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## 6. APPLICATIONS

LEMMA 13. Let $M$ be a closed hyperbolic surface of genus $g$ which has $2 g-2$ simple closed geodesics $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 g-2}$ which all intersect in the same point $Q$ and intersect in no other point. Then $M$ has simple closed curves $u_{2 g-1}$ and $u_{2 g}$, passing through $Q$, such that the curves $u_{i}$ intersect in no other point than $Q, i=1, \ldots, 2 g$. Moreover, $u_{2 g-1}$ and $u_{g}$ can be chosen such that

$$
M \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{2 g} u_{i}
$$

is the interior of a canonical polygon $P(g)$.
Proof. Cut $M$ along $u_{1}$, the result is a hyperbolic surface $M_{1}$ with boundary and genus $g-1$, the boundary consists of two simple closed geodesics $v_{1}$ and $w_{1}$. Cut $M_{1}$ along $u_{2}$, the result is a hyperbolic surface $M_{2}$ with one boundary component $v_{2}$ and genus $g-1$. Now cut $M$ along all $2 g-2$ simple closed geodesics $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 g-2}$. By induction, the result is a hyperbolic surface $M_{2 g-2}$ with one boundary component $v$ and genus 1 . More precisely, the boundary $v$ is piecewise geodesic with $4 g-4$ pieces and we may assume that the notation is chosen such that these pieces appear on $v$ in the order (the pieces are called like the corresponding closed curves) $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2 g-2}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2 g-2}$ (note that closed geodesics intersect transversally). Denote by $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ the two copies of $Q$ on $v$ between $u_{1}$ and $u_{2 g-2}$. Let $u_{2 g-1}$ be a simple geodesic in $M_{2 g-2}$ which joins $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ such that $u_{2 g-1}$ is not homotopic to a part of $v$. Cut $M_{2 g-2}$ along $u_{2 g-1}$. The result is a hyperbolic surface $M_{2 g-1}$ of genus zero with two boundary components $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ which both consist of $2 g-1$ geodesic pieces in the order $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2 g-2}, u_{2 g-1}$. Denote by $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ the copies of $Q$ between $u_{1}$ and $u_{2 g-1}$ on $w$ and $w^{\prime}$, respectively. Let $u_{2 g}$ be a simple geodesic in $M_{2 g-1}$ which joins $R$ and $R^{\prime}, u_{2 g}$ can be chosen such that when we cut $M_{2 g-1}$ along $u_{2 g}$, then we obtain the interior of a canonical polygon as desired.

DEFInITION. A hyperelliptic surface is a closed hyperbolic surface of genus $g$ which has an isometry $\phi$ with $\phi^{2}=i d$ and with exactly $2 g+2$ fixed points.

In [14], the equivalence of (i) and (ii) of the following theorem was first proved. With the approach chosen here, we can give a third equivalence and
a different proof.
TheOrem 14. Let $M$ be a closed hyperbolic surface $M$ of genus $g$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $M$ is hyperelliptic.
(ii) $M$ has a set of at least $2 g-2$ simple closed geodesics which all intersect in the same point and intersect in no other point.
(iii) $M$ has a corresponding canonical polygon with equal opposite angles $\left(\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{2 g+i}, i=1, \ldots, 2 g\right)$.

Proof. I shall prove (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).
Let $M$ be hyperelliptic. Let $R_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 2 g+2$, be the fixed points of a hyperelliptic involution $\phi$. Let $c_{1}$ be a simple geodesic segment from $R_{1}$ to $R_{2}$. Then $c_{1} \cup \phi\left(c_{1}\right)$ is a simple closed geodesic $u_{1}$ since $\phi^{2}=i d$. It also follows that on $u_{1}$, there are only two fixed points of $\phi$ and that $M_{1}=M \backslash u_{1}$ is connected. Therefore, we can choose a simple geodesic segment $c_{2}$ from $R_{1}$ to $R_{3}$ which intersects $u_{1}$ only in $R_{1}$. By the same argument as above, $c_{2} \cup \phi\left(c_{2}\right)$ is a simple closed geodesic, $M_{2}=M \backslash\left(u_{1} \cup u_{2}\right)$ is connected and on $u_{1} \cup u_{2}$, there are only three fixed points of $\phi$. Continuing this construction we can find simple closed geodesics $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 g-2}$ which all intersect in $R_{1}$ and in no other point. This proves (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).


