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## 3. ORBITS FOR THE $\mathrm{GL}_{q}$-ACTION ON $\widetilde{T}_{q}$

Any $z \in \operatorname{Mat}(q \times q, \mathbf{C})$ can be written in a unique way as $z=x+i y$, with $x, y \in H_{q}$. We will be concerned with the set $\widetilde{T}_{q}$ defined by
(16) $\widetilde{T}_{q}=\left\{z \in \operatorname{Mat}(q \times q, \mathbf{C}) \mid z=x+i y, x \in H_{q}, y \in \bar{\Omega}_{q}\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{det} z \neq 0\right\}$.

Its interior is the classical tube domain over the cone $\Omega_{q}$, namely

$$
T_{q}=\left\{z \in \operatorname{Mat}(q \times q, \mathbf{C}) \mid z=x+i y, \quad y \in \Omega_{q}\right\} .
$$

Let $G=\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$ act on $\operatorname{Mat}(q \times q, \mathbf{C})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g, z) \longmapsto g z g^{*} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spaces $H_{q}, \Omega_{q}, \bar{\Omega}_{q}$ are stable under this action, and hence $\widetilde{T}_{q}$ and $T_{q}$ are invariant subsets under this action. We investigate the orbits and describe a full set of invariants for this action.

There is a natural invariant associated to a $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$-orbit. To any $z \in \widetilde{T}_{q}$, we associate its angular matrix defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a(z)=z^{*^{-1}} z \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the matrix associated to $g z g^{*}$ is $g^{*^{-1}} a g^{*}$, so that the angular matrix $a(z)$ belongs to the same conjugacy class when $z$ runs through a GL( $q, \mathbf{C}$ )-orbit. As we shall see (Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.13), this invariant is close to characterizing the orbits.

Let us first prove some elementary properties of the angular matrix.
PROPOSITION 3.1. Let $z=x+i y \in \widetilde{T}_{q}$, and let $a=z^{*^{-1}} z$ be its angular matrix. Then
(i) $\operatorname{Sp}(a) \subset \mathrm{U}_{1}=\{\mu \in \mathbf{C},|\mu|=1\}$;
(ii) if $1 \in \operatorname{Sp}(a)$, then $y$ is degenerate and

$$
\left\{v \in \mathbf{C}^{q} \mid a v=v\right\}=\left\{v \in \mathbf{C}^{q} \mid y v=0\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $\mu$ be an eigenvalue of $a$, and let $v \neq 0$ be an eigenvector for the eigenvalue $\mu$. Then $z v=\mu z^{*} v$, and hence

$$
(z v, v)=\mu\left(z^{*} v, v\right)=\mu(v, z v)=\mu \overline{(z v, v)} .
$$

If $(z v, v) \neq 0$, then $|\mu|=1$. So we now assume $(z v, v)=0$. This amounts to $(x v, v)+i(y v, v)=0$, so that in particular $(y v, v)=0$. Now recall that $y$ is positive semi-definite. So the condition $(y v, v)=0$ implies that $y v=0$. From this it follows that $z v=x v=z^{*} v$, and as $z$ is assumed to be invertible, this implies $\mu=1$. This shows (i) and part of (ii). Conversely, the condition $y v=0$ implies trivially $a v=v$.

In particular, we may consider the polynomial $d(\mu)=\operatorname{det}\left(z-\mu z^{*}\right)$. The roots of $d$ are the eigenvalues of the angular matrix. The set of these roots, counted with their multiplicities, will be called the angular spectrum of $z$.

We first consider the case of $T_{q}$. So let $z=x+i y \in T_{q}$. Then as $y$ is positive-definite, we may define its square root $y^{1 / 2}$ as the unique positivedefinite Hermitian matrix whose square is $y$. Then we may write

$$
x+i y=y^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(y^{-\frac{1}{2}} x y^{-\frac{1}{2}}+i 1_{q}\right) y^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

This shows that any $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$-orbit contains some element of the form $x+i 1_{q}$, where $x \in H_{q}$. But by the classical diagonalization theorem for Hermitian forms, there exists an orthonormal basis in which the Hermitian form associated to $x$ is diagonal. In other words, there exists a unitary matrix $u$ and real numbers $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{q}$ such that

$$
u x u^{*}=\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\lambda_{1} & & & \\
& \lambda_{2} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \lambda_{q}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Moreover, if $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are two such diagonal matrices, then $\Lambda+i \mathbf{1}_{q}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}+i \mathbf{1}_{q}$ are not conjugate under the action of $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$ unless $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\prime}$. Hence we have shown the following result, which of course is the well-known fact that there is a simultaneous diagonalization for two Hermitian forms if one of them is positive-definite.

