

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 45 (1999)
Heft: 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: LOCAL-GLOBAL PRINCIPLE FOR NORMS FROM CYCLIC EXTENSIONS OF $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ (A DIRECT, CONSTRUCTIVE AND QUANTITATIVE APPROACH)
Kapitel: 2. A COUPLE OF FACTS FROM COHOMOLOGY
Autor: ZANNIER, Umberto
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-64456>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 07.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

COROLLARY 2. *Let Σ be a finite subset of places of \mathbf{Q} . Assume that*

- (a) *For all places $v \notin \Sigma$ the function $f \in K$ is a norm from $\mathbf{Q}_v L$ to $\mathbf{Q}_v(t)$.*
- (b) *For all $v \in \Sigma$ there exist $a_v, b_{i,v} \in \mathbf{Q}_v$ with*

$$f(a_v) = N(a_v, b_{1,v}, \dots, b_{d,v}) \in \mathbf{Q}_v^* .$$

Then f is a norm from L .

(In §5 we shall see that (a) is not sufficient in itself.) Colliot-Thélène has shown me a different proof of this corollary using the above-mentioned Faddeev exact sequence, actually removing the regularity assumption. The result reminds one of the work by Pourchet (see [Raj, Lemma 17.4]) and by Colliot-Thélène, Coray, Sansuc [CThCS, Prop. 1.3]. (For instance the last paper contains the proof that a *multiplicative* quadratic form over $k(t)$ represents f over $k(t)$ if and only if it represents f over $k_v(t)$ for all places v of k .)

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we shall recall a few basics from cohomology. In §3 we shall prove the theorem and its corollaries. In §4 we shall discuss a simple counterexample to an analogous result when $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is a four-group (similarly to the number-field case). In §5 we shall discuss how the assumptions for Corollary 2 are equivalent for large p both to the solvability of congruences $f \equiv N(g) \pmod{p}$ and to the existence of solutions over the completion of $\mathbf{Q}_p L$ under the Gauss norm. Incidentally, we shall prove that if a representation of f by N exists at all with the $x_i \in k(t)$, then some representation will have the x_i 's of degree bounded explicitly only in terms of $\deg f$ and genus and degree of $kL/k(t)$. This seems to have some interest in itself. These observations lead also to the construction of varieties satisfying the usual local-global principle. Finally, in §6 we shall discuss how to find effectively a possible representation of f by N .

2. A COUPLE OF FACTS FROM COHOMOLOGY

Let G be a finite group acting on an abelian group M . For a function $\xi: G \rightarrow M$, $\sigma \mapsto \xi_\sigma$ we denote (the usual coboundary operator)

$$\partial(\xi_\sigma) = \partial(\xi): G^2 \rightarrow M, \quad (\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \xi_\sigma + \sigma(\xi_\tau) - \xi_{\sigma\tau} .$$

With this notation (but writing M multiplicatively) we now recall Hilbert's Theorem 90:

Let k_1/k be a finite Galois extension with group G and let $\xi: G \rightarrow k_1^*$ be a function satisfying $\partial(\xi) = 1$. Then there exists $\alpha \in k_1^*$ such that $\xi_\sigma = \alpha/\sigma(\alpha)$ for all $\sigma \in G$.

The usual proof (see e.g. [CF, Prop. 3, p.124]) is simple and runs as follows: For $x \in k_1$ form the sum $\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \xi_\sigma \sigma(x)$. By a well-known elementary result of Artin, we may choose $x \in k_1$ such that $\alpha \neq 0$. A quick computation using the assumption on ξ then shows that α has the stated property.

An easy corollary (the original Hilbert's 90) is that, if G is cyclic generated by g , then every element $a \in k_1^*$ such that $N_k^{k_1}(a) = 1$ is of the form $b/g(b)$ for some $b \in k_1^*$. To derive this conclusion it suffices to apply the above statement to the function on G defined by $\xi_{g^m} = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} g^i(a)$ (which is well defined).

In §6 on effectiveness we shall need a simple result on *permutation modules* for the action of a finite group G . Such a module is simply a free abelian group on which G acts, which moreover has a \mathbf{Z} -basis permuted by G . We have:

Let M be a permutation module and let $\xi: G \rightarrow M$ satisfy $\partial(\xi) = 0$. Then there exists $m \in M$ such that $\xi_\sigma = m - \sigma(m)$ for all $\sigma \in G$.

We give a short argument for completeness. We may write M as a direct sum of permutation modules, each of which has a \mathbf{Z} -basis which is a G -orbit. It suffices to prove the claim for each direct factor. Write the mentioned basis as $\{g(b)\}$ for a certain $b \in M$ and g running through a set of representatives for G/H , H being the stabilizer of b .

We sum the equations $\xi_{\sigma\tau} = \xi_\sigma + \sigma(\xi_\tau)$ over $\tau \in G$. Letting n be the order of G and putting $\mu := \sum_{g \in G} \xi_g \in M$, we get

$$n\xi_\sigma = \mu - \sigma(\mu).$$

Write $\mu = \sum_{g \in G/H} a_g g(b)$ for suitable $a_g \in \mathbf{Z}$. The displayed equation implies $\mu \equiv \sigma(\mu) \pmod{nM}$ for every $\sigma \in G$. This immediately gives the existence of $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $a_g \equiv a \pmod{n}$ for all $g \in G/H$, so we write $a_g = a + nq_g$ where $q_g \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $m := \sum_{G/H} q_g g(b) \in M$. Then $nm = \mu - a \sum_{G/H} g(b)$, where the last term is invariant by G . Hence $n\xi_\sigma = n(m - \sigma(m))$, whence $\xi_\sigma = m - \sigma(m)$, as required.