

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 49 (2003)
Heft: 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: HYPERBOLICITY OF MAPPING-TORUS GROUPS AND SPACES
Autor: Gautero, François
Kapitel: 7. Substitution of quasi geodesics
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-66690>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 18.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Hence $|I_C|_{f(I)} \geq \frac{\lambda^n - \lambda_+^{-n}}{\lambda^n - \lambda_-^{-n}} |I_D|_{f(I)}$, so that $|I_C|_{f(I)} \geq \frac{X(n)}{1+X(n)} |I|_{f(I)}$ with $X(n) = \frac{\lambda^n - \lambda_+^{-n}}{\lambda^n - \lambda_-^{-n}}$. Now $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{X(n)}{1+X(n)} = 1$, so that for some $n_* \geq 1$, for any $n \geq n_*$, $\frac{X(n)}{1+X(n)} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Since the horizontal length of any interval I_k in I_C is at most $C_{6.2}(J, J')$, and the telescopic length of the associated $p_k \subset p$ is at least t_0 , we obtain

$$|p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \geq \frac{t_0}{2C_{6.2}(J, J')} |I|_{f(I)}.$$

On the other hand, $|p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq 2Jnt_0 + \lambda^{-n}J |I|_{f(I)} + J'$ for any $n \geq n_*$. The last two inequalities give, for $n \geq n_*$, $2Jnt_0 + \lambda^{-n}J |I|_{f(I)} + J' \geq \frac{t_0}{2C_{6.2}(J, J')} |I|_{f(I)}$, equivalently $2Jnt_0 + J' \geq (\frac{t_0}{2C_{6.2}(J, J')} - \lambda^{-n}J) |I|_{f(I)}$. We choose $n_o \geq n_*$ such that $\frac{t_0}{2C_{6.2}(J, J')} - \lambda^{-n_o}J > 0$. We get

$$\frac{2Jn_o t_0 + J'}{\frac{t_0}{2C_{6.2}(J, J')} - \lambda^{-n_o}J} \geq |I|_{f(I)}.$$

Thus, for $|I|_{f(I)} > \frac{2Jn_o t_0 + J'}{\frac{t_0}{2C_{6.2}(J, J')} - \lambda^{-n_o}J}$, h is not dilated in the future after t_0 . If $|I|_{f(I)} > \lambda_+^{n_o}M$, then $|h|_{f(h)} \geq M$. Therefore h is dilated in the past after t_0 . We choose N such that $\lambda^N \lambda_+^{-n_o} > \lambda$. Thus, if $|I|_{f(I)} \geq \max(\lambda_+^{n_o}M, \frac{2Jn_o t_0 + J'}{\frac{t_0}{2C_{6.2}(J, J')} - \lambda^{-n_o}J})$ then I is dilated in the past after $(n_o C_{6.2}(J, J') + N)t_0$. The arguments and computations in the case where $\max_{x \in p} f(x) \leq f(I)$ are the same. \square

7. SUBSTITUTION OF QUASI GEODESICS

LEMMA 7.1. *Let p be a (J, J') -quasi geodesic. Let q be obtained from p by replacing subpaths $p_i \subset p$ by (L, L') -quasi geodesics q_i satisfying the following properties:*

- q_i has the same endpoints as p_i ,
- q_i is L -close to p_i ,
- $|q_i|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq L|p_i|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$.

There exists a constant $C_{7.1}(L, L', J, J')$, which increases in each variable, such that q is a $(C_{7.1}(L, L', J, J'), C_{7.1}(L, L', J, J'))$ -quasi geodesic which is L -close to p .

Proof. Since each q_i is L -close to a p_i , and with the same endpoints, q is L -close to p . Let us consider any two points x, y in q and let $q_{xy} \subset q$

be the subpath of q between x and y . If both x and y lie in a q_i , or in a same subpath in the closed complement of the union of the q_i 's, then $|q_{xy}|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq \max(L, J)d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x, y) + \max(L', J')$. Otherwise $q_{xy} = w_1 w_2 w_3$, where w_1, w_3 are contained either in some q_i or in p , and w_2 begins and ends with the initial or terminal point of some q_i . The third property concerning the q_i 's leads to $|w_2|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq L|p_2|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$, where $p_2 \subset p$ is the subpath of p with the same endpoints as w_2 . Thus $|q_{xy}|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq L J d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x, y) + 2 \max(L', LJ')$. \square

LEMMA 7.2. *Let p be a straight (J, J') -quasi geodesic —-hole such that $\max_{x \in p} f(I) - f(x) \leq L$, where I is the horizontal geodesic joining the endpoints of p . Then there exists a constant $C_{7.2}(L, J, J') \geq M$, which increases in each variable, such that*

$$1) \quad |I|_{f(I)} \leq C_{7.2}(L, J, J')|p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}.$$

2) I is a straight $(C_{7.2}(L, J, J'), C_{7.2}(L, J, J'))$ -quasi geodesic which is $C_{7.2}(L, J, J')$ -close to p .