THEOREM 3.2. The set of matrices of the form

$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{1}+i & & &  \tag{19}\\
& \lambda_{2}+i & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \lambda_{q}+i
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \cdots \geq \lambda_{q}$ is a full set of representatives of the $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$-orbits in $T_{q}$.

The angular matrix associated to $\Lambda$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\lambda_{1}+i}{\lambda_{1}-i} & & &  \tag{20}\\
& \frac{\lambda_{2}+i}{\lambda_{2}-i} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \frac{\lambda_{q}+i}{\lambda_{q}-i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The latter is a semi-simple matrix with spectral values

$$
\mu_{j}=\frac{\lambda_{j}+i}{\lambda_{j}-i}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq q$. Observe that these spectral values are complex numbers of modulus 1 , but always different from 1 . From the $u_{j}$ we may recover the $\lambda_{j}$ by the formula

$$
\lambda_{j}=i \frac{1+\mu_{j}}{1-\mu_{j}} .
$$

From these observations we get the following result.

Theorem 3.3. Two elements $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ of $T_{q}$ belong to the same $\mathrm{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$-orbit if and only if their angular matrices are conjugate. The angular spectrum is a full set of invariants for the action of $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$ on $T_{q}$.

The situation for $\widetilde{T}_{q}$ is more complicated. In fact we may consider the extreme case where $y=0$. Then $x$ corresponds to a non-degenerate Hermitian form, and the orbit picture is given by the signature. So we need to consider matrices of the form

$$
\Upsilon=\Upsilon_{n_{+}, n_{-}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
& & 1 & & & \\
& & & -1 & & \\
& & & & \ddots & \\
& & & & & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $n_{+}$diagonal entries equal to +1 and $n_{-}$diagonal entries equal to -1 , $n_{+}$and $n_{-}$being arbitrary nonnegative integers such that $n_{+}+n_{-}=q$. The corresponding angular matrix is the identity matrix $\mathbf{1}_{q}$.

Another source of difficulty comes from the fact that it is not always possible to find a basis in which both Hermitian forms associated to $x$ and $y$ are diagonal. For instance if $q=2$, consider the matrix

$$
z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & \frac{i}{2} \\
-\frac{i}{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{i}{2} \\
-\frac{i}{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)+i\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Notice that its angular matrix is

$$
a=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is not semisimple.

From these examples we see that neither the angular spectrum of $z$ nor the conjugacy class of the angular matrix characterizes the orbit of $z$.

Let $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}$ be four nonnegative integers such that $n_{1}+2 n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}=q$, and let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}$ be $n_{1}$ real numbers satisfying the condition

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n_{1}}
$$

To such data we associate the matrix $\Lambda=\Lambda\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)$ given by

where there are $n_{2}$ diagonal $2 \times 2$ submatrices of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}i & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, $n_{3}$ diagonal terms equal to 1 and $n_{4}$ diagonal terms equal to -1 .

THEOREM 3.4. Any $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$ orbit in $\widetilde{T}_{q}$ contains one and only one matrix of the form $\Lambda\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)$.

Before beginning the proof, let us prove a couple of lemmas. Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 are related to the classical Gauss's algorithm for diagonalizing an Hermitian form. Let $r, s, n$ be three nonnegative integers such that $r+s=n$.

LEmmA 3.5. The stabilizer in $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbf{C})$ of the matrix $y_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{1}_{r} & \\ & \mathbf{0}_{s}\end{array}\right)$ is the subgroup

$$
G_{r}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u & v  \tag{22}\\
0 & h
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

where $u \in \mathrm{U}(r), v \in \operatorname{Mat}(r, s), h \in \operatorname{GL}(s, \mathbf{C})$.
Proof. Easy computation.

Now we study the action of $G_{r}$ in $H_{n}$. If $x \in H_{n}$, let us write

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & b \\
b^{*} & \gamma
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\alpha \in H_{r}, b \in \operatorname{Mat}(r \times s, \mathbf{C})$ and $\gamma \in H_{s}$. If $g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}u & v \\ 0 & h\end{array}\right) \in G_{r}$, then $g x g^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\ b^{\prime *} & \gamma^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$, with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha^{\prime}=u \alpha u^{*}+u b v^{*}+v b^{*} u^{*}+v \gamma v^{*} \\
b^{\prime}=u b h^{*}+v \gamma h^{*} \\
\gamma^{\prime}=h \gamma h^{*} .
\end{gathered}
$$

LEMMA 3.6. Let $x=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & b \\ b^{*} & \gamma\end{array}\right) \in H_{n}$, with $\alpha \in H_{r}, b \in \operatorname{Mat}(r \times s, \mathbf{C})$ and $\gamma \in H_{s}$. Assume $\operatorname{det} \gamma \neq 0$. Then the orbit of $x$ under $G_{r}$ contains $a$ matrix of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha^{\prime} & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma\end{array}\right)$ with $\alpha^{\prime} \in H_{r}$.

