

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 49 (2003)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** LECTURES ON QUASI-INVARIANTS OF COXETER GROUPS AND THE CHEREDNIK ALGEBRA  
**Autor:** Etingof, Pavel / Strickland, Elisabetta  
**Kapitel:** 3.2 Berest's formula for  $L_q$   
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-66677>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 18.04.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

differential operator  $S_m$  of the form  $\delta_m(x)\delta_m(\partial_x)+l.o.t.$ , with  $\delta_m(x) = \prod_{s \in \Sigma} \alpha_s^{m_s}$  such that

$$L_q S_m = S_m q(\partial)$$

for every  $q \in \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] = \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$ . From this, if we set  $\psi(k, x) = S_m e^{(k, x)}$ , we get

$$(7) \quad L_q \psi = S_m q(\partial) e^{(k, x)} = q(k) \psi,$$

$q \in \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$ .

We claim that equation (7) must in fact hold for all  $q \in Q_m$ . Indeed, near a generic point  $x$ , the functions  $\psi(wk, x)$  are obviously linearly independent and satisfy (7) for symmetric  $q$ . Thus, they are a basis in the space of solutions (we know that this space is  $|W|$ -dimensional). Consider the matrix of  $L_q$  in this basis for any  $q \in Q_m$ . Since  $\psi(k, x)$  is a polynomial multiplied by  $e^{(k, x)}$ , this matrix must be diagonal with eigenvalues  $q(k)$ , as desired.

EXAMPLE 3.1. As we have seen in the previous section, for  $W = \mathbf{Z}/2$  and  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$S_m = (x\partial - 2m + 1)(x\partial - 2m - 1) \cdots (x\partial - 1).$$

### 3.2 BEREST'S FORMULA FOR $L_q$

We are now going to give an explicit construction of the operators  $L_q$  for any  $q \in Q_m$ .

Let us identify, using our  $W$ -invariant scalar product,  $\mathfrak{h}$  with  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ , and let us choose a orthonormal basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . If  $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , we will write  $D_x$  for the Dunkl operator relative to the vector in  $\mathfrak{h}$  corresponding to  $x$  under our identification. Thus

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^n D_{x_i}^2.$$

PROPOSITION 3.2 (Berest [Be]). *If  $q \in Q_m$  is a homogeneous element of degree  $d$ , then*

$$(\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q = 0.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that

$$((\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q) \psi(k, x) = 0.$$

Indeed, it follows from the definition of  $\psi(k, x)$  that in the ring  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  this implies:  $((\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q) S_m = 0$ , so that  $(\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q = 0$ , since  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  is a domain.

Given  $q \in Q_m$ , we will denote by  $L_q^{(k)}$  the operator  $q(D_{k_1}, \dots, D_{k_n})$ . Notice that since  $\psi(k, x) = \psi(x, k)$ , we have  $L_q^{(k)}\psi = q(x)\psi$ . Thus we deduce, for  $p, q, r \in Q_m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_q r(x) L_p \psi &= L_q r(x) p(k) \psi = p(k) L_q r(x) \psi \\ &= p(k) L_q L_r^{(k)} \psi = p(k) L_r^{(k)} L_q \psi = p(k) L_r^{(k)} q(k) \psi. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q \psi = (-1)^{d+1} (\text{ad}(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2))^{d+1} L_q^{(k)} \psi.$$

Since  $L_q$  is a differential operator of degree  $d$ , we get  $\text{ad}(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2)^{d+1} L_q^{(k)} = 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

Notice now that the operator  $(\text{ad } L)^d q(x)$  commutes with  $L$ . Its symbol is given by  $(\text{ad } \Delta)^d q(x) = 2^d d! q(\partial)$ . So we deduce the following

**COROLLARY 3.3** (Berest's formula, [Be]). *If  $q \in Q_m$  is homogeneous of degree  $d$ , then*

$$L_q = \frac{1}{2^d d!} (\text{ad } L)^d q(x).$$

*Proof.* This is clear from Proposition 2.8, once we remark that  $(\text{ad } L)^d q(x)$  has the required homogeneity.  $\square$

We want to give a representation theoretical interpretation of what we have just seen. Consider the three operators

$$(8) \quad F = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2}, \quad E = -\frac{L}{2}, \quad H = [E, F].$$

It is easy to check that  $[H, E] = 2E$ ,  $[H, F] = -2F$ . We deduce that the elements  $E, F, H$  span an  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{D}(U)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  acts by conjugation on  $\mathcal{D}(U)$ . We can then reformulate Proposition 3.2 as follows:

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Any polynomial  $q \in Q_m$  of degree  $d$  is a lowest weight vector for the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -action of weight  $-d$  and generates a finite dimensional module (necessarily of dimension  $d+1$ ) for which  $L_q$  is a highest weight vector.*

*Proof.* An easy direct computation shows that

$$H = [E, F] = - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + C,$$

where  $C$  is a constant. Thus if  $q$  is homogeneous of degree  $d$ , we have  $[H, L_q] = dL_q$ .