Figure 6
The partition of a canonical polygon $P(g)$ into two $(2 g-1)$-gons and two quadrilaterals

Assume now that $M$ has $2 g-2$ simple closed geodesics $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 g-2}$ which all intersect in the same point $Q$ and intersect in no other point. By Lemma 13 we then can find simple closed curves $u_{2 g-1}$ and $u_{2 g}$ such that

$$
M \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{2 g} u_{i}
$$

is the interior of a canonical polygon $P(g)$ with the usual notation. For $i=1, \ldots, 4 g$, let $\left\{Q_{i}\right\}=a_{i} \cap a_{i+1}$. In $P(g)$ let $d_{1}$ be the geodesic segment from $Q_{4 g}$ to $Q_{2 g-2}, d_{2}$ the geodesic segment from $Q_{2 g}$ to $Q_{4 g-2}$, and $d$ the geodesic segment from $Q_{2 g}$ to $Q_{4 g}$, compare Figure 6. Then $P(g) \backslash\left(d_{1} \cup d_{2} \cup d\right)$ has four connected components, two quadrilaterals $W_{j}$ having $d$ and $d_{j}$, $j=1,2$, among the sides and two $(2 g-1)$-gons $V_{j}$ having $d_{j}$ among the sides, $j=1,2$. Since $u_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 2 g-2$, are simple closed geodesics, it follows that $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{i+2 g}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 g-3$. This implies that $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are isometric and that $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ have the same length. Therefore, $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are quadrilaterals with equal lengths of the four sides. Fix now $W_{1}$ and try to vary $W_{2}$ such that the lengths of the sides remain invariant and so that property (V) for canonical polygons holds. This is certainly the case if $W_{2}$ and $W_{1}$ are isometric. But then Corollary 8 implies that this is the unique possibility. Therefore, $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ must be isometric and hence $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{i+2 g}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, 2 g$, which proves (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

Now assume that (iii) holds. Let $d$ be the geodesic segment from $Q_{2 g}$ to $Q_{4 g}$. Then $d$ separates $P(g)$ into two isometric $(2 g+1)$-gons and the $\pi$ rotation around the centre $C$ of $d$ induces an isometry $\phi$ of $M$ with $\phi^{2}=i d$. The fixed points of $\phi$ are $C$, the point $Q$ corresponding to the vertices of $P(g)$ as well as the centres of the sides $a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 2 g$. Therefore, $\phi$ is a hyperelliptic involution which proves (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

COROLLARY 15. All closed hyperbolic surfaces of genus 2 are hyperelliptic.

Proof. All closed hyperbolic surfaces have two simple closed geodesics which intersect in a unique point. The corollary follows by Theorem 14.

DEFInition. Let $M_{0}$ be a closed hyperbolic surface in $T_{g}$. For every $M \in T_{g}$ fix a homeomorphism $\phi_{M}$, homotopic to the identity, from $M_{0}$ to $M$ ( $\phi_{M}$ exists since closed surfaces of the same genus are homeomorphic). Let $u$ be a simple closed geodesic in $M_{0}$. Then, in the homotopy class of $\phi_{M}(u)$ there exists a unique simple closed geodesic which is denoted by $\Phi_{M}(u)$. The function

$$
L(u): T_{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}
$$

which associates to $M$ the length of $\Phi_{M}(u)$ is called a geodesic length function.

REmARK. It is well known that $T_{g}$ can be parametrized by a finite number of geodesic length functions, see for example [12], [13] where it is shown that $T_{g}$ can be parametrized by $6 g-5$ geodesic length functions.

