

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 49 (2003)  
**Heft:** 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** HYPERBOLICITY OF MAPPING-TORUS GROUPS AND SPACES  
**Autor:** Gautero, François  
**Kapitel:** 3.2 Telescopic metric  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-66690>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 18.04.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

If  $S$  is a path in  $\tilde{X}$ , the *pulled-tight projection* of  $S$  on  $f^{-1}(r)$ ,  $r \geq \max_{x \in S} f(x)$ , is the unique horizontal geodesic which connects the images of the endpoints of  $S$  under the semi-flow in the stratum  $f^{-1}(r)$ .

### 3.2 TELESCOPIC METRIC

DEFINITION 3.6. A *telescopic path* in a forest-stack is a path which is the concatenation of non-degenerate horizontal and vertical subpaths.

The *vertical length* of a telescopic path  $p$  is equal to the sum of the vertical lengths of the maximal vertical subpaths of  $p$ .

If the considered forest-stack comes with a horizontal metric  $\mathcal{H}$ , the *horizontal length* of a telescopic path  $p$  is the sum of the horizontal lengths of the maximal horizontal subpaths of  $p$ .

The *telescopic length*  $|p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$  of a telescopic path  $p$  in  $\tilde{X}$  is equal to the sum of the horizontal and vertical lengths of  $p$ .

We will always assume that our paths are equipped with an orientation, whatever it is, and we will denote by  $i(p)$  (resp.  $t(p)$ ) the initial (resp. terminal) point of a path  $p$  with respect to its orientation.

LEMMA-DEFINITION. Let  $(\tilde{X}, f, \sigma_t, \mathcal{H})$  be a forest-stack equipped with some horizontal metric  $\mathcal{H}$ . For any two points  $x, y$  in  $\tilde{X}$ , we denote by  $d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x, y)$  the infimum, over all the telescopic paths  $p$  in  $\tilde{X}$  between  $x$  and  $y$ , of their telescopic lengths  $|p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$ . Then  $(\tilde{X}, d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})})$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -quasi geodesic metric space. The map  $d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} : \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  is a telescopic distance associated to  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* If  $d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x, y) = 0$  then  $f(x) = f(y)$ . The distance is realized as the infimum of the telescopic lengths of an infinite sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of telescopic paths. There exists a unique horizontal geodesic between  $x$  and  $y$ . Otherwise any telescopic path between  $x$  and  $y$  has vertical length, and thus telescopic length, uniformly bounded away from zero. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be fixed. For some integer  $i$  all the telescopic paths  $T_i, T_{i+1}, \dots$  in the above sequence are contained in a box of height  $2\epsilon$  with horizontal boundaries the pulled-tight projection  $[g]_{f(g)+\epsilon}$  and all the geodesic preimages of  $g$  under  $\sigma_\epsilon$ . The vertical boundaries are the orbit-segments connecting the endpoints of the above geodesic preimages to the endpoints of  $[g]_{f(g)+\epsilon}$ . From the bounded-dilatation property, the horizontal length of each  $T_n$  for  $n \geq i$  is at least  $\lambda_+^{-2\epsilon} |[g]_{f(g)+\epsilon}|_{f(g)+\epsilon}$ . Thus for any  $n \geq i$ ,  $|T_n|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \geq \lambda_+^{-2\epsilon} |[g]_{f(g)+\epsilon}|_{f(g)+\epsilon}$ . Since  $\inf_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |T_n|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} = d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x, y) = 0$ , we have  $|[g]_{f(g)+\epsilon}|_{f(g)+\epsilon} = 0$ .

That is,  $\sigma_\epsilon(x) = \sigma_\epsilon(y)$ . This holds for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $(\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}^+}$  depends continuously on  $t$ , we have  $\sigma_0(x) = \sigma_0(y)$ , whence  $x = y$ . We have thus proved that  $d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$  does not vanish outside the diagonal of  $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ . The conclusion that this is a distance is now straightforward.

By definition of the telescopic distance, for any  $x, y$  in  $\tilde{X}$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a telescopic path  $p$  between  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $|p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x, y) + \epsilon$ . We choose  $\epsilon < \min(d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x, y), 1)$ . We consider the maximal collection of points  $x_0, \dots, x_k$  in  $p$  such that  $x_0 = i(p)$ ,  $x_k = t(p)$ , and that the telescopic length of the subpath  $p_i$  of  $p$  between  $x_{i-1}$  and  $x_i$  is equal to  $\epsilon$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ . The maximality of the collection  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  implies that the telescopic length of the subpath  $p_k$  of  $p$  between  $x_{k-1}$  and  $x_k$  is at most  $\epsilon$ . By definition  $d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq |p_i|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Thus  $d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq 1$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq |p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$ . The choice of  $\epsilon < d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x, y)$  then implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^k d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq 2d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x, y)$ . Therefore  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -quasi geodesic chain between  $x$  and  $y$ .  $\square$

REMARK 3.7. In nice cases, for instance in the case where the forest-stack is a proper metric space, the forest-stack is a true geodesic space.

#### 4. MAIN THEOREM

DEFINITION 4.1. Let  $(\tilde{X}, f, \sigma_t, \mathcal{H})$  be a forest-stack equipped with some horizontal metric  $\mathcal{H}$ .

1. The semi-flow is a *bounded-cancellation semi-flow* (with respect to  $\mathcal{H}$ ) if there exist  $\lambda_- \geq 1$  and  $K \geq 0$  such that for any real  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ , for any horizontal geodesic  $g \in f^{-1}(r)$ , for any  $t \geq 0$ ,  $|[g]_{r+t}|_{r+t} \geq \lambda_-^{-t} |g|_r - K$ .
2. The semi-flow is a *bounded-dilatation semi-flow* (with respect to  $\mathcal{H}$ ) if there exists  $\lambda_+ \geq 1$  such that for any real  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ , for any horizontal geodesic  $g \in f^{-1}(r)$ , for any  $t \geq 0$ ,  $|[g]_{r+t}|_{r+t} \leq \lambda_+^t |g|_r$ .

REMARK 4.2. The reader can observe a dissymmetry between the bounded-cancellation and bounded-dilatation properties, in the sense that the latter does not allow any additive constant. This is really necessary, since several proofs fail (e.g. those of Propositions 8.1 or 9.1) if an additive constant is allowed here.