

# 1. Introduction

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **45 (1999)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **25.07.2024**

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## COUNTING PATHS IN GRAPHS

by Laurent BARTHOLDI

ABSTRACT. We give a simple combinatorial proof of a formula that extends a result by Grigorchuk [Gri78a, Gri78b] relating cogrowth and spectral radius of random walks. Our main result is an explicit equation determining the number of ‘bumps’ on paths in a graph: in a  $d$ -regular (not necessarily transitive) non-oriented graph let the series  $G(t)$  count all paths between two fixed points weighted by their length  $t^{\text{length}}$ , and  $F(u, t)$  count the same paths, weighted as  $u^{\text{number of bumps}} t^{\text{length}}$ . Then one has

$$\frac{F(1-u, t)}{1-u^2 t^2} = \frac{G\left(\frac{t}{1+u(d-u)t^2}\right)}{1+u(d-u)t^2}.$$

We then derive the circuit series of ‘free products’ and ‘direct products’ of graphs. We also obtain a generalized form of the Ihara-Selberg zeta function [Bas92, FZ98].

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Gamma = \mathbf{F}_S/N$  be a group generated by a finite set  $S$ , where  $\mathbf{F}_S$  denotes the free group on  $S$ . Let  $f_n$  be the number of elements of the normal subgroup  $N$  of  $\mathbf{F}_S$  whose minimal representation as words in  $S \cup S^{-1}$  has length  $n$ ; let  $g_n$  be the number of (not necessarily reduced) words of length  $n$  in  $S \cup S^{-1}$  that evaluate to 1 in  $\Gamma$ ; and let  $d = |S \cup S^{-1}| = 2|S|$ . The numbers

$$\alpha = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{f_n}, \quad \nu = \frac{1}{d} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{g_n}$$

are called the *cogrowth* and *spectral radius* of  $(\Gamma, S)$ . The Grigorchuk Formula [Gri78b] states that

$$(1.1) \quad \nu = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{d} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{d-1}} + \frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{\alpha} \right) & \text{if } \alpha > \sqrt{d-1}, \\ \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We generalize this result to a somewhat more general setting: we replace the group  $\Gamma$  by a regular graph  $\mathcal{X}$ , i.e. a graph with the same number of edges at each vertex. Fix a vertex  $\star$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ ; let  $g_n$  be the number of circuits (closed sequences of edges) of length  $n$  at  $\star$  and let  $f_n$  be the number of circuits of length  $n$  at  $\star$  with no backtracking (no edge followed twice consecutively). Then the same equation holds between the growth rates of  $f_n$  and  $g_n$ .

To a group  $\Gamma$  with fixed generating set one associates its Cayley graph  $\mathcal{X}$  (see Subsection 3.1).  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $d$ -regular graph with distinguished vertex  $\star = 1$ ; paths starting at  $\star$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with words in  $S \cup S^{-1}$ , and paths starting at  $\star$  with no backtracking are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of  $\mathbf{F}_S$ . A circuit at  $\star$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is then precisely a word evaluating to 1 in  $\Gamma$ , and a circuit without backtracking represents precisely one element of  $N$ . In this sense results on graphs generalize results on groups. The converse would not be true: there are even graphs with a vertex-transitive automorphism group that are not the Cayley graph of a group [Pas93].

Even more generally, we will show that, rather than counting circuits and proper circuits (those without backtracking) at a fixed vertex, we can count paths and proper paths between two fixed vertices and obtain the same formula relating their growth rates.

These relations between growth rates are consequences of a stronger result, expressed in terms of generating functions. Define the formal power series

$$F(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n t^n, \quad G(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n t^n.$$

Then assuming  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $d$ -regular we have

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{F(t)}{1-t^2} = \frac{G\left(\frac{t}{1+(d-1)t^2}\right)}{1+(d-1)t^2}.$$

This equation relates  $F$  and  $G$ , and so relates *a fortiori* their radii of convergence, which are  $1/\alpha$  and  $1/(d\nu)$ . We re-obtain thus the Grigorchuk Formula.

Finally, rather than counting paths and proper paths between two fixed vertices, we can count, for each  $m \geq 0$ , the number of paths with  $m$  backtrackings, i.e. with  $m$  occurrences of an edge followed twice in a row. Letting  $f_{m,n}$  be the number of paths of length  $n$  with  $m$  backtrackings, consider the two-variable formal power series

$$F(u, t) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} f_{m,n} u^m t^n.$$

Note that  $F(0, t) = F(t)$  and  $F(1, t) = G(t)$ . The following equation now holds:

$$\frac{F(1 - u, t)}{1 - u^2 t^2} = \frac{G\left(\frac{t}{1 + u(d - u)t^2}\right)}{1 + u(d - u)t^2}.$$

Setting  $u = 1$  in this equation reduces it to (1.2).

A generalization of the Grigorchuk Formula in a completely different direction can be attempted: consider again a finitely generated group  $\Gamma$ , and an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Xi \longrightarrow \Pi \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow 1,$$

where this time  $\Pi$  is not necessarily free. Assume  $\Pi$  is generated as a monoid by a finite set  $S$ . Let again  $g_n$  be the number of words of length  $n$  in  $\Pi$  evaluating to 1 in  $\Gamma$ , and let  $f_n$  be the number of elements of  $\Xi$  whose minimal-length representation as a word in  $S$  has length  $n$ . Is there again a relation between the  $f_n$  and the  $g_n$ ? In Section 8 we derive such a relation when  $\Pi$  is the modular group  $\mathbf{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ .

Again there is a combinatorial counterpart; rather than considering graphs one considers a locally finite cellular complex  $\mathcal{K}$  such that all vertices have isomorphic neighbourhoods. As before,  $g_n$  counts the number of paths of length  $n$  in the 1-skeleton of  $\mathcal{K}$  between two fixed vertices; and  $f_n$  counts elements of the fundamental groupoid, i.e. homotopy classes of paths, between two fixed vertices whose minimal-length representation as a path in the 1-skeleton of  $\mathcal{K}$  has length  $n$ . We obtain a relation between these numbers when  $\mathcal{K}$  consists solely of triangles and arcs, with no two triangles nor two arcs meeting; these are precisely the complexes associated with quotients of the modular group.

The original motivation for our research was the study of cogrowth in group theory [Gri78a]; however, as it turned out, the more general problem in graph theory has applications to other domains of mathematics, like the Ihara-Selberg zeta function and its evaluation by Hyman Bass [Bas92].

## 2. MAIN RESULT

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a graph, that may have multiple edges and loops. We make the following typographical convention for the power series that will appear: a series in the formal variable  $t$  is written  $G(t)$ , or  $G$  for short, and  $G(x)$  refers to the series  $G$  with  $x$  substituted for  $t$ . Functions are written on the right, with  $(x)f$  or  $x^f$  denoting  $f$  evaluated at  $x$ .