

1. An algorithm for checking amenability

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **43 (1997)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **21.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Denoting now by

$$\lambda_*(G) = \inf_A \lambda_A(G)$$

the *minimal growth rate* of G , where the infimum is taken over all finite generating systems, the group G has *uniform exponential growth* if $\lambda_*(G) > 1$. This last concept is due to Avez [A] where the number

$$h(G) = \log(\lambda_*(G))$$

is called the *entropy* of the group G and it is discussed in [GrLP], [SW] and in the survey paper [GH].

The simplest example of a group with uniformly exponential growth is the free group \mathbf{F}_m of finite rank $m \geq 2$ for which the minimal growth rate is $\lambda_*(\mathbf{F}_m) = 2m - 1$, see for instance [GH].

It is not known whether a group of exponential growth has necessarily uniformly exponential growth or not. We formulate the following:

0.1. CONJECTURE. *All one-relator groups of exponential growth have uniformly exponential growth.*

Conjecture 0.1 is true for one-relator groups of rank $m \geq 3$ and for one-relator groups with torsion, therefore we focus our attention on two-generated one-relator groups and give sufficient conditions for such groups to have uniformly exponential growth. We present a new method for estimating the minimal growth rate of a finitely generated group using growth functions of the corresponding graded Lie algebra and apply it to one-relator groups.

1. AN ALGORITHM FOR CHECKING AMENABILITY

Let G be a one-relator group with presentation $(*)$; the number m of the generators of G in the presentation is called the rank of the presentation. Until Section 4 we shall assume that R is cyclically reduced and non trivial.

The next observation is well known. We shall include the proof stressing the algorithmic aspect of the statement.

1.1. LEMMA. *Let $G = \langle a, b, \dots : R(a, b, \dots) \rangle$ be a one-relator group with at least two generators. Then G has a presentation $\langle t, \dots : R'(t, \dots) \rangle$ with $\sigma_t(R') = 0$, where $\sigma_t(R')$ denotes the sum of the exponents of t in the word R' . This second presentation can in fact be produced, starting from the original one, in an algorithmical way.*

Proof. Let a and b be two generators involved in R ; if $\sigma_a(R) = 0$ or $\sigma_b(R) = 0$ we are already done. If not, suppose that $0 < |\sigma_a(R)| \leq |\sigma_b(R)|$; by exchanging a with a^{-1} and/or b with b^{-1} if necessary, we can suppose that $0 < \sigma_a(R) \leq \sigma_b(R)$. Set $a' = ab$ and $b' = b$; then, if $R'(a', b')$ is the expression of R in terms of the new generators a' and b' , one has $\sigma_{a'}(R') = \sigma_a(R)$ and $|\sigma_{b'}(R')| < \sigma_b(R)$. Applying this procedure inductively for at most $|\sigma_a(R)| + |\sigma_b(R)|$ times one gets the claimed presentation. \square

Note that the rank of the second presentation in the previous lemma coincides with the rank of the initial one.

1.2. THEOREM. *The following is an algorithm which establishes if a given one-relator group G with presentation $(*)$ is amenable or not:*

Step 1: If $m \geq 3$ then G is not amenable. If $m = 1$ then G is amenable; if $m = 2$ go to next step.

Step 2: Check if R is a power of one of the generators. If this is the case and the power is proper then G is not amenable, if R coincides, up to inversion, with one of the generators then G is amenable. Otherwise go to next step.

Step 3: Using the algorithm from the above lemma, change the presentation of G so that the sum of the exponents of one of the generators in the relator is zero. Then G is amenable iff, up to a relabeling and inversion of the generators, and up to a cyclic permutation of the relator, the presentation obtained is of the form $\langle t, s : tst^{-1}s^{-n} = 1 \rangle$, with $n \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Recall that the Freiheitssatz of Wilhelm Magnus ([MKS: Thm. 4.10] and [LS: IV Thm. 5.1]) states that, if $R = R(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ is a cyclically reduced word in a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m and involves a_m , then the subgroup of $G = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m : R(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) = 1 \rangle$ generated by a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m-1} is freely generated by them.

(1) If $m \geq 3$ then, by Magnus' Theorem, G contains the free group on two generators and thus it is not amenable. If $m = 1$ then $G = \langle a : a^n = 1 \rangle$ is cyclic and therefore amenable.

(2) Let $m = 2$. If R is a proper power of one of the generators, say $R = a^n$ with $|n| \geq 2$, then G is isomorphic to the free product $\mathbf{Z} * \mathbf{Z}_{|n|}$ of the infinite cyclic group and the cyclic group of order $|n| \geq 2$ and it is not amenable because its commutator subgroup is a free group of infinite rank. If R coincides, up to inversion, with one of the generators then G is infinite cyclic and therefore amenable.

(3) Suppose now that $\langle a, b : R(a, b) = 1 \rangle$ is a presentation of G with $\sigma_a(R) = 0$. If we denote by $b_i = a^i b a^{-i}$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, then the relator R can be expressed as a word in the b_i 's just replacing each b^k in $R(a, b)$ by b_j^k , where j is the sum of the exponents of a in the subword of R preceding the given occurrence of b^k . We shall denote this word by $R'(b_m, b_{m+1}, \dots, b_M)$, where m and M are the minimum and, respectively, the maximum subscript occurring in the expression of R' . Note that since $R(a, b)$ is cyclically reduced, then R' is cyclically reduced as well and $m < M$.

It is known [LS: IV, proof of Thm. 5.1] that any one-relator group with ≥ 2 generators is an HNN-extension $(H; A, B, \phi)$ of another one-relator group H . In our situation

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \langle b_m, b_{m+1}, \dots, b_M; R'(b_m, b_{m+1}, \dots, b_M) \rangle \\ A &= \text{subgroup of } H \text{ generated by } b_m, b_{m+1}, \dots, b_{M-1} \\ B &= \text{subgroup of } H \text{ generated by } b_{m+1}, b_{m+2}, \dots, b_M \\ \phi : A \ni b_i &\longmapsto b_{i+1} \in B, \quad i = m, m+1, \dots, M-1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore G also admits the following presentation

$$G = \langle a, b_m, \dots, b_M : R'(b_m, \dots, b_M) = 1, ab_i a^{-1} = b_{i+1}, i = m, \dots, M-1 \rangle.$$

The subgroups A and B are free of rank $M - m$ and if $M - m \geq 2$ then G is not amenable.

Suppose now that $M - m = 1$, so that $A = \langle b_m \rangle \cong B = \langle b_M \rangle \cong \mathbf{Z}$. It is known ([H: Prop. 3.3]) that an HNN-extension $(H; A, B, \phi)$, such that A and B are both proper subgroups of the base group H , contains the free group F_2 . Thus, if $A \neq H \neq B$, then G is non amenable.

Suppose that $A = H$ (the case $B = H$ is similar). Then $H = \langle b_m \rangle \cong \mathbf{Z}$ and $b_M = b_m^k$ for a suitable $k \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Replacing a by t and b_m by s in the above presentation for G , one gets the presentation

$$G = \langle t, s : tst^{-1} = s^k \rangle$$

of type 3_b . from the list (**) and so G is amenable. \square

1.3. COROLLARY. *For amenable one-relator groups the isomorphism problem is solvable.*

Proof. Suppose two one-relator groups which are amenable are given. Then, in the algorithmical way described above, one gets two presentations from the list (**) and the procedure of recognition becomes obvious since any two groups from the list with different presentations are in fact non-isomorphic. \square