This and the fact that  $[L, L_q] = 0$ , implies that  $L_q$  is a highest weight vector of weight  $d$ . Also since  $F$  is a polynomial, we deduce that  $\text{ad } F^{d+1} L_q = 0$ , so that  $L_q$  generates a  $(d+1)$ -dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module.  $\square$

One last property about these operators is given by

PROPOSITION 3.5 ([FV]). *For any  $q \in Q_m$ , the operator  $L_q$  preserves  $Q_m$ .*

*Proof.* Let us begin by proving that  $L$  preserves  $Q_m$ .

Take  $f \in Q_m$ , so that for any  $s \in \Sigma$ ,  $f - {}^s f = \alpha_s^{2m_s+1} t$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{C}[h]$ . Let us start by showing that  $Lf$  is a polynomial. Clearly  $Lf = \delta_*^{-1} q$ , with  $q \in \mathbf{C}[h]$ , and  $\delta_* = \prod_{s: m_s \neq 0} \alpha_s$ . Since  $L$  is  $W$ -invariant,  $Lf - {}^s(Lf) = L(f - {}^s f)$  is clearly divisible by  $\alpha_s^{2m_s-1}$  if  $m_s > 0$ . In particular, it is always regular along the reflection hyperplane of  $s$ . On the other hand, since  $Lf - {}^s(Lf) = \delta_*^{-1}(q + {}^s q)$ , we deduce that  $q + {}^s q$  is divisible by  $\alpha_s$  if  $m_s > 0$ . But then  $q = ((q + {}^s q) + (q - {}^s q))/2$  is divisible by  $\alpha_s$  if  $m_s > 0$ , hence it is divisible by  $\delta_*$ , so that  $Lf$  lies in  $\mathbf{C}[h]$ .

We have already remarked that  $L(f - {}^s f)$  is divisible by  $\alpha_s^{2m_s-1}$  if  $m_s > 0$ . In fact

$$L(f - {}^s f) = (L\alpha_s^{2m_s+1})t + \alpha_s^{2m_s} \tilde{t},$$

where  $\tilde{t}$  is a suitable polynomial.

But since

$$\begin{aligned} L\alpha_s^{2m_s+1} &= 2m_s(2m_s+1)(\alpha_s, \alpha_s)\alpha_s^{2m_s-1} - 2m_{s'}(2m_s+1) \sum_{s' \in \Sigma} (\alpha_{s'}, \alpha_s) \frac{\alpha_s^{2m_s}}{\alpha_{s'}} \\ &= -2m_{s'}(2m_s+1) \sum_{s' \in \Sigma, s' \neq s} (\alpha_{s'}, \alpha_s) \frac{\alpha_s^{2m_s}}{\alpha_{s'}}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that  $L(f - {}^s f)$  is divisible by  $\alpha_s^{2m_s}$ . On the other hand, since  $L(f - {}^s f) = Lf - {}^s(Lf)$ , this polynomial is either zero or it must vanish to odd order on the reflection hyperplane of  $s$ . We deduce that it must be divisible by  $\alpha_s^{2m_s+1}$ , proving that  $Lf \in Q_m$ .

We now pass to a general  $L_q$ ,  $q \in Q_m$ . We may assume that  $q$  is homogeneous of, say, degree  $d$ . By Corollary 3.3 we have that  $L_q$  is a non zero multiple of  $(adL)^d(q)$ . Since both  $q$  and  $L$  preserve  $Q_m$ , our claim follows.  $\square$

### 3.3 DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON $X_m$

Now let us return to the algebra of differential operators  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  contains two commutative subalgebras (both isomorphic to  $Q_m$ ). The first is  $Q_m$  itself, the second is the subalgebra  $Q_m^\dagger$  consisting of the differential operators of the form  $L_q$  with  $q \in Q_m$ . It is possible to prove

**THEOREM 3.6 ([BEG]).**  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  is generated by  $Q_m$  and  $Q_m^\dagger$ .

Notice that by Corollary 3.3 we in fact have that  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  is generated by  $Q_m$  and by  $L$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.7.** If  $W = \mathbf{Z}/2$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{C}$  we get that  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  is generated by the operators

$$x^2, \quad x^{2m+1}, \quad \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{2m}{x} \frac{d}{dx}.$$

Theorem 3.6 together with Proposition 3.4, imply

**COROLLARY 3.8 ([BEG]).**  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  is locally finite dimensional under the action of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  defined in (8).

This Corollary implies that our  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  action on  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  can be integrated to an action of the group  $SL(2)$ . In particular we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} q = L_q$$

for all  $q \in Q_m$ . This transformation is a generalization of the Fourier transform, since it reduces to the usual Fourier transform on differential operators on  $\mathfrak{h}$  when  $m = 0$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.9.** If  $W = \mathbf{Z}/2$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{C}$ , we get that the monomials  $\{x^{2i}\} \cup \{x^{2i+2m+1}\}$  are (up to constants) all lowest weight vectors for the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  action on  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$ .  $x^n$  has weight  $-n$ . We deduce that  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  is isomorphic as a  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module to the direct sum of the irreducible representations of dimension  $n+1$  for  $n$  even or  $n = 2(m+i)+1$ , each with multiplicity one.