

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 47 (2001)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** LATTICES OF COVARIANT QUADRATIC FORMS  
**Kapitel:** 6. Some three-dimensional lattices of covariant forms  
**Autor:** Plesken, Wilhelm  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-65427>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 07.10.2024

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## EXAMPLE 5.8.

(i) Of the four irreducible Bravais groups of degree 8 whose commuting algebra is a nonsplit rational quaternion algebra (ramified at 2 and 3), cf. [Sou94], the  $e$  of Proposition 5.7 is 1, 2, 3 and 6. In all cases  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  is modular and  $c_0$  is equal to 1. In [Neb99] the Hermite function on the fundamental domains for these cases is plotted.

(ii) In Example 2.2 (ii), choose  $f_0$  to be  $m$ -modular for some natural number  $m$ . Then  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L \oplus L)$  (in the notation of Example 2.2 (ii)) is modular, where the  $e$  of Proposition 5.7 is equal to  $m$ , as is  $c_0$ .

To test whether  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  is modular, one can simply compute the images of a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis of  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  under  $\iota$  as described in Theorem 5.5 and find a simultaneous isometry of  $L$  to  $L^*$  (with respect to all of the forms, resp. their images). For this there is a powerful algorithm with implementation available, cf. [PLS97]. Instead of a whole basis, it is sometimes enough to look at one sufficiently general form; details on this will be given in a subsequent paper, as well as some examples with  $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathbf{C}^{2 \times 2}$ . One such example, involving the Leech lattice with  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{V})$  a non-split quaternion algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}[\sqrt{-7}]$ , is sketched in the last chapter of [Ple96].

## 6. SOME THREE-DIMENSIONAL LATTICES OF COVARIANT FORMS

This chapter is devoted to some examples in the case where  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathbf{Q}^{2 \times 2}$  and where the depth of  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda(L)$  is 0. The typical questions we try to answer are: how to relate the various invariants? are outer automorphisms possible? are modular lattices possible? how does the automorphism group of  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  compare to the orthogonal group of  $(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L), q)$ ? The simplest case is  $\text{End}_\Lambda(L) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{2 \times 2}$ , where all these questions can be answered.

**THEOREM 6.1.** *Let  $\text{End}_\Lambda(L) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{2 \times 2}$ . Then  $L = L_0 \oplus L_0$  for some irreducible  $\Lambda$ -lattice  $L_0$ . Let  $\phi_0$  be the positive definite generator of  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L_0)$ . Then  $c$ ,  $c_0$ , and  $q$ , introduced in Theorem 5.5, are as follows.*

- (i) *With respect to a suitable basis of  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$ , the quadratic form  $q$  of Theorem 5.5 becomes  $xy - z^2$ .*
- (ii)  $c = \det(\phi_0)^2$ .
- (iii)  $c_0$  *is the exponent of  $L_0^\# / L_0$ , i. e. the biggest elementary divisor of a Gram matrix of  $\phi_0$ .*

- (iv)  $\text{Inn}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)) = \text{Aut}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L))$ .
- (v)  $\text{Aut}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L))$  is of index 2 in  $\text{O}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L), q)$ . More precisely, it is equal to the kernel of  $-\theta$  intersected with  $\text{O}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L))$ , where  $\theta$  is the spinor norm of  $\text{O}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(\mathcal{V}), q)$  ([Scha85], p. 336).
- (vi) The nondegenerate  $\phi \in \text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  are modular if and only if  $\phi_0$  is  $c_0$ -modular. In this case such a  $\phi$  is  $c_0q(\phi)$ -modular.
- (vii) The  $e$ -\*-depth of  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda(L)$  is given by  $\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ , where  $r$  is maximal with  $p^r \mid c_0$  for some prime number  $p$ .

