V} = \partial_{V,z}f_{U,V} \quad \text{and} \quad w_U = w_V + u_Vg_{U,V}.$$

Hence

$$z_U = f_{U,V}z_V - g_{U,V}.$$

Hence, we may define a divisor  $Y$  which on  $U$  is the polar divisor of  $z_U$ . (It is clear that the support of  $Y$  is contained in the intersection of the supports of the divisors of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ .) Let  $C' = C - Y$ ,  $U' = U \cap C'$  for  $U \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $v_{U'} = v_U|_{U'}$  etc. Then the above implies that we may define a lifting  $\tilde{\delta}$  of  $\delta$  to  $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{C'/K})$  such that on  $U'$ ,

$$\tilde{\delta}v_{U'} = z_{U'}.$$

If  $Y = \emptyset$ , this implies that  $\kappa_{C/S}$  is zero and hence that  $C/S$  is isoconstant. This contradicts de Franchis' theorem. Thus  $Y = \emptyset$ .

It follows from (4.2) that  $t \cap Y = \emptyset$  for all  $t \in T''$ . In particular,  $Y$  has no vertical components. But this contradicts the function field analogue of Siegel's theorem [L-IP] since  $T''$  is a set of unbounded height. This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

*Remark.* In the appendix we will present Manin's original proof of this proposition which uses Theorem 2.1.0 and does not use Siegel's theorem. To this end, we point out that it follows from (4.2) that

$$(4.3) \quad t^*\tilde{\delta}x = \delta(t^*x)$$

for all  $x \in K[C']$  and  $t \in T''$ .

We will now complete the proof of the function field Mordell conjecture. The argument here is essentially the same as that in Manin's paper except that we found it necessary to be more careful about the choice of base points. Suppose  $C/S$  is a curve over  $S$  such that  $C(S)$  contains points of arbitrarily large height. Let  $(\{C_n\}, \{h_{m,n}\})$  be the projective system as described in §3.2 such that  $C_1 = C$  and  $C_n(S)$  contains points of arbitrarily large height. From the previous proposition, we know that the rank of the kernel of the  $\kappa_{C_n/S}$  is at most one. Since these ranks grow with  $n$ , by replacing  $C$  with  $C_n$  for appropriate  $n$ , we may suppose these ranks are all equal. Set  $h_m = h_{m,1}$ .

By shrinking  $S$ , we may suppose that there exists a  $z \in K[S]$  such that  $dz$  spans  $\Omega_S^1$  over  $k[S]$ . Let  $\partial = \partial/\partial z$ .

Let  $J_n$  denote the Jacobian of  $C_n$  and  $A_n = J_n/h_n^*J_1$ . It follows that  $\kappa_{A_n/S}$  is an isomorphism. We identify  $\omega_{A_n/S}$  with its image via an Albanese pullback in  $\omega_{C_n/S}$ . Recall that in these circumstances we have a Picard-Fuchs equation  $\mu_\omega = : \mu_{\partial, \omega}$  attached to  $\omega \in \omega_{A_n/S}$ .

Fix an  $s \in C(S)$ . By shrinking  $S$  if necessary, we may suppose there is an affine open  $U$  of  $C$  such that  $s \in U(S)$  and there exists an element  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_C(U)$  such that  $\Omega_{C/S}^1(U)$  is spanned by  $d_{C/S}v$  over  $\mathcal{O}_C(U)$  and,  $s^*v = 0$ . Recall, for  $u \in \mathcal{O}_C(U)$  we defined  $\partial_z u$  and  $\partial_v u$  by

$$du = \partial_z u dz + \partial_v u dv .$$

Now suppose  $n \geq 1$  and  $S'$  is an étale (not necessarily finite) connected open of  $S$  such that  $C'_n(S')$  contains a point  $r$  lying over  $s$ . Let  $C'_n = C_n \times S'$  and  $A'_n = A_n \times S'$ . We will abuse notation for the moment and let  $z$  and  $v$  denote their pullbacks to  $S'$  and  $C'_n$  respectively. Let  $h'_n: C'_n \rightarrow C'_1$  denote the pullback of  $h_n$ . Let  $U'$  denote the inverse image of  $U$  in  $C'_1$ . We set  $U_n = h'^{-1}_n(U')$ . Then since  $h'_n$  is unramified,  $dz$  and  $dv$  span  $\Omega^1_{C'_n}(U_n)$ . In these circumstances we have a  $K$ -linear map  $L_{z,v,r}: \omega_{A'_n/S'} \rightarrow K(C_n)^4$  described in Corollaries 2.2.4 and 2.2.5.