Proof. This is a consequence of the previous formula with $u=\mathbf{1}_{r}$, $v=-b \gamma^{-1}$ and $h=\mathbf{1}_{s}$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $x=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & b \\ b^{*} & 0\end{array}\right) \in H_{n}$, with $\operatorname{rank} b=s$ (so in particular $r \geq s)$. Then the orbit of $x$ under $G_{r}$ contains an element of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{s} \\
0 & \mathbf{1}_{s} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\beta \in H_{r-s}$.
Proof. Consider the subgroup $\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}u & 0 \\ 0 & h\end{array}\right), u \in \mathrm{U}(r), h \in \mathrm{GL}_{s}(\mathbf{C})\right\}$. It acts on the component $b$ by $b^{\prime}=u b h^{*}$. As $\operatorname{rank}(b)=s$, we may think of $b$ as a set of $s$ independent vectors in $\mathbf{C}^{r}$. By the Gram-Schmidt process, it is possible to find $h \in \mathrm{GL}_{s}(\mathbf{C})$ such that $b h^{*}$ is a $s$-orthonormal frame in $\mathbf{C}^{r}$. But now two such frames are conjugate by the (left) action of $\mathrm{U}(r)$. Hence there exists $u \in \mathrm{U}(r)$ such that

$$
u b h^{*}=\binom{0}{\mathbf{1}_{s}}
$$

The matrix $x$ we started with is conjugate under $G_{r}$ to a matrix of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha^{\prime} & c & 0 \\
c^{*} & \beta & \mathbf{1}_{s} \\
0 & \mathbf{1}_{s} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\alpha^{\prime} \in H_{r-s}, \beta \in H_{s}$ and $c \in \operatorname{Mat}((r-s) \times s, \mathbf{C})$. Now we use the action of the element

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{1}_{r-s} & 0 & -c \\
0 & \mathbf{1}_{s} & -\frac{\beta}{2} \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{s}
\end{array}\right) \in G_{r}
$$

to get the result.

We are now ready to start the proof of Theorem 3.4.
STEP 1. Let $z=x+i y \in \widetilde{T}_{q}$. As $y$ is positive semidefinite, there exists an element $g \in \operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$ such that

$$
g y g^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
& & 1 & & & \\
& & & 0 & & \\
& & & & \ddots & \\
& & & & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $r$ diagonal entries equal to 1 , and $s$ diagonal entries equal to 0 , $r$ and $s$ being nonnegative integers satisfying $r+s=q$. In other terms, any $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$-orbit in $\widetilde{T}_{q}$ contains an element of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha+i \mathbf{1}_{r} & b \\
b^{*} & \gamma
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\alpha \in H_{r}, \gamma \in H_{s}, b \in \operatorname{Mat}(r \times s, \mathbf{C})$.

STEP 2. Now assume $x$ is of the form

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha+i \mathbf{1}_{r} & b \\
b^{*} & \gamma
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Consider $\gamma$. It is an Hermitian matrix of size $s$, and under the action of $\mathrm{GL}(s, \mathbf{C})$ it can be transformed to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{0}_{n_{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_{3}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1}_{n_{4}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}=s$. Hence $x$ is conjugate under the action of $G_{r}$ to an element of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & b^{\prime} & c^{\prime} \\
b^{\prime *} & 0 & 0 \\
c^{\prime *} & 0 & \Upsilon
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\alpha \in H_{r}, b^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Mat}\left(r \times n_{2}, \mathbf{C}\right), c^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Mat}\left(r \times\left(n_{3}+n_{4}\right), \mathbf{C}\right)$ and

$$
\Upsilon=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{1}_{n_{3}} & 0 \\
0 & -\mathbf{1}_{n_{4}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using Lemma 3.6, we see that $x$ is conjugate under the action of $G_{s}$ to an element of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha^{\prime \prime} & b^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\
b^{\prime \prime *} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Upsilon
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \in H_{r}, b^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Mat}\left(r \times n_{2}, \mathbf{C}\right)$.