*Proof.* Choose a basis for  $L_0$ . This yields a Gram matrix  $A$  of  $\phi_0$ . With respect to a suitable basis of  $L$ , one gets  $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  as Gram matrices for the obvious basis of  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$ . Since  $\det\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z & y \end{pmatrix} \otimes A\right) = \det(A)^2(xy - z^2)^m$  and  $\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z & y \end{pmatrix} \otimes A\right)^{-1} = (xy - z^2)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} y & -z \\ -z & x \end{pmatrix} \otimes A^{-1}$ , the claims (i) to (iv) follow. (v) is straightforward with [Mac81]. (vi) and (vii) are obvious.  $\square$

The general case of depth 0 is more involved:

**PROPOSITION 6.2.** Assume  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathbf{Q}^{2 \times 2}$  and  $L$ , resp.  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$ , is of depth 0. Let  $d := p_1 \cdots p_k$  be the product of the different primes at which  $\text{End}_\Lambda(L)$  is not maximal.

- (i) There are unique natural numbers  $s, t$  such that the quadratic form  $q$  on  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  described in Theorem 5.5 becomes  $sxy - tz^2$  with respect to any basis  $(\phi, \psi, \chi)$  of  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  such that  $\phi, \psi \in \text{Bil}_{\Lambda, \geq 0}^+(L)$  with  $L = \text{Rad}_\psi(L) \oplus \text{Rad}_\phi(L)$  and  $\chi$  is zero on both direct summands. The product  $st$  divides  $d$ .
- (ii) The constant  $c$  of Theorem 5.5 is given by

$$c = \det(\bar{\phi}) \det(\bar{\psi}) s^{-m},$$

where  $2m = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{V})$ ,  $\bar{\phi}$  is the scalar product on  $\text{Rad}_\psi(L)$  induced by  $\phi$ , and  $\bar{\psi}$  the scalar product on  $\text{Rad}_\phi(L)$  induced by  $\psi$ .

Note that, providing  $k > 0$ , there are  $2^{k-1}$  such bases up to interchanging  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  and up to  $\text{End}_\Lambda(L)$  operation.

*Proof.* Let  $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$  with absolutely irreducible  $\Lambda$ -lattices  $L_1, L_2$ . One may assume  $dL_1 \leq L_2 \leq L_1$ . Note this implies that  $L_1^*$  can be considered to sit inside  $L_2^*$  with  $L_1^* \leq L_2^* \leq d^{-1}L_1^*$ . As a result,  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(L_1, L_2^*) = d_1 \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L_1, L_1^*)$  for some divisor  $d_1$  of  $d$ , and

$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(L_2, L_2^*) = d_2 \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L_1, L_2^*)$  for some divisor  $d_2$  of  $d$ . Introducing a basis for  $L_1$ , as with  $L_0$  in Theorem 6.1, identifies  $L_1 =: L_0$  with  $\mathbf{Z}^{1 \times m}$ ; and choosing a basis for  $L_2$  identifies  $L_2$  with  $\mathbf{Z}^{1 \times m} T$ , where  $T \in \mathbf{Z}^{m \times m}$  represents the change of bases. Denote the  $m \times m$ -unit matrix by  $I = I_m$ . The computation for Theorem 6.1 can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x'A & z'A \\ z'A & y'A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix}^{tr} = \begin{pmatrix} xA & zd_1^{-1}AT^{tr} \\ zd_1^{-1}TA & yd_1^{-1}d_2^{-1}TAT^{tr} \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $x = x', z = d_1 z', y = d_1 d_2 y'$ . The parameter choice  $(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 0, 1)$  for  $(x, y, z)$  yields a typical basis for  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  as described above. Taking determinants yields

$$\det(T)^2 \det(A)^2 \left( \frac{xy}{d_1 d_2} - \left( \frac{z}{d_1} \right)^2 \right)^m,$$

and hence (i) and (ii) with  $s = d_1 g^{-1}$ ,  $t = d_2 g^{-1}$  relatively prime, where  $g = \text{gcd}(d_1, d_2)$ , if one uses  $\det(\bar{\phi}) = \det(A)$ . That  $s, t$  do not depend on the particular decomposition of  $L$  follows from analyzing the determinant of  $q$ .  $\square$

Working through the various cases for determining  $c_0$  in Theorem 5.5 is left as an exercise. Before analyzing  $\text{Aut}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L))$  one needs to look at the automorphism groups of the quadratic forms involved. Note that the automorphism groups of  $kxy - z^2$  for  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  square free are analyzed in quite some detail in [Mac81]. In the present context two extra details are needed.