THEOREM 16. The Teichmüller space $T_{g}$ for $g=2$ can be parametrized by 7 (suitably chosen) geodesic length functions $L\left(u_{1}\right), \ldots, L\left(u_{7}\right)$, taken as homogeneous parameters (which means that $L\left(u_{1}\right) / L\left(u_{7}\right), \ldots, L\left(u_{6}\right) / L\left(u_{7}\right)$ gives a parametrization of $T_{2}$ ).

Proof. Let $P(2)$ be a canonical polygon corresponding to a closed hyperbolic surface $M_{0}$ of genus 2 . As usual let $Q_{i}=a_{i} \cap a_{i+1}, i=1, \ldots, 8$, where the $a_{i}$ are the sides of $P(2)$. Let $b_{i}$ be the geodesic segment (in $P(2)$ ) between $Q_{i}$ and $Q_{i+4}, i=1, \ldots, 4$. By Corollary $15, M_{0}$ is hyperelliptic, therefore (compare Theorem 14) $b_{i}$ corresponds to a simple closed geodesic in $M_{0}$, denoted by $B_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$. It also follows by Theorem 14 that $a_{i}$ corresponds to a simple closed geodesic in $M_{0}$, denoted by $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$.


Figure 7
A triangulation of a canonical polygon $P(g)$ for $g=2$

I now prove that the 7 length functions, given by the simple closed geodesics $A_{i}, i=1,2,3, B_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$, taken as homogeneous parameters, give a parametrization of $T_{2}$. In order to do this, it is enough (by Theorem 11 and Corollary 12) to show that $P(2)$ is uniquely determined by the lengths of $a_{i}, i=1,2,3, b_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$, taken as homogeneous parameters (in the sequel I shall refer to these lengths calling them "the seven lengths"). This can be done analogously as in the proof of Theorem 11. The geodesic segments $b_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$, intersect in a point $C$, the "centre" of $P(2)$, and they separate
$P(2)$ into 8 triangles $D_{j}$ so that $a_{j}$ is a side of $D_{j}, j=1, \ldots, 8$, compare Figure 7. Since $M$ is hyperelliptic, $D_{j}$ and $D_{j+4}$ are isometric, $j=1, \ldots, 4$. Denote by $\delta_{i}$ the angle of $D_{i}$ in the vertex $C, i=1, \ldots, 4$. The seven lengths determine the triangles $D_{i}, i=1,2,3$, as well as two sides and the angle $\delta_{4}$ of $D_{4}$ by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta:=\sum_{j=1}^{4} \delta_{j}=\pi \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so they determine also $D_{4}$. This shows that the seven lengths determine $P(2)$. Multiply the seven lengths by a positive real $t$ and assume that the seven new lengths also determine a canonical polygon $P_{t}(2)$. If $t>1$, then $\delta_{i}$, $i=1,2,3$, are smaller in $P_{t}(2)$ than in $P(2)$ by Lemma 9, therefore, by (6), $\delta_{4}$ is larger in $P_{t}(2)$ than in $P(2)$. It follows by Lemma 7 that the sum of the two other angles of $D_{4}$ is smaller in $P_{t}(2)$ than in $P(2)$. Since all angles in $D_{i}, i=1,2,3$, are smaller in $P_{t}(2)$ than in $P(2)$ by Lemma 9 , it follows that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_{i}
$$

is smaller in $P_{t}(2)$ than in $P(2)$. But this contradicts condition (II) of canonical polygons. An analogous contradiction follows if $t<1$ proving thus that $t=1$ and therefore the theorem.

Remark. Theorem 16 is new. It is well known that $6 g-6$ length functions can never parametrize $T_{g}$ so that the situation of Theorem 16 is the best we can expect. It is not known whether $6 g-5$ geodesic length functions, taken as homogeneous parameters, can parametrize $T_{g}$ for $g \geq 3$.
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