Step 3. Assume now that

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & b & 0 \\
b^{*} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Upsilon
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\alpha \in H_{r}$ and $b \in \operatorname{Mat}\left(r \times n_{2}, \mathbf{C}\right)$. Recall that

$$
x+i y=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha+i \mathbf{1}_{r} & b & 0 \\
b^{*} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Upsilon
\end{array}\right)
$$

is assumed to be invertible. This shows that $\operatorname{rank}(b)=n_{2}$. So we may apply Lemma 3.7 to see that $x$ is conjugate under $G_{r}$ to an element of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_{2}} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \Upsilon
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\beta \in H_{r-n_{2}}$.
STEP 4. Set $n_{1}=r-n_{2}$. The last step is just to put the element $\beta \in H_{n_{1}}$ in diagonal form under the action of $\mathrm{U}\left(n_{1}\right)$. Up to minor rearrangements of the matrix, this shows that any $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$-orbit in $\widetilde{T}_{q}$ contains an element of the form $\Lambda\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)$.

STEP 5. It remains to show that two $\Lambda$ 's are not conjugate under GL( $q, \mathbf{C})$. The angular matrix associated to $\Lambda\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)$ is
$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}\frac{\lambda_{1}+i}{\lambda_{1}-i} & & & & & & & & & \\ \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & & \\ & & \frac{\lambda_{n_{1}}+i}{\lambda_{n}-i} & & & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & 2 i & 1 & & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 0 & & \\ & & & & & & 2 i & 1 & & \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots\end{array}\right)$
where there are $n_{2} 2 \times 2$ submatrices $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 2 i & 1\end{array}\right)$, and $n_{3}+n_{4}$ diagonal elements equal to 1 . From the Jordan normal form theorem, we deduce that if $\Lambda\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)$ and $\Lambda\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}^{\prime}, n_{2}^{\prime}, n_{3}^{\prime}, n_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ are in a same $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$-orbit, then $n_{1}=n_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{j}=\lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ for all $j, 1 \leq j \leq n_{1}, n_{2}=n_{2}^{\prime}$ and $n_{3}+n_{4}=n_{3}^{\prime}+n_{4}^{\prime}$. Now the matrix $\Lambda\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)=L+i M$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}=L^{\prime}+i M^{\prime}$, with $L, L^{\prime}, M, M^{\prime} \in H_{n}$. As $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are supposed to be in the same $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$-orbit, $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are also in the same $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$-orbit, and so they must have the same signature. This forces $n_{3}=n_{3}^{\prime}$ and $n_{4}=n_{4}^{\prime}$, and hence $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\prime}$.

We can now give the solution to the orbit problem we addressed at the end of Section 2. Recall that for any integer $r$ such that $0 \leq r \leq q$ we defined

$$
\widetilde{T}_{q}^{(r)}=\left\{z=x+i y \mid y \in \bar{\Omega}_{q}, \operatorname{rank}(y) \leq r, z \text { invertible }\right\} .
$$

LEMMA 3.8. Let $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}$ be four integers such that

$$
n_{1}+2 n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}=q
$$

and let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}$ be $n_{1}$ real numbers. Then the standard matrix $\Lambda=$ $\Lambda\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)$ belongs to $\widetilde{T}_{q}^{(r)}$ if and only if $n_{1}+n_{2} \leq r$.

In fact the rank of $\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\Lambda-\Lambda^{*}\right)$ is $n_{1}+n_{2}$.

THEOREM 3.9. Any GL( $q, \mathbf{C}$ )-orbit in $\widetilde{T}_{q}^{(r)}$ contains a unique standard matrix $\Lambda\left(\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)\right.$ with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leq r$.

We now want an analog of Theorem 3.3. As we have already noticed, the conjugacy class of the angular matrix does not determine the orbit of the matrix. We need a finer invariant, which we will construct now.

LEMMA 3.10. The space $\widetilde{T}_{q}$ is connected and simply connected.
Proof. As $T_{q}$ is connected and $T_{q} \subset \widetilde{T}_{q} \subset \overline{T_{q}}$, the space $\widetilde{T}_{q}$ is connected. Take $i \boldsymbol{1}_{q}$ as base point in $\widetilde{T}_{q}$, and observe that for any $z \in \widetilde{T}_{q}$ and any $s>0$, $z+i s \mathbf{1}_{q}$ is in $T_{q}$. So if $(\gamma(t), t \in[0,1])$ is a path in $\widetilde{T}_{q}$ starting and ending at $i \mathbf{1}_{q}$ then we can deform it by homotopy to $\gamma_{s}(t)=\gamma(t)+i s(s-1) \mathbf{1}_{q}$, which for $s>0$ is a path inside $T_{q}$. But $T_{q}$ as a tube-type domain is simply connected.