LEMMA 6.3. *Let  $s, t \in \mathbf{N}$  be square free and relatively prime, and let  $k := st$ .*

- (i) *The diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(t, t, 1)$  transforms  $\text{O}(\mathbf{Z}^{1 \times 3}, sxy - tz^2)$  onto  $\text{O}(\mathbf{Z}^{1 \times 3}, kxy - z^2)$ .*
- (ii) *There is an exact sequence of groups:*

$$\langle -I_2 \rangle \hookrightarrow \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \\ k\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \end{array} \right)^* \rightarrow \text{O}(\mathbf{Z}^{1 \times 3}, kxy - z^2) \rightarrow D_k \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $D_k \leq \mathbf{Q}^*/(\mathbf{Q}^*)^2$  is generated by the cosets of the divisors  $d$  of  $k$  (including  $-1$ ).

*Proof.* (i) Denote the quadratic forms  $sxy - tz^2$  and  $kxy - z^2$  by  $q$  and  $q'$  respectively. On  $L = \mathbf{Z}^{1 \times 3}$  they define integral bilinear forms  $b$  and  $b'$ , e.g.  $b(l_1, l_2) = q(l_1 + l_2) - q(l_1) - q(l_2)$  for  $l_1, l_2 \in L$ . Clearly,  $\text{O}(L, q)$  also acts on the reciprocal lattice  $L^\sharp$  of  $L$  with respect to  $b$ , and  $\text{O}(L, q')$  also acts on



the reciprocal lattice  $L'^{\sharp}$  of  $L$  with respect to  $b'$ . Hence  $\text{diag}(t, t, 1)$ , which maps  $L$  onto  $tL^{\sharp} \cap L$  and  $q$  onto  $tq'$ , conjugates  $O(L, q)$  into  $O(L, q')$ . For the reverse inclusion one argues similarly for  $t$  odd with  $tL'^{\sharp} \cap L$  and one has to work with  $\frac{t}{2}L'^{\sharp} \cap L$ , taking the even sublattice, for  $t$  even.

(ii) Define  $L_d := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c & db \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$  and consider the determinant  $\det$  as a quadratic form on  $L_d$  for any natural number  $d$ . Then  $(L_k, \det)$  is isometric to  $(\mathbf{Z}^{1 \times 3}, kxy - z^2)$ . One easily checks that  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \\ k\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^*$  acts on  $L_k$  by  $X \mapsto gXg^{tr}$  for all  $X \in L_k$  and  $g \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \\ k\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^*$ . Clearly this action respects the determinant, whence the exactness of the left half of the sequence is established. Note, for  $k = 1$ , the full claim was already proved in Theorem 6.1. Clearly  $L_k \leq L_1$  and the stabilizer  $S_k$  of  $L_k$  in  $O(L_1, \det)$  is generated by  $-id_{L_1}$  and the image of  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \\ k\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^*$ . As in Theorem 6.1 denote the spinor norm of  $O(\mathbf{Q}^{1 \times 3}, xy - z^2)$  by  $\theta$ . Then  $-\theta$  restricted to  $O(L_k, \det)$  will be the homomorphism on the right of the exact sequence. Clearly the image of  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \\ k\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^*$  is in the kernel of  $-\theta$ . To complete the proof, it is enough to show, by induction on the number  $d(k)$  of prime divisors of  $k$ , that  $O(L_k, \det)$  contains  $S_k$  of index  $2^{d(k)}$  and is generated by an  $S_k$  and elements (Atkin-Lehner involutions) mapped by  $-\theta$  onto  $p(\mathbf{Q}^*)^2$  for the primes  $p$  dividing  $k$ .

