

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 47 (2001)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: THE POSITIVE CONE OF SPHERES AND SOME PRODUCTS OF SPHERES
Autor: MATTHEY, Michel / SUTER, Ulrich
Kapitel: 11. A "DOUBLING FORMULA" FOR STIRLING NUMBERS OF THE SECOND KIND
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-65432>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 18.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

dimensions corresponding to the gap. At first sight, one could think that the γ -cone is more powerful in this range. Unfortunately, this is not the case: we show that the γ -cone (or equivalently the γ -dimension function) is also “blind” in some sense. Here is the precise statement.

PROPOSITION 10.1. *Let Y be a connected finite CW-complex of dimension $\leq 2n$, and let $X = C_f = Y \cup_f e^{2n+2m}$ be the mapping cone of a map $f: S^{2n+2m-1} \rightarrow Y$, with $m \geq 1$. Then, for $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$, one has*

$$\gamma^{n+m}(x) = 0 \implies \gamma^{n+l}(x) = 0 \text{ for all } l = 1, \dots, m.$$

In other words, if $\gamma\text{-dim}(x) < n + m$, then $\gamma\text{-dim}(x) \leq n$.

Proof. By assumption, one has $H^k(X; \mathbf{Z}) = 0$ for $2n < k < 2n + 2m$ and $H^{2n+2m}(X; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$. Let $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$ such that $\gamma^{n+m}(x) = 0$. By Proposition 2.2, keeping the same notation, we have

$$ch(\gamma^k(x)) = \bar{c}_k(x) + P_{k+1}(\bar{c}_1(x), \dots, \bar{c}_{n+m}(x)),$$

and $0 = ch(\gamma^{n+m}(x)) = \bar{c}_{n+m}(x)$. Due to the “gap” in the cohomology of X , we find that, for $k > n$, we have

$$ch(\gamma^k(x)) = 0.$$

By the particular cohomological properties of X , the Chern character is injective for elements of filtration $> n$ in $\tilde{K}(X)$ (see [AtHi]). Being zero or of filtration $\geq k$ (as Proposition 2.2 shows), $\gamma^k(x)$ has to vanish for $k > n$. This concludes the proof. \square

11. A “DOUBLING FORMULA” FOR STIRLING NUMBERS OF THE SECOND KIND

In the present section, we calculate the γ -operations for the product $S^{2n} \times S^{2m}$. From this computation and Proposition 10.1, we deduce again the γ -cone, as appearing in Theorem 7.1. This example illustrates that computing the c -cone is in general easier than computing the γ -cone. On the other hand, the latter calculation leads to an interesting “doubling formula” for Stirling numbers of the second kind. We will also conjecture the analogous formula for Stirling numbers of the first kind.

Keeping notations as in Section 7, we have

$$\tilde{K}(S^{2n} \times S^{2m}) = \mathbf{Z} \cdot x_1 \oplus \mathbf{Z} \cdot x_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z} \cdot x_1 x_2.$$

We still assume $n \leq m$. Using the known γ -operations for even-dimensional spheres, one can easily calculate γ^k for $S^{2n} \times S^{2m}$: For $x = ax_1 + bx_2 + lx_1x_2$, one has clearly $\gamma^k(x) = \gamma^k(ax_1 + bx_2) + \gamma^k(lx_1x_2)$ and this allows one to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{m+q}(x) &= (-1)^{m+q-1}(m+q-1)! \\ &\cdot \left(lS(m+n, m+q) - ab \sum_{k=q}^n \frac{S(n, k)S(m, m+q-k)}{k \binom{m+q-1}{k}} \right) \cdot x_1 x_2 \end{aligned}$$

for $q \geq 1$; in particular

$$\gamma^{n+m}(x) = (-1)^{n+m-1}(l(n+m-1)! - ab(n-1)!(m-1)!) \cdot x_1 x_2.$$

For γ^m , we have to distinguish the case $n = m$ from the case $n < m$. One gets

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^m(x) &= (-1)^{m-1}a(m-1)! \cdot x_1 + (-1)^{m-1}b(m-1)! \cdot x_2 + (-1)^{m-1} \\ &\cdot (m-1)! \left(lS(2m, m) - ab \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{S(m, k)S(m, m-k)}{k \binom{m-1}{k}} \right) \cdot x_1 x_2 \end{aligned}$$

when $n = m$, whereas

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^m(x) &= (-1)^{m-1}b(m-1)! \cdot x_2 + (-1)^{m-1}(m-1)! \\ &\cdot \left(lS(n+m, m) - ab \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{S(n, k)S(m, m-k)}{k \binom{m-1}{k}} \right) \cdot x_1 x_2 \end{aligned}$$

when $n < m$.

We want to compute the γ -dimension of $x = ax_1 + bx_2 + lx_1x_2$. If $l = 0$, the result is clear. We can now assume that $l \neq 0$. If l is different from $ab(n-1)!(m-1)!/(n+m-1)!$, we see that $\gamma\text{-dim}(x) = n+m$. On the other side, if l has precisely this value, then $\gamma^m(x) \neq 0$, because in this case $b \neq 0$, and by Proposition 10.1 we get $\gamma\text{-dim}(x) = m$ precisely. This gives another proof of Theorem 7.1.

Let us now pass to the "doubling formula".

THEOREM 11.1. *Let $q \leq n \leq m$ be positive integers; then*

$$S(m+n, m+q) = n \binom{n+m-1}{n} \sum_{k=q}^n \frac{S(n, k) S(m, m+q-k)}{k \binom{m+q-1}{k}}.$$

We called this a “doubling formula” because, particularizing to $n = m$, we get an expression allowing one to compute $S(2n, n+q)$ in terms of the numbers $S(n, k)$ with $q \leq k \leq n-1$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 10.1 and the above computations. \square

An alternative proof would be to invoke Theorem 7.1 rather than Proposition 10.1.

After trying to verify on a computer the analogous formula for Stirling numbers of the first kind, namely

$$s(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{-k}{n-k+j} \binom{2n-k}{n+j} S(n-k+j, j),$$

we were led to conjecture it:

THEOREM 11.2. *Let $q \leq n \leq m$ be positive integers; then*

$$s(m+n, m+q) = n \binom{n+m-1}{n} \sum_{k=q}^n \frac{s(n, k) s(m, m+q-k)}{k \binom{m+q-1}{k}}.$$

We call it a “theorem”, since, after we had informed him about Theorem 11.1 and our conjecture, Al Lundell sent us a proof of the latter. The elegant proof is “elementary” in the following sense: it uses only some basic formulas for Stirling numbers (such as generating functions) and a contour argument in the computation of an integral, but no K -theory. Moreover, his proof encompasses the Stirling numbers of both the first *and* the second kind in a unified way.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The first named author wishes to thank the University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland, for its partial support and its hospitality during the preparation of this work.