

6. Second proof of Theorem 2.4

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **45 (1999)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **25.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

PROPOSITION 5.6 ([Ami80, Equation 4.4]). *Let X be a power series in t over a matrix ring, such that $X(0) = \mathbf{1}$. Then*

$$\det X = \exp\left(-\int \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathbf{1} - X}{Xt}\right) dt\right),$$

where the integration is the formal linear operation on power series that maps t^r to $t^{r+1}/(r+1)$.

We then have, using Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\det M}{(1 + (1 - u)t)^n (1 - (1 - u)^2 t^2)^m} &= \det \frac{M}{\mathbf{1} + (1 - u)Jt} \\ &= \exp\left(-\int \operatorname{tr} \frac{\mathbf{1} + (1 - u)Jt - M}{Mt} dt\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\int \text{series counting non-trivial circuits,} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{length shifted down by one} dt\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\int \operatorname{tr} \frac{(1 - (1 - u)^2 t^2)\mathbf{1} - P}{Pt} dt\right) \\ &= \det \frac{P}{1 - (1 - u)^2 t^2} = \frac{\det P}{(1 - (1 - u)^2 t^2)^{|V(X)|}}. \end{aligned}$$

6. SECOND PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4

Let $P = [\star, \dagger]$ be the set of paths in \mathcal{X} from \star to \dagger . As we shall apply the principle of inclusion-exclusion [Wil90], it will be helpful to compute in $\Pi = \mathbf{Z}[[P]]$, the \mathbf{Z} -module of functions from the set of paths to \mathbf{Z} . We embed subsets of P in Π by mapping a subset to its characteristic function:

$$P \supset A \mapsto \chi_A, \quad \text{with } (\pi)\chi_A = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{B} be the subset of bounded non-negative elements of Π (i.e. functions f such that there is a constant N with $0 \leq (\pi)f < N$ for all paths π). If ℓ is a complete labelling of \mathcal{X} , there is an induced labelling $\ell_*: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ given by

$$(f)\ell_* = \sum_{\pi \in P} (\pi)f \pi^\ell.$$

Note that the sum, although infinite, defines an element of \mathbf{k} due to the fact that ℓ is complete.

DEFINITION 6.1 (Bump Scheme). Let $e \in E(\mathcal{X})$ and $v \in V(\mathcal{X})$. A *squiggle along e* is a sequence $(e, \bar{e}, \dots, e, \bar{e})$. A *squiggle at v* is a squiggle along e for some edge e such that $e^\alpha = v$.

Let $\pi = (v_0, e_1, \dots, e_n, v_n)$ be a path of length n in \mathcal{X} . A *bump scheme* for π is a pair $B = ((\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n), (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n))$, with

- for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, a finite (possibly empty) sequence $\beta_i = (\beta_{i,1}, \dots, \beta_{i,t_i})$ of squiggles at v_i ;
- for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, a squiggle γ_i along e_i .

The *weight* $|B|$ of the bump scheme B is defined as

$$|B| = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=1}^{t_i} (|\beta_{i,j}| - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma_i|.$$

Given a path π and a bump scheme $B = (\beta, \gamma)$ for π , we obtain a new path $\pi \vee B \in P$, by setting

$$\pi \vee B = \beta_{0,1} \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_{0,t_0} \gamma_1 e_1 \beta_{1,1} \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_n e_n \beta_{n,1} \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_{n,t_n},$$

where the product denotes concatenation.

We now define a linear map $\phi: \Pi \rightarrow \Pi[[u]]$ by setting, for $f \in \Pi$ and $\pi \in P$,

$$(\pi)((f)\phi) = \sum_{(\rho, B): \rho \vee B = \pi} (u - 1)^{|B|} (\rho) f,$$

where the sum ranges over all pairs (ρ, B) where $\rho \in P$ and B is a bump scheme for ρ such that $\rho \vee B = \pi$. Note that the sum is finite because the edges of ρ and of B form subsets of those of π .

LEMMA 6.2. For any path π we have

$$(6.1) \quad (\pi)((\chi_P)\phi) = u^{\text{bc}(\pi)}.$$

Proof. Say $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ has $m \geq 0$ bumps, at indices b_1, \dots, b_m so that $\pi_{b_i} = \overline{\pi_{b_i+1}}$. We will show that the evaluation at π of the left-hand side of (6.1) yields u^m .

We claim there is a bijection between the subsets C of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and the pairs (ρ_C, B_C) where ρ_C is a path and B_C is a bump scheme for ρ_C with $\pi = \rho_C \vee B_C$; and further $|B_C| = |C|$.

First, take a ρ and a $B = (\beta, \gamma)$ such that $\rho \vee B = \pi$. The path $\rho \vee B$ is obtained by shuffling together the edges of ρ and B , and this partitions the

edges of π in two classes, namely (i) those coming from ρ and (ii) those coming from β and γ . Let $C \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the indices of the bumps b_i in π coming from B , i.e. such that π_{b_i} and $\pi_{b_{i+1}}$ belong to the class (ii). One direction of the bijection is then $(\rho, B) \mapsto C$.

Conversely, given a subset C consider the set $D = \{b_i \mid i \in C\}$. Split it in maximal-length runs of consecutive integers $D = D_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup D_t$. For all runs D_i do the following: to $D_i = \{j, j+1, \dots, j+2k-1\}$ of even cardinality associate a squiggle γ_j of length $2k$ along π_j ; to $D_i = \{j, j+1, \dots, j+2k-2\}$ of odd cardinality associate a squiggle $\beta_{j,l}$ of length $2k$ at v_{j-1} ; then delete in π the edges $\pi_j, \dots, \pi_{j+2k-1}$. This process constructs a bump scheme $B = (\beta, \gamma)$ while pruning edges of π , giving a path γ with $\gamma \vee B = \pi$. These two constructions are inverses, proving the claimed bijection.

It now follows that

$$(\pi)(\chi_P)\phi = \sum_{C \in \{1, \dots, m\}} (u-1)^{|B_C|} = \sum_{r=0}^m (u-1)^r \binom{m}{r} = u^m. \quad \square$$

Let $\ell' : E(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[[u]]$ be defined by

$$e^{\ell'} = \frac{1}{1 - (e\bar{e})^\ell (1-u)^2} e^\ell K_{e^\omega}.$$

We prove Theorem 2.4 by noting that $\mathfrak{G}(\ell) = (\chi_P)\ell_*$, that $\mathfrak{F}(\ell) = (\chi_P\phi)\ell_*$, and that for any $f \in \Pi$ we have $(f\phi)\ell_* = K_*(f)\ell'_*$. To prove this last equality, take a path $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ on vertices v_0, \dots, v_n . Then

$$(\chi_{\{\pi\}}\phi)\ell_* = \sum_B (u-1)^{|B|} (\pi \vee B)^\ell,$$

where the sum ranges over all bump schemes for π , and

$$K_*\pi^{\ell'} = K_{v_0} \frac{1}{1 - (u-1)^2 (\pi_1 \bar{\pi}_1)^\ell} \pi_1^\ell K_{v_1} \cdots \frac{1}{1 - (u-1)^2 (\pi_n \bar{\pi}_n)^\ell} \pi_n^\ell K_{v_n}.$$

It is clear these last two lines are equal; for the power series expansion of the K_{v_i} correspond to all the possible squiggle sequences β_i at v_i , and the power series expansion of the $1/(1 - (u-1)^2 (\pi_i \bar{\pi}_i)^\ell)$ correspond to all possible squiggles γ_i along π_i .