

3. Malcev's example

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **48 (2002)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **21.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

3. Real Lie algebras \mathfrak{g}_0 and \mathfrak{g}_1 are not isomorphic but $\forall t \neq 0 \quad \mathfrak{g}_t \cong \mathfrak{g}_1$.
4. If $t \in \mathbf{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ then the rational algebra $\mathfrak{g}_t \cong \mathfrak{g}_1$ over \mathbf{Q} .
5. \mathfrak{g}_0 and \mathfrak{g}_1 are two Lie algebras with a unique rational form up to isomorphism.
6. Let \mathfrak{g} be a split real simple Lie algebra of type G_2 , \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ be the triangular decomposition of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} . Then \mathfrak{n}_+ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{g}_0 .

3. MALCEV'S EXAMPLE

In this Section we develop Malcev's example and prove Theorem 1.

Suppose that there is a \mathbf{Q} -isomorphism between \mathfrak{g}_t and \mathfrak{g}_s . It must be written in the following form (cf. [5]) since $C^2\mathfrak{g}_t = \langle x_4, x_5, x_6 \rangle$, $C^3\mathfrak{g}_t = \langle x_5, x_6 \rangle$ and the centralizer \mathfrak{c} of $C^2\mathfrak{g}$, which is an ideal in this case, is spanned by x_3, \dots, x_6 .

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + a_{13}x_3 + a_{14}x_4 + \dots \\ y_2 = a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{23}x_3 + a_{24}x_4 + \dots \\ y_3 = \phantom{a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2} + a_{33}x_3 + a_{34}x_4 + \dots \\ y_4 = \phantom{a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2} + \phantom{a_{33}x_3} + a_{44}x_4 + \dots \end{cases}$$

We do not explicit the expressions for y_5, y_6 . Here y_1, \dots, y_6 are basis elements of \mathfrak{g}_s satisfying the relations (1.2).

We obtain after straightforward computations that

$$[y_1, y_2] = y_4 = \Delta x_4 + \dots,$$

$\Delta = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = a_{44} \neq 0$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{cases} y_5 = [y_1, y_4] = \Delta(a_{11}x_5 + a_{12}x_6), \\ y_6 = [y_2, y_4] = \Delta(a_{21}x_5 + a_{22}x_6). \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} x_5 = (a_{22}y_5 - a_{12}y_6)/\Delta^2, \\ x_6 = (a_{11}y_6 - a_{21}y_5)/\Delta^2. \end{cases}$$

We need to compute the remaining two brackets. First of all,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad [y_1, y_3] &= a_{11}a_{33}[x_1, x_3] + a_{12}a_{33}[x_2, x_3] + a_{11}a_{34}[x_1, x_4] + a_{12}a_{34}[x_2, x_4] \\ &= a_{11}a_{33}x_6 + a_{12}a_{33}(x_5 + tx_6) + a_{11}a_{34}x_5 + a_{12}a_{34}x_6 \\ &= (a_{12}a_{33} + a_{11}a_{34})x_5 + (a_{11}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{34} + ta_{12}a_{33})x_6 = y_6. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u = a_{12}a_{33} + a_{11}a_{34}$, $v = a_{11}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{34} + ta_{12}a_{33}$. In view of (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$(a_{22}y_5 - a_{12}y_6)u/\Delta^2 + (a_{11}y_6 - a_{21}y_5)v/\Delta^2 = y_6,$$

whence

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} va_{11} - ua_{12} = \Delta^2, \\ va_{21} - ua_{22} = 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} u = a_{21}\Delta, \\ v = a_{22}\Delta. \end{cases}$$

In addition,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} [y_2, y_3] &= a_{21}a_{33}[x_1, x_3] + a_{22}a_{33}[x_2, x_3] + a_{21}a_{34}[x_1, x_4] + a_{22}a_{34}[x_2, x_4] \\ &= a_{21}a_{33}x_6 + a_{22}a_{33}(x_5 + tx_6) + a_{21}a_{34}x_5 + a_{22}a_{34}x_6 \\ &= (a_{22}a_{33} + a_{21}a_{34})x_5 + (a_{21}a_{33} + a_{22}a_{34} + ta_{22}a_{33})x_6 = y_5 + sy_6. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p = a_{22}a_{33} + a_{21}a_{34}$, $q = a_{21}a_{33} + a_{22}a_{34} + ta_{22}a_{33}$. In view of (3.1), (3.5)

$$(a_{22}y_5 - a_{12}y_6)p/\Delta^2 + (a_{11}y_6 - a_{21}y_5)q/\Delta^2 = y_5 + sy_6.$$

This implies that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} qa_{11} - pa_{12} = s\Delta^2, \\ qa_{21} - pa_{22} = -\Delta^2. \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} p = (sa_{21} + a_{11})\Delta, \\ q = (sa_{22} + a_{12})\Delta. \end{cases}$$

Substituting u, v, p, q by the expressions given in (3.4), (3.7) we conclude that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} a_{11}a_{34} + a_{12}a_{33} = a_{21}\Delta, \\ a_{11}a_{33} + a_{12}(a_{34} + ta_{33}) = a_{22}\Delta, \\ a_{21}a_{34} + a_{22}a_{33} = (a_{11} + sa_{21})\Delta, \\ a_{21}a_{33} + a_{22}(a_{34} + ta_{33}) = (a_{12} + sa_{22})\Delta. \end{cases}$$