Let  $n, S', r$  be such that the dimension of the  $K(C_n)$ -span of the image of  $L_{z,v,r}$  is maximal over all such triples. Call that dimension  $R$ . Now fix  $m > n$  and replace  $S$  with an étale open of  $S'$  such that,  $C_m$  is Galois over  $C_n$  with Galois group  $G$  and there exists an  $r' \in C_m(S)$  above  $r$ . Let  $w = h^*_n v, h = h_{m,n}$  and let  $Y = C_n$  and  $X = C_m$ . Our hypotheses imply, in particular, that  $X(S)$  is of unbounded height. Let  $B = J_m/h^*J_n$ . Then,  $\kappa_{B/S}$  is an isomorphism. The module,  $\omega_{B/S}$  injects naturally into  $\omega_{X/S}$  and we identify it with its image.

Let  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$  be a  $K(S)$ -basis for  $\omega_{B/S}$ . Let  $L = L_{z,h^*w,r}$ . As  $L \circ h^* = L_{z,w,r}$  our maximality hypothesis implies that  $L(h^*\omega_{A_n/S}) \subseteq L(\omega_{A'_n/S})K(X)$  and so there exist elements  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_R \in \omega_{A_n/S}$  and elements  $z_{ij} \in K(X)$  such that

$$L(\eta_i) = \sum z_{i,j} L(h^*\omega_j) .$$

Let

$$T = \{t \in X(S) : t \cap U_m \neq \emptyset, t^*w \neq 0\} .$$

The complement of  $T$  in  $X(S)$  is finite. In particular, in the notation of Corollary 2.2.5, since  $V_{z,h^*w}(t) = V_{z,w}(h(t)), t \in T$  and  $L(h^*\omega) = L_{z,w,r}(\omega)$  for  $\omega \in \omega_{A_n/S}$ , by Corollary 2.2.5

$$\mu_{\eta_i}(r', t) = \sum t^*z_{i,j} \mu_{h^*\omega_j}(r', t) = \sum t^*z_{i,j} \mu_{\omega_j}(r, h(t)) .$$

for  $t \in T$ . Let

$$f_{i,t} = \mu_{\eta_i}(r', t) - \sum z_{i,j} \mu_{\omega_j}(r, h(t)) .$$

We see that  $t^*f_{i,t} = 0$  and Lemma 3.3.2 implies that the set

$$\{f_{i,t} : 0 \leq i \leq k, t \in T\}$$

is contained in a finite dimensional  $K$  subspace of  $K(X)$ . Hence by

Lemma 3.1.1, using the fact that height is stable under the action of  $G$ , the subset  $T_1$  of  $T$  consisting of elements  $t$  for which there exists a  $\sigma \in G$  and an  $i, 0 \leq i \leq n$ , such that  $f_{i,t^\sigma} \neq 0$  is of bounded height.

