

# Piecewise isometries of hyperbolic surfaces

Autor(en): **La Harpe, Pierre de**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **54 (2008)**

Heft 1-2

PDF erstellt am: **23.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-109906>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

PIECEWISE ISOMETRIES OF HYPERBOLIC SURFACES

by Pierre DE LA HARPE

*What does the group of piecewise isometries of a surface look like ?*

More precisely, let us consider compact Riemannian surfaces. Boundaries (if any) should be unions of finitely many geodesic segments; there is no reason to impose connectedness or orientability. For two surfaces  $M, N$  of this kind, a *piecewise isometry* from  $M$  to  $N$  is given by two partitions  $M = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k M_i$  and  $N = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k N_i$  in polygons, and a family  $g_i: M_i \rightarrow N_i$  of surjective isometries; two such piecewise isometries are identified if they coincide on the interiors of the pieces of finer polygonal partitions. When such a piecewise isometry exists,  $M$  and  $N$  are said to be *equidecomposable*. Piecewise isometries of a surface  $M$  to itself constitute the *group of piecewise isometries*  $\mathcal{PI}(M)$ . We want to stress that a piecewise isometry need not be continuous. The group  $\mathcal{PI}(M)$  is a two-dimensional analogue of the group  $\mathcal{PI}([0, 1])$  of exchange transformations of the interval (the transformations themselves have been studied by Keane, Sinai, and Veech, among others, and the group by Arnoux, Fathi, and Sah — see for example [7] and [1]).

It is well known that two Euclidean polygons are equidecomposable if and only if their areas are equal (compare with Chapter IV in Hilbert's *Grundlagen der Geometrie* [9]). This carries over to polygons in the hyperbolic plane (see [4] for a proof). In particular, any orientable connected closed Riemannian surface  $M$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  and of constant curvature  $-1$  is piecewise isometric to a hyperbolic polygon, of area  $4\pi(g-1)$ . Thus, viewed as an abstract group,  $\mathcal{PI}(M)$  depends only on the area  $t$  of  $M$ , and can be denoted by  $\mathcal{PI}_t$ . There are many ways to check that it is an uncountable group, containing torsion of any order and containing free abelian groups of arbitrary large ranks. Observe that, if  $s \leq t$ , the group  $\mathcal{PI}_s$  embeds as a subgroup of  $\mathcal{PI}_t$  (think of a hyperbolic polygon of area  $s$  contained inside a hyperbolic polygon of area  $t$ ).

*I would like to understand more of the groups  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}_t$ .*

As a first question, are these groups pairwise isomorphic? In particular, are  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}_{4\pi}$  and  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}_{8\pi}$  isomorphic? (Recall that, for a Riemannian metric of constant curvature  $-1$ , a closed surface of genus  $g$  has area  $4\pi(g-1)$ .) If  $s \leq t$ , is any injective homomorphism  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}_t$  conjugate to one described above?

Are these groups acyclic? Simple? Or if not with simple commutator subgroups? (Arnoux-Fathi and Sah have defined a homomorphism from the analogous group  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}([0,1])$  onto  $\bigwedge_{\mathbf{Q}}^2 \mathbf{R}$ , reminiscent of the Dehn invariant for scissors congruences, and it is known that the kernel is a simple group; see [1]).

Should they be regarded as topological groups? If yes for which topology? (Two candidates: the topology of convergence in measure, see e.g. [3], and the weak topology discussed in [8].)

Similar questions make sense for other groups of piecewise isometries, for example related to polygons in a round sphere, or in a flat torus, or related to other spaces and appropriate pieces. The case of flat tori is usually phrased in terms of Euclidean spaces or polytopes; concerning this case, the little I am aware of ([2], [5], [10]) is about particular piecewise isometries and not about groups  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}(M)$ . One difficulty with other spaces is to choose an interesting class of pieces when “polygon” or “polytope” have no clear meaning.

A bijection of a finitely-generated group onto a subset of itself which is given piecewise by left multiplications can be viewed as a piecewise isometry. Bijections of this form are important ingredients in the theory of amenable groups (Tarski characterization of non-amenability by the existence of paradoxical decompositions, see e.g. [11] and [6]).

Piecewise isometries make sense for large classes of metric spaces, but the corresponding groups and pseudogroups seem to have been little explored so far in this generality.

I am grateful to Pierre Arnoux for his comments on the first version of this short Note.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ARNOUX, P. Échanges d'intervalles et flots sur les surfaces. In: *Théorie ergodique (Séminaire de théorie ergodique, Les Plans-sur-Bex, Mars 1980)*, 5–38. Monograph L'Enseign. Math. 29, Geneva, 1981.
- [2] ASHWIN, P. and A. GOETZ. Polygonal invariant curves for a planar piecewise isometry. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 358 (2006), 373–390.
- [3] BERBERIAN, S. K. *Measure and Integration*. MacMillan, 1965.
- [4] BOLTJANSKII, V. G. *Hilbert's Third Problem*. J. Wiley, 1978.
- [5] BRESSAUD, X. and G. POGGIASPALLA. A tentative classification of bijective polygonal piecewise isometries. *Experiment. Math.* 16 (2007), 77–99.
- [6] CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN, T., R. GRIGORCHUK and P. DE LA HARPE. Amenability and paradoxical decompositions for pseudogroups and for discrete metric spaces. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 224 (1999), 57–95.
- [7] CORNFELD, I. P., S. V. FOMIN and YA. G. SINAI. *Ergodic Theory*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 245. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [8] HALMOS, P. R. *Lectures on Ergodic Theory*. Chelsea, 1956.
- [9] HILBERT, D. *Les fondements de la géométrie*, éd. critique. Dunod, Paris, 1971.
- [10] MENDES, M. and M. NICOL. Piecewise isometries in Euclidean spaces of higher dimensions. To appear in *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos*.
- [11] WAGON, S. *The Banach-Tarski Paradox*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985.

Pierre de la Harpe

Section de Mathématiques  
Université de Genève  
C.P. 64  
CH-1211 Genève 4  
Switzerland  
*e-mail*: Pierre.delaHarpe@math.unige.ch