The first and the third equations of (3.8) yield

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{cases} a_{34} = a_{21}a_{22} - a_{12}(a_{11} + sa_{21}), \\ a_{33} = a_{11}(a_{11} + sa_{21}) - a_{21}a_{22}. \end{cases}$$

The two remaining ones yield

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} a_{33} = a_{22}a_{22} - a_{12}(a_{12} + sa_{22}), \\ a_{34} + ta_{33} = a_{11}(a_{12} + sa_{22}) - a_{21}a_{21}, \end{cases}$$

whence

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} a_{11}^2 + sa_{11}a_{21} - a_{21}^2 = a_{22}^2 - sa_{22}a_{12} - a_{12}^2 \neq 0, \\ 2(a_{11}a_{12} - a_{21}a_{22}) + s(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}) = t(a_{11}^2 + sa_{11}a_{21} - a_{21}^2). \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} x_{11} = a_{11} + sa_{21}/2, \\ x_{12} = a_{12} + sa_{22}/2, \\ x_{21} = a_{21}, \\ x_{22} = a_{22}. \end{cases}$$

The system (3.11) can be rewritten in the form

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} x_{11}^2 - (1 + s^2/4)x_{21}^2 = -(x_{12}^2 - (1 + s^2/4)x_{22}^2) \neq 0, \\ 2(x_{11}x_{12} - (1 + s^2/4)x_{21}x_{22}) = t(x_{11}^2 - (1 + s^2/4)x_{21}^2). \end{cases}$$

Thus we may conclude that $\mathfrak{g}_t \cong \mathfrak{g}_s$ if and only if (3.12) has a rational solution such that $x_{11}x_{22} - x_{12}x_{21} \neq 0$. We state the following lemma in order to obtain less sophisticated conditions on s, t .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $s, t \in \mathbf{Q}$. Then two conditions are equivalent:*
i) *there exists a matrix*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q})$$

such that x, y, z, w satisfy the system

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{cases} x^2 - (1 + s^2/4)z^2 = -y^2 + (1 + s^2/4)w^2 \neq 0, \\ 2(xy - (1 + s^2/4)zw) = t(x^2 - (1 + s^2/4)z^2). \end{cases}$$

ii) *there exists $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that*

$$(3.14) \quad (t^2 + 4)(s^2 + 4) = q^2.$$

Proof. Let $p = 1 + s^2/4$, $r = 1 + t^2/4$. The system (3.13) yields

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 = p(z^2 + w^2), \\ 2xy - tx^2 = p(2zw - tz^2). \end{cases}$$

After the change of variables

$$x = x_0, \quad y = \frac{1}{2}(y_0 + tx_0), \quad z = \frac{z_0}{p}, \quad w = \frac{1}{2}\left(w_0 + \frac{t}{p}z_0\right)$$

the system (3.15) can be rewritten as

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{cases} rx_0^2 + \frac{1}{4}y_0^2 = \frac{r}{p}z_0^2 + \frac{p}{4}w_0^2, \\ x_0y_0 = z_0w_0. \end{cases}$$

Geometrically, the system (3.16) defines the intersection I of two quadrics in the projective space \mathbf{P}^3 . Let $\sigma: \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ be the Segre map. In homogeneous coordinates $(a : b; \alpha : \beta)$ in $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$, σ is defined by $x_0 = a\alpha$, $y_0 = b\beta$, $z_0 = a\beta$, $w_0 = b\alpha$, and the image $\sigma(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1)$ is the zero locus of the polynomial $x_0y_0 - z_0w_0$.

It is not hard to verify that in coordinates $(a : b; \alpha : \beta)$ the preimage $\sigma^{-1}(I)$ is given by the following equation (corresponding to the first one of (3.16)):

$$(4ra^2 - pb^2)(p\alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 0.$$

Thus $\sigma^{-1}(I)$ is the union of two pairs of lines (over \mathbf{R}). The second pair defined by the equation $p\alpha^2 - \beta^2 = 0$ yields $xw - zy = \det(M) = 0$. It follows that (3.15) has a rational solution if and only if the equation $4ra^2 - pb^2 = 0$ has one, i.e., $p/4r$ is the square of a rational number. This is equivalent to (3.14). Note that the condition $x^2 - pz^2 \neq 0$ in (3.13) is not very restrictive. This completes the proof of the lemma and of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 3.2. *There are infinitely many non-isomorphic Lie algebras of the type \mathfrak{g}_s over \mathbf{Q} .*

Proof. Let $s_1 = p_{11}$ be an odd prime. Consider $s_1^2 + 4 = p_1^2 + 4 = p_{21}^{n_{21}} \dots$. It is clear that $s_1^2 + 4$ is not a square (this means that at least one of the n_{2j} is odd) and is not divisible by p_{11} , whence all the $p_{2j} \neq p_{11}$. Let $s_2 = p_{11}p_{21} \dots$. It follows that

$$s_2^2 + 4 = p_{31}^{n_{31}} \dots$$

is not a square and is not divisible by p_{ij} where $i \leq 2$. Then we set $s_3 = p_{11}p_{21} \dots p_{31} \dots$ and so on.

In such a way we obtain an infinite sequence of numbers s_1, s_2, \dots . Let $i < j$. Note that $(s_i^2 + 4)(s_j^2 + 4) \neq q^2$, $q \in \mathbf{Q}$. Indeed, by the construction $(s_i^2 + 4)$ is divisible by some p and not divisible by p^2 . Also, p divides s_j . Consequently, it does not divide $s_j^2 + 4$. This means that $(s_i^2 + 4)(s_j^2 + 4)$ is divisible by p but not by p^2 , and this completes the proof.