

2. The Hausmann-Weinberger invariant

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **43 (1997)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **21.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

boundary yields a new 4-manifold where the element corresponding to r_1 has been killed; and similarly for the other r_i . Let M_0 be the 4-manifold thus obtained, fulfilling $\pi_1(M_0) = G$. The idea of that construction can already be found in the old book [S-T]. Much later the procedure, in a more general context, has been called "elementary surgery".

1.2. We recall that the (good old) Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ of a finite cell complex X is the alternating sum

$$\chi(X) = \sum (-1)^i \alpha_i,$$

where α_i is the number of i -cells. It is easily computed for M_0 above: For M' it is $2 - 2m$ since it is $= 0$ for $S^1 \times S^3$ and since it decreases by 2 in a connected sum. Under the surgery process above it increases by 2 [use the fact that for the union of two complexes X and Y with intersection Z the characteristic is $\chi(X) + \chi(Y) - \chi(Z)$; and that $\chi(B^2 \times S^2) = 2$]. Whence

$$\chi(M_0) = 2 - 2m + 2n = 2 - 2(m - n).$$

The difference $m - n$ is called the deficiency of the presentation of G .

1.3. On the other hand the characteristic can be expressed by the Betti numbers of the cell complex X as $\sum (-1)^i \beta_i(X)$ where $\beta_i(X) = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} H_i(X; \mathbf{R})$ (and is therefore a topological invariant). Moreover the β_i of a manifold fulfill Poincaré duality, i.e. they are equal in complementary dimensions. Thus $\chi(M) = 2 - 2\beta_1(M) + \beta_2(M)$. We recall that homology in dimension 1 depends on the fundamental group G only; β_1 is the \mathbf{Q} -rank of G Abelianised and we write $\beta_1(G)$ for $\beta_1(M)$. Comparing with $\chi(M_0)$ above we see that the deficiency of the presentation is $\leq \beta_1(G)$. Thus there is a maximum for the deficiency of all presentations of G , called the deficiency $\text{def}(G)$ of G . [For this simple side result there are, of course, much easier arguments.]

2. THE HAUSMANN-WEINBERGER INVARIANT

2.1. As seen above, the Euler characteristic of a 4-manifold M with given finitely presented fundamental group G is bounded below by $2 - 2\beta_1(G)$. The minimum of $\chi(M)$ for all such M has been considered by Hausmann-Weinberger [H-W] and denoted by $q(G)$. Using M_0 above we have the inequalities

$$2 - 2\beta_1(G) \leq q(G) \leq 2 - 2 \text{def}(G).$$

2.2. EXAMPLES.

1) In [H-W] it is shown, by a simple argument, that $q(\mathbf{Z}^n) \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. We return to that case later on. Here we just recall that $q(\mathbf{Z}) = q(\mathbf{Z}^2) = q(\mathbf{Z}^4) = 0$, as is easily seen by taking an appropriate M with $\chi(M) = 0$. However for \mathbf{Z}^3 one only gets $0 \leq q \leq 2$, the deficiency being 0.

2) For the surface group Σ_g , $g \geq 2$, i.e. the fundamental group of the closed orientable surface of genus g , one has $\text{def}(\Sigma_g) = 2g - 1$ and $\beta_1 = 2g$. Thus

$$2 - 4g \leq q(\Sigma_g) \leq 4 - 4g.$$

3) For any knot group G (the fundamental group of the complement of a classical knot in S^3) the deficiency is 1 and $\beta_1 = 1$ whence $q(G) = 0$.

4) Let G be a 2-knot-group, i.e. the fundamental group of the complement of two-dimensional knot S^2 in S^4 . As for classical knots $\beta_1(G) = 1$. Surgery along the imbedded sphere S^2 produces a 4-manifold M with fundamental group G , and with $\beta_2(M) = 0$, whence $\chi M = 0$. Thus again $q(G) = 0$.

2.3. There is a topological ingredient available in 4-manifolds which has not been used, namely the signature. This has suggested a more refined group invariant associated with 4-manifolds, see the next section.

3. THE $(\chi + \sigma)$ -INVARIANT

3.1. We recall that the cohomology group $H^2(M; \mathbf{R})$ is a real quadratic space, the quadratic form being given by the cup-product evaluated on the fundamental cycle of M . It is non-degenerate, and the space splits into a positive-definite and a negative-definite subspace of dimensions β_2^+ and β_2^- respectively. The difference $\beta_2^+ - \beta_2^- = \sigma(M)$ is the signature of M . Its sign clearly depends on the orientation of M and we assume the orientation chosen in such a way that $\sigma(M) \leq 0$, i.e., $\beta_2^+ \leq \beta_2^-$. Since $\beta_2 = \beta_2^+ + \beta_2^-$ the sum $\chi(M) + \sigma(M)$ is equal to $2 - 2\beta_1(G) + 2\beta_2^+(M)$, where as always $G = \pi_1(M)$. Since that sum is bounded below by $2 - 2\beta_1(G)$ depending on G only one can define an invariant $p(G)$ to be the minimum of $\chi(M) + \sigma(M)$ for all M with fundamental group G and oriented in such a way that $\sigma(M) \leq 0$. Obviously $p(G) \leq q(G)$. An equivalent way to define $p(G)$ is to take, independently of orientations, the minimum of $\chi(M) - |\sigma(M)|$.

Putting together all above inequalities we get

$$2 - 2\beta_1(G) \leq p(G) \leq q(G) \leq 2 - 2 \text{def}(G).$$