The function $z \mapsto \operatorname{det}(z)$ is a continuous function from $\widetilde{T}_{q}$ into $\mathbf{C}^{*}$. From Lemma 3.10, there exists a unique continuous determination of the argument of $\operatorname{det}(z)$ denoted by $\arg \operatorname{det}: \widetilde{T}_{q} \longrightarrow \underset{\widetilde{R}}{\mathbf{R}}$ such that $\arg \operatorname{det} i \mathbf{1}_{q}=q \frac{\pi}{2}$. If $Y \in \Omega_{q}$, then $\arg \operatorname{det} i y=q \frac{\pi}{2}$. If $z \in \widetilde{T}_{q}$ and $g \in \operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$, then $\operatorname{det} g z g^{*}=|\operatorname{det} g|^{2} \operatorname{det} z$, and $g i \mathbf{1}_{q} g^{*}=i g g^{*} \in i \Omega_{q}$, so that

$$
\arg \operatorname{det} g z g^{*}=\arg \operatorname{det} z
$$

This provides a new invariant for the action of $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$ on $\widetilde{T}_{q}$.
LEMMA 3.11. Let $\Lambda=\Lambda\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{1}}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg \operatorname{det} \Lambda=\arg \left(\lambda_{1}+i\right)+\cdots+\arg \left(\lambda_{n_{1}}+i\right)+n_{2} \pi+\dot{n_{4}} \pi \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\arg$ is used for the principal determination of the argument of a non-zero complex number.

Proof. We need to describe a continuous path from $\boldsymbol{i}_{q}$ to $\Lambda$ inside $\widetilde{T}_{q}$. For clarity of exposition, we describe successively the path for each diagonal block (either a one-dimensional or a two-dimensional submatrix) of $\Lambda$, and compute the contribution of each block to the function arg det.

For a block of the form $\lambda+i$, with $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ we use the path $t \mapsto t \lambda+i$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and so the contribution of this block is $\arg (\lambda+i)$.

For a block of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, we use the path

$$
t \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & t \\
t & i\left(1-t^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right), 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

The corresponding determinant of this $2 \times 2$-block is constant along the path and equal to -1 . Hence the contribution of this block is $2 \frac{\pi}{2}=\pi$.

For a block of the form 1 , we use the path $t \mapsto e^{i \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t)}, 0 \leq t \leq 1$, and we see that the corresponding contribution is 0 .

For a block of the form -1 , we use the path $t \mapsto e^{i \frac{\pi}{2}(1+t)}, 0 \leq t \leq 1$, and we see that the corresponding contribution is $\pi$.

Putting together the contribution of the blocks, we get the result.

Corollary 3.12. Let $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ be two standard matrices. Assume that their angular matrices coincide and that $\arg \operatorname{det} \Lambda=\arg \operatorname{det} \Lambda^{\prime}$. Then $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\prime}$.

Proof. In fact we noticed that the equality of angular matrices implies the equality of the parameters except for $n_{3}=n_{3}^{\prime}$ and $n_{4}=n_{4}^{\prime}$. But from (23), we see that the equality of the determination of the arguments of the determinants implies $n_{4}=n_{4}^{\prime}$ (and hence $n_{3}=n_{3}^{\prime}$ ).

Now we can state the conclusion of this section, which is a consequence of Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.12.

ThEOREM 3.13. Let $z, z^{\prime} \in \widetilde{T}_{q}$, and assume that the angular matrices of $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ are conjugate, and that $\arg \operatorname{det} z=\arg \operatorname{det} z^{\prime}$. Then $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ belong to the same orbit under the action of $\operatorname{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$.

REMARK. Let $z \in \widetilde{T}_{q}$. Let $a=z^{*^{-1}} z$. Then

$$
\operatorname{det} a=\frac{\operatorname{det} z}{\operatorname{det} z}=|\operatorname{det} z|^{-2}(\operatorname{det} z)^{2} .
$$

So $2 \arg \operatorname{det} z$ is a determination of $\arg (\operatorname{det} a)$. If $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ are two matrices in $\widetilde{T}_{q}$ with the same angular matrix, then $\arg \operatorname{det} z$ and $\arg \operatorname{det} z^{\prime}$ differ by an integral multiple of $\pi$. So the new invariant needed to characterize the orbits under $\mathrm{GL}(q, \mathbf{C})$ has to be regarded as a $\mathbf{Z}$-valued function. In this sense, it is a generalization of the signature.