Let  $T_2 = T - T_1$ . Clearly,  $T_2$  is stable under  $G$ . Moreover,  $f_{i,t} = 0$  for all  $t \in T_2$ . That is,

$$\mu_{\eta_i}(r', t) = \sum z_{i,j} \mu_{\omega_j}(r, h(t)) .$$

In particular,  $\mu_{\eta_i}(r', t^\sigma) = \mu_{\eta_i}(r', t)$  for  $t \in T_2$  and  $\sigma \in G$ . On the other hand,

$$\mu_{\eta_i}(r', t^\sigma) = \mu_{\eta_i}(r', r'^\sigma) + \mu_{\eta_i}(r'^\sigma, t^\sigma) = \mu_{\eta_i}(r', r'^\sigma) + \mu_{\eta_i} \sigma(r', t)$$

by (II, 1.1) and Lemma 3.3.1. It follows that

$$\mu_{\omega - \omega^\sigma}(r', t) = \mu_{\omega}(r', r'^\sigma)$$

for all  $\omega \in \omega_{B/S}$ ,  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(X/Y)$  and  $t \in T_2$ . Let  $t_0 \in T_2$ . By (II, 1.1) we conclude that  $\mu_{\omega - \omega^\sigma}(t_0, t) = 0$  for all  $\omega \in \omega_{B/S}$ ,  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(X/Y)$  and  $t \in T_2$ . But  $\{\omega - \omega^\sigma : \omega \in \omega_{B/S}, \sigma \in \text{Gal}(X/Y)\}$  spans  $\omega_{B/S}$  over  $K$  by the definition of  $B$ . Corollary 3.3.4, applied to the morphism  $X \rightarrow B$ , implies  $T_2$  is a set of bounded height. But this implies that  $X(S)$  is a set of points of bounded height. This contradiction completes the proof of Mordell's conjecture for function fields.  $\square$

#### APPENDIX: CHAI'S PROOF OF THE THEOREM OF THE KERNEL

In this appendix, we give Chai's proof of Manin's Theorem of the Kernel, Theorem 2.1.0 above and explain how Manin used it to prove the function field Mordell conjecture. Let notation be as in Section II. As explained in that Section, the theorem follows from the assertion:

$$(A1) \quad N(e, s) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad w \circ N(e, s) = 0.$$

Let  $H = H_{DR}^1(A/S)$ . For a subconnection  $D$  of  $H$ , let  $\tilde{D}$  denote the pullback of  $H_{DR}^1(A/S, Z)$  to  $D$ . As (A1) is stable under fiber products and isogenies (see Proposition 1.3.2), (A1) is a consequence of the following theorem, taking  $D = [W]$ .

**PROPOSITION A1.1.** (Chai). *Suppose  $A/S$  is irreducible and not isotrivial. Let  $D$  be a non-trivial subconnection of  $H$ . Then the extension  $\tilde{H}$  of  $H$  of connections splits iff the extension  $\tilde{D}$  of  $D$  does.*

*Proof.* The only if direction is clear.

For the other direction, we may, without loss of generality, suppose  $K = \mathbf{C}$ . Fix  $q \in S(\mathbf{C})$ . For an integrable connection  $D$  on  $S$ . Let  $D_q$  denote the fiber of  $D$  at  $q$  and  $G(D)$  denote the Zariski closure of the image of the monodromy group at  $q$  of  $D$  in  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{C}}(D_q)$ .

Let  $N(D)$  denote the kernel of the natural map from  $G(\tilde{D})$  to  $G(D)$ . The group  $G(D)$  acts naturally by conjugation on  $N(D)$ . Moreover, since  $H$  is an extension of  $H$  by  $\mathbf{C}$ , (recall  $(e, s)$  determines a basis) on which  $G(\tilde{H})$  acts trivially and we have a natural  $G(D)$ -equivariant pairing  $(\ , \ ) : N(D) \times D \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  given by  $(n, d) = n(d) - d$ . Hence we have a commutative diagram

$$(A2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N(H) & \rightarrow & H^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N(D) & \rightarrow & D^* \end{array}$$

where the right arrow is the natural surjection.