The statement follows for  $d(k) = 1$ , i.e.  $k = p$  prime, as follows: the orbit of  $L_1$  under  $O(L_p, \det)$  consists of  $L_1$  and  $L_{1,p}$ , where in general  $L_{1,d} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1}a & c \\ c & db \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$ . This is because  $L_1$  must be mapped onto an isometric lattice contained in  $L_p^{\sharp}$  and containing  $L_p$ . The isometry fixing  $L_p$  and mapping  $L_1$  onto  $L_{1,p}$  is the reflection by the vector  $\text{diag}(-1, p) \in L_p$ , which can also be realized by extending the operation via  $2 \times 2$ -matrices to  $p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . This settles the case  $d(k) = 1$ . Now assume the statement proved for  $O(L_d, \det)$  for all proper divisors  $d$  of  $k$ . Let  $k = pk'$  for some prime divisor  $p$  of  $k$ . Obviously the orbit of  $L_{k'}$  under the action of  $O(L_{k'}, \det)$  is of length  $p + 1$ , as is the orbit under  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \\ k'\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^*$ . Hence, the stabilizer of  $L_k$  in  $O(L_{k'}, \det)$  is an extension of  $S_{k'}$  by an elementary Abelian 2-group of rank  $d(k) - 1 = d(k')$ . An argument similar to the one above shows that this stabilizer is of index at most 2 in  $O(L_k, \det)$ . That it is of index exactly 2 can then be seen via the element of  $O(L_p, \det)$  with spinor norm  $-p$ . (In [Que96] the precise element is given, cf. also [Mac81].)

Note, the elementary Abelian 2-group  $O(L_k, \det)/S_k$  acts regularly on the set  $\{L_{1,d} \mid d \text{ divides } k\}$ . In terms of the affine building belonging to the  $p$ -adic completion of the group, all  $L_{1,d}$  with  $p \nmid d \mid k$  belong to one vertex of the attached tree and all other  $L_{1,d}$  belong to a different vertex, which is not of the same type as the first vertex. Finally  $L_p$ , resp. all  $L_d$  with  $p \mid d$ ,

belong to the edge connecting the two vertices.  $\square$

Now Proposition 6.2 can be completed:

**PROPOSITION 6.4.** *Under the hypothesis and notation of Proposition 6.2 the index of  $\text{Aut}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L))$  in  $\text{O}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L), q)$  is  $2^{1+a} \prod (p+1)$ , where  $p$  runs through all prime divisors of  $\frac{d}{st}$  and  $a$  is at most equal to the number of prime divisors of  $st$ . Moreover,  $\text{Aut}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L))/\text{Inn}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L))$  is an elementary 2-group of rank  $a$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 6.3.  $\square$

The question arises, whether there are examples for which the minimal possible index of  $\text{Aut}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L))$  in  $\text{O}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L), q)$  according to Proposition 6.4 is attained, i.e.  $a = 0$  and  $d = st$ . This is already possible in the group case; cf. Example 2.2 (ii).

**PROPOSITION 6.5.** *For a prime number  $p$  let  $c(p) = p - 1$  if  $p$  is odd and  $c(2) = 2$ . Then, for any sequence of prime numbers  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_l$ , there are examples with  $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathcal{V} = 2 \prod_{i=1}^l c(p_i)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an image of a finite group algebra and  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathbf{Q}^{2 \times 2}$ , where  $\text{Aut}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L))$  is of (minimal) index 2 in  $\text{O}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L), q)$ . If  $p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  for all  $i$  with  $p_i \neq 2$ , then  $L$  can be chosen so that each  $\phi \in \text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  is  $c_0 q(\phi)$ -modular.*

