

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 46 (2000)
Heft: 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: REMARKS ON THE HAUSDORFF-YOUNG INEQUALITY
Autor: Chatterji, Srishti D.
Anhang: §5. Appendix
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-64804>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. Voir Informations légales.

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

Download PDF: 18.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

§5. APPENDIX

Here we outline a simple proof of the L^p -isomorphism theorem stated in §2; the proof uses the notion of type and cotype of Banach spaces and follows [C].

DEFINITION. A Banach space E is of *type p* ($1 \leq p \leq 2$) if there is a finite positive number C_p such that for all choices of x_1, \dots, x_n in E , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \right\| \leq C_p \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $\sum_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n}$ stands for the sum of the 2^n quantities obtained by letting each ε_j taking the values +1 or -1. E is said to have *exact type p* if it is of type p but not of type $\tilde{p} > p$.

A Banach space E is of *cotype q* ($2 \leq q \leq \infty$) if there is a finite positive number c_q such that for all choices of x_1, \dots, x_n in E , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \right\| \geq c_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

E is said to have *exact cotype q* if it is of cotype q but not of cotype $\tilde{q} < q$.

It is obvious that exact type or cotype is an isomorphism invariant. It can be shown that for any measure space (X, Σ, μ) giving rise to infinite dimensional $L^p(\mu)$ -spaces we have the following:

- $L^p(\mu)$ has exact type p if $1 \leq p \leq 2$, exact type 2 if $2 \leq p < \infty$ and exact type 1 if $p = \infty$;
- $L^p(\mu)$ has exact cotype 2 if $1 \leq p \leq 2$, exact cotype p if $2 \leq p < \infty$ and exact cotype ∞ if $p = \infty$.

All this and more is completely proved in [C]; a reference for the general theory of types and cotypes is [DJT].

Suppose now that $L^p(\mu)$ and $L^q(\nu)$ are infinite dimensional and isomorphic where $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, (X, Σ, μ) , (Y, \mathcal{J}, ν) being any two measure spaces; we shall prove that $p = q$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that if $p \neq q$ then $p < q$; this would lead to a contradiction as shown below.

(i) If $1 \leq p < q \leq 2$ then

exact type of $L^p(\mu) = p <$ exact type of $L^q(\nu) = q$,

which excludes any isomorphism between $L^p(\mu)$, $L^q(\nu)$.

(ii) If $1 \leq p < 2$, $2 \leq q < \infty$ then

exact type of $L^p(\mu) = p <$ exact type of $L^q(\nu) = 2$,

which excludes any isomorphism between $L^p(\mu)$, $L^q(\nu)$.

- (iii) If $2 \leq p < q < \infty$ then $1 < q' < p' \leq 2$; if $L^p(\mu)$, $L^q(\nu)$ were isomorphic then their duals $L^{p'}(\mu)$, $L^{q'}(\nu)$ would be isomorphic, which is impossible in view of (i).
- (iv) If $1 < p < \infty$, $q = \infty$ then $L^p(\mu)$ has exact type equal to $\min(p, 2) > 1$ whereas $L^\infty(\nu)$ has exact type 1; thus $L^p(\mu)$ is not isomorphic to $L^\infty(\nu)$ (a fact which is obvious on the grounds of reflexivity as well).
- (v) Finally, let $p = 1$, $q = \infty$; then $L^1(\mu)$ is not isomorphic to $L^\infty(\nu)$ since the exact cotype of $L^1(\mu)$ is 2 and the exact cotype of $L^\infty(\nu)$ is ∞ .

This completes the proof of the L^p -isomorphism theorem.

A proof that no infinite dimensional $L^1(\mu)$ can be isomorphic to any $C_0(Y)$ or $C(Y)$ (Y any locally compact Hausdorff space) can be based on the same ideas as (v) above. The exact cotype of $L^1(\mu)$ is 2 whereas the exact cotype of any infinite dimensional $C_0(Y)$ or $C(Y)$ is ∞ (exactly as in the case of $L^\infty(\mu)$). This excludes the possibility of any isomorphism between $L^1(\mu)$ and $C_0(Y)$ or $C(Y)$.

REMARK. The L^p -isomorphism theorem seems to be known to various specialists; however, I know of no explicit formulation or proof of it in complete generality except for that in [C].

REFERENCES

- [C] CHATTERJI, S. D. Measure theory and probability theory. *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, Supplemento Vol. XLVI* (1998), 151–169.
- [DS] DUNFORD, N. and J. T. SCHWARTZ. *Linear Operators*, vol. 1. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958.
- [DJT] DIESTEL, J., JARCHOW, H. and A. TONGE. *Absolutely Summing Operators*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.