Now suppose that the extension  $\tilde{D}$  of  $D$  splits. Then  $N(D) = 0$  since  $G(D)$  acts trivially on  $\mathbf{C}$ . By the Poincaré lemma the map of  $\tilde{G}(H)$  modules  $\tilde{H}_q \rightarrow H_q$  is defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . It follows from [D-H; Corollaire 4.4.15] that  $H_q^*$  is an irreducible representation. Hence  $N(H) = 0$  or  $N(H)$  surjects onto  $H_q^*$ . In the latter case, it follows from (A2) that  $N(D)$  surjects onto  $D_q^*$  but this implies  $D_q^* = (0)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $N(H) = 0$ . This implies  $G(H)$  acts on the exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_q \rightarrow H_q \rightarrow 0 .$$

As  $G(H)$  is semi-simple by [D-H; Corollaire 4.2.9] we see that this sequence splits as well. This implies that the horizontal sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_S \rightarrow \tilde{H} \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$$

splits by [D-SR; Proposition 1.3, Theorem 2.23 and Theorem 5.9]. □

By replacing Proposition 2.1.2 by Theorem 2.1.0 in the proof of Lemma 3.3.3 one obtains:

**COROLLARY A1.2.** *The conclusions of Proposition 2.1.2 and Lemma 3.3.3 are true without the assumption that  $[W_{A/S}] = H_{DR}^1(A/S)$ .*

Now we give Manin’s proof of Proposition 3.4.1 using Theorem 2.1.0. This was the only place in [M], where this theorem was needed. This proof does not use Siegel’s Theorem.

Let notation be as in Section 3.4. Siegel's theorem was not used until the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 3.4.1. Therefore we may assume  $C'$  is affine,  $W(S)$  contains a set  $T'$  of unbounded height and we have a derivation  $\tilde{\delta}$  on  $W$  such that  $t^*\tilde{\delta}x = \delta(t^*x)$  for all  $x \in K[W]$ .

It follows from Lemma 2.2.3 that for each  $\mu \in PF$ , there exists an  $x_\mu \in K[S]$  such that

$$\mu(t) = t^*x_\mu.$$

Lemma 3.3.2 implies that  $\{\mu(t) - x_\mu : t \in T''\}$  is contained in a finite dimensional  $K$ -linear subspace of  $K(C)$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.1.1,  $\mu(t) = x_\mu$  for all  $\mu \in PF$  and all  $t$  in the complement  $T'''$  of a finite subset of  $T''$ . (We use here that  $PF$  is finitely generated over  $\mathcal{D}_S$ .) Fix  $t_0 \in T'''$ . Then  $\mu(t_0, t) = 0$  for all  $t \in T''$  and all  $\mu \in PF$ . This contradicts the above corollary and thus proves Proposition 3.4.1.

## REFERENCES

- [C] CHAI, Ching-Li. A note on Manin's theorem of the kernel. To appear in the *Amer. J. Math.*
- [Ch] CHINBURG, T. Introduction to Arakelov intersection theory. *Arithmetic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [D-SR] DELIGNE, P. *Equations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*. Springer-Verlag, LNM 163 (1970).
- [D-H] ———. Théorie de Hodge II. *Publ. Math. IHES* 40 (1971), 5-58.
- [H-DR] HARTSHORNE, R. On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties. *Publ. Math. IHES* 45 (1976).
- [H-AG] ———. *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [Hr] HRILJAC, P. Heights and Arakelov's intersection theory. *Amer. J. Math.* 107 (1985), 23-39.
- [K] KATZ, N. Algebraic solutions of differential equations. *Invent. Math.* 18 (1972), 1-118.
- [K2] ———. Letter from N. Katz to A. Ogus. 1976.
- [KO] KATZ, N. and T. ODA. On the differentiation of de Rham cohomology with respect to parameters. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 8-2 (1968), 199-213.
- [L-IP] LANG, S. Integral points on curves. *Publ. Math. IHES* (1960), 27-43.
- [L-FD] ———. *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [L-AV] ———. *Abelian Varieties*. Interscience, New York, 1959.
- [LN] LANG, S. and A. NÉRON. Rational points on abelian varieties over function fields. *Amer. Jour.* 81, 1 (1959), 95-118.
- [M] MANIN, J. Rational points of algebraic curves over function fields. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.* 27 (1963), 1395-1440; English Translation *AMS Transl.* 37 (1966), 189-234.

(Reçu le 20 novembre 1989)

Robert F. Coleman

Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720 (USA)