*Proof.* First construct a finite  $\mathbf{C}$ -irreducible subgroup  $G(p)$  of  $\text{GL}_{c(p)}(\mathbf{Q})$  as follows: for  $p = 2$  take the automorphism group of the quadratic lattice (which is a dihedral group of order 8); for  $p$  odd take the Frobenius group of order  $p(p-1)$  in its action on the permutation module factored by the fixed points, which is then identified with  $\mathbf{Q}^{1 \times c(p)}$ . Take the span of  $-I_{c(p)}$  with this group to obtain  $G(p) \leq \text{GL}_{c(p)}(\mathbf{Q})$  of order  $2p(p-1)$ . The  $G(p)$ -lattices in  $\mathbf{Q}^{1 \times c(p)}$  are described in [NeP95a] p.29: up to multiples they come in a chain  $L_0(p) \geq L_1(p) \geq \dots \geq L_{c(p)} = pL_0(p) \geq \dots$ , where  $L_i(p)$  is of index  $p^i$  in  $L_0(p)$ . There exists an element  $n$  in the normalizer of  $G(p)$  in  $\text{GL}_{c(p)}(\mathbf{Q})$  mapping  $L_i(p)$  onto  $L_{i+c(p)/2}(p)$ . Choosing  $L = L_i(p) \oplus L_{i+c(p)/2}(p)$  and taking the  $G(p)$ -invariant symmetric bilinear forms for  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  gives the desired result for the case  $d = p$ . The case  $i = 0$  for  $p = 2$ , resp.  $i = \frac{p-3}{4}$  for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , gives modularity. The general case of composite  $d$  is obtained from the above by taking tensor products.  $\square$

One should note that in the above proof one gets modular lattices by choosing  $L = L_i \oplus L_{p-i}$  without having the big  $\text{Aut}(\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L))$ , if  $i$  is not chosen as above. The same holds for the composite case. By now it should be clear that the existence of outer automorphisms and modularity of the lattices are different phenomena.

To end up, some explicit examples of  $*$ -depth zero will be given, where  $\text{End}_\Lambda(L) \cong \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z} & 3\mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}$ . One easily checks that the unit group is generated by  $a, b, c$  and that the outer automorphism is induced by  $d$  with

$$a := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that defining relations for the inner, resp. outer, automorphism group are provided by  $\bar{a}^2, \bar{b}^2, \bar{c}^2, (\bar{a}\bar{b})^3$  and  $\bar{b}^2, \bar{c}^2, \bar{d}^2, (\bar{c}\bar{d})^2, (\bar{d}\bar{b})^6$  respectively. The fundamental domains in the hyperbolic plane identified with  $\text{Bil}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{R}}, >0}^+(\mathcal{V})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ , where  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$  acts by multiplication, are triangles with vertices  $P, C_1, C_2$  in the first case, where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are cusps, and  $P, C_1, M$  in the second case. The angles can be read off from the presentation. According to Example 3.7 there are seven possibilities for the equivalence type of  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda(L)$ , parametrized by the exponent matrices of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(L \oplus L^*)$  given there. Only in four cases can one have outer automorphisms.