Proof. A horizontal geodesic is always straight. The horizontal geodesic I is the pulled-tight projection of p . Thus, by the bounded-dilatation property, $|I|_{f(I)} \leq \lambda_+^L |p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$. By Lemma 5.6, I is $C_{5.6}(L)$ -close to p . Consider any subpath I' of I ; it is the pulled-tight projection of some subpath p' of p . By the bounded-dilatation property, $|I'|_{f(I)} \leq \lambda_+^L |p'|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$. Since p is a (J, J') -quasi geodesic, $|I'|_{f(I)} \leq \lambda_+^L (J d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(i(p'), t(p')) + J')$. Since I' is $C_{5.6}(L)$ -close to p' , $|I'|_{f(I)} \leq \lambda_+^L J d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(i(I'), t(I')) + \lambda_+^L (2JC_{5.6}(L) + J')$. \square

LEMMA 7.3. *Let p be a straight (J, J') -quasi geodesic —-hole such that the horizontal length of the horizontal geodesic I between its endpoints is less than or equal to L . Then there exists a constant $C_{7.3}(L, J, J') \geq M$, which increases in each variable, such that*

$$1) \quad |I|_{f(I)} \leq C_{7.3}(L, J, J')|p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}.$$

2) I is a straight $(C_{7.3}(L, J, J'), C_{7.3}(L, J, J'))$ -quasi geodesic which is $C_{7.3}(L, J, J')$ -close to p .

Proof. Since p is a (J, J') -quasi geodesic,

$$\max_{x \in p} |f(x) - f(I)| \leq J|I|_{f(I)} + J'.$$

Lemma 7.3 now follows from Lemma 7.2. \square

LEMMA 7.4. *Let p be a straight (J, J') -quasi geodesic stair. For any $L \geq 0$, there exists a constant $C_{7.4}(L, J, J')$, which increases in each variable, such that if q is a straight stair whose points are at horizontal distance at most L from p , and with the same endpoints as p , then*

1) *q is a straight $(C_{7.4}(L, J, J'), C_{7.4}(L, J, J'))$ -quasi geodesic stair which is L -close to p .*

$$2) \quad |q|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq C_{7.4}(L, J, J') |p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}.$$

Proof. Consider a stair S , in the disc bounded by $p \cup q$, whose endpoints are those of p and q , and whose vertical geodesics end at q , all the stairs being oriented so that f is increasing along them. Consider a subpath S' of S which is the concatenation of a vertical segment followed by a horizontal one. By assumption, the horizontal length X of S' is bounded above by L . Let t be its vertical length. The bounded-dilatation property implies that the quotient of $|S'|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$ by the telescopic length of the subpath of p between the endpoints of S' is bounded above by $Q = \frac{t+X}{t+\lambda_+^{-1}X}$. Since $X \leq L$, Q tends to 1 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. One thus obtains a constant T such that for $t \geq T$, Q is bounded above by some constant, depending on L . When both t and X are close to 0 then Q is close to 1. Hence, since Q is continuous, Q admits an upper bound, denoted by $A(L)$, for all the t and X considered. This upper bound will be the same for all the subpaths S' as above.

The stair S is a concatenation of such subpaths S' , possibly with one or two subpaths of p at the extremities. Thus the additivity of the telescopic length gives $|S|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq A(L) |p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$. Let S'' be a subpath of S which is the concatenation of a horizontal subpath followed by a vertical one. The path S is the concatenation of such subpaths S'' with possibly one or two subpaths of q at the extremities. Exactly the same arguments as above give $|q|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq A(L) |S|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$. We thus get $|q|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq A(L)^2 |p|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$. It only remains to prove that q is a quasi geodesic with constants of quasi geodesicity depending only on L, J, J' . Let x, y be any two points in q . As usual q_{xy} is the subpath of q between x and y and we denote by $p_{x'y'}$ the subpath of p between the two points x', y' in p which are at horizontal distance at most L from x and y . We consider a stair S between q_{xy} and $p_{x'y'}$, with the same endpoints as q_{xy} . The same arguments as above apply and give $|q_{xy}|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq A(L)^2 |p_{x'y'}|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}$. Since p is a (J, J') -quasi geodesic, we conclude that $|q_{xy}|_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})} \leq JA(L)^2 d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x', y') + J'A(L)^2$. Since $d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x', y') \leq d_{(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H})}(x, y) + 2L$, the proof of Lemma 7.4 is complete. \square