§3. Remarks

$$\rho_m \leq d + (m+1)(d-1)\,. \tag{11}$$

Also, from (5), (10) and (11) we get (recalling our definition of $\deg 0$),

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_0 = (r+1)d - p \\ \sigma_{m+1} \leq \max(\sigma_m + d, \rho_m) - p \leq \max(\sigma_m, (m+1)(d-1)) + d - p\,. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Observe that we have $\sigma_0 = (r+1)d - p = (r+1)d - (2r+1) = (d-2)r + (d-1) \geq d-1$. Suppose that the inequality

$$\sigma_m \geq (m+1)(d-1) \tag{13}$$

is true for $m = 0, \ldots, M-1$, but not for $m = M$ (possibly $M = \infty$). Then $M \geq 1$. Moreover, by (12) we have $\sigma_{m+1} \leq \sigma_m + d - p$ for $m \leq M-1$, whence

$$\sigma_m \leq \sigma_0 + m(d-p) = rd - (m+1)(p-d), \qquad \text{for } m \leq M\,. \tag{14}$$

Applying (13) and (14) with any $m \leq M-1$, we get $rd - (m+1)(p-d) \geq (m+1)(d-1)$, i.e. $2r(m+1) \leq rd$. Therefore we have

$$M \leq \frac{d}{2}\,. \tag{15}$$

Finally, apply (12) for $m = M$ and observe that $M \leq d/2 \leq r-1$, hence $S_{M+1} \neq 0$ by the Claim. We obtain $0 \leq \sigma_{M+1} \leq (M+1)(d-1) + d - p$, whence, comparing with (15),

$$2p \leq \begin{cases} d^2 + 3d - 2 & \text{if } d \text{ is even} \\ d^2 + 2d - 1 & \text{if } d \text{ is odd}\,. \end{cases}$$

This proves the theorem and more. □

§3. Remarks

(1) The method gives some information also in the case of a general finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$. The same arguments as above work everywhere, on replacing p by q, except that in the Claim we must now suppose that $m \leq r_0$, where $p = 2r_0 + 1$. The final conclusion will be that $d \geq \min(r_0, \sqrt{2q} - (3/2))$. This is still sufficient to prove that equations $y^2 = f(x)$ in $\mathbf{F}_q$ have some solution, provided p is sufficiently large compared to $\deg f$.

(2) The same method of proof produces a lower bound for the number N' of solutions of $y^2 = f(x)$ such that $y \neq 0$. This bound is better than the one which has been stated above, as a corollary of the theorem itself. To

derive this bound we define $S := \{u \in \mathbf{F}_p : f(u)$ is not a square in $\mathbf{F}_p\}$ and put $g(X) := \prod_{u \in S}(X - u)$. Then we observe that

$$g(X)f(X)^{r+1} - g(X)f(X) = (X^p - X)S(X).$$

This equation generalizes (5) above. At this point we follow completely the above proof of the theorem. The differential operators will now be defined by

$$\Delta_m(\phi)(X) := g(X)f(X)\phi'(X) - ((r+m+1)g(X)f'(X) + (m+1)g'(X)f(X))\phi(X).$$

The conclusion will be that

$$2\deg g \geq \frac{4(p-1)}{d+4} - 2(d-1).$$

Apply this result with $af(X)$ in place of $f(X)$, where a is a quadratic nonresidue in $\mathbf{F}_p$. Then observe that the left side is just N'.

(3) As announced in §1, we give a simple proof of the upper bound $d(p) \leq 2m(p)$ (defined in the introduction). Define N_c as the number of monic polynomials in $\mathbf{F}_p[X]$ which are irreducible and have degree c. By counting elements in the field $\mathbf{F}_{p^c}$ we easily find the following formula (which goes back to Gauss), i.e.

$$\sum_{r|c} rN_r = p^c,$$

the sum running over positive divisors of c. For $c \geq 3$ this easily implies

$$\sum_{2 \leq d \leq c} N_d \geq \frac{p^c}{c}.$$

Let $g(X) \in \mathbf{F}_p[X]$ be monic, irreducible of degree $d \geq 2$ and consider the vector whose entries are the Legendre symbols $\left(\frac{g(u)}{p}\right)$, for $u \in \mathbf{F}_p$. Since each entry lies in $\{\pm 1\}$, the number of possibilities for the vector is $\leq 2^p$. If we let g run through all such polynomials, with $2 \leq d \leq c$, the number of possibilities for g will be $\geq p^c/c$. For $c = m(p)$, this quantity exceeds 2^p by definition. Hence there will be distinct choices $g_1(X)$, $g_2(X)$ for $g(X)$, giving rise to the same vector. This means that the polynomial $f(X) := g_1(X)g_2(X)$ assumes nonzero square values on the whole of $\mathbf{F}_p$. Moreover, since g_1, g_2 are distinct, monic and irreducible, $f(X)$ has no square factor of positive degree. Therefore we have $d(p) \leq \deg f \leq 2m(p)$, as stated.