#### EXAMPLE 6.6.

(i) Take the fourth possibility in the list of Example 3.7. Then  $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$  with  $L_1^\# = L_1$  and  $L_2^\# = 3L_2$ , where the reciprocal lattices are taken with respect to a generator  $\phi_1$  of  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda(L_1)$ , and  $L_2 \leq L_1$  is necessarily of index  $3^{n/2}$  in  $L_1$  with  $n := \dim(L_1)$ . (Note:  $n$  must be even.) Representing  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  by Gram matrices one gets  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha F_1 & \gamma X \\ \gamma X^{tr} & \beta F_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$ , where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are unimodular (Gram matrices for  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ ) and  $XF_1^{-1}X^{tr} = 3F_2$ . Obviously one has no outer isomorphism if  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are not equivalent. In this case  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  is not modular, though  $\iota$  is bijective, but it is not an equivalence. In any case, the vertices of the fundamental domain in this case are given by the  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \{(2, 2, 1), (1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$  corresponding to  $P, C_1, C_2$ , the determinant is  $(\alpha\beta - 3\gamma^2)^n$  and a nice realization of this setup is for  $n = 12$ , where one can find the 3-scaled version of the unimodular lattice  $D_{12}^+$  as a sublattice of the standard lattice of index  $3^6$ . Things can be so chosen that the 2-fold cover of the Mathieu group  $M_{12}$  acts. In  $\text{Bil}_{\Lambda, >0}^+(L)$  one has two orbits of primitive  $M_{12}$ -perfect lattices, one unimodular with minimum 2 and one of determinant  $5^{12}$  with minimum 4. Obviously one can

produce many more examples in higher dimensions. One can show that there is no realization of this situation for  $n < 12$ .

If one has an outer automorphism, there seems to be the possibility that  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  is modular. The vertices of the fundamental domain in this case are given by the  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \{(2, 2, 1), (1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0)\}$  corresponding to  $P, C_1, M$ . For the case  $F_1 = F_2$  I have computed some examples:  $F_1 = I_4, E_8, \Lambda_{24}$  (Leech lattice). In the first case the vertex  $P$  represents the root lattice  $E_8$ , which is the only perfect lattice here. In the other two cases my choice of  $X$  (there might be more than one!) yielded a 6-modular form as the only perfect form; the coordinates were  $(3, 3, 1)$ , the minima were 6 and 12 respectively.

(ii) Take the eighth possibility in the list of Example 3.7. Then  $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$  with  $3L_1 < L_2 = 3L_1^\# < L_1 = 3L_2$ , where the reciprocal lattices are taken with respect to a generator  $\phi_1$  of  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda(L_1)$ .

Again representing  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  by Gram matrices with respect to suitably chosen bases one gets  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^F & \gamma^{I_n} \\ \gamma^{I_n} & \beta^{\tilde{F}} \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$ , where  $F$  are the Gram matrices for  $(L_1, \phi_1)$  and  $\tilde{F} = 3F^{-1}$ . The determinant is  $(3\alpha\beta - \gamma^2)^n$ . Obviously one has an outer isomorphism if and only if  $F$  and  $\tilde{F}$  are  $\mathbf{Z}$ -equivalent, i. e. if  $(L_1, \phi_1)$  is 3-modular. Many such examples, with and without outer automorphisms and also for other exponents different from 3 of  $L_1^\# / L_1$ , have been investigated in [Bav97], because in this case  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^-(L)$  is spanned by unimodular symplectic forms. By Proposition 5.7  $\text{Bil}_\Lambda^+(L)$  is modular. Here are some examples with outer automorphisms:  $F = A_2, A_2 \otimes E_8, K_{12}$  (the Coxeter-Todd lattice), and  $[\pm S_6(3) \square^2 C_3]_{26}$  of [Neb96b]; one gets one relative extremal lattice with coordinates  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 1, 1)$ . They are 2-modular with minima 2, 4, 4, and 6 respectively. However,  $F = [\text{SL}_2(9) \otimes_{\infty, 3}^{2(3)} \text{SL}_2(9).2]_{16}$ , which is also 3-modular with minimum 4 of dimension 16 (like  $A_2 \otimes E_8$ ), yields the 11-modular form with minimum 12 and coordinates  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 3, 4)$  as extremal lattice. Finally,  $F = N_{23}$  (the extremal 3-modular lattice of dimension 24 of [Neb95]; or [Neb98b], Theorem 5.1 for an alternative construction) yields a 23-modular lattice as extremal with minimum  $24 = 4 \cdot 6$  and coordinates  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (4, 4, 5)$ . It would be interesting to investigate the density function on the fundamental